

ON THE HURWITZ ZETA-FUNCTION

BRUCE C. BERNDT

1. **Introduction.** Briggs and Chowla [2] and Hardy [3] calculated the coefficients of the Laurent expansion of the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$ about $s = 1$. Kluver [4] found a certain infinite series representation for these coefficients. In another paper [1] Briggs found estimates for the coefficients. These estimates were improved by Lammel [6]. Using these estimates, Lammel also gave a simple proof of the fact that $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros on $|s - 1| \leq 1$.

Using the same technique as in [2] and [6], we derive expressions for the coefficients of the Laurent expansion of the generalized or Hurwitz zeta-function $\zeta(s, a)$, $0 < a \leq 1$, about $s = 1$. A similar formula for these coefficients has been given by Wilton [11]. We then obtain estimates for these coefficients. Our technique here is somewhat simpler than in [6], and as a special case we obtain improved estimates for the Laurent coefficients of $\zeta(s)$. Next, we use our estimates to show that $\zeta(s, a) - a^{-s}$ has no zeros on $|s - 1| \leq 1$. We conclude by indicating a new, simple proof of a representation formula for $\zeta(s, a)$ that was first discovered by Hurwitz.

2. **Calculation of the Laurent coefficients.** In the sequel we shall need a slightly different version of the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula from what is usually given. Let $f \in C^n$ on $[\alpha, m]$, where m is an integer. Then,

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{\alpha < k \leq m} f(k) = \int_{\alpha}^m f(x) dx + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \frac{B_k}{k!} f^{(k-1)}(m) \\ + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} P_k(\alpha) f^{(k-1)}(\alpha) + R_n,$$

where

$$R_n = (-1)^{n+1} \int_{\alpha}^m P_n(x) f^{(n)}(x) dx.$$

Here, B_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, denotes the k th Bernoulli number, and $P_k(x)$,

Received by the editors February 20, 1970 and, in revised form, November 2, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 1041; Secondary 1040.

Copyright © 1972 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

$1 \leq k \leq n$, has period 1 and equals $k!B_k(x)$ on $[0, 1]$, where $B_k(x)$ denotes the k th Bernoulli polynomial. The proof of (2.1) follows along the same lines as the proof of a somewhat less general version in [5, pp. 520-524].

It is well known that $\zeta(s, a)$ is a meromorphic function on the entire complex plane and that its only pole is a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue 1. Thus, if we set

$$(s - 1)\zeta(s, a) = 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n(a)(s - 1)^{n+1},$$

our aim is to prove

THEOREM 1. For $0 < a \leq 1$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma_n(a) = \gamma_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^m \frac{\log^n(k+a)}{k+a} - \frac{\log^{n+1}(m+a)}{n+1} \right).$$

If $a = 1$, (2.2) gives the coefficients in the Laurent expansion of $\zeta(s)$ about $s = 1$.

PROOF. Let $f(x) = (x+a)^{-s}$ and $n = 1$ in (2.1). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^m (n+a)^{-s} &= \frac{1}{2} (m+a)^{-s} + \frac{1}{2} a^{-s} + \int_0^m (x+a)^{-s} dx \\ &\quad - s \int_0^m (x - [x] - \frac{1}{2})(x+a)^{-s-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma = \operatorname{Re} s > 1$, we obtain upon letting m tend to ∞ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \zeta(s, a) = a^{-s} + \frac{a^{1-s}}{s-1} - s \int_0^{\infty} (x - [x])(x+a)^{-s-1} dx.$$

The proof now parallels that of Lammell [6] for the case $a = 1$, and consequently we omit the remainder of the details.

3. An upper bound for the Laurent coefficients. Instead of estimating γ_n , we, in fact, will estimate

$$c_n(a) = c_n = \gamma_n - \frac{(-1)^n \log^n a}{n!}.$$

For the case $a = 1$ our estimates are better than Lammell's by a factor of $1/n$. We shall now prove

THEOREM 2. For $0 < a \leq 1$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$|c_n| \leq 4/n\pi^n, \quad n \text{ even},$$

$$\leq 2/n\pi^n, \quad n \text{ odd}.$$

PROOF. Let $\alpha = 1 - a$ and $f(x) = \{\log^n(x + a)\}/(x + a)n!$. Note that $f^{(k)}(1 - a) = 0, k = 0, \dots, n - 1$, and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f^{(k)}(m) = 0, k \geq 0$. We then obtain from (2.1) upon letting m tend to ∞ ,

$$(3.1) \quad (-1)^n c_n = \frac{1}{n!} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{1-a < k \leq m} \frac{\log^n(k + a)}{k + a} - \frac{\log^{n+1}(m + a)}{n + 1} \right) = R_n,$$

where

$$R_n = (-1)^{n+1} \int_1^\infty P_n(x - a) f^{(n)}(x - a) dx.$$

We must estimate $f^{(n)}(x - a)$.

To that end, put $F_l(x) = \log^l x$. An elementary calculation shows that for $1 \leq k < l$,

$$(3.2) \quad F_l^{(k)}(x) = x^{-k} \sum_{j=1}^k l \cdot \dots \cdot (l + 1 - j) a_j^{(k)} \log^{l-j} x,$$

where the constants $a_j^{(k)}$ satisfy the recursion formulae,

$$(3.3) \quad a_1^{(k)} = - (k - 1) a_1^{(k-1)},$$

$$a_j^{(k)} = - (k - 1) a_j^{(k-1)} + a_{j-1}^{(k-1)}, \quad j = 2, \dots, k - 1,$$

$$a_k^{(k)} = 1.$$

We will show by induction on k that

$$(3.4) \quad |a_j^{(k)}| = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{k-j} \leq k-1} i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k-j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k,$$

where the sum is over all possible choices of the integers i_1, \dots, i_{k-j} satisfying the given conditions. If $j = k$, the sum is to be interpreted as equaling 1. For $k = 1$, (3.4) is trivial. Now, from (3.3) for $j = 2, \dots, k - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_j^{(k)}| &= (k - 1) |a_j^{(k-1)}| + |a_{j-1}^{(k-1)}| \\ &= (k - 1) \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-1-j} \leq k-2} i_1 \dots i_{k-1-j} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-j} \leq k-2} i_1 \dots i_{k-j} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{k-j} \leq k-1} i_1 \dots i_{k-j}. \end{aligned}$$

For $j = 1$ and $j = k$, (3.4) is trivially established, and thus the proof of (3.4) is complete.

It follows from (3.4) that for $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$,

$$(3.5) \quad |a_j^{(k)}| \leq \binom{k-1}{k-j} (k-1)(k-2) \cdots j.$$

Since $f(x-a) = F_{n+1}'(x)/(n+1)!$, we find from (3.2) and (3.5) that for $x \geq 1$,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |f^{(n)}(x-a)| &\leq \frac{1}{(n+1)!} x^{-n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{(n+1)!}{(n+1-j)!} \binom{n}{n+1-j} \frac{n!}{(j-1)!} \log^{n+1-j} x \\ &= x^{-n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \binom{n}{n+1-j}^2 \log^{n+1-j} x. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $n \geq 1$,

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |P_n(x)| &\leq 4/(2\pi)^n, & n \text{ even,} \\ &\leq 2/(2\pi)^n, & n \text{ odd.} \end{aligned}$$

The estimate $4/(2\pi)^n$, $n \geq 1$, is given in [5, p. 525]. Ostrowski [8] has observed that for n odd, the 4 can be replaced by 2. That this cannot be done for n even can be seen from a theorem of Lehmer [7, p. 534]. Hence, from (3.6) and (3.7) for n even,

$$\begin{aligned} |R_n| &\leq \frac{4}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j}^2 \int_1^\infty \frac{\log^j x}{x^{n+1}} dx \\ &= \frac{4}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j}^2 \frac{j!}{n^{j+1}} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{(2\pi)^n n} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} = \frac{4}{n\pi^n}. \end{aligned}$$

For n odd, the 4 may be replaced by 2. Thus, the proof is complete by (3.1).

4. Zeros in a neighborhood of $s = 1$. We shall prove

THEOREM 3. $\zeta(s, a) - a^{-s}$ has no zeros on $|s-1| \leq 1$, where now we can take $0 \leq a \leq 1$.

Note that if $a = 0$, $\zeta(s, a) - a^{-s} = \zeta(s)$.

PROOF. From the definition of c_n , we have for $|s - 1| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(s - 1)(\zeta(s, a) - a^{-s})| &= \left| 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(s - 1)^{n+1} \right| \\
 (4.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\geq 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we shall be done if we can show that the right-hand side of (4.1) is positive. We shall need to obtain precise estimates for c_0 , c_1 and c_2 .

To estimate c_0 we put $f(x) = 1/(x + a)$, $\alpha = 1 - a$ and $n = 3$ in (2.1). Upon letting m tend to ∞ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_0 &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k + a} - \log(m + a) \right) \\
 &= P_1(1 - a) + P_2(1 - a) + 2P_3(1 - a) + R_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, on $[0, 1]$, $|P_1(x)| = |x - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $|P_2(x)| = |\frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x + 1/12| \leq 1/12$. Thus, using (3.7) we obtain

$$(4.2) \qquad |c_0| \leq \frac{1}{2} + 1/12 + 4/(2\pi)^3 + 4/(2\pi)^3 \leq .617.$$

To estimate c_1 we put $f(x) = \{\log(x + a)\}/(x + a)$, $\alpha = 1 - a$ and $n = 3$ in (2.1). Upon letting m tend to ∞ , we obtain

$$c_1 = P_2(1 - a) + 3P_3(1 - a) - \int_1^{\infty} P_3(x - a)x^{-4}(11 - 6 \log x)dx.$$

Thus, from (3.7) and the above estimate of P_2 ,

$$(4.3) \qquad |c_1| \leq 1/12 + 6/(2\pi)^3 + 2/(2\pi)^3 \cdot 13/3 \leq .144.$$

To estimate c_2 we put $f(x) = \{\log^2(x + a)\}/2(x + a)$, $\alpha = 1 - a$ and $n = 3$ in (2.1). Upon letting m tend to ∞ , we obtain

$$c_2 = P_3(1 - a) + \int_1^{\infty} P_3(x - a)x^{-4}(-6 + 11 \log x - 3 \log^2 x)dx.$$

From (3.7),

$$(4.4) \qquad |c_2| \leq 2/(2\pi)^3 + 2/(2\pi)^3 \cdot 31/9 \leq .036.$$

Now, by Theorem 2,

$$(4.5) \qquad \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} |c_n| \leq 4 \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} 1/n\pi^n \leq 4/3\pi^2(\pi - 1) \leq .064.$$

From (4.2)-(4.5),

$$1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n| \geq 1 - .617 - .144 - .036 - .064 = 1 - .861 > 0,$$

and the proof of Theorem 3 is complete.

5. A formula of Hurwitz. The following representation was proved by Hurwitz.

THEOREM 4. For $0 < a \leq 1$ and $\sigma < 0$,

$$(5.1) \quad \zeta(s, a) = \frac{2\Gamma(1-s)}{(2\pi)^{1-s}} \left\{ \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi s\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2\pi na)}{n^{1-s}} \right. \\ \left. + \cos\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi s\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2\pi na)}{n^{1-s}} \right\}.$$

The proofs of (5.1) given in [9, p. 37] and [10, p. 268-269] depend upon the evaluation of a loop integral. The following simple proof does not appear to have been previously noticed.

PROOF. Again, from (2.1) for $\sigma > 1$,

$$(5.2) \quad \zeta(s, a) - a^{-s} = \frac{1}{s-1} + (1-a - [1-a] - \frac{1}{2}) \\ + s \int_{1-a}^{\infty} \frac{[x] - x + \frac{1}{2}}{(x+a)^{s+1}} dx.$$

By analytic continuation (5.2) is valid for $\sigma > -1$. Now, if $\sigma < 0$,

$$s \int_{-a}^{1-a} \frac{[x] - x + \frac{1}{2}}{(x+a)^{s+1}} dx = \frac{1}{2} + a^{-s} - a - \frac{1}{1-s}.$$

Thus, for $-1 < \sigma < 0$,

$$(5.3) \quad \zeta(s, a) = s \int_{-a}^{\infty} \frac{[x] - x + \frac{1}{2}}{(x+a)^{s+1}} dx.$$

The proof now proceeds as in [9, p. 15]. We merely use (5.3) instead of (2.1.6) in [9].

REFERENCES

1. William E. Briggs, *Some constants associated with the Riemann zeta-function*, Michigan Math. J. 3 (1955/56), 117-121. MR 17, 955.
2. W. E. Briggs and S. Chowla, *The power series coefficients of $\zeta(s)$* , Amer. Math. Monthly 62 (1955), 323-325. MR 16, 999.

3. G. H. Hardy, *Note on Dr. Vacca's series for γ* , Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **43** (1912), 215-216.
4. J. C. Kluyver, *On certain series of Mr. Hardy*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **50** (1927), 185-192.
5. Konrad Knopp, *Theory and application of infinite series*, Blackie and Sons, London, 1951.
6. Ernst Lammel, *Ein Beweis, dass die Riemannsche Zetafunktion $\zeta(s)$ in $|s-1| \leq 1$ keine Nullstelle besitzt*, Univ. Nac. Tucumán Rev. Ser. A. **16** (1966), 209-217. MR **36** #5090.
7. D. H. Lehmer, *On the maxima and minima of Bernoulli polynomials*, Amer. Math. Monthly **47** (1940), 533-538. MR **2**, 43.
8. Alexander Ostrowski, *Note on Poisson's treatment of the Euler-Maclaurin formula*, Comment. Math. Helv. **44** (1969), 202-206. MR **41** #2092.
9. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1951. MR **13**, 741.
10. E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, 4th ed., Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge, 1962. MR **31** #2375.
11. J. R. Wilton, *A note on the coefficients in the expansion of $\zeta(s, x)$ in powers of $s - 1$* , Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **50** (1927), 329-332.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801

