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#### Abstract

The constants bounding the solution of Poisson's equation in terms of the given boundary data are derived. Knowledge of these constants then permits the interpolation remainder theory of Barnhill and Gregory to be used to find computable finite element error bounds.


1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to extend the finite element error bounds of Barnhill and Gregory [2, 3] so that they become numerically computable from the data. This section is a very brief review of the necessary facts.

Dirichlet problem. If $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ is a bounded domain, find $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta u & =f \text { in } \Omega(f \text { given }) \\
u & =0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Weak formulation. Find $u \in \overleftarrow{W}_{2}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x=-\int_{\Omega} f v d x \quad \forall v \in \dot{W}_{2}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Operator-theoretic formulation. Define an unbounded linear operator

$$
\Delta_{D}: L_{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{2}(\Omega)
$$

by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\Delta_{D}\right) & =\stackrel{\circ}{W} \frac{1}{2}(\Omega) \cap\left\{u: \Delta u \in L_{2}(\Omega)\right\} \\
\Delta_{D} u & =\Delta u \forall u \in D\left(\Delta_{D}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1. $u$ is a weak solution of the Dirichlet problem with $f \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ if and only if $u \in D\left(\Delta_{D}\right)$ and $\Delta_{D} u=f$.

Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Take $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega) .(\Leftarrow) C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is dense in $\dot{W}_{2}^{1}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2. $\Delta_{D}$ is selfadjoint on the Hilbert space $L_{2}(\Omega)$.
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Lemma 3. Let $\Omega$ have the segment property [1], or the "finite tiling property" [16]. Then $\sigma\left(\Delta_{D}\right)$, the spectrum of $\Delta_{D}$, is discrete and lies on the negative real axis.

Proof. The finite tiling property and boundedness of $\Omega$ imply that the Rellich selection theorem is valid for $\Omega$ [16]. The latter implies that $\sigma\left(\Delta_{D}\right)$ is discrete. If $\lambda \in \sigma\left(\Delta_{D}\right)$ and $u \neq 0$ is a corresponding eigenfunction, then the weak formulation with $f=\lambda u, v=u$ implies $\lambda \leqq 0$. Moreover, if $\lambda=0$, then $\nabla u=0$ in $\Omega$ and hence $u=$ const. But then the boundary condition implies $u=0$ contrary to hypothesis.

Existence and uniqueness of a solution. Lemmas 1, 2, and 3 imply the following theorem.

Theorem 4. If $\Omega$ has the finite tiling property, then there exists a unique solution (weak formulation) for each $f \in L_{2}(\Omega)$.

Regularity of the solution. The most precise results are the Schauder estimates [9, pp. 331-350, or 11, pp. 164-9]. Let $C \subset \bar{\Omega}$ be compact, $\partial \Omega \cap C \in C^{n+2+\delta}$ and $f \in C^{n+\delta}(\bar{\Omega})$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ and $0<\delta<1$. Then $u \in C^{n+2+\delta}(C)$.

Finite element (Galerkin) approximations. Let $S_{h}$ be a finite dimensional subspace of $\dot{W}_{2}^{1}(\Omega)$ which contains the interpolants of interest. The finite element approximation $U$ to $u$ from $S_{h}$ is determined by $U=\sum a_{j} U_{j},\left\{U_{j}\right\}$ a basis for $S_{h}$ and $\int_{\Omega} \nabla U \cdot \nabla V d x=-\int_{\Omega} f V d x$ $\forall V \in S_{h}$.

The error bounds of Barnhill and Gregory [2, 3, 4, 5].

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u-U|_{1} \leqq|u-\tilde{u}|_{1} \leqq K h|u|_{2} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{u}$ is an interpolant in $S_{h}$. Barnhill, Gregory, and Whiteman have given computable expressions for $K$ for various families $S_{h}$.

The coerciveness theorem. If $\partial \Omega$ is smooth, then $\exists M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{2} \leqq M|\Delta u|_{0}=M|f|_{0} . \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, if $\partial \Omega$ is piecewise smooth with a finite number of corners $x^{(j)}$ having interior angles $\alpha_{j}, 0<\alpha_{j}<\pi$, then the same estimate holds [8].

Error bounds. Combining (*), (**) gives $|u-U|_{1} \leqq K M h|f|_{0}$.
Problem. Determine computable estimates for $M$. Combining this with the Barnhill-Gregory results will give computable error bounds for the approximate solution $U$ in terms of the prescribed data $f$. Convex polygons
are the domain of definition $\Omega$ for most practical problems. The boundary of a polygon is not smooth, because of the corners, and so the MirandaTalenti Theorem [11, 12, 14] does not apply directly. It is the purpose of this paper to extend the Miranda-Talenti Theorem to the case of convex polygons.

Natterer [13] has recently developed computable error bounds for piecewise linear finite elements over triangles. He assumed that the MirandaTalenti Theorem is true for convex polygons. However, as noted above, this proof is the objective of our paper. Natterer's analysis applies only to piecewise linear finite elements. (Hence his analysis cannot be applied to the bilinear example in §5.) For the linear case, Natterer obtains the constant 0.81 , whereas Barnhill and Gregory obtain 1.207 for this case. Hence Natterer's error bounds are about $2 / 3$ of Barnhill and Gregory's, for the one case to which Natterer's apply.

## OUtLINe of the remainder of the paper.

2. The Miranda-Talenti Theorem and its applications.
3. Extension of the Miranda-Talenti Theorem to domains with corners.
4. Computable error bounds for domains with corners.
5. Numerical results.
6. The Miranda-Talenti Theorem and its applications. The following result is due to C. Miranda and G. Talenti [11, 12, 14].

Theorem 5. If $\partial \Omega$ is smooth, then $\forall f \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ the weak solution of the Dirichlet problem satisfies $|u|_{2}^{2}=|f|_{0}^{2}-\int_{\partial \Omega} k(\partial u / \partial n)^{2} d s$ where $k$ is the curvature of $\partial \Omega$.

Remark. $k$, the "signed curvature" of $\partial \Omega$, is positive for convex domains $\Omega$. It may be defined as follows. Let $x=x(s)=\left(x_{1}(s), x_{2}(s)\right), 0 \leqq s \leqq 1$, be a parametric representation of $\partial \Omega$ in terms of arc-length $s$. Then $t(s)=$ $d x(s) / d s=\left(d x_{1}(s) / d s, d x_{2}(s) / d s\right)$ is the unit tangent vector to $\partial \Omega$. Choose the positive sense of $s$ so that $\Omega$ always "lies to the left of $t(s)$ ".


Then $n(s)=\left(d x_{2}(s) / d s,-d x_{1}(s) / d s\right)$ is the exterior unit normal vector to $\partial \Omega$. Moreover, $\exists$ a unique scalar function $k(s)$ such that $d t(s) / d s=$ $-k(s) n(s)$. It is this $k(s)$ that appears in the Miranda-Talenti Theorem.

Application to convex domains. It is known that a domain $\Omega$ with smooth $\partial \Omega$ is convex if and oniy if $k(s) \geqq 0$. Thus $\Omega$ convex implies $|u|_{2} \leqq|f|_{0} ;$ that is, $M \leqq 1$.

Remark. Actually, $M=1$ in this case since Theorem 5 holds for every $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and these functions satisfy $\partial u / \partial n=0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

APPLICATION TO NON-CONVEX DOMAINS. In this case $k(s)$ can change sign and the estimates are more complicated. Suppose that $k(s) \leqq 0$ on $\Gamma \subset \partial \Omega$ and $k(s) \geqq-\mu$ on $\Gamma$ where $\mu>0$. The Miranda Talenti Theorem implies that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{|u|_{2}^{2}}{|\Delta u|_{0}^{2}}=1-\frac{\int_{\partial \Omega} k\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2} d s}{|\Delta u|_{0}^{2}} \leqq 1-\frac{\int_{\Gamma} k\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2} d s}{|\Delta u|_{0}^{2}} \\
\leqq 1+\mu \frac{\int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2} d s}{|\Delta u|_{0}^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider the functional

$$
J(u)=\frac{\int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2} d s}{|\Delta u|_{0}^{2}}, u \in \stackrel{\circ}{W}_{2}^{1}(\Omega) \cap W_{2}^{2}(\Omega) .
$$

Standard techniques of the calculus of variations show that $J$ is stationary at the solutions of the following eigenvalue problem (eigenvalue $\lambda$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2} u=0 \text { in } \Omega, \chi_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\lambda \Delta u \text { and } u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{\Gamma}$ is the characteristic function of $\Gamma$. Moreover if $u_{j}$ is a solution of this problem with eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}$, then $J\left(u_{j}\right)=\lambda_{j}$. Thus if $\lambda_{\max }$ is the largest eigenvalue, then $J(u) \leqq \lambda_{\max }, \forall u \in W_{2}^{1}(\Omega) \cap W_{2}^{2}(\Omega)$, and hence $|u|_{2}^{2}|/ \Delta u|_{0}^{2}$ $\leqq 1+\mu \lambda_{\max }$, i.e., $M^{2} \leqq 1+\mu \lambda_{\max }$.

## 3. Extension of the Miranda-Talenti Theorem to domains with corners.

 For many problems of practical interest $\partial \Omega$ is not smooth but is piecewise smooth with a finite number of corners. If the interior angles at the corners are all less that $\pi$, then the Sobolev 2-norm of solutions of the Dirichlet problem is still finite and the coerciveness Theorem holds [8]. However, the Miranda-Talenti Theorem is known only for domains with smooth boundaries. The purpose of this section is to extend the Miranda-Talenti Theorem to a class of domains with corners.Domains with corners. A bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{2}$ will be said to be a $C^{n+\delta}$-domain with corners if and only if

1) $\partial \Omega=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma_{j}, m$ a positive integer;
2) $\Gamma_{j}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(\phi_{1}^{(j)}(\tau), \phi_{2}^{(j)}(\tau)\right): 0 \leqq \tau \leqq 1\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$;
3) $\phi_{k}^{(j)} \in C^{n+\delta}([0,1]), k=1,2, j=1,2, \ldots, m, \delta>0(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$;
4) $\phi_{1}^{()^{\prime}}(\tau)^{2}+\phi_{2}^{(j)^{\prime}}(\tau)^{2}>0$ for $0 \leqq \tau \leqq 1$ and $j=1,2, \ldots, m$;
5) the arcs $\Gamma_{j}$ intersect only at their end-points and the set of boundary points so determined forms a set ( $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}$ ) where $x^{(j)}$ $=\left(x_{1}^{(j)}, x_{2}^{(j)}\right)$; and
6) The internal angles $\alpha_{j}$ at the points $x^{(j)}$ satisfy $0<\alpha_{j}<\pi, j=1$, $2, \ldots, m$.

Remark. If $\alpha_{j} \geqq \pi$, then $u \notin W_{2}^{2}(\Omega)$, in general, and the $M$ - $\boldsymbol{T}$ Theorem does not hold. The points $x^{(j)}$ will be called the corners of $\Omega$. The notation $\stackrel{\circ}{\Gamma}_{j}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left(\phi_{1}^{(j)}(\tau), \phi_{2}^{(j)}(\tau)\right): 0<\tau<1\right\}$ will be used to denote the open boundary arcs. The closure of $\Omega$, denoted by $\bar{\Omega}$, is the disjoint union of the sets $\Omega, \dot{\Gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \dot{\Gamma}_{m}$ and $\left\{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right\}$.


Proof of the Miranda-Talenti Theorem for $C^{n+\delta}$-domains with CORNERS, $n \geqq 4$. The Miranda-Talenti Theorem relates the quantities

$$
|u|_{2}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2}+2\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right\} d x_{1} d x_{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f|_{0}^{2} & =|\Delta u|_{0}^{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left\{\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)^{2}+2 \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right)^{2}\right\} d x_{1} d x_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the theorem is equivalent to the equation
(*) $\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right)^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}=\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} k\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2} d s$.
Moreover, the two integrals in (*) can be transformed into one another by two integrations by parts, using Gauss's Theorem

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{j}} d x_{1} d x_{2}=\int_{\partial \Omega} n_{j} v d s \quad(j=1,2)
$$

This will be carried out and the boundary terms will be shown to yield precisely the last term in (*). Gauss's Theorem cannot be applied directly to derivatives of $u$ in $\Omega$ because of possible singularities at the corners. To avoid these the integration will be carried out in the subdomain $\Omega_{\varepsilon}=$ $\Omega-\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} N_{j}(\varepsilon), N_{j}(\varepsilon)=\left\{x:\left|x-x^{(j)}\right| \leqq \varepsilon\right\}$.

If $\Omega$ is a $C^{3+\delta}$-domain with corners and $f \in C^{1+\delta}(\bar{\Omega})$, then the Schauder estimates imply that $u \in C^{3+\delta}\left(\bar{\Omega}-\left\{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right\}\right)$. Hence Green's formula can be applied in $\Omega_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon>0)$ with $v$ replaced by any derivative of $u$ of order $\leqq 3$. Thus the following relations are valid:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right)^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}= & \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right\}-\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right)\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
= & -\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} d x_{1} d x_{2}+\int_{\partial \Omega_{\epsilon}} n_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} d s \\
= & \int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
& +\int_{\partial \Omega_{\epsilon}}\left\{n_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}-n_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\right\} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Now}\left(-n_{2}, n_{1}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}(s), x_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right)=t$ and hence

$$
-n_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+n_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}=x_{1}^{\prime}(s) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+x_{2}^{\prime}(s) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}}=\frac{d}{d s} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}} .
$$

Thus

$$
\int_{O_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right)^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}=\int_{O_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2}+\int_{\partial Q_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right) d s
$$

Consider the integral of $\left(\partial u / \partial x_{2}\right)\left(d\left(\partial u / \partial x_{1}\right) / d s\right.$ over $\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}=\Gamma_{j}-\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} N_{j}(\varepsilon)$. On $\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}$ the boundary condition $u=0$ implies that $\nabla u=\left(\partial u / \partial x_{1}, \partial u / \partial x_{2}\right)=$ $\lambda\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)=\lambda n$ where $\lambda=\nabla u \cdot n=\partial u / \partial n$. Thus on $\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}$

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)=\lambda n_{2} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\lambda n_{1}\right)=\lambda n_{2}\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d s} n_{1}+\lambda \frac{d n_{1}}{d s}\right)
$$

Combining this with Frenet's formula $d n / d s=k t$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right) & =\lambda n_{2}\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d s} n_{1}+\lambda k t_{1}\right)=\lambda n_{2}\left(\frac{d \lambda}{d s} n_{1}-\lambda k n_{2}\right) \\
& =\lambda \frac{d \lambda}{d s} n_{1} n_{2}-\lambda^{2} k n_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d \lambda^{2}}{d s} n_{1} n_{2}-\lambda^{2} k n^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right) d s \\
& =\int_{\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{d \lambda^{2}}{d s} n_{1} n_{2}-\lambda^{2} k n_{2}^{2}\right) d s \\
& \left.=\int_{\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}}\left(-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} \frac{d\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right)}{d s}-\lambda^{2} k n_{2}^{2}\right) d s+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} n_{1} n_{2}\right]_{x^{j-(\varepsilon)}}^{x^{j+(\varepsilon)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x^{j-}(\varepsilon)$ and $x^{j+}(\varepsilon)$ are initial and final end points of the oriented $\operatorname{arc} \Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}$.

Now $d\left(n_{1} n_{2}\right) / d s=n_{1}\left(d n_{2} / d s\right)+n_{2}\left(d n_{1} / d s\right)=k\left(n_{1} t_{2}+n_{2} t_{1}\right)=k\left(n_{1}^{2}-n_{2}^{2}\right)$ by Frenet's formulas. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right) d s \\
&\left.=\int_{\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} k\left(n_{2}^{2}-n_{1}^{2}\right)-\lambda^{2} k n_{2}^{2}\right) d s+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} n_{1} n_{2}\right]_{x^{j-(\varepsilon)}}^{\left.x^{j+( }\right)} \\
&\left.=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}} \lambda^{2} k\left(n_{1}^{2}+n_{2}^{2}\right) d s+\frac{1}{2} \lambda^{2} n_{1} n_{2}\right]_{x j-(\varepsilon)}^{\left.x^{j+( }\right)} \\
&\left.\left.=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}} k\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} n_{1} n_{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2]_{x}^{x+(\varepsilon)}}\right]_{x j^{j-(\varepsilon)}}^{x+( }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\lambda^{2}=|\nabla u|^{2}=(\partial u / \partial n)^{2}$. Combining these results gives

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right)^{2} d x_{1} d x_{2}-\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right) d x_{1} d x_{2} \\
\quad+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Gamma_{j}^{\varepsilon}} k\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2} d s \tag{3.1}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} n_{1} n_{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2} \int_{x_{j}-(\varepsilon)}^{x+(\varepsilon)}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\partial{D_{\varepsilon} \cap N_{j}(\varepsilon)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right) d s .}=
$$

To complete the proof of the Miranda-Talenti formula for $C^{n+\delta_{-}}$ domains with corners it is enough to make $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and show that the terms on the right-hand side of (3.1) have the limit zero. For this it is necessary to examine the next topic.

Behavior at corners of solutions of the Dirichlet problem. The results of N. M. Wigley [15] will be used. To state them let $z=x_{1}+i x_{2}$, $z^{(j)}=x_{1}^{(j)}+i x_{2}^{(j)}$. The following is a special case of [15, Th. 5].

Theorem 6. Let $\Omega$ be a $C^{4+\delta}$-domain with corners and let $f \in C^{2+\delta}(\bar{\Omega})$ (so that $u \in C^{4+\delta}\left(\bar{\Omega}-\left\{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right\}\right)$ by the Schauder Theorems). Two cases are distinguished.

Case 1. $\alpha_{j} / \pi$ irrational. Then to each $\mu>0$ there corresponds a polynomial $P_{j}=P_{j}^{\mu}$ in $z-z^{(j)}, \overline{z-z^{(j)}},\left(z-z^{(j)}\right)^{\pi / \alpha_{j}}$ and $\left.\overline{\left(z-z^{(j)}\right.}\right)^{\pi / \alpha_{j}}$ such that for all $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \stackrel{\circ}{\Gamma}_{j}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=P_{j}+o\left(\left|x-x^{(j)}\right|^{3-\mu}\right), x \rightarrow x^{(j)} \tag{+}
\end{equation*}
$$

CASE 2. $\alpha_{j} / \pi=p / q$ rational, $(p, q)=1$. Then to each $\mu>0$ there corresponds a polynomial $P_{j}=P_{j}^{\mu}$ in $z-z^{(j)}, \overline{z-z^{(j)}},\left(z-z^{(j)}\right)^{\pi / \alpha_{j}}$, $\left.\overline{\left(z-z^{(j)} \pi / \alpha_{i}\right.},\left(z-z^{(j)}\right)^{q} \log \left(z-z^{(j)}\right), \overline{\left(z-z^{(j)}\right.}\right)^{q} \log \overline{\left(z-z^{(j)}\right)}$ such that $(+)$ holds.

Moreover, expansions for the derivatives of $u(x)$ of order $\leqq 2$ may be obtained from $(+)$ by formal differentiation, i.e., if

$$
D^{\alpha}=\frac{\partial_{1}^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial_{2}^{\alpha}}{\partial x_{2}^{\alpha}},
$$

then

$$
D^{\alpha} u(x)=D^{\alpha} P_{j}+o\left(\left|x-x^{(j)}\right|^{3-|\alpha|-\mu}\right), x \rightarrow x^{(j)}
$$

for $0 \leqq|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \leqq 2$.
Remark. In [15] Theorem 6 is proved under the additional hypothesis that $u(x)=o\left(\left|x-x^{(j)}\right| p\right), p>\operatorname{Max}\left(-1,-\pi / \alpha_{j}\right)$. However, here $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and hence this hypothesis can be omitted. To prove this recall that we know that $u \in W_{2}^{2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, Sobolev's embedding theorem holds for $C^{4+\delta_{-}}$domains with corners. It follows that $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ (and, in fact, that $u=0$ on $\partial \Omega)$.

Corollary 7. Under the hypotheses of Theorem $6 \exists$ a number $\beta>1$ such that $u(x)=O\left(\left|x-x^{(j)}\right|^{\beta}\right), \partial u(x) / \partial x_{k}=O\left(\left|x-x^{(j)}\right|^{\beta-1}\right)$ and $\partial^{2} u / \partial x_{k} \partial x$, $=O\left(\left|x-x^{(j)}\right|^{\beta-2}\right)$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, m, x \rightarrow x^{(j)}$.

Proof. It is enough to show the existence of an exponent $\beta_{j}>1$ for each corner. Then $\beta=\min \left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{m}\right)$. Introduce polar coordinates $x_{1}=x_{1}^{(j)}+r \cos \theta$ and $x_{2}=x_{2}^{(j)}+r \sin \theta$. Then by Theorem 6, in the worst case (Case 2),

$$
u(x)=P_{j}\left(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, r^{\pi / \alpha} \cos \frac{\pi \theta}{\alpha}, r^{\pi / \alpha} \sin \frac{\pi \theta}{\alpha}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.r^{q} e^{i q \theta}(\ln r+i \theta), r^{q} e^{-i q \theta}(\ln r-i \theta)\right)+o\left(r^{3-\mu}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{j}$ is a polynomial and $\alpha=\alpha_{j}$. Note that $q \geqq 2$ because $0<\alpha<\pi$ and $\alpha / \pi=p / q$. It will be assumed that $0<\mu<1$. (1) may be written

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x)= & c_{0}+c_{1} r \cos \theta+c_{2} r \sin \theta+c_{3} r^{\pi / \alpha} \cos \frac{\pi \theta}{\alpha}+c_{4} r^{\pi / \alpha} \sin \frac{\pi \theta}{\alpha} \\
& +r^{q}\left\{c_{5}(\ln r) \cos q \theta+c_{6}(\ln r) \sin q \theta+c_{7} \theta \cos q \theta+c_{8} \theta \sin q \theta\right\}  \tag{2}\\
& + \text { terms with products of } 2 \text { or more factors }+o\left(r^{3-\mu}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the product of two or more of the factors $r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, r^{\pi / \alpha}$ $\cos (\pi \theta / \alpha), r^{\pi / \alpha} \sin (\pi \theta / \alpha), r^{q} \ln r \cos q \theta, r^{q} \ln r \sin q \theta, r^{q} \theta \cos q \theta, r^{q} \theta \sin q \theta$ will also be $O\left(r^{2-\nu}\right)$ for any $\nu>0$, because $q \geqq 2$ and $\pi / \alpha>1$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
u(x)= & c_{0}+c_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{1}^{(j)}\right)+c_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{2}^{(j)}\right)+O(r \pi / \alpha) \\
& +O\left(r^{2-\nu}\right)+o\left(r^{3-\mu}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that $u(x)$ has a unique limit $c_{0}\left(=c_{0}^{j}\right)$ when $x \rightarrow x^{(j)}$ through $\Omega \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \stackrel{\circ}{\Gamma}_{j}$. But we know that $u \in C\left(\Omega \bigcup \bigcup \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \stackrel{\circ}{\Gamma}_{j}\right)$ and $u=0$ on $\stackrel{\circ}{\Gamma}_{j}$. Thus $c_{0}=0$ and $u \in C(\bar{\Omega})$. Now differentiate (2), using

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}=\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\frac{\sin \theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}=\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{\cos \theta}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

These operators, applied to the terms in (2), yield finite sums of similar terms with the powers of $r$ reduced by 1 . Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}=c_{k}+O\left(r^{\pi / \alpha-1}\right)+O\left(r^{1-\nu}\right)+o\left(r^{2-\mu}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $\nabla u=\left(\partial u / \partial x_{1}, \partial u / \partial x_{2}\right)$ has a unique limit $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\left(=\left(c_{1}^{j}, c_{2}^{j}\right)\right)$ when $x \rightarrow x^{(j)}$ through $\Omega \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \stackrel{\circ}{\Gamma}_{j}$. But we know that $u \in C^{1}(\Omega \cup$ $\bigcup_{j=1}^{m} \stackrel{\circ}{\Gamma}_{j}$ ). Thus $u \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$. Moreover, $u=0$ on $\stackrel{\circ}{\Gamma}_{j}$ implies $\nabla u=|\nabla u| n$ on $\stackrel{\check{\Gamma}_{j}}{j}$. Thus at $x^{(j)}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)=\left|\nabla u\left(x^{(j)}\right)\right| n_{+}=\left|\nabla u\left(x^{(j)}\right)\right| n_{-}$where $n_{+}$and $n_{-}$ are the unit normal vectors at $x^{(j)}$ to the two boundary arcs that meet at $x^{(j)}$. Since by assumption $n_{+} \neq n_{-}\left(0<\alpha_{j}<\pi\right)$, it follows that $\left|\nabla u\left(x^{(j)}\right)\right|=0$, i.e., $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$. Thus (4) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{k}}=O\left(r^{\pi / \alpha-1}\right)+O\left(r^{1-\nu}\right)+o\left(r^{2-\mu}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, differentiating (2) twice gives a finite sum of terms in which each power of $r$ is reduced by 2 . Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{k} \partial x_{\ell}}=O\left(r^{\pi / \alpha-2}\right)+O\left(r^{-\nu}\right)+o\left(r^{1-\mu}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complete the proof of Corollary 7 we need only take $\beta=\beta_{j}=$ $\operatorname{Min}(\pi / \alpha, 2-\nu)$.

Theorem 8. (Miranda-Talenti Theorem for domains with corners). Assume that $\Omega$ is a $C^{4+\delta_{-}}$domain with corners, and $f \in C^{2+\delta}(\bar{\Omega})$. Then the solution of the Dirichlet problem satisfies

$$
|u|_{2}^{2}=|f|_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} k\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2} d s
$$

Proof. Returning to equation (3.1) it must be shown that when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the terms on the right have the limit 0 . By Corollary 7, $\partial u / \partial n=\nabla u \cdot n=$ $O\left(\left|x-x^{(j)}\right|^{\beta-1}\right), x \rightarrow x^{(j)}$, where $\beta-1>0$. Since $x^{j \pm}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow x^{(j)}$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ it follows that

$$
\left.\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum n_{1} n_{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right)^{2}\right]_{x^{j-(\varepsilon)}}^{x^{j+}(\varepsilon)}=0
$$

Moreover, $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon} \cap N_{j}(\varepsilon)$ is an arc of a circle of radius $\varepsilon$. Thus, using polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ with origin at $x^{(j)}, d s=\varepsilon d \theta$ and

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}}\left\{t_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+t_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}\right\}
$$

where $t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is the unit tangent vector to the arc. Hence, by Corollary 7

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right)=O\left(r^{\beta-1}\right) O\left(r^{\beta-2}\right)=O\left(r^{2 \beta-3}\right), r \rightarrow 0
$$

and therefore

$$
\int_{\Omega_{\varepsilon} \cap N_{j}(\varepsilon)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right) d s=\int_{\theta_{1}(\varepsilon)}^{\theta_{2}(\varepsilon)} O\left(\varepsilon^{2 \beta-3}\right) \varepsilon d \theta=O\left(\varepsilon^{2 \beta-2}\right)
$$

since $0 \leqq \theta_{1}(\varepsilon), \theta_{2}(\varepsilon) \leqq 2 \pi$. Since $\beta>1$ it follows that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q_{\varepsilon \cap N_{j}(\varepsilon)}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{1}}\right) d s=0
$$

## 4. Computable error bounds for domains with corners.

Theorem 9. If the hypotheses of Theorem 8 hold and the arcs $\Gamma_{j}$ are all convex $(k \geqq 0)$ then $M=1$, i.e., $|u|_{2} \leqq|\Delta u|_{0}$.

Corollary 10. $M=1$ for all convex polygons.
Theorem 11. Under the hypotheses of Theorem $8, M \leqq\left(1-\left(k_{\min }\right) \lambda_{\text {max }}\right)^{1 / 2}$ where $k_{\min } \leqq 0$ is the minimum of $k$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $\lambda_{\max }$ is the largest eigenvalue of problem (2.1).
5. Numerical results. Numerical experiments to test the sharpness of these bounds appear in [6, 7]. We illustrate these numerical results with an example. Let $R=[0,1] \times[0,1]$ and $-\Delta u=f$ in $R, u=0$ on $\partial R$. Let $f(x, y)=2[x(1-x)+y(1-y)]$. Consider piecewise bilinear finite elements and let $h=1 / 2$. Then the finite element approximation $U$ is $U(x, y)=(5 / 16) x y$ on $[0,1 / 2] \times[0,1 / 2]$ and symmetrically defined on the rest of $R$. The error bound of Barnhill and Gregory for this problem and approximation is
(+)

$$
|u-U|_{1} \leqq K M h|f|_{0}=0.7906 h|f|_{0}
$$

since $K=0.7906[7]$ and $M=1$ (Corollary 10 ). $|f|_{0}=0.6992$, so ( + ) becomes $|u-U|_{1} \leqq 0.2764$. The solution of this Dirichlet problem is $u(x, y)=x(1-x) y(1-y)$, so the actual error can be computed. It is $|u-U|_{1}=0.07711$. Thus the error bound is 3.58 times the actual error, in this example. For completeness, we remark that Nitsche's trick enables one to change the error bound $|u-U|_{1} \leqq c|f|_{0}$ to $|u-U|_{0} \leqq c^{2}|f|_{0}$. That is, the $L_{2}$ error can be estimated from the energy norm error. Of course, this magnifies the conservativeness of the error bound. For this example, Nitsche's trick applied to ( + ) yields $|u-U|_{0} \leqq 0.6250 h^{2}|f|_{0}$ $=0.10925$. The actual $L_{2}$ error is $|u-U|_{0}=0.009709$. Hence the $L_{2}$ error bound is 11.25 times the actual $L_{2}$ error in this example.
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