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#### Abstract

Let $C(b, p)(b \neq 0$ complex, $p \geqq 1)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ analytic in $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$ which satisfy, for $z=r e^{i \theta} \in U$, $$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{p+\frac{1}{b}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-p\right)\right\}>0
$$

From $C(b, p)$, we can obtain many interesting known subclasses including the class of convex functions of complex order, the class of $p$-valent convex functions and the class of $p$-valent functions $f$ for which $z f^{\prime}$ is $\lambda$-spirallike in $U$. In this paper we investigate certain properties of the above mentioned class.


1. Introduction. Let $A_{p}(p \geqq 1)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)=$ $z^{p}+\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ which are analytic in $U=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Let $\Omega$ denote the class of bounded analytic functions $\omega(z)$ in $U$, satisfying the conditions $\omega(o)=o$ and $|\omega(z)| \leqq|z|$, for $z \in U$. Also, let $P(p)$ (with $p$ a positive integer) denote the class of functions with positive real parts that have the form $P(z)=p+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}$, which are analytic in $U$ and satisfy the conditions $P(o)=p$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{P(z)\}>o$ in $U$.

For $f \in A_{p}$, we say that $f$ belongs to the class $C(b, p)(b \neq 0$ complex, $p \geqq 1$ ) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{p+\frac{1}{b}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-p\right)\right\}>0, \quad z \in U \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noticed that, by giving specific values to $b$ and $p$, we obtain the following important subclasses studied by various authors in earlier works:
(i) $C(1,1)=C$ is the well known class of convex functions;
(ii) $C(b, 1)=C(b)$, is the class of univalent convex functions introduced by Wiatrowski [11] and investigated in [8] and [9];
(iii) $C(1, p)=C(p)$, is the class of $p$-valent convex functions considered by Goodman [3];
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(iv) $C\left(\cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}, p\right),|\lambda|<\pi / 2$, is the class of $p$-valent functions satisfying

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{e^{i \lambda}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>0, \quad z \in U
$$

i.e., the class of functions $f(z)$ for which $z f^{\prime}(z)$ is $\lambda$-spiral-like in $U$; and
(v) $C\left(\cos \lambda e^{-i \lambda}, 1\right)=C^{\lambda},|\lambda|<\pi / 2$, is the class of functions for which $z f^{\prime}(z)$ is $\lambda$-spirall-like introduced by Robertson[10] and studied by Libera and Ziegler [6], Bajpai and Mehrok [1] and Kulshrestha [5].

In [7] Nasr and Aouf introduced the class of starlike functions of order $b(b \neq 0$ complex $)$ defined as follows.

Definition. A function $f \in A_{1}$ is said to be starlike function of order $b(b \neq 0$ complex $)$, that is $f \in S(b)$ if and only if $f(z) / z \neq 0$ in $U$ and

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right.}{f(z)}-1\right)\right\}>0, \quad z \in U
$$

We state below some lemmas that are needed in our investigation.
Lemma $1[8] . h(z) \in C(b)$ if and only if
(i) there exists $q \in C$ such that $h^{\prime}(z)=\left(q^{\prime}(z)\right)^{b}$; and
(ii) $h^{\prime}(z)=\exp \left\{\int_{0}^{2 \pi}-2 b \log \left(1-z e^{-i t}\right) d \mu(t)\right\}$, where $\mu(t)$ is a realvalued non-negative non-decreasing function defined for $t \in[0,2 \pi]$ with total variation $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \mu(t)=1$.

Lemma 2 [8]. Suppose $h(z) \in C(b)$. Then $H(z)$, defined by $H(0)=0$ and

$$
H^{\prime}(z)=\frac{h^{\prime}\left(\frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a} z}\right)}{h^{\prime}(a)(1+\bar{a} z)^{2 b}}
$$

for $|a|<1$ and $z \in U$, also belongs to $C(b)$.
Lemma 3 [9]. If $h(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in C(b)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|b_{2}\right| \leqq|b| \\
& \left|b_{3}\right| \leqq \frac{|b|}{3} \max \{1,|2 b+1|\}
\end{aligned}
$$

These bounds are attained by the function $h^{*}(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime *}(z)=(1-z)^{-2 b}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{2 b+m}{m+1} z^{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4 [7]. $G(z) \in S(b)$ if and only if there is a function $g(z) \in S^{*}($ the well known class of starlike functions) such that

$$
G(z)=z\left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right)^{b}
$$

Lemma 5 [4]. Let $\omega(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} z^{k}$ be analytic with $|\omega(z)|<1$ in $U$. If $\nu$ is any complex number, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|d_{2}-\nu d_{1}^{2}\right| \leqq \max \{1,|\nu|\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality may be attained with functions $\omega(z)=z^{2}$ and $\omega(z)=z$. We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 6. The function $P \in P(p)$ if and only if

$$
P(z)=p\left(\frac{1-\omega(z)}{1+\omega(z)}\right)
$$

where $\omega \in \Omega$.
It follows from the definition of $C(b, p)$ and Lemma 6 that $f \in C(b, p)$ if and only if, for $z \in U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{\omega(z)(p-2 p b-1)+(p-1)}{1+\omega(z)}, \quad \omega \in \Omega \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Representation formulas for the class $C(b, p)$.

Lemma 7. The function $f \in C(b, p)$, where $p \geqq 1$, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=p z^{p-1}\left(h^{\prime}(z)\right)^{p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $h \in C(b)$.
Proof. Let $f^{\prime}(z)=p z^{p-1}\left(h^{\prime}(z)\right)^{p}$ for $h(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in C(b), z \in U$. By direct computation, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{p+\frac{1}{b}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-p\right)\right\}=p \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z h^{\prime \prime}(z)}{h^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0
$$

and the result follows from (1.1).
An immediate consequence of Lemmas 7, 1 and 4 is
Theorem 1. $f(z) \in C(b, p)$, where $p \geqq 1$, if and only if
(i) $f^{\prime}(z)=p z^{p-1} \exp \left\{-2 p b \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left(1-z e^{-i t}\right) d \mu(t)\right\}$;
(ii) there exists a starlike function $g \in S^{*}$ such that
and

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=p z^{p-1}\left(\frac{(g(z)}{z}\right)^{p b}
$$

(iii) there exists a starlike function of order $b(b \neq 0$, complex $), G \in$ $S(b)$, such that

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=p z^{p-1}\left(\frac{(G(z)}{z}\right)^{p}
$$

3. Coefficient estimates for the class $C(b, p)$.

ThEOREM 2. If $f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in C(b, p)$ and $\mu$ is any complex number, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{p+2}-\mu a_{p+1}^{2}\right| \leqq\left(\frac{p^{2}}{p+2}\right)|b| \max \left\{1,\left|(2 p b+1)-\frac{4 p^{2} b(p+2)}{(p+1)^{2}} \mu\right|\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality is sharp for each $\mu$.
Proof. Since $f \in C(b, p)$, we get from (1.4), after expanding and equating coefficients, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{p+1}=-\left(\frac{2 p^{2} b}{p+1}\right) d_{1}  \tag{3.2}\\
& a_{p+2}=-\left(\frac{p^{2} b}{p+2}\right) d_{2}+\frac{(p+1)^{2}(2 p b+1)}{4 p^{2} b(p+2)} a_{p+1}^{2} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.2), (3.3) and (1.3), we get

$$
\left|a_{p+2}-\mu a_{p+1}^{2}\right| \leqq\left(\frac{p^{2}}{p+2}\right)|b| \max \left\{1,\left|(2 p b+1)-\frac{4 p^{2} b(p+2)}{(p+1)^{2}} \mu\right|\right\}
$$

and since (1.3) is sharp, (3.1) is also sharp.
Corollary 1. If $f \in C(b, p)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{p+1}\right| \leqq\left(\frac{2 p^{2}}{p+1}\right)|b| \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{p+2}\right| \leqq\left(\frac{p^{2}}{p+2}\right)|b| \max \{1,|2 p b+1|\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bounds in (3.4) and (3.5) are attained by the function $f^{*}(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime *}(z)=p z^{p-1}\left(h^{\prime *}(z)\right)^{p} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h^{*}(z)$ is defined by (1.2).
Proof. The inequalities (3.4) and (3.5) follow directly from (3.2) and (3.1), respectively.

The bounds on the modulus of the second and third coefficients for functions in $C(b, p)$ are obtained by another method as follows.

Theorem 3. If $f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in C(b, p), p \geqq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{p+1}\right| \leqq\left(\frac{2 p^{2}}{p+1}\right)|b| \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{p+2}\right| \leqq\left(\frac{p^{2}}{p+2}\right)|b|(\max \{1,|2 b+1|\}+2(p-1)|b|) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results are sharp with equality for $f^{*}(z)$ defined by (3.6).

Proof. By Lemma 7, there exists an $h(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in C(b)$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(z) & =p z^{p-1}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1} \\
& =p z^{p-1}\left(1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n b_{n} z^{n-1}\right)^{p} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding the right hand side of (3.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=p z^{p-1}+2 p^{2} b_{2} z^{p}+p\left(3 p b_{3}+2 p(p-1) b_{2}^{2}\right) z^{p-1}+\ldots \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equating coefficients from (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (p+1) a_{p+1}=2 p^{2} b_{2} \\
& (p+2) a_{p+2}=p\left(3 p b_{3}+2 p(p-1) b_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the result follows from Lemma 3.
Remark. Comparing the results in Corollary 1 and Theorem 3 we see that:
(1) when $\max \{1,|2 b+1|\}$ in Theorem 3 is $|2 b+1|$, Corollary 1 is a better result; and
(2) when $\max \{1,|2 b+1|\}$ in Theorem 3 is 1 , Theorem 3 is a better result.

We now prove the following
Lemma 8. If integers $p$ and $m$ and greater than zero and $b \neq 0$ is complex, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}} \\
& \quad=\frac{4 p}{m^{2}}\left\{p|b|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left(p|b|^{2}+k \operatorname{Re}\{b\}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}\right\} . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $m$. For $m=1$, the lemma is obvious.

Next suppose that the result is true for $m=q-1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4 p}{q^{2}}\left\{p|b|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{q-1}\left(p|b|^{2}+k \operatorname{Re}\{b\}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}\right\} \\
& =\frac{4 p}{q^{2}}\left\{p|b|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{q-2}\left(p|b|^{2}+k \operatorname{Re}\{b\}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(p|b|^{2}+(q-1) \operatorname{Re}\{b\}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{a-2} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{(q-1)^{2}}{q^{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}+\frac{\left(4 p^{2}|b|^{2}+4 p(q-1) \operatorname{Re}\{b\}\right)}{q^{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{q-2} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}} \\
& =\prod_{j=0}^{q-2} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}\left\{\frac{(q-1)^{2}+4 p(q-1) \operatorname{Re}\{b\}+4 p^{2}|b|^{2}}{q^{2}}\right\} \\
& =\prod_{j=0}^{q-1} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

showing that the result is valid for $m=q$. This proves the lemma.
Theorem 4. If $f \in C(b, p)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right| \leqq \frac{p}{n} \prod_{k=0}^{n-(p+1)} \frac{|2 p b+k|}{(k+1)} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \geqq p+1$, and these bounds are sharp for each $n$.
Proof. Since $f \in C(b, p)$, from (1.4) we have

$$
\left(z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+(2 p b-p+1) f^{\prime}(z)\right) \omega(z)=\left((p-1) f^{\prime}(z)-z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{ & p(p-1) z^{p-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(p+k)(p+k-1) a_{p+k} z^{p+k-1} \\
& \left.+(2 p b-p+1)\left(p z^{p-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(p+k) a_{p+k} z^{p+k-1}\right)\right\} \omega(z) \\
= & \left\{(p-1)\left(p z^{p-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(p+k) a_{p+k} z^{p+k-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-p(p-1) z^{p-1}-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(p+k)(p+k-1) a_{p+k^{z}} z^{p+k-1}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(p(p-1)+\left(2 p^{2} b-p^{2}+p\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\{(p+k)(p+k-1)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(2 p b-p+1)(p+k)\} a_{p+k} z^{k}\right) \omega(z) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}((p-1)(p+k)-(p+k)(p+k-1)) a_{p+z^{2}} z^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

which may be written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(((p+k)(p+k-1)+(2 p b-p+1)(p+k)) a_{p+k^{2}} z^{k}\right) \omega(z)  \tag{3.14}\\
\quad=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}((p-1)(p+k)-(p+k)(p+k-1)) a_{p+k^{2}} z^{k}
\end{array}
$$

where $a_{p}=1$ and $\omega(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_{k+1} z^{k+1}$.

Equating coefficients of $z^{m}$ on both sides of (3.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left((p+k)(p+k-1)+(2 p b-p+1)(p+k) a_{p+k} d_{m-k}\right) \\
=((p-1)(p+m)-(p+m)(p+m-1)) a_{p+m},
\end{gathered}
$$

which shows that $a_{p+m}$ on the right-hand side depends only on $a_{p}, a_{p+1}$, $\ldots, a_{p+(m-1)}$ of the left-hand side. Hence, for $k \geqq 0$, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left((k(p+k)+2 p b(p+k)) a_{p+k} z^{k}\right) \omega(z) \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-k(p+k)) a_{p+k} z^{k}+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} A_{k} z^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $m=1,2,3, \ldots$ and a proper choice of $A_{k}$.
Let $z=\mathrm{re}^{i \theta}, o<r<1, o \leqq \theta \leqq 2 \pi$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}|k(p+k)+2 p b(p+k)|^{2}\left|a_{p+k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(k(p+k)+2 p b(p+k)) a_{p+k^{2}} r^{k} e^{i \theta k}\right|^{2} d \theta \\
& \quad \geqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(k(p+k)+2 p b(p+k)) a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{i \theta k}\right|^{2}\left|\omega\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta \\
& \quad \geqq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{m}(-k(p+k)) a_{p+k} r^{k} e^{i \theta k}+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} A_{k} r^{k} e^{i \theta k}\right|^{2} d \theta \\
& \quad \geqq \sum_{k=0}^{m} k^{2}(p+k)^{2}\left|a_{p+k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty}\left|A_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} \\
& \quad \geqq \sum_{k=0}^{m} k^{2}(p+k)^{2}\left|a_{p+k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $r \rightarrow 1$ in (3.15), the inequality (3.15) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(|k(p+k)+2 p b(p+k)|^{2}-k^{2}(p+k)^{2}\right)\left|a_{p+k}\right|^{2} \geqq m^{2}(p+m)^{2}\left|a_{p+m}\right|^{2} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplification of (3.16) leads to

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} 4 p(p+k)^{2}\left(p|b|^{2}+k \operatorname{Re}\{b\}\right)\left|a_{p+k}\right|^{2} \geqq m^{2}(p+m)^{2}\left|a_{p+m}\right|^{2}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{p+m}\right|^{2} \leqq \frac{4 p}{m^{2}(p+m)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(p+k)^{2}\left(p|b|^{2}+k \operatorname{Re}\{b\}\right)\left|a_{p+k}\right|^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $p+m$ by $n$ in (3.17), we are led to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq \frac{4 p}{n^{2}(n-p)^{2}} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-(p+1)}(p+k)^{2}\left(p|b|^{2}+k \operatorname{Re}\{b\}\right)\left|a_{p+k}\right|^{2} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \geqq p+1$.
For $n=p+1,(3.18)$ reduces to

$$
\left|a_{p+1}\right|^{2} \leqq\left(\left(\frac{2 p^{2}}{p+1}\right)|b|\right)^{2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{p+1}\right| \leqq\left(\frac{2 p^{2}}{p+1}\right)|b| \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to (3.13).
To establish (3.13) for $n>p+1$, we will apply an induction argument.
Fix $n, n \geqq p+2$, and suppose (3.13) holds for $k=1,2,3, \ldots, n-$ ( $p+1$ ). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq \frac{p^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(\frac{4 p}{(n-p)^{2}}\left(p|b|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-(p+1)}\left(p|b|^{2}+k \operatorname{Re}\{b\}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}\right)\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (3.18), (3.20) and Lemma 8 with $m=n-p$, we obtain

$$
\left|a_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq \frac{p^{2}}{n^{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-(p+1)} \frac{|2 p b+j|^{2}}{(j+1)^{2}}
$$

This completes the proof of (3.13). This proof is based on a technique found in Clunie [2].

For sharpness of (3.13) consider the function $f^{*}(z)$ defined by (3.6).

## 4. Properties of the class $C(b, p)$.

Lemma 9. If $f \in C(b, p)$, then the transformation $F_{a}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{a}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{p a^{p-1} z^{p-1} f^{\prime}\left(\frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a} z}\right)}{f^{\prime}(a)(z+a)^{p-1}(1+\bar{a} z)^{p(2 b-1)+1}}, \quad z \in U \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $F_{a}(o)=o$, is in $C(b, p)$, for all $|a|<1$.
The proof of this lemma follows by using Lemmas 7 and 2.
Lemma 10. For $|z| \leqq r$ and $f$ ranging over $C(b, p)$, the domain of values of $\left.\left(z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right) / f^{\prime}(z)\right)$ is the disc with center $\left((p(2 \operatorname{Re}\{b\}-1)+1) r^{2}+(p-1)\right) /$ $\left(\left(1-r^{2}\right),\left(2 p \operatorname{Im}\{b\} r^{2}\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right)$ and radius $\left(2 p^{2}|b| r\right) /\left(1-r^{2}\right)$.

Proof. Whenever $f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in C(p, b)$, then $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0}\left(\left(f^{\prime \prime}(z)-\right.\right.$ $\left.p(p-1) z^{p-2} / z^{p-1}\right)=p(p+1) a_{p+1}$. For $f \in C(b, p)$, let $F_{a}(z)=z^{p}+$ $\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} A_{n} z^{n} \in C(b, p)$ be given by Lemma 9 for $|a|<1$. By direct calculation we have
(4.2) $\quad p(p+1) A_{p+1}=p\left(1-|a|^{2}\right) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(a)}{f^{\prime}(a)}-p(p(2 b-1)+1)|a|^{2}+p(p-1) / a$.

Combining (3.4) and (4.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(a)}{f^{\prime}(a)}-\frac{(p(2 b-1)+1)|a|^{2}+(p-1)}{a\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)}\right| \leqq \frac{2 p^{2}|b|}{\left(1-|a|^{2}\right)} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.3), it follws that, for $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{(p(2 b-1)+1) r^{2}+(p-1)}{1-r^{2}}\right| \leqq \frac{2 p^{2}|b| r}{1-r^{2}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the proof is completed.
Theorem 5. The sharp radius of convexity of the class $C(b, p)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{p|b|+\left(p^{2}|b|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}\{b\}+1\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}^{-1} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (4.4), we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right) \geqq p\left(\frac{1-2 p|b| r+(2 \operatorname{Re}\{b\}-1) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right),
$$

where $|z|=r$. Thus $\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\left(z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \mid f^{\prime}(z)\right)\right)>0$, for

$$
|z|=r<r_{c}=\left(p|b|+{\sqrt{p^{2}|b|^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}\{b\}+1}}^{-1} .\right.
$$

To show that (4.5) is sharp, we let $f_{*}^{\prime}(z)=p z^{p-1}\left[h_{*}^{\prime}(z)\right]^{p}, h_{*}^{\prime}(z)=(1-z)^{-2 b}$ and $w=(r(r-p \sqrt{\bar{b}} / b)) /(1-r p \sqrt{\bar{b}} / b)$ and obtain

$$
1+\frac{w f_{*}^{\prime \prime}(w)}{f_{*}^{\prime}(w)}=p\left(\frac{1-2 p|b| r+(2 b-1) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right)
$$

which has a zero real part at $r$ given by (4.5). This completes the proof of the theorem.
5. Distortion and rotation theorems for the class $\mathbf{C}(\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{p})$. It was shown [8] that, for $h(z) \in C(b)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{2}(r) \leqq \log \left|h^{\prime}(z)\right| \leqq \Phi_{1}(r) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{2}(r) \leqq \arg \left(h^{\prime}(z)\right) \leqq \Psi_{1}(r) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1,2}(r)= & -2 \operatorname{Re}\{b\} \log \left(\left(1-\left(\frac{(r \operatorname{Im}\{b\}}{|b|}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \mp \frac{r \operatorname{Re}\{b\}}{|b|}\right)  \tag{5.3}\\
& \pm 2 \operatorname{Im}\{b\} \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{r \operatorname{Im}\{b\}}{|b|}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi_{1,2}(r)= & -2 \operatorname{Im}\{b\} \log \left(\left(1-\left(\frac{r \operatorname{Re}\{b\}}{|b|}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \mp \frac{r \operatorname{Im}\{b\}}{|b|}\right)  \tag{5.4}\\
& \pm 2 \operatorname{Re}\{b\} \sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{r \operatorname{Re}\{b\}}{|b|}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The proof of the following two theorems follows from lemma 7 and the above bounds.

Theorem 6. If $f \in C(b, p), p \geqq 1$, then for $|z|=r<1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \Phi_{2}(r) \leqq \log \left|\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p z^{p-1}}\right| \leqq p \Phi_{1}(r) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality is attained in the left and right of (5.5) for the function $f^{*}(z)$ defined by (3.6), for $z=r e^{i \theta} ;, j=1,2$, where

$$
\theta_{1,2}=\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{(r \operatorname{Im}\{b\} \operatorname{Re}\{b\}}{|b|^{2}} \pm \frac{\operatorname{Im}\{b\}}{|b|}\left(1-\left(\frac{r \operatorname{Im}\{b\}}{|b|}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) .
$$

Theorem 7. If $f \in C(b, p), p \geqq 1$, then, for $|z|=r<1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1) \theta+p \Psi_{2}(r) \leqq \arg \left(f^{\prime}(z)\right) \leqq(p-1) \theta+\mathrm{p} \Psi_{1}(r) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equality is attained in the left and right of (5.6) for the function $f^{*}(z)$ defined by (3.6) for $z=r e^{i \theta_{j}}, j=3,4$, where

$$
\theta_{3,4}=-\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{r \operatorname{Im}\{b\} \operatorname{Re}\{b\}}{|b|^{2}} \pm \frac{\operatorname{Re}\{b\}}{|b|}\left(1-\left(\frac{r \operatorname{Re}\{b\}}{|b|}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$
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