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#### Abstract

We study optimal algorithms and optimal information in an average case model for linear problems in a Wiener space. We show that a linear algorithm is optimal among all algorithms. We illustrate the theory by interpolation, integration and approximation. We prove that adaption does not help.


1. Introduction. In a series of pioneering papers commencing with [4], Larkin studied average case error, mostly for linear problems in a Hilbert space equipped with a Gaussian measure. The average case model was further developed in [8], [13], and [14].

Following the average case model of [13], in this paper we study linear problems in a Wiener space. A Wiener space is a Banach space of continuous functions equipped with a Wiener measure. Linear problems in a Wiener space were first studied in [7], where optimality was considered in the class of linear algorithms. This paper investigates optimality in the class of all algorithms. It also studies optimal information and adaptive information.

We summarize the main contents of this paper.
In $\S 3$ we formulate the problem and recall the concepts of information, algorithm, radius of information, optimal information and optimal algorithm.

We address the problem of interpretation in $\S 4$, and we derive the optimal algorithm, which turns out to be linear, and the radius of information.
Based on the results in §4, we study the problem of approximation of continuous linear functionals in $\S 5$. We derive the optimal algorithm and the radius of information. As a specific case, we investigate the problem of integration.
In $\S 6$ we study the problem of approximation of bounded linear operators. As a specific case we study the approximation problem.

In $\S 7$ we discuss adaptive information versus nonadaptive information,

[^0]and we show that adaption does not help for linear problems in a Wiener space.
2. Wiener space. Since the original work by N. Wiener in the 1920 's, Wiener measures have received a great deal of attention, because of their usefulness in the applied fields of statistical and quantum mechanics as much as for their intrinsic mathematical interest, see [15, 16, 1, 2].

In this section we recall the definition of the classical Wiener space and measure; for more detailed discussion, see [3].

Let $F_{1}$ denote the set of real-valued continuous functions $\bar{f}$ in the unit interval $[0,1]$ with $f(0)=0 . F_{1}$ is a Banach space with the supremum norm $\|f\|=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|f(t)|$. Let $\mathbf{B}$ be the Borel $\sigma$-field of $F_{1}$, and let $w$ be a Wiener measure defined on $\mathbf{B}$. Recall that $w$ is uniquely defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& w\left(\left\{f \in F_{1}:\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \in E\right\}\right) \\
& \quad=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \quad \int_{E} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right) d u_{1} \ldots d u_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n \geqq 1,0=t_{0}<t_{1} \ldots<t_{n} \leqq 1, u_{0}=0$, and $E$ is a Borel set in $R^{n}$. Here $d u_{1} \ldots d u_{n}$ denotes the Lebesgue measure in $R^{n}$. The space $F_{1}$ with a Wiener measure is called a Wiener space. For a measurable function $G: F_{1} \rightarrow R, \int_{F_{1}} G(f) w(d f)$ is understood as the Lebesgue integral with respect to $w$. If $G(f)=V\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$, where $V: R^{n} \rightarrow R$ and $0<t_{1}<$ $\cdots<t_{n} \leqq 1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{F_{1}} G(f) w(d f)=\int_{F_{1}} V\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right) w(d f) \\
& \quad=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{0}=0$ and $u_{0}=0$.
In particular, see [3, p. 38], for $G(f)=f\left(t_{1}\right) f\left(t_{2}\right)$, where $0 \leqq t_{1}, t_{2} \leqq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{F_{1}} f\left(t_{1}\right) f\left(t_{2}\right) w(d f)=\min \left\{t_{1}, t_{2}\right\} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the following
Proposition 2.1. If $s(t)$ is of bounded variation, continuous from the right, and $s(0)=0$, then
(i) $\int_{F_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} f(t) d s(t) \cdot f(\bar{t}) w(d f)=\int_{0}^{\bar{t}} t d s(t)+\bar{t} \int 1 / t d s(t)$,
where $0 \leqq \bar{t} \leqq 1$;
(ii) $\int_{F_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d s(t)\right)^{2} w(d f)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} u d s(u)\right) d s(t)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(t \int_{t}^{1} d s(u)\right) d s(t)$. If $s(t)$ is continuous, then
(iii) $\int_{F_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} f(t) s(t) d t \cdot f(\bar{t}) w(d f)=\int_{0}^{\bar{t}} t s(t) d t+\bar{t} \int 1 / t s(t) d t$, where $0 \leqq \bar{t} \leqq 1$;
(iv) $\int_{F_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(t) s(t) d t\right)^{2} w(d f)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(s(t) \int_{0}^{t} u s(u) d u\right) d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left(t s(t) \int_{t}^{1} s(u) d u\right) d t$.

For the proof, see [5].
3. Formulation of the problem. Let $F_{1}$ be a Wiener space, and let $F_{2}$ be a separable Hilbert space. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
S: F_{1} \rightarrow F_{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a continuous linear operator, called a solution operator.
We seek an approximation to $S(f)$ for all $f \in F_{1}$, given function values of $f$ at $n$ points. $0<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{n} \leqq 1$. That is, the information $N$ is defined as $N: F_{1} \rightarrow R^{n}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right), \text { for all } f \in F_{1} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

An approximation to $S(f)$ is provided by $\varphi(N(f))$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: N\left(F_{1}\right) \rightarrow F_{2} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $\varphi$ an algorithm using information $N$. The (global average) error of $\varphi$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(\varphi, N)=\left\{\int_{F_{1}}\|S(f)-\varphi(N(f))\|^{2} w(d f)\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Phi(N)$ be the class of all algorithms $\varphi$ using $N$ for which the error of $\varphi$ is well defined, i.e., $\|S(\cdot)-\varphi(N(\cdot))\|^{2}$ is a measurable function. We stress that the assumption about the measurability of $\|S(\cdot)-\varphi(N(\cdot))\|^{2}$ is not restrictive as is shown in [11]. We wish to find an algorithm $\varphi^{*}$ from $\Phi(N)$ with the smallest error. Such an algorithm is called an optimal algorithm, and its error is called the radius of information, denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(N)=e\left(\varphi^{*}, N\right)=\inf _{\varphi \in \Phi(N)} e(\varphi, N) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

An $n$-th optimal information $N^{*}$ minimizes the radius of information among all information $\Psi=\left\{N: N(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots,, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right), 0<t_{1}<\ldots\right.$ $\left.<t_{n} \leqq 1\right\}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(N^{*}\right)=\inf _{N \in \Psi} r(N) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify whether an algorithm is optimal, we need
Lemma 3.1. Given information $N$, an algorithm $\varphi^{*} \in \Phi(N)$ is optimal iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{F_{1}}\left(S(f)-\varphi^{*}(N(f)), \varphi(N(f)) w(d f)=0\right. \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in \Phi(N)$.
The proof is similar to that of theorem 4.4 in [13] and is omitted.
From Lemma 3.1, we can easily derive
Corollary 3.1. Given information $N$, let $\varphi_{1}^{*}$ and $\varphi_{2}^{*}$ be optimal algorithms for the continuous linear solution operators $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, respectively. Then the algorithm $\varphi^{*}=\alpha_{1} \varphi_{1}^{*}+\alpha_{2} \varphi_{2}^{*}$ is an optimal algorithm for the solution operators $S=\alpha_{1} S_{1}+\alpha_{2} S_{2}$, where $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are arbitrary real numbers.
4. Interpolation. In this section we study the interpolation problem, that is, we approximate

$$
S(f)=f(\bar{t}), \text { where } 0 \leqq \bar{t} \leqq 1
$$

given information

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right), \text { where } 0<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{n} \leqq 1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of the more general problems will follow from the solution of this simple problem. We shall show that there exists an optimal linear algorithm, which is piecewise linear interpolation. The radius of information will also be derived.

We first prove the optimality of piecewise linear interpolation. Let $f_{k}=f\left(t_{k}\right), k=1, \ldots, n$, and let $f_{0}=0$ and $t_{0}=0$. We have

Theorem 4.1. For the interpolation problem, piecewise linear interpolation is optimal. More specifically, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}\frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} f_{k}+\frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} & f_{k+1}, \text { if } t_{k} \leqq \bar{t} \leqq t_{k+1}, \\
\text { for some } k \text { from }\{0, \ldots, n-1\}, \\
f_{n}, & \text { if } t_{n} \leqq \bar{t} \leqq 1 .\end{cases} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\varphi^{*}$ is an optimal linear algorithm among all algorithms from $\Phi(N)$.
Proof: It is obvious that $\varphi^{*}$ is optimal if $\bar{t}=t_{k}$, for some $k$ from $\{0, \ldots, n\}$, since $e\left(N, \varphi^{*}\right)=0$ for this case. Thus it is sufficient to consider the following two cases. (i) $t_{k}<\bar{t}<t_{k+1}, k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$; (ii) $t_{n}<\bar{t} \leqq 1$, if $t_{n}<1$.

Case (i). By Lemma 3.1, we need only to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\int_{F_{1}}\left(f(\bar{t})-\frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} f_{k}-\frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} f_{k+1}\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)  \tag{4.3}\\
& =0
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in \Phi(N)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=I_{1}-I_{2}-I_{3} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}=\int_{F_{1}} f(\bar{t}) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f) \\
I_{2}=\int_{F_{1}} \frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} f_{k} \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f),
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
I_{3}=\int_{F_{1}} \frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} f_{k+1} \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)
$$

Let $f_{\bar{t}}=f(\bar{t})$. Then, from (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{2}=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} u_{k} \varphi\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right) \\
\quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n}  \tag{4.5}\\
I_{3}=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} u_{k+1} \varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \\
\quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right) d u_{1} \ldots d u_{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u_{0}=0$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1}=(2 \pi)^{-n+1 / 2}\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(\bar{t}-t_{k}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(t_{k+1}-\bar{t}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right. \\
\left.\times\left(\prod_{i=k+2}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)\right) \\
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\bar{t}} \varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}+\frac{\left(u_{\bar{t}}-u_{k}\right)^{2}}{\bar{t}-t_{k}}\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.+\frac{\left(u_{k+1}-u_{\bar{t}}\right)^{2}}{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}+\sum_{i=k+2}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right)\right) \\
\times d u_{1} \cdots d u_{k} d u_{\bar{t}} d u_{k+1} \cdots d u_{n}
\end{gather*} \begin{array}{r}
=(2 \pi)^{-n+1 / 2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)^{\left.1 / 2\left(\bar{t}-t_{k}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(t_{k+1}-\bar{t}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)}\right. \tag{4.7}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{k+1}-u_{k}\right)^{2}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}\right) \\
& \times\left({ }_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\bar{t}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\left(u_{\bar{t}}-u_{k}\right)^{2}}{\bar{t}-t_{k}}+\frac{\left(u_{k+1}-u_{\bar{t}}\right)^{2}}{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}\right)\right) d u_{\bar{t}}\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\bar{t}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\left(u_{\bar{t}}-u_{k}\right)^{2}}{\bar{t}-t_{k}}+\frac{\left(u_{k+1}-u_{\bar{t}}\right)^{2}}{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}\right)\right) d u_{\bar{t}} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}\left(\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\bar{t}-t_{k}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(t_{k+1}-\bar{t}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \frac{\left(\bar{t}-t_{k}\right) u_{k+1}+\left(t_{k+1}-\bar{t}\right) u_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} \\
& \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{k+1}-u_{k}\right)^{2}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1}=(2 \pi)^{-n+1 / 2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(\left(t_{k+1}-t\right)_{k}^{1 / 2}\left(\bar{t}-t_{k}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(t_{k+1}-\bar{t}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right) \\
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right)\right. \\
\times \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{k+1}-u_{k}\right)^{2}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}\right)(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}\left(\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\bar{t}-t_{k}\right)^{1 / 2}\right. \\
\left.\quad \times\left(t_{k+1}-\bar{t}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \frac{\left(\bar{t}-t_{k}\right) u_{k+1}+\left(t_{k+1}-\bar{t}\right) u_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} \\
=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots  \tag{4.8}\\
\left.\quad \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{k+1}-u_{k}\right)^{2}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}\right)\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n} \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} u_{k}+\frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} u_{k+1}\right) \varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \\
\quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

Comparing (4.8) with (4.5) and (4.6), we have $I=I_{1}-I_{2}-I_{3}=0$.
Case (ii). By Lemma 3.1, we need only to show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
J=\int_{F_{1}}\left(f(\bar{t})-\varphi^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)=0  \tag{4.9}\\
\text { for all } \varphi \in \Phi(N)
\end{gather*}
$$

From (4.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J & =\int_{F_{1}}\left(f_{\bar{t}}-f_{n}\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)  \tag{4.10}\\
& =\int_{F_{1}} f_{\bar{t}} \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)-\int_{F_{1}} f_{n} \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)
\end{align*}
$$

We now compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{F_{1}} f_{\bar{t}} \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)=(2 \pi)^{-n+1 / 2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(\bar{t}-t_{n}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\bar{t}} \varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{\bar{t}}-u_{n}\right)^{2}}{\bar{t}-t_{n}}\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n} d u_{\bar{t}} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-n+1 / 2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(\bar{t}-t_{n}\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \\
& \times \\
& \quad \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\bar{t}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{\bar{t}}-u_{n}\right)^{2}}{\bar{t}-t_{n}}\right) d u_{\bar{t}}\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{\bar{t}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{\bar{t}}-u_{n}\right)^{2}}{\bar{t}-t_{n}}\right) d u_{\bar{t}}=\left(\bar{t}-t_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}(2 \pi)^{1 / 2} u_{n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{F_{1}} f_{\bar{t}} \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f) \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-n+1 / 2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(\bar{t}-t_{n}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& \quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \quad \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right)\left(\bar{t}-t_{n}\right)^{1 / 2}(2 \pi)^{1 / 2} u_{n}\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n}  \tag{4.11}\\
& = \\
& \quad(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{n} \varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \\
& \quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n} \\
& = \\
& \int_{F_{1}} f_{n} \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f) .
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.11) and (4.10), we have (4.9). This completes the proof.

Recall that the radius of information is the error of the optimal algorithm. From Theorem 4.1, we have

Theorem 4.2. For the interpolation problem, the radius of information is
(4.4) $r(N)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \bar{t}=t_{k}, \text { for some } k \text { from }\{0, \ldots, n\} ; \\ \sqrt{\frac{\left(t_{k+1}-\bar{t}\right)\left(\bar{t}-t_{k}\right)}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}},}, & \text { if } t_{k}<\bar{t}<t_{k}, \text { for some } k \\ & \text { from }\{0, \ldots, n-1\} ; \\ \sqrt{\bar{t}-t_{n}}, & \text { if } t_{n}<\bar{t} \leqq 1 .\end{cases}$

Proof. It is obvious that $r(N)=0$ if $\bar{t}=t_{k}$ for some $k$ from $\{0, \ldots, n\}$. Suppose therefore that $t_{k}<\bar{t}<t_{k+1}$ for some $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r(N)^{2}=e\left(N, \varphi^{*}\right)^{2}=\int_{F_{1}}\left(f(\bar{t})-\frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} f_{k}-\frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} f_{k+1}\right)^{2} w(d f) \\
& =\int_{F_{1}}(f(\bar{t}))^{2} w(d f)+\left(\frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}\right)^{2} \int_{F_{1}}\left(f\left(t_{k}\right)\right)^{2} w(d f) \\
& +\left(\frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}\right)^{2} \int_{F_{1}}\left(f\left(t_{k+1}\right)\right)^{2} w(d f) \\
& -2 \frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} \int_{F_{1}} f(\bar{t}) f\left(t_{k}\right) w(d f)-2 \frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} \int_{F_{1}} f(\bar{t}) f\left(t_{k+1}\right) w(d f) \\
& \quad+2 \frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} \frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} \int_{F_{1}} f\left(t_{k}\right) f\left(t_{k+1}\right) w(d f) \\
& =\bar{t}+\left(\frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}\right)^{2} t_{k}+\left(\frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}\right)^{2} t_{k+1}-2 \frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}-2 \frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} \\
& \\
& \quad+2 \frac{t_{k+1}-\bar{t}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} \frac{\bar{t}-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} \cdot t_{k}=\frac{\left(t_{k+1}-\bar{t}\right)\left(\bar{t}-t_{k}\right)}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
r(N)=\sqrt{\frac{\left(t_{k+1}-\bar{t}\right)\left(\bar{t}-t_{k}\right)}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}}}
$$

Finally, suppose that $t_{n}<t \leqq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(N)^{2} & =e\left(N, \varphi^{*}\right)^{2}=\int_{F_{1}}\left(f(\bar{t})-f_{n}\right)^{2} w(d f)=\int_{F_{1}}(f(\bar{t}))^{2} w(d f) \\
& -2 \int_{F_{1}} f(\bar{t}) f\left(t_{n}\right) w(d f)+\int_{F_{1}}\left(\left(t_{n}\right)\right)^{2} w(d f)=\bar{t}-t_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
r(N)=\sqrt{\bar{t}-t_{n}},
$$

which completes the proof.
5. Approximation of continuous linear functionals. In this section, we consider the optimal algorithm and the radius of information for a solution operator $S$, which is a continuous linear functional. The problem of integration is considered as a specific case.

Since $F_{1}$ is a subspace of the space $C[0,1], S$ has a continuous linear extension to $C$. Therefore, by the Riesz representation Theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(f)=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d s(t) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is of bounded variation, continuous from the right, and $s(0)=0$.
Given information as in (4.1), we have
Theorem 5.1. For the solution operator $S$ of the form (5.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} f_{i} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an optimal linear algorithm among all algorithms from $\Phi(N)$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{i}=f\left(t_{i}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \\
\beta_{i}=\frac{1}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}\left(t_{i+1} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} d s(t)-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} t d s(t)\right) \\
-\frac{1}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\left(t_{i-1} \int_{t-1}^{t_{i}} d s(t)-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} t d s(t)\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$i=1, \ldots, n-1$, and

$$
\beta_{n}=\int_{t_{n}}^{1} d s(t)+\frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{n-1}}\left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} t d s(t)-t_{n-1} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} d s(t)\right)
$$

Proof. For $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n} \leqq t_{n+1}=1$, let $\Delta^{(i)}=$ $1 / m\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)$, and let $t_{j}^{(i)}=t_{i-1}+j \Delta^{(i)}, j=0,1, \ldots, m ; i=1, \ldots$, $n+1$. By the definition of Riemann-Stieltjes integral we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d s(t)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(i)}\right)-s\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right)
$$

We use the solution of the interpolation problem for each $t_{j}^{(i)}$ to solve our problem. By Theorem 4.1, we have

$$
\int_{F_{1}}\left(f\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)-\left(\frac{t_{i}-t_{j}^{(i)}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\frac{t_{j}^{(i)}-t_{i-1}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)=0
$$

and

$$
\int_{F_{1}}\left(f\left(t_{j}^{(n+1)}\right)-f\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w=(d f)=0
$$

for all $\varphi \in \Phi(N)$ and $i=1, \ldots, n, j=0,1, \ldots, m$.
Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{F_{1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(i)}\right)-s\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right. \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(\frac{t_{i}-t_{j}^{(i)}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\frac{t_{j}^{(i)}-t_{i-1}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \left.\quad \times\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(i)}\right)-s\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(t_{n}\right)\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(n+1)}\right)-s\left(t_{j}^{(n+1)}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F_{1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(i)}\right)-s\left(j_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right. \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(\frac{t_{i}-t_{j}^{(i)}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\frac{t_{j}^{(i)}-t_{i-1}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i}\right)\right)  \tag{5.4}\\
& \left.\quad \times\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(i)}\right)-s\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(t_{n}\right)\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(n+1)}\right)-s\left(t_{j}^{(n+1)}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of Riemann-Stieltjes integral we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F_{1}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(i)}\right)-s\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)  \tag{5.5}\\
= & \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(\int_{F_{1}} f(t) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)\right) d s(t), i=1, \ldots, n+1 .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(\int_{F_{1}} f(t) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)\right) d s(t)  \tag{5.6}\\
= & \int_{F_{1}}\left(\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} f(t) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) d s(t)\right) w(d f),
\end{align*}
$$

and the proof will be completed, since, from (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{F_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d s(t)-\varphi^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f) \\
= & \int_{F_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d s(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} f_{i}\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f) \\
= & \int_{F_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d s(t)-\left(\sum _ { i = 1 } ^ { n - 1 } \left(\frac{1}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}\left(t_{i+1} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} d s(t)-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} t d s(t)\right)\right.\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.-\frac{1}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\left(t_{i-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} d s(t)-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} t d s(t)\right)\right) f\left(t_{i}\right) \\
& \left.\left.+\left(\int_{t_{n}}^{1} d s(t)-\frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{n-1}}\left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} t d s(t)-t_{n-1} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} d s(t)\right)\right) f\left(t_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \times \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f) \\
= & \int_{F_{1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} f(t) d s(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i}\right)\right) d s(t)-\int_{t_{n}}^{1} f\left(t_{n}\right) d s(t)\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i}}\left(\int_{F_{1}} f(t) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)\right) d s(t) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}}\left(\int_{F_{1}}\left(\frac{t_{i}-t}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)\right) d s(t)-\int_{t_{n}}^{1}\left(\int_{F_{1}} f\left(t_{n}\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)\right) d s(t) \\
= & \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{F_{1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(i)}\right)-s\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right)\right. \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(\frac{t_{i}-t_{j}^{(i)}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\left(t_{i-1}\right)+\frac{t_{j}^{(i)}-t_{i-1}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} f\left(t_{i}\right)\right)\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(i)}\right)-s\left(t_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right) \\
& \left.-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f\left(t_{n}\right)\left(s\left(t_{j+1}^{(n+1)}\right)-s\left(t_{j}^{(n+1)}\right)\right)\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\int_{F_{1}}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d s(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{1} f_{i}\right) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)=0, \text { for all } \varphi \in \Phi(N)
$$

where $\beta_{i}$ 's are given in (5.3). We now derive (5.6).
Let $G(t, f)=f(t) \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ and let $t_{t}=f(t)$ for $t_{i-1}<t<t_{i}$. Then

$$
\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} G(t, f) d s(t)=\varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} f(t) d s(t)
$$

Since $\varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) \in L_{2}\left(F_{1}, w\right)$ and $\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} f(t) d s(t) \in L_{2}\left(F_{1}, w\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} G(t, f) d s(t) \in L_{1}\left(F_{1}, w\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $t_{i-1}<t<t_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{F_{1}} G(t, f) w(d f)=\int_{F_{1}} f_{t} \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)=(2 \pi)^{-n+1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\prod_{j \neq i}\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(t_{i}-t\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(t-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{t} \varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left(u_{j}-u_{j-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{j}-t_{j-1}}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{t}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{t}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t-t_{i-1}}\right) \\
\times(2 \pi)^{-n+1 / 2}\left(\prod_{j \neq i}\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right)\left(t_{i}-t\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(t-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
\times d u_{1} \cdots d u_{i-1} d u_{t} d u_{i} \cdots d u_{n} \\
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\left(u_{j}-u_{j-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{j}-t_{j-1}}\right) \\
\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{t} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{t}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{t}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t-t_{i-1}}\right) d u_{t}\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_{t} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{t}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{t}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t-t_{i-1}}\right) d u_{t} \\
& \begin{aligned}
&=(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}\left(\left(t_{i}-t_{i-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(t-t_{i-1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(t_{i}-t\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \frac{\left(t-t_{i-1}\right) u_{i}+\left(t_{i}-t\right) u_{i-1}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} \\
& \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u_{i}-u_{i-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\right), \\
& \int_{F_{1}} G(t, f) w(d f) \\
&=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)^{-1 / 2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\left(t-t_{i-1}\right) u_{i}+\left(t_{i}-t\right) u_{i-1}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} \\
& \times \varphi\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left(u_{j}-u_{j-1}\right)^{2}}{t_{j}-t_{j-1}}\right) d u_{1} \cdots d u_{n} \\
&=(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\frac{t-t_{i-1}}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} \int_{F_{1}} f_{i} \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{t_{i}-t}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}} \int_{F_{1}} f_{i-1} \varphi\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right) w(d f)\right) .
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

So $\int_{F_{1}} G(t, f) w(d f)$ is integrable with respect to $s(t)$, and (5.6) follows from this fact, (5.7) and Fubini's theorem.

From Theorem 5.1 and Proposition 2.1, we can easily derive
Theorem 5.2. The radius of information $N(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right), 0<$ $t_{1}<\ldots<t_{n} \leqq 1$, for the solution operator $S$ as in (5.1) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& r(N)=\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} u d s(u)\right) d s(t)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(t \int_{t}^{1} d s(u)\right) d s(t)\right. \\
+ & \left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}^{2} t_{i}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{i}} t d s(t)+t_{i} \int_{t_{i}}^{1} d s(t)\right)+2 \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \beta_{i} \beta_{j} t_{i}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta_{i}$ 's are given in (5.3).

For the more specific solution operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(f)=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) s(t) d t \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s(t)$ is continuous, we have
Theorem 5.3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i} f_{i} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an optimal linear algorithm among all algorithms from $\Phi(N)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{i}= & \frac{1}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}\left(t_{i+1} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} s(t) d t-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} t s(t) d t\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\left(t_{i-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} s(t) d t-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} t s(t) d t\right)  \tag{5.12}\\
& i=1,2, \ldots, n-1,
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\beta_{n}=\int_{t_{n}}^{1} s(t) d t+\frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{n-1}}\left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} t s(t) d t-t_{n-1} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} s(t) d t\right)
$$

The radius of information is

$$
\begin{gather*}
r(N)=\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(s(t) \int_{0}^{t} u s(u) d u\right) d t+\int_{0}^{1}\left(t s(t) \int_{t}^{1} s(u) d u\right) d t\right. \\
+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}^{2} t_{i}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{i}} t s(t) d t+t_{i} \int_{t_{i}}^{1} s(t) d t\right)  \tag{5.13}\\
\left.+2 \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n} \beta_{i} \beta_{j} t_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We finish this section by considering the integration problem i.e., we consider the solution operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(f)=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a specific case of (5.10) when $s(t) \equiv 1$. From Theorem 5.3, we easily get

Theorem 5.4. Given information $N(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right), 0<t_{1}<\ldots$ $<t_{n} \leqq 1$. For the integration problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{t_{i+1}-t_{i-1}}{2} f_{i}+\left(1-\frac{t_{n}+t_{n-1}}{2}\right) f_{n} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the optimal linear algorithm among all algorithms from $\Phi(N)$, and the radius of information is

$$
\begin{align*}
r(N)=\left(\frac{1}{3}\right. & +\frac{1}{2} t_{n+1} t_{n}^{2}-t_{n+1} t_{n}+\frac{1}{4} t_{n+1}^{2} t_{n} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(t_{i} t_{i-1}^{2}-t_{i}^{2} t_{i-1}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

We now find the $n$-th optimal information $N^{*}$ for the integration problem. From (5.16) we have

$$
\frac{\partial r^{2}\left(N^{*}\right)}{\partial t_{i}}=\frac{1}{4}\left(2 t_{i+1} t_{i}-t_{i+1}^{2}+t_{i-1}^{2}-2 t_{i} t_{i-1}\right)=0, i=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

so

$$
t_{i+1}^{*}-t_{i}^{*}=t_{i}^{*}-t_{i-1}^{*} .
$$

Let $t_{i+1}^{*}-t_{t}^{*}=t$. Then $t_{i}^{*}=i t, i=1, \ldots, n, t_{n+1}^{*}=2-n t$, and

$$
r\left(N^{*}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{12} n\left(4 n^{2}-1\right) t^{3}+n^{2} t^{2}-n t .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial r\left(N^{*}\right)^{2}}{\partial t} & =-\frac{1}{4} n\left(4 n^{2}-1\right) t^{2}+2 n^{2} t-n=0 \\
t & =\frac{2}{2 n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We summarize the above in
Theorem 5.5. For the integration problem, the n-th optimal information is $N^{*}(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}^{*}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}^{*}=\frac{2 i}{2 n+1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radius of information is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(N^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}(2 n+1)} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optimal linear algorithm using this optimal information is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{*}\left(f_{1}^{*}, \ldots, f_{n}^{*}\right)=\frac{2}{2 n+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\frac{2 i}{2 n+1}\right) \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. Approximation of bounded linear operators. In this section we study the approximation of bounded linear solution operators from a Wiener space $F_{1}$ to a separable Hilbert space $F_{2}$.

Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis in $F_{2}$. Then $S(f)=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(S(f), e_{j}\right) e_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S_{j}(f) e_{j}$, where $S_{j}(f)=\left(S(f), e_{j}\right), j=1,2, \ldots$,
is a continuous linear functional on $F_{1}$. We denote a continuous linear extension of $S_{j}$ to $C$ by the same $S_{j}$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j}(f)=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d s_{j}(t) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{j}$ is of bounded variation, continuous from the right, and $s_{j}(0)=$ $0, j=1,2, \ldots$ It is straightforward to verify

Theorem 6.1. Given information $N(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right), 0<t_{1}<$ $\cdots<t_{n} \leqq 1$, there exists a linear algorithm $\varphi^{*}$, optimal among all algorithms $\Phi(N)$, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{*}(N(f))=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{j}^{*}(N(f)) e_{j} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{j}^{*}$ are the optimal algorithms for the solution operator $S_{j}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{j}^{*}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i j} f_{i} \\
\beta_{i j}= & \frac{1}{t_{i+1}-t_{i}}\left(t_{i+1} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} d s_{j}(t)-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{i+1}} t d s_{j}(t)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{t_{i}-t_{i-1}}\left(t_{i-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} d s_{j}(t)-\int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} t d s_{j}(t)\right), i=1, \ldots, n-1, \\
\beta_{n j}= & \int_{t_{n}}^{1} d s_{j}(t)+\frac{1}{t_{n}-t_{n-1}}\left(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} t d s_{j}(t)-t_{n-1} \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_{n}} d s_{j}(t)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$s_{j}$ is given in (6.1), $j=1,2, \ldots$.
The radius of information is

$$
\begin{align*}
r(N) & =\left(\sum _ { j = 1 } ^ { \infty } \left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{t} u d s_{j}(u)\right) d s_{j}(t)+\int_{0}^{1}\left(t \int_{t}^{1} d s_{j}(t)\right) d s_{j}(t)\right.\right. \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i j}^{2} t_{i}-2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i j}\left(\int_{0}^{t_{i}} t d s_{j}(t)+t_{i} \int_{t_{i}}^{1} d s_{j}(t)\right)  \tag{6.3}\\
& \left.\left.+2 \sum_{1 \leq i<k \leq n} \beta_{i j} \beta_{k j} t_{i}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

We now consider approximation of $f$ in $L_{2}$-norm, that is, we have the solution operator $S: F_{1} \rightarrow F_{2}$, where $S(f)=f$, and $F_{2}=\left\{f:\|f\|_{2}=\right.$ $\left.\left\{\int_{0}^{1}(f(t))^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2}\right\}$. Applying Theorem 6.1 we conclude

Theorem 6.2. Given information $N(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right), 0<t_{1}<\ldots$ $<t_{n} \leqq 1$, for the problem of approximation, the optimal algorithm is

$$
\varphi^{*}(N(f))(u)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{t_{k+1}-u}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} f_{k}+\frac{u-t_{k}}{t_{k+1}-t_{k}} f_{k+1}, \text { if } t_{k} \leqq u \leqq t_{k+1},  \tag{6.4}\\
k=0, \ldots, n-1, \\
f_{n}, \\
\text { if } t_{n}<u \leqq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the radius of information is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(N)=\left(\frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(t_{k+1}-t_{k}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1-t_{n}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optimal information $N^{*}$ can be derived from $\partial r\left(N^{*}\right)^{2} / \partial t_{k}=0$, $k=1, \ldots, n$. This yields

Theorem 6.3. For the problem of approximation, the optimal information is $N^{*}(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}^{*}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}^{*}\right)\right)$, where

$$
t_{k}^{*}=\frac{3 k}{3 n+1}, k=1, \ldots, n
$$

The radius of information is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(N^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(3 n+1)}} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

7. Adaption does not help. In previous sections, we only studied nonadaptive information i.e., information which is in the following class.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi^{\mathrm{non}}=\left\{N^{\mathrm{non}}: N^{\mathrm{non}}(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(\hat{t}_{n}\right)\right),\right. \text { where the } \\
& \text { points } \left.0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n} \leqq 1 \text { are given simultaneously }\right\} \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

If the $i$-th point $t_{i}$ depends on the previously computed function values, then we have adaptive information, the class of which we denote by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi^{\mathrm{a}} & =\left\{N^{\mathrm{a}}: N^{\mathrm{a}}(f)=\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{n}\right)\right),\right. \text { where } \\
t_{i} & =t_{i}\left(f\left(t_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right) \text { is measurable in } R^{i-1},  \tag{7.2}\\
i & =1, \ldots, n .
\end{align*}
$$

The structure of adaptive information is much richer than that of nonadaptive information. Therefore one might hope that adaptive information can be much more powerful than nonadaptive information. As a matter of fact, since $\Psi^{\text {non }} \subset \Psi^{\text {a }}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{N \mathrm{a} \in \Psi^{\mathrm{a}}} r\left(N^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \leqq \inf _{N_{\mathrm{non} \in \Psi^{\mathrm{non}}}} r\left(N^{\mathrm{non}}\right) \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Is it true that the inequality in (7.3) is strict? It turns out that the answer is negative for many cases. For approximation of linear operators in a separable Hilbert space equipped with an orthogonally invariant measure,
it is proved in [8] and [14] that adaption does not help. A similar result holds for the worst case, see [9] and [10]. We have

Theorem 7.1. Let $S$ be a continuous linear solution operator from a Wiener space to a separable Hilbert space. Then adaption does not help, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{N^{a} \in \Psi^{a}} r\left(N^{\mathrm{a}}\right)=\inf _{N_{\text {non } \in \Psi^{\text {non }}}} r\left(N^{\mathrm{non}}\right) . \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We provide a sketch of the proof, and for a complete one, see [5].
We consider the following class of adaptive information

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{1}^{\mathrm{a}}=\left\{\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{a}}: \tilde{N}^{\mathrm{a}}(f)=\left[\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{n}\right],\right. \\
& \tilde{y}_{i}= \begin{cases}\frac{f\left(\tilde{t}_{i}\right)-f\left(\tilde{t}_{i-1}\right)}{\sqrt{\left|\tilde{t}_{i}-\tilde{t}_{i-1}\right|}}, & \tilde{t}_{i} \neq \tilde{t}_{i-1} \\
0, & \tilde{t}_{i}=\tilde{t}_{i-1} .\end{cases} \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{t}_{i}=\tilde{t}_{i}\left(\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots, \dot{\tilde{y}}_{i-1}\right)$ is measurable in $R^{i-1}, i=1, \ldots, n$, and the class of nonadaptive information

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1}^{\mathrm{non}} & =\left\{\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{non}}: \widetilde{N}^{\mathrm{non}}(f)=\left[\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{n}\right]\right. \\
\tilde{y}_{i} & =\frac{f\left(\tilde{t}_{i}\right)-f\left(\tilde{t}_{i-1}\right)}{\sqrt{\left|\tilde{t}_{i}-\tilde{t}_{i-1}\right|}}, i=1, \ldots, n,  \tag{7.6}\\
0 & \left.=\tilde{t}_{0}<\tilde{t}_{1}<\cdots<\tilde{t}_{n} \leqq 1\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

We prove the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \inf _{N^{\text {non }} \in \Psi^{\text {non }}} r\left(N^{\text {non }}\right) \leqq \inf _{\tilde{N}_{\text {non }} \in \Psi_{1}^{\mathrm{non}}} r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{non}}\right) \\
& \quad \leqq \inf _{\tilde{N \mathrm{~N}} \in \Psi_{1}^{\mathrm{a}}} r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \leqq \inf _{N_{\mathrm{a}} \in \Psi_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}} r\left(N^{\mathrm{a}}\right), \tag{7.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and (7.4) follows directly from (7.3) and (7.7).
We decompose the Wiener measure as follows. For each $\widetilde{N}^{\text {non }} \in \Psi_{1}^{\text {non }}$, let $w_{1}\left(A \mid \tilde{N}^{\text {non }}\right)=w\left(\left(\tilde{N}^{\text {non }}\right)^{-1}(A)\right)$ for all Borel sets $A$ in $R^{n}$. Then $w_{1}\left({ }^{\text {non }} \cdot \mid \tilde{N}\right)$ is a probability measure in $R^{n}$, and for almost all $\tilde{y}=\left(\tilde{y}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_{n}\right) \in R^{n}$, there exists a unique probability measure $w_{2}(\cdot \mid \tilde{y})$ concentrated on $V\left(\tilde{N}^{\text {non }}\right.$, $\tilde{y})=\left\{f: \widetilde{N}^{\text {non }}(f)=\tilde{y}\right\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(B)=\int_{R^{n}} w_{2}\left(B \cap V\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{non}}, \tilde{y}\right) \mid \tilde{y}\right) w_{1}(d \tilde{y}), \quad \text { for all } B \in B \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

See [6 Th. 8.1, and 11] for details.
For $\tilde{y} \in R^{n}$, we define the local radius of information $\tilde{N}^{\text {non }}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{non}}, \tilde{y}\right)=\left\{\inf _{g \in F_{2}} \int_{V(\tilde{\text { noon }}, \tilde{y})}\|S(f)-g\|^{2} w_{2}(d f \mid \tilde{y})\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is proved in [11] that $r\left(\tilde{N}^{\text {non }}, \tilde{y}\right)$ is $w_{1}$-integrable, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{non}}\right)^{2}=\int_{R^{n}} r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{non}}, \tilde{y}\right)^{2} w_{1}(d \tilde{y}) \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have
Lemma 7.1. Given information $\tilde{N}^{\text {non }} \in \Psi_{1}^{\text {non }}$, the local radius of information $r\left(\tilde{N}^{\text {non }}, y\right)$ equals the global radius of information $r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{non}}\right)$.

One can show
Lemma 7.2. For any $\tilde{N}_{1}^{\text {non }}, \tilde{N}_{2}^{\text {non }} \in \Psi_{1}^{\text {non }}$,

$$
w_{1}\left(\cdot \mid \widetilde{N}_{1}^{\text {non }}\right) \equiv w_{1}\left(\cdot \mid \widetilde{N}_{2}^{\text {non }}\right) .
$$

We need the following theorem, which is established in [12].
Theorem 7.2. For any $\tilde{N}_{1}^{\text {non }}, \tilde{N}_{2}^{\text {non }} \in \Psi_{1}^{\text {non }}$, if
(i) $w_{1}\left(\cdot \mid \tilde{N}_{1}^{\text {non }}\right) \equiv w_{1}\left(\cdot \mid \tilde{N}_{2}^{\text {non }}\right)$, and
(ii) $r\left(\tilde{N}_{1}^{\text {non }}\right) \leqq r\left(\tilde{N}_{2}^{\text {non }}\right)$ implies $r\left(\tilde{N}_{1}^{\text {non }}, \tilde{y}\right) \leqq r\left(\tilde{N}^{\text {non }}, \tilde{y}\right)$
for almost all $\tilde{y} \in R^{n}$, then, for every $\tilde{N}^{a} \in \Psi_{1}^{a}$, there exists $\tilde{N}^{\text {non }} \in \Psi_{1}^{\text {non }}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{non}}\right) \leqq r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 7.2, Lemma 7.1 and Theorem 7.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\tilde{N} \text { non } \in \Psi_{1}^{\mathrm{non}}} r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{non}}\right) \leqq \inf _{\tilde{N_{\mathrm{N}} \in \in \psi_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{a}}}} r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complete the proof of (7.7), we need
Lemma 7.3. For $N^{a} \in \Psi^{a}$, there exists $\tilde{N}^{a} \in \Psi_{1}^{a}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(\widetilde{N}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \leqq r\left(N^{\mathrm{a}}\right) . \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 7.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\tilde{N}^{a} \in \Psi_{1}^{\mathrm{a}}} r\left(\tilde{N}^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \leqq \inf _{N_{\mathrm{a}} \in \Psi_{\mathrm{a}}^{*}} r\left(N^{\mathrm{a}}\right) . \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{N_{\text {noo } \in \mathscr{Y} \text { non }}} r\left(N^{\text {non }}\right) \leqq \inf _{\tilde{N_{\text {non }} \in \Psi_{1}^{\text {non }}}} r\left(\tilde{N}^{\text {non }}\right) . \tag{7.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (7.7) follows from (7.15), (7.12) and (7.14).
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