

MODIFIED PROJECTION AND THE ITERATED MODIFIED PROJECTION METHODS FOR NONLINEAR INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

LAURENCE GRAMMONT, REKHA P. KULKARNI AND PAULO B. VASCONCELOS

Communicated by Kendall Atkinson

ABSTRACT. Consider a nonlinear operator equation $x - K(x) = f$, where K is a Urysohn integral operator with a smooth kernel. Using the orthogonal projection onto a space of discontinuous piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$, previous authors have established an order $r + 1$ convergence for the Galerkin solution and $2r + 2$ for the iterated Galerkin solution. Equivalent results have also been established for the interpolatory projection at Gauss points. In this paper, a modified projection method is shown to have convergence of order $3r + 3$ and one step of iteration is shown to improve the order of convergence to $4r + 4$. The size of the system of equations that must be solved, in implementing this method, remains the same as for the Galerkin method.

1. Introduction. Let X be a complex Banach space and K a nonlinear compact operator defined on a non empty open subset O of X . For $f \in X$, we are interested in a solution of

$$(1.1) \quad x - K(x) = f.$$

We assume that the above equation has a unique solution φ in O .

Let X_n be a sequence of finite-dimensional approximating subspaces of X , and let π_n be a sequence of projections from X to X_n . If X is a Hilbert space and π_n is the orthogonal projection from X to X_n , then in the classical Galerkin method, (1.1) is approximated by

$$\varphi_n^G - \pi_n K(\varphi_n^G) = \pi_n f.$$

2010 AMS *Mathematics subject classification.* Primary 45L10, 65J15, 65R20.
Keywords and phrases. Urysohn integral operator, Galerkin method, collocation at Gauss points.

The work of the first two authors was partially supported by CEFIPRA, Project No. 4101-1.

Received by the editors on October 15, 2012, and in revised form on April 21, 2013.

DOI:10.1216/JIE-2013-25-4-481 Copyright ©2013 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

If π_n is an interpolatory projection, then the collocation solution φ_n^C is obtained by solving

$$\varphi_n^C - \pi_n K(\varphi_n^C) = \pi_n f.$$

The above projection methods have been studied extensively in the research literature. See Krasnoselsii [9], Krasnoselskii, et al. [10] and Krasnoselskii and Zabreiko [11].

The iterated Galerkin solution is defined by

$$\varphi_n^S = K(\varphi_n^G) + f,$$

and the iterated collocation solution is defined in a similar fashion. The iterated projection methods are analyzed in [4].

In [7, 8], the following modified projection method is proposed:

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi_n^M - K_n^M(\varphi_n^M) = f,$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad K_n^M(x) = \pi_n K(x) + K(\pi_n x) - \pi_n K(\pi_n x), \quad x \in O.$$

It is a generalization of the modified projection method in the linear case, which was proposed in [12].

As in the case of the iterated Galerkin method, we perform one step of iteration and define the iterated modified projection solution as

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n^M = K(\varphi_n^M) + f.$$

In this paper we consider K to be a Urysohn integral operator. For $r \geq 0$, let X_n be a space of piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to a quasi-uniform partition of $[0, 1]$. Let h denote the length of the largest subinterval of the partition. The projection π_n with range X_n is chosen either to be the orthogonal projection or an interpolatory projection, defined on an appropriate space. If the kernel of the Urysohn integral operator K is sufficiently smooth, then in the case of orthogonal projection as well as in the case of the interpolatory projection at the Gauss points, we show that

$$\|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{3r+3})$$

and

$$\|\tilde{\varphi}_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{4r+4}).$$

These estimates are to be compared with the following orders of convergence proved in [4]:

$$(1.4) \quad \|\varphi_n^G - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{r+1}), \quad \|\varphi_n^C - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{r+1}),$$

$$(1.5) \quad \|\varphi_n^S - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{2r+2}).$$

They have, in fact, obtained the error estimates for the iterated projection methods in a more general setting of a Urysohn integral operator with Green’s function type kernel.

As in the case of linear operators, the size of the system of equations that needs to be solved in order to compute φ_n^M remains the same as in the case of the projection method.

In the case of an interpolatory projection with collocation points which are not Gauss points, we show that

$$\|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{2r+2}).$$

The corresponding orders of convergence in the collocation as well as the iterated collocation methods are $r + 1$.

For $\delta_0 > 0$, let

$$\mathcal{B}(\varphi, \delta_0) = \{\psi \in X : \|\varphi - \psi\|_\infty < \delta_0\}.$$

For future reference, we describe below a result from Grammont [7].

Let Y be a closed subspace of the Banach space X , and let the range of K be contained in Y . Let K be Fréchet differentiable on O and the Fréchet derivative of K , denoted by K' , Lipschitz continuous. Let π_n be a sequence of projections such that $\pi_n y \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $y \in Y$.

Theorem 1.1 [7]. *Suppose that $\varphi \in O$ is the unique solution of (1.1) with $f = 0$ and that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $K'(\varphi)$. Then there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{B}(\varphi, \delta_0)$ of φ which contains, for all n large enough, a unique solution φ_n^M of (1.2). In addition,*

$$\frac{2}{3}\alpha_n \leq \|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty \leq 2\alpha_n,$$

where $\alpha_n = \|(I - (K_n^M)'(\varphi))^{-1}(K(\varphi) - K_n^M(\varphi))\|$ is a sequence converging to zero. Also,

$$\frac{\alpha_n}{\|\pi_n\varphi - \varphi\|_\infty} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that φ_n^M exhibits superconvergence, which is not always the case in the iterated collocation method.

The paper has been arranged in the following way. In Section 2 the notations are set and orders of convergence in the modified projection method with the orthogonal projection as well as the interpolatory projections are obtained. In Section 3 the projection is chosen to be either the orthogonal projection or the interpolatory projection at Gauss points, and the orders of convergence in the iterated modified projection are proved. Section 4 is devoted to the implementation details and numerical results are given in Section 5.

2. Modified projection method. Let $X = L^\infty[0, 1]$, and consider a Urysohn integral operator

$$K(x)(s) = \int_0^1 \kappa(s, t, x(t)) dt, \quad s \in [0, 1], \quad x \in X,$$

where the kernel $\kappa(s, t, u)$ is a real valued continuous function. Let φ be the unique solution of (1.1), and let a and b be real numbers such that

$$\left[\min_{s \in [0,1]} \varphi(s), \max_{s \in [0,1]} \varphi(s) \right] \subset (a, b).$$

Define

$$\Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [a, b].$$

Let $\alpha \geq 1$. For $x \in C^\alpha[0, 1]$, we define

$$\|x\|_{\alpha, \infty} = \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} \|x^{(i)}\|_\infty,$$

where $x^{(i)}$ denotes the i th derivative of x . Assume that

$$\kappa \in C^\alpha(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega).$$

Then K is a compact operator from $L^\infty[0, 1]$ to $C^\alpha[0, 1]$. As in Section 1, we assume that (1.1) has a unique solution φ . We also assume that $f \in C^\alpha[0, 1]$. Then, since

$$\varphi - K(\varphi) = f,$$

the solution φ of the above equation belongs to $C^\alpha[0, 1]$.

The operator K is Fréchet differentiable and the Fréchet derivative is given by

$$(K'(x)h)(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u}(s, t, x(t)) h(t) dt.$$

Since by assumption,

$$\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega),$$

it follows that K' is Lipschitz continuous in a neighborhood $\mathcal{B}(\varphi, \delta_0)$ of φ , that is, there exists a constant γ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|K'(\varphi) - K'(x)\| \leq \gamma \|\varphi - x\|_\infty, \quad x \in \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \delta_0).$$

The operator $K'(\varphi)$ is compact. Assume that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $K'(\varphi)$.

Let

$$(2.2) \quad 0 = t_0^{(n)} < t_1^{(n)} < \dots < t_n^{(n)} = 1$$

be a quasi-uniform partition of $[0, 1]$. For $r \geq 0$, let X_n denote the space of piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to the above partition. For simplicity, we drop the index n and write $t_i^{(n)} = t_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Let

$$h = \max\{t_i - t_{i-1} : i = 1, \dots, n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \min\{\alpha, r + 1\}.$$

We consider two types of projections from $L^\infty[0, 1]$ to X_n .

1. The map π_n is the restriction to $L^\infty[0, 1]$ of the orthogonal projection from $L^2[0, 1]$ to X_n .

2. Choose $r + 1$ distinct points in each of the subinterval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let $\pi_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow X_n$ be the map which interpolates a given function at $(r + 1)n$ points in $[0, 1]$. This map, if necessary, is extended to $L^\infty[0, 1]$ as in Atkinson et al. [3] and then $\pi_n : L^\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow X_n$ is a projection.

In both cases,

$$\pi_n y \longrightarrow y, \quad y \in C[0, 1],$$

and, for $x \in C^\beta[0, 1]$,

$$(2.3) \quad \|x - \pi_n x\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|x^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^\beta,$$

where C_1 is a constant independent of n .

Thus, Theorem 1.1 is applicable with $f \neq 0$, and there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{B}(\varphi, \delta_0)$ of φ , which contains, for all n large enough, a unique solution φ_n^M of (1.2).

The following result will be used in obtaining the order of convergence of φ_n^M to φ .

Lemma 2.1. *Let X_n be the space of piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to the partition (2.2), and let π_n be either the restriction to $L^\infty[0, 1]$ of the orthogonal projection from $L^2[0, 1]$ to X_n or an interpolatory projection from $L^\infty[0, 1]$ to X_n . Then*

$$\|(I - \pi_n)[K(\pi_n \varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi)]\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}).$$

Proof. Since by assumption,

$$\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega) \quad \text{with } \alpha \geq 1,$$

it follows that for $v, w \in L^\infty[0, 1]$,

$$K''(\varphi)(v, w)(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 k}{\partial u^2}(s, t, \varphi(t)) v(t) w(t) dt.$$

The norm of the bilinear operator $K''(\varphi)$ is defined as follows:

$$\|K''(\varphi)\| = \sup_{\|v\|_\infty \leq 1, \|w\|_\infty \leq 1} \|K''(\varphi)(v, w)\|_\infty.$$

If $v \in \mathcal{B}(\varphi, \delta_0)$, then by Taylor's generalized theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad K(\varphi + v)(s) - K(\varphi)(s) - K'(\varphi)v(s) &= \int_0^1 (1 - \theta)(K''(\varphi + \theta v)v^2)(s) d\theta \\
 &:= (Rv)(s), \quad s \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$(Q_\theta v)(s) = (K''(\varphi + \theta v)v^2)(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 k}{\partial u^2}(s, t, \varphi(t) + \theta v(t)) v^2(t) dt.$$

Then

$$(Rv)(s) = \int_0^1 (1 - \theta)(Q_\theta v)(s) d\theta.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega),$$

it follows that $Q_\theta v$, and hence Rv , belong to $C^{2\alpha-1}[0, 1] \subset C^\alpha[0, 1]$. We have

$$(Q_\theta v)^{(\beta)}(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^{\beta+2} k}{\partial s^\beta \partial u^2}(s, t, \varphi(t) + \theta v(t)) v^2(t) dt$$

and

$$(Rv)^{(\beta)}(s) = \int_0^1 (1 - \theta)(Q_\theta v)^{(\beta)}(s) d\theta.$$

Let

$$C_2 = \max_{\substack{s, t \in [0, 1] \\ |u| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty + \delta_0}} \left| \frac{\partial^{\beta+2} k}{\partial s^\beta \partial u^2}(s, t, u) \right|.$$

Then

$$\|(Q_\theta v)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty \leq C_2 \|v\|_\infty^2$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \|(Rv)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_2}{2} \|v\|_\infty^2.$$

Since by (2.3)

$$\|(I - \pi_n)Rv\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|(Rv)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^\beta,$$

it follows that

$$\|(I - \pi_n)Rv\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_1 C_2}{2} \|v\|_\infty^2 h^\beta.$$

Since $\pi_n y \rightarrow y$, $y \in C[0, 1]$, for n large enough, $\pi_n \varphi - \varphi \in B(\varphi, \delta_0)$. Hence,

$$\|(I - \pi_n)R(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_1 C_2}{2} \|\pi_n \varphi - \varphi\|_\infty^2 h^\beta.$$

Since $\varphi \in C^\alpha[0, 1]$, by (2.3),

$$\|(I - \pi_n)\varphi\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^\beta.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \pi_n)[K(\pi_n \varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi)]\|_\infty &= \|(I - \pi_n)R(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{C_1^3 C_2}{2} \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty^2 h^{3\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

2.1. Orthogonal projection. In this section we consider $\pi_n : L^\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow X_n$ to be the restriction of the orthogonal projection from $L^2[0, 1]$ to X_n and obtain an error estimate for the approximate solution in the modified projection method. We first prove a preliminary result.

Lemma 2.2. *For $\alpha \geq 1$, let $\kappa \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$, $\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $f \in C^\alpha[0, 1]$. Let φ be the unique solution of (1.1). Then*

$$\|(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}).$$

Proof. Note that, for $v \in L^\infty[0, 1]$,

$$(2.6) \quad K'(\varphi)v(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial k}{\partial u}(s, t, \varphi(t)) v(t) dt = \int_0^1 \ell(s, t) v(t) dt, \\ s \in [0, 1],$$

with

$$\ell(s, t) = \frac{\partial k}{\partial u}(s, t, \varphi(t)).$$

Since $\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$K'(\varphi)v \in C^{2\alpha}([0, 1]).$$

Hence, by (2.3),

$$(2.7) \quad \|(I - \pi_n)(K'(\varphi)v)\|_\infty \leq C_1 \| (K'(\varphi)v)^{(\beta)} \|_\infty h^\beta.$$

Now

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} (K'(\varphi)v)^{(\beta)}(s) &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^{\beta+1} k}{\partial s^\beta \partial u}(s, t, \varphi(t)) v(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 q(s, t) v(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$q(s, t) = \frac{\partial^{\beta+1} k}{\partial s^\beta \partial u}(s, t, \varphi(t)), \quad s, t \in [0, 1].$$

For a fixed $s \in [0, 1]$, define

$$q_s(t) = q(s, t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Then, as $\beta = \min\{\alpha, r + 1\}$,

$$q_s \in C^{2\alpha-\beta}[0, 1] \subset C^\alpha[0, 1].$$

Using the fact that π_n is the restriction to $L^\infty[0, 1]$ of the orthogonal projection from $L^2[0, 1]$ to X_n , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}(s) &= \int_0^1 q(s, t) (\pi_n \varphi - \varphi)(t) dt \\ &= \langle q_s, (\pi_n - I)\varphi \rangle \\ &= -\langle (\pi_n - I)q_s, (\pi_n - I)\varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$C_3 = \max_{s, t \in [0, 1]} \left| \frac{\partial^{2\beta+1} k}{\partial s^\beta \partial t^\beta \partial u}(s, t, \varphi(t)) \right|.$$

Then

$$\|(\pi_n - I)q_s\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|(q_s)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^\beta \leq (C_1 C_3) h^\beta.$$

Since $\varphi \in C^\alpha[0, 1]$,

$$\|(\pi_n - I)\varphi\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^\beta.$$

Thus, for each $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$|(K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}(s)| \leq C_1^2 C_3 \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^{2\beta},$$

and hence

$$\|(K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}\|_\infty \leq C_1^2 C_3 \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^{2\beta}.$$

From (2.7), we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty &\leq C_1 \|(K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^\beta \\ &\leq C_1^3 C_3 \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^{3\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. \square

Theorem 2.3. *For $\alpha \geq 1$, let $\kappa \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$,*

$$\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in C^\alpha[0, 1].$$

Let φ be the unique solution of (1.1), and assume that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $K'(\varphi)$. Let X_n be the space of piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to the partition (2.2) and $\pi_n : L^\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow X_n$ the restriction of the orthogonal projection from $L^2[0, 1]$ to X_n . Let φ_n^M be the unique solution of (1.2) in $B(\varphi, \delta_0)$. Then

$$(2.9) \quad \|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}).$$

Proof. By assumption, $I - K'(\varphi)$ is invertible. From (1.3),

$$(K_n^M)'(\varphi) = \pi_n K'(\varphi) + (I - \pi_n)K'(\pi_n \varphi)\pi_n.$$

Hence,

$$K'(\varphi) - (K_n^M)'(\varphi) = (I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n) + (I - \pi_n)(K'(\varphi) - K'(\pi_n\varphi))\pi_n.$$

Since $\pi_n \rightarrow I$ pointwise on $C[0, 1]$ and $K'(\varphi) : L^\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ is compact, it follows that

$$\|(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (2.1),

$$\|K'(\varphi) - K'(\pi_n\varphi)\| \leq \gamma \|\varphi - \pi_n\varphi\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, since the sequence $(\|\pi_n\|)$ is uniformly bounded,

$$\|K'(\varphi) - (K_n^M)'(\varphi)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that, for all n large enough, $I - (K_n^M)'(\varphi)$ is invertible and

$$\|(I - (K_n^M)'(\varphi))^{-1}\| \leq 2 \|(I - K'(\varphi))^{-1}\|.$$

By [7, Theorem 1.1], we have

$$\|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty \leq 2\alpha_n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= \|(I - (K_n^M)'(\varphi))^{-1} [K(\varphi) - K_n^M(\varphi)]\|_\infty \\ &= \|(I - (K_n^M)'(\varphi))^{-1} [(I - \pi_n)(K(\varphi) - K(\pi_n\varphi))]\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2.10) \quad \|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty \leq 4 \|(I - K'(\varphi))^{-1}\| \|(I - \pi_n)(K(\varphi) - K(\pi_n\varphi))\|_\infty.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad &(I - \pi_n)(K(\varphi) - K(\pi_n\varphi)) \\ &= -(I - \pi_n) [K(\pi_n\varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)] \\ &\quad - (I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\|(I - \pi_n) [K(\pi_n \varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi)]\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta})$$

and by Lemma 2.2,

$$\|(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}).$$

Combining (2.10), (2.11) and the above two estimates, we obtain the desired result. \square

2.2. Interpolatory projection. Let $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_r$ be $r + 1$ distinct points in $[-1, 1]$. Consider the partition (2.2) of $[0, 1]$ and, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, define a function

$$f_i(t) = \frac{1-t}{2}t_{i-1} + \frac{1+t}{2}t_i, \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$

Then $f_i : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [t_{i-1}, t_i]$ is a one-to-one, onto and affine map. Let

$$S = \{\tau_{i,j} = f_i(\tau_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, j = 0, 1, \dots, r\}$$

be the set of collocation points and $\pi_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow X_n$ defined by

$$(\pi_n x)(\tau_{i,j}) = x(\tau_{i,j}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, j = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

If $\tau_0 = -1$ and $\tau_r = 1$, then $\pi_n x$ is continuous, and we can choose X_n to be the space of continuous piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to the partition (2.2). Thus, $X_n \subset C[0, 1]$ and $\pi_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow X_n$ is a projection.

Otherwise, since $\pi_n x$ is not necessarily continuous, we need to choose X_n to be the space of discontinuous piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to the partition (2.2). In this case, $X_n \subset L^\infty[0, 1]$, and we extend π_n to $L^\infty[0, 1]$ so that $\pi_n : L^\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow X_n$ is a projection. (See Atkinson et al. [3].)

Theorem 2.4. For $\alpha \geq 1$, let $\kappa \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$, $\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $f \in C^\alpha[0, 1]$. Let φ be the unique solution of (1.1), and assume that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $K'(\varphi)$. Let X_n be the space of piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to the partition (2.2), and let

$\pi_n : L^\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow X_n$ be an interpolatory projection. Let φ_n^M be the unique solution of (1.2) in $B(\varphi, \delta_0)$. Then

$$(2.12) \quad \|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{2\beta}).$$

Proof. Recall from (2.10) that

$$(2.13) \quad \|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty \leq 4\|(I - K'(\varphi))^{-1}\| \|(I - \pi_n)(K(\varphi) - K(\pi_n\varphi))\|_\infty.$$

As before, we write

$$\begin{aligned} (I - \pi_n)(K(\varphi) - K(\pi_n\varphi)) &= -(I - \pi_n) [K(\pi_n\varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)] \\ &\quad - (I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$(2.14) \quad \|(I - \pi_n) [K(\pi_n\varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)]\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}).$$

On the other hand, by (2.3)

$$\|(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty \leq C_1\|(K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^\beta.$$

Recall from (2.8) that

$$(K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}(s) = \int_0^1 q(s, t)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)(t) dt.$$

Hence,

$$(2.15) \quad \|(K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}\|_\infty \leq \|q\|_\infty \|\pi_n\varphi - \varphi\|_\infty \leq C_1\|q\|_\infty \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^\beta.$$

Thus,

$$\|(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty \leq (C_1)^2\|q\|_\infty \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^{2\beta}.$$

From (2.13), (2.14) and the above estimate, we obtain

$$\|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{2\beta}). \quad \square$$

Remark 2.5. It is known that in the present case the error in the collocation method is of the order of β and one step of iteration does not improve this order of convergence. (See [4].) However, the above result shows that the error in the modified projection method is of the order of 2β , and thus the approximate solution in the modified projection improves upon the approximate solutions in the collocation/iterated collocation methods.

We now consider the collocation at the Gauss points. Choose $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_r$ in $[-1, 1]$ to be the zeroes of the Legendre polynomial of degree $r + 1$, and let

$$\{\tau_{i,j} = f_i(\tau_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, r\}$$

be the set of collocation points. Let X_n be the space of discontinuous piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to the partition (2.2), and let $\pi_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow X_n$ be defined by

$$(\pi_n x)(\tau_{i,j}) = x(\tau_{i,j}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

We quote the following estimate of de Boor and Swartz [6]: For $x \in C^\beta[0, 1]$ and $y \in C^{2\beta}[0, 1]$,

$$(2.16) \quad \left| \int_0^1 x(t)(I - \pi_n)y(t) dt \right| \leq C_4 \|x\|_{\beta, \infty} \|y\|_{2\beta, \infty} h^{2\beta},$$

where C_4 is a constant independent of h .

In the present case we need to make the following stronger assumptions:

$$\kappa, \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in C^{2\alpha}[0, 1].$$

Then $\varphi \in C^{2\alpha}[0, 1]$. Using estimate (2.16), we can improve the order of convergence β in (2.15) to 2β and obtain the following improved estimate.

Theorem 2.6. *For $\alpha \geq 1$, let $\kappa, \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $f \in C^{2\alpha}[0, 1]$. Let φ be the unique solution of (1.1), and assume that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $K'(\varphi)$. Let X_n be the space of piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$*

with respect to the partition (2.2), and let $\pi_n : L^\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow X_n$ be the interpolatory projection at $r + 1$ Gauss points. Let φ_n^M be the unique solution of (1.2) in $B(\varphi, \delta_0)$. Then

$$(2.17) \quad \|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}).$$

Proof. From (2.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty &\leq 4 \|(I - K'(\varphi))^{-1}\| \|(I - \pi_n)(K(\varphi) - K(\pi_n\varphi))\|_\infty \\ &\leq 4 \|(I - K'(\varphi))^{-1}\| \|(I - \pi_n) \\ &\quad \times [K(\pi_n\varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)]\|_\infty \\ &\quad + 4 \|(I - K'(\varphi))^{-1}\| \|(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Note that estimate (2.14) is still valid, and we have

$$\|(I - \pi_n) [K(\pi_n\varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)]\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}).$$

By (2.3),

$$\|(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|(K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^\beta.$$

From (2.8),

$$(K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}(s) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^{\beta+1}k}{\partial s^\beta \partial u}(s, t, \varphi(t)) (\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)(t) dt.$$

Let

$$C_5 = \sum_{j=0}^\beta \max_{s,t \in [0,1]} \left| \frac{\partial^{\beta+j+1}k}{\partial s^\beta \partial t^j \partial u}(s, t, \varphi(t)) \right|.$$

For $s \in [0, 1]$, using estimate (2.16), we obtain

$$\left| (K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}(s) \right| \leq C_4 C_5 \|\varphi\|_{2\beta, \infty} h^{2\beta}.$$

Hence,

$$\|(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty \leq C_1 C_4 C_5 \|\varphi\|_{2\beta, \infty} h^{3\beta},$$

and it follows that

$$\|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}). \quad \square$$

Remark 2.7. In the case when the kernel of K is sufficiently smooth, that is, $\alpha \geq r + 1$, we have

$$\beta = \min\{\alpha, r + 1\} = r + 1.$$

Hence, we obtain the following estimates. If π_n is either the orthogonal projection or the interpolatory projection at Gauss points, then by Theorem 2.3 and by Theorem 2.6, respectively,

$$(2.18) \quad \|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{3r+3}),$$

whereas if the collocation points are not the Gauss points, then by Theorem 2.4,

$$(2.19) \quad \|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{2r+2}).$$

3. Improvement by iteration. Let φ_n^M be the unique solution of (1.2) in $B(\varphi, \delta_0)$, that is,

$$\varphi_n^M - K_n^M(\varphi_n^M) = f.$$

Define

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\varphi}_n^M = K(\varphi_n^M) + f.$$

If π_n is either the orthogonal projection or the interpolatory projection at the Gauss points, then we show that this one step of iteration improves the order of convergence from 3β to 4β .

Throughout this section, we make the following assumptions.

Let X_n be the space of piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to the partition (2.2).

If π_n is the orthogonal projection onto X_n , then we assume that

$$\kappa \in C^\alpha(\Omega), \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in C^\alpha[0, 1].$$

On the other hand, if π_n is the interpolatory projection at Gauss points, then we assume that

$$\kappa, \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in C^{2\alpha}[0, 1].$$

We first prove some preliminary results.

Lemma 3.1. *Let π_n be either the orthogonal projection or the interpolatory projection at $r + 1$ Gauss points with the range equal to X_n . Then*

$$(3.2) \quad \|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)[K(\pi_n\varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)]\|_\infty = O(h^{4\beta}).$$

Proof. Let

$$x_n = K(\pi_n\varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi).$$

From (2.6),

$$K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)x_n(s) = \int_0^1 \ell(s, t)(I - \pi_n)x_n(t) dt, \quad s \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$\ell(s, t) = \frac{\partial k}{\partial u}(s, t, \varphi(t)).$$

For a fixed $s \in [0, 1]$, let

$$\ell_s(t) = \ell(s, t), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

If π_n is the orthogonal projection, then

$$\begin{aligned} K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)x_n(s) &= \langle \ell_s, (I - \pi_n)x_n \rangle \\ &= \langle (I - \pi_n)\ell_s, (I - \pi_n)x_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (2.3), we obtain

$$|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)x_n(s)| \leq (C_1)^2 \|(l_s)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty \|(x_n)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^{2\beta}, \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

Let

$$C_6 = \max_{s,t \in [0,1]} \left| \frac{\partial^{\beta+1}k}{\partial t^\beta \partial u}(s, t, \varphi(t)) \right|.$$

Then

$$\|(l_s)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty \leq C_6$$

and

$$\|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)x_n\|_\infty \leq (C_1)^2 C_6 \|(x_n)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^{2\beta}.$$

Recall from (2.4) that for n large enough,

$$x_n = K(\pi_n \varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi) = R(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi).$$

From (2.5) we obtain

$$\|(x_n)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty = \|(R(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi))^{(\beta)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_2}{2} \|\pi_n \varphi - \varphi\|_\infty^2.$$

Thus,

$$\|(x_n)^{(\beta)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{(C_1)^2 C_2}{2} \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty^2 h^{2\beta}$$

and

$$\|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)x_n\|_\infty \leq \frac{(C_1)^4 C_2 C_6}{2} \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty^2 h^{4\beta},$$

which proves (3.2) in the case of the orthogonal projection.

If π_n is the interpolatory projection at $r + 1$ Gauss points, then using (2.16) we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)x_n(s)| &= \left| \int_0^1 \ell(s, t)(I - \pi_n)x_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq C_4 \|l_s\|_{\beta, \infty} \|x_n\|_{2\beta, \infty} h^{2\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$C_7 = \sum_{j=0}^{\beta} \max_{s,t \in [0,1]} \left| \frac{\partial^{j+1}k}{\partial t^j \partial u}(s, t, \varphi) \right|.$$

Then, for $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$(3.4) \quad \|l_s\|_{\beta, \infty} \leq C_7.$$

It can easily be checked that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x_n\|_{2\beta, \infty} &= \sum_{j=0}^{2\beta} \|(x_n)^{(j)}\|_{\infty} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{2\beta} \|(R(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi))^{(j)}\|_{\infty} \\ &\leq \frac{C_8}{2} \|\pi_n \varphi - \varphi\|_{\infty}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{(C_1)^2 C_8}{2} \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_{\infty}^2 h^{2\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_8 = \sum_{j=0}^{2\beta} \max_{\substack{s, t \in [0, 1] \\ |u| \leq \|\varphi\|_{\infty} + \delta_0}} \left| \frac{\partial^{j+2} k}{\partial s^j \partial u^2}(s, t, u) \right|.$$

Combining (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$\|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)x_n\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(C_1)^2 C_4 C_7 C_8}{2} \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_{\infty}^2 h^{4\beta},$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let π_n be either the orthogonal projection or the interpolatory projection at $r + 1$ Gauss points with the range equal to X_n . Then*

$$\|K'(\varphi) [K(\varphi) - K_n^M(\varphi)]\|_{\infty} = O(h^{4\beta}).$$

Proof. Since by definition

$$K_n^M(\varphi) = \pi_n K(\varphi) + K(\pi_n \varphi) - \pi_n K(\pi_n \varphi),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(\varphi) - K_n^M(\varphi) &= (I - \pi_n)(K(\varphi) - K(\pi_n \varphi)) \\ &= -(I - \pi_n) [K(\pi_n \varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi)] \\ &\quad - (I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n \varphi - \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K'(\varphi) [K(\varphi) - K_n^M(\varphi)]\|_\infty \\ & \leq \|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n) [K(\pi_n\varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)]\|_\infty \\ & \quad + \|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1,

$$\|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n) [K(\pi_n\varphi) - K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)]\|_\infty = O(h^{4\beta}).$$

Note that $K'(\varphi)$ is a linear integral operator with a kernel $\ell \in C^{2\alpha}([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$. In the case of orthogonal projection, by estimate (4.6) of [12, Proposition 4.2], we obtain

$$\|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)(\pi_n\varphi - \varphi)\|_\infty = O(h^{4\beta}).$$

In the case of the interpolatory projection at Gauss points, the above estimate is obtained from [12, Proposition 4.4]. Hence,

$$\|K'(\varphi) [K(\varphi) - K_n^M(\varphi)]\|_\infty = O(h^{4\beta}),$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let π_n be either the orthogonal projection or the interpolatory projection at $r + 1$ Gauss points with the range equal to X_n . Then*

$$\|K_n^M(\varphi_n^M) - K_n^M(\varphi) - (K_n^M)'(\varphi)(\varphi_n^M - \varphi)\|_\infty = O(h^{6\beta}).$$

Proof. If $v \in B(\varphi, \delta_0)$, then by Taylor’s generalized theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & K_n^M(\varphi + v)(s) - K_n^M(\varphi)(s) - (K_n^M)'(\varphi)v(s) \\ & = \int_0^1 (1 - \theta) ((K_n^M)''(\varphi + \theta v) v^2)(s) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad & \|K_n^M(\varphi_n^M) - K_n^M(\varphi) - (K_n^M)'(\varphi)(\varphi_n^M - \varphi)\|_\infty \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} \|((K_n^M)''(\varphi + \theta(\varphi_n^M - \varphi)))\| \|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, since π_n is a linear map,

$$(K_n^M)''(x) = \pi_n K''(x) + (I - \pi_n)K''(\pi_n x)(\pi_n \otimes \pi_n),$$

where $\pi_n \otimes \pi_n : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ is defined as

$$(\pi_n \otimes \pi_n)(v, w) = (\pi_n v, \pi_n w).$$

Let

$$C_9 = \max_{\substack{s, t \in [0, 1] \\ |u| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty + \delta_0}} \left| \frac{\partial^2 k}{\partial u^2}(s, t, u) \right|.$$

Then, since

$$\begin{aligned} &K''(\varphi + \theta(\varphi_n^M - \varphi))(v, w)(s) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial^2 k}{\partial u^2}(s, t, \varphi(t) + \theta(\varphi_n^M(t) - \varphi(t))) v(t)w(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that, for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and for n large enough,

$$\|K''(\varphi + \theta(\varphi_n^M - \varphi))\| \leq C_9.$$

In a similar manner, for $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ and for n large enough,

$$\|K''(\pi_n \varphi + \theta(\pi_n \varphi_n^M - \pi_n \varphi))\| \leq C_9.$$

Since the sequence $(\|\pi_n\|)$ is uniformly bounded, it follows that

$$\max_{0 \leq \theta \leq 1} \|(K_n^M)''(\varphi + \theta(\varphi_n^M - \varphi))\| \leq C_{10},$$

where C_{10} is a constant independent of n .

By Theorem 2.3 in the case of the orthogonal projection and by Theorem 2.6 in the case of the interpolatory projection at Gauss points, we have

$$\|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}),$$

and thus the desired result follows from (3.6). \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let π_n be either the orthogonal projection or the interpolatory projection at $r + 1$ Gauss points with the range equal to X_n . Then*

$$(3.7) \quad \|K'(\varphi)((K_n^M)'(\varphi) - K'(\varphi))(\varphi - \varphi_n^M)\|_\infty = O(h^{4\beta}).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} K'(\varphi)((K_n^M)'(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)) \\ = -K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi) + K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)K'(\pi_n\varphi)\pi_n. \end{aligned}$$

If π_n is the orthogonal projection, then by [13, Theorem 4.1], we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)\| = O(h^{2\beta}).$$

In the case of the interpolatory projection, the above estimate is obtained by appealing to [13, Theorem 4.2]. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)K'(\pi_n\varphi)\pi_n \\ = K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)(K'(\pi_n\varphi) - K'(\varphi))\pi_n + K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)K'(\varphi)\pi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (2.1),

$$\|K'(\pi_n\varphi) - K'(\varphi)\| \leq \gamma \|\pi_n\varphi - \varphi\|_\infty \leq \gamma C_1 \|\varphi^{(\beta)}\|_\infty h^\beta,$$

and $\|\pi_n\|$ are uniformly bounded, it follows that

$$(3.9) \quad \|K'(\varphi)(I - \pi_n)(K'(\pi_n\varphi) - K'(\varphi))\pi_n\| = O(h^\beta).$$

Using (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain

$$\|K'(\varphi)((K_n^M)'(\varphi) - K'(\varphi))\| = O(h^\beta).$$

By Theorem 2.3 in the case of the orthogonal projection and by Theorem 2.6 in the case of the interpolatory projection at Gauss points, we have

$$\|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K'(\varphi)((K_n^M)'(\varphi) - K'(\varphi))(\varphi - \varphi_n^M)\|_\infty \\ & \leq \|K'(\varphi)((K_n^M)'(\varphi) - K'(\varphi))\| \|\varphi - \varphi_n^M\|_\infty \\ & = O(h^{4\beta}), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let π_n be either the orthogonal projection or the interpolatory projection at $r + 1$ Gauss points. Let $\alpha \geq 1$. In the case of the orthogonal projection, assume that $\kappa \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$,*

$$\frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega)$$

and $f \in C^\alpha[0, 1]$. In the case of the interpolatory projection at Gauss points, assume that

$$\kappa, \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u} \in C^{2\alpha}(\Omega)$$

and $f \in C^{2\alpha}[0, 1]$. Let φ be the unique solution of (1.1) and φ_n^M the unique solution of (1.2) in $B(\varphi, \delta_0)$. Assume that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $K'(\varphi)$. Then

$$(3.10) \quad \|\tilde{\varphi}_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{4\beta}).$$

Proof. From (1.1) and (3.1), we obtain

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n^M - \varphi = K(\varphi_n^M) - K(\varphi).$$

Recall from (2.4) that, for n large enough,

$$(3.11) \quad K(\varphi_n^M) - K(\varphi) = K'(\varphi)(\varphi_n^M - \varphi) + R(\varphi_n^M - \varphi)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & (R(\varphi_n^M - \varphi))(s) \\ & = \int_0^1 (1 - \theta) (K''(\varphi + \theta(\varphi_n^M - \varphi))(\varphi_n^M - \varphi)^2)(s) d\theta, \quad s \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|R(\varphi_n^M - \varphi)\|_\infty \leq \frac{C_9}{2} (\|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty)^2.$$

Since by Theorem 2.3 in the case of the orthogonal projection and by Theorem 2.6 in the case of the interpolatory projection at the Gauss points,

$$\|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{3\beta}),$$

it follows that

$$(3.12) \quad \|R(\varphi_n^M - \varphi)\|_\infty = O(h^{6\beta}).$$

Define

$$B_n(x) = \varphi - (I - K'(\varphi))^{-1} [K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)\varphi - K_n^M(x) + K'(\varphi)x].$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(x) = x & \iff (I - K'(\varphi))\varphi - [K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)\varphi - K_n^M(x) + K'(\varphi)x] \\ & = (I - K'(\varphi))x \\ & \iff x - K_n^M(x) = \varphi - K(\varphi) = f. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, φ_n^M is a fixed point of B_n , that is,

$$B_n(\varphi_n^M) = \varphi_n^M.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n^M - \varphi & = B_n(\varphi_n^M) - \varphi \\ & = -(I - K'(\varphi))^{-1} [K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)\varphi - K_n^M(\varphi_n^M) + K'(\varphi)\varphi_n^M]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $K'(\varphi)$ and $(I - K'(\varphi))^{-1}$ commute, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & K'(\varphi)(\varphi_n^M - \varphi) \\ & = -(I - K'(\varphi))^{-1} K'(\varphi) [K(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)\varphi - K_n^M(\varphi_n^M) + K'(\varphi)\varphi_n^M]. \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} K'(\varphi)(\varphi_n^M - \varphi) & = -(I - K'(\varphi))^{-1} K'(\varphi) [K(\varphi) - K_n^M(\varphi)] \\ & \quad + (I - K'(\varphi))^{-1} K'(\varphi) [K_n^M(\varphi_n^M) - K_n^M(\varphi)] \\ & \quad - (K_n^M)'(\varphi)(\varphi_n^M - \varphi) \\ & \quad + (I - K'(\varphi))^{-1} K'(\varphi) [(K_n^M)'(\varphi) - K'(\varphi)](\varphi_n^M - \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2 the first term in the above expression is of the order of $h^{4\beta}$. By Lemma 3.3 the second term in the above expression is of the order of $h^{6\beta}$. Lastly, by Lemma 3.4, the third term in the above expression is of the order of $h^{4\beta}$. Thus

$$\|K'(\varphi)(\varphi_n^M - \varphi)\|_\infty = O(h^{4\beta}).$$

Combining (3.11), (3.12) and the above estimate, we obtain

$$\|\tilde{\varphi}_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = \|K(\varphi) - K(\varphi_n^M)\|_\infty = O(h^{4\beta}),$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.6. In the case when the kernel of K is sufficiently smooth, that is, $\alpha \geq r + 1$, we have

$$\beta = \min\{\alpha, r + 1\} = r + 1,$$

and hence

$$(3.13) \quad \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M\|_\infty = O(h^{4r+4}).$$

4. Implementation details. Let

$$\dim(X_n) = N(n) = N.$$

Let $\{e_{n,1}, \dots, e_{n,N}\}$ be an ordered basis of X_n and $\{e_{n,1}^*, \dots, e_{n,N}^*\}$ the adjoint basis, that is, for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\langle e_{n,i}, e_{n,j}^* \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Let $X = L^2[0, 1]$ or $X = C[0, 1]$ and $\pi_n : X \rightarrow X_n$ be the projection defined by

$$\pi_n x = \sum_{j=1}^N \langle x, e_{n,j}^* \rangle e_{n,j}.$$

Let φ_n^M be the solution of (1.2), and define

$$\psi_n = \pi_n \varphi_n^M.$$

Recall from (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$\varphi_n^M - \pi_n K(\varphi_n^M) - K(\pi_n \varphi_n^M) + \pi_n K(\pi_n \varphi_n^M) = f.$$

Hence,

$$(I - \pi_n)\varphi_n^M = (I - \pi_n)(K(\psi_n) + f)$$

and

$$\psi_n - \pi_n K(\varphi_n^M) = \pi_n f,$$

that is,

$$(4.1) \quad \psi_n - \pi_n K(\psi_n + (I - \pi_n)(K(\psi_n) + f)) = \pi_n f.$$

Define

$$F_n(y) = y - \pi_n K(y + (I - \pi_n)(K(y) + f)) - \pi_n f, \quad y \in X_n.$$

The Fréchet derivative of F_n is given by

$$(F_n)'(y)h = h - \pi_n K'(y + (I - \pi_n)(K(y) + f))(I + (I - \pi_n)K'(y))h.$$

Equation (4.1) is equivalent to

$$F_n(\psi_n) = 0,$$

and it is iteratively solved by applying the Newton-Kantorovich method.

Let $\psi_n^{(0)}$ be an initial approximation. The iterates $\psi_n^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are defined as follows.

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_n^{(k+1)} - \pi_n K'(\varphi_n^{(k)})\psi_n^{(k+1)} - \pi_n K'(\varphi_n^{(k)})(I - \pi_n)K'(\psi_n^{(k)})\psi_n^{(k+1)} \\ = \pi_n(K(\varphi_n^{(k)}) + f) - \pi_n K'(\varphi_n^{(k)})\psi_n^{(k)} \\ - \pi_n K'(\varphi_n^{(k)})(I - \pi_n)K'(\psi_n^{(k)})\psi_n^{(k)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi_n^{(k)} = \psi_n^{(k)} + (I - \pi_n)(K(\psi_n^{(k)}) + f).$$

Since $\psi_n^{(k)} \in X_n$, we can write

$$\psi_n^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \psi_n^{(k)}, e_{n,j}^* \rangle e_{n,j} = \sum_{j=1}^N x_n^{(k)}(j) e_{n,j}.$$

The system of equations (4.2) is then equivalent to the following system of linear equations of size N :

$$(4.4) \quad (I - A_n^{(k)} - B_n^{(k)})x_n^{(k+1)} = d_n^{(k)},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^{(k)}(i, j) &= \langle K'(\varphi_n^{(k)})e_{n,j}, e_{n,i}^* \rangle, \\ B_n^{(k)}(i, j) &= \langle K'(\varphi_n^{(k)})(I - \pi_n)K'(\psi_n^{(k)})e_{n,j}, e_{n,i}^* \rangle, \\ d_n^{(k)}(i) &= \langle K(\varphi_n^{(k)}) + f, e_{n,i}^* \rangle - (A_n^{(k)}x_n^{(k)})(i) - (B_n^{(k)}x_n^{(k)})(i), \\ & \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider the system of equations obtained in the collocation/Galerkin method. Recall that

$$\varphi_n^G - \pi_n K(\varphi_n^G) = \pi_n f,$$

and hence $\varphi_n^G \in X_n$. Define

$$G_n(y) = y - \pi_n K(y) - \pi_n f, \quad y \in X_n,$$

and solve

$$G_n(\varphi_n^G) = 0$$

iteratively by using the Newton-Kantorovich method. Let $\zeta_n^{(0)}$ be an initial approximation and the iterates $\zeta_n^{(k)}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, are as given below.

$$(4.5) \quad \zeta_n^{(k+1)} - \pi_n K'(\zeta_n^{(k)})\zeta_n^{(k+1)} = \pi_n (K(\zeta_n^{(k)}) + f) - \pi_n K'(\zeta_n^{(k)})\zeta_n^{(k)}.$$

Let

$$\zeta_n^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^N \langle \zeta_n^{(k)}, e_{n,j}^* \rangle e_{n,j} = \sum_{j=1}^N y_n^{(k)}(j) e_{n,j}.$$

Then (4.5) is equivalent to the following system of linear equations of size N .

$$(4.6) \quad (I - C_n^{(k)})y_n^{(k+1)} = r_n^{(k)},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^{(k)}(i, j) &= \langle K'(\zeta_n^{(k)})e_{n,j}, e_{n,i}^* \rangle, \\ r_n^{(k)}(i) &= \langle K(\zeta_n^{(k)}) + f, e_{n,i}^* \rangle - (C_n^{(k)}y_n^{(k)})(i), \\ & \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. A comparison of (4.4) and (4.6) shows that the latter system is much simpler. Indeed, in the former it is necessary to construct an additional matrix and the right hand side has an extra term. From equation (4.3), it is seen that the computation of the modified projection solution involves an iteration.

If the kernel is smooth enough and if π_n is either the orthogonal projection or the interpolatory projection at Gauss points, then by (2.18),

$$\|\varphi_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{3r+3})$$

and by (3.13),

$$\|\tilde{\varphi}_n^M - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{4r+4}).$$

Recall from (1.4) that

$$\|\varphi_n^G - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{r+1}), \quad \|\varphi_n^C - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{r+1})$$

and by (1.5),

$$\|\varphi_n^S - \varphi\|_\infty = O(h^{2r+2}).$$

Thus, while the size of the system of equations to be solved remains the same, the order of convergence $2r + 2$ in the iterated collocation/Galerkin solution is improved to $3r + 3$ in the modified projection method, and one step of iteration further improves it to $4r + 4$.

5. Numerical results. We illustrate the convergence results that were obtained in Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.5 by two numerical examples.

We consider X_n to be the space of piecewise constant or piecewise linear functions with respect to the following uniform partition of $[0, 1]$:

$$(5.1) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{n} < \frac{2}{n} < \dots < \frac{n}{n} = 1.$$

The projection π_n is chosen to be either an interpolatory projection or the orthogonal projection. In the case of the interpolatory projection, the collocation points are chosen to be the $r + 1$ Gauss points in each subinterval of the above partition with $r = 0$ (midpoints) or $r = 1$. In both the examples, since $\alpha = \infty$, it follows that $\beta = r + 1$. Hence, by Theorems 2.6 and 3.5,

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_n^M\|_\infty = O(h^{3r+3}), \quad \|\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M\|_\infty = O(h^{4r+4}).$$

Also, from [4, equation (7.3)],

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_n^C\|_\infty = O(h^{r+1}), \quad \|\varphi - \varphi_n^S\|_\infty = O(h^{2r+2}).$$

5.1. Example 1. Consider the following Hammerstein integral operator with a degenerate kernel:

$$(5.2) \quad K(x)(s) = \int_0^1 p(s)q(t)x^2(t) dt, \quad s \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$p(t) = \cos(11\pi t), \quad q(t) = \sin(11\pi t).$$

Then $K : L^\infty[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ is compact and

$$\varphi - K(\varphi) = f$$

has a unique solution for $f \in C[0, 1]$. We choose

$$f(s) = \left(1 - \frac{2}{33\pi}\right) \cos(11\pi s), \quad s \in [0, 1],$$

so that

$$\varphi(s) = \cos(11\pi s), \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

The Fréchet derivative of K is given by

$$K'(x)h(s) = 2p(s) \int_0^1 q(t)x(t)h(t) dt, \quad h \in L^\infty[0, 1], \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

For this very special example, it was possible to perform various integrations exactly.

5.1.1. Collocation at Gauss points. For $r = 0, 1$, let X_n be the space of piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to the partition (5.1). The collocation points are chosen to be $r + 1$ Gauss points in each subinterval. The expected orders of convergence are as follows:

$$\delta_C = r + 1, \quad \delta_S = 2r + 2, \quad \delta_M = 3r + 3, \quad \delta_{MI} = 4r + 4.$$

The results are given in Tables 5.1–5.6.

TABLE 5.1. $s = 1/3; r = 0$.

n	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^C(s) $	δ_C	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^S(s) $	δ_S	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^M(s) $	δ_M	$ \varphi(s) - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M(s) $	δ_{MI}
40	1.20×10^{-1}		7.67×10^{-4}		1.74×10^{-4}		6.69×10^{-7}	
80	6.34×10^{-2}	0.91	1.63×10^{-4}	2.22	2.00×10^{-5}	3.12	4.61×10^{-8}	3.86
160	3.09×10^{-2}	1.04	3.95×10^{-5}	2.05	2.34×10^{-6}	3.10	2.91×10^{-9}	3.98
320	1.57×10^{-2}	0.98	9.78×10^{-6}	2.01	2.95×10^{-7}	2.99	1.83×10^{-10}	4.00
640	7.78×10^{-2}	1.01	2.44×10^{-6}	2.00	3.64×10^{-7}	3.01	1.14×10^{-11}	4.00

TABLE 5.2. $s = 1/3; r = 1$.

n	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^C(s) $	δ_C	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^S(s) $	δ_S	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^M(s) $	δ_M	$ \varphi(s) - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M(s) $	δ_{MI}
40	1.20×10^{-2}		5.14×10^{-5}		1.19×10^{-6}		5.83×10^{-9}	
80	2.37×10^{-3}	2.34	2.98×10^{-6}	4.11	1.36×10^{-8}	6.45	1.98×10^{-11}	8.20
160	6.75×10^{-4}	1.81	1.83×10^{-7}	4.02	2.37×10^{-10}	5.84	7.45×10^{-14}	8.04
320	1.59×10^{-4}	2.09	1.14×10^{-8}	4.01	3.47×10^{-12}	6.09	2.78×10^{-16}	8.08
640	4.09×10^{-5}	1.95	7.11×10^{-9}	4.00	5.57×10^{-14}	6.00	0	–

TABLE 5.3. $s = 0; r = 0$.

n	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^C(s) $	δ_C	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^S(s) $	δ_S	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^M(s) $	δ_M	$ \varphi(s) - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M(s) $	δ_{MI}
40	9.05×10^{-2}		1.53×10^{-3}		1.36×10^{-4}		1.34×10^{-6}	
80	2.29×10^{-2}	1.98	3.27×10^{-4}	2.23	7.40×10^{-6}	4.20	9.23×10^{-8}	3.86
160	5.75×10^{-3}	2.00	7.89×10^{-5}	2.05	4.48×10^{-7}	4.06	5.83×10^{-9}	3.98
320	1.44×10^{-3}	2.00	1.96×10^{-5}	2.01	2.78×10^{-8}	4.01	3.65×10^{-10}	4.00
640	3.60×10^{-4}	2.00	4.88×10^{-6}	2.00	1.73×10^{-9}	4.00	2.28×10^{-11}	4.00

TABLE 5.4. $s = 0; r = 1$.

n	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^C(s) $	δ_C	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^S(s) $	δ_S	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^M(s) $	δ_M	$ \varphi(s) - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M(s) $	δ_{MI}
40	5.89×10^{-2}		1.03×10^{-4}		1.65×10^{-6}		1.17×10^{-8}	
80	1.53×10^{-2}	1.94	5.95×10^{-6}	4.11	2.39×10^{-8}	6.11	3.96×10^{-10}	8.20
160	3.87×10^{-3}	1.99	3.66×10^{-7}	4.02	3.66×10^{-10}	6.02	1.45×10^{-13}	8.04
320	9.71×10^{-4}	2.00	2.28×10^{-8}	4.01	5.70×10^{-12}	6.01	5.55×10^{-16}	8.08
640	2.43×10^{-4}	2.00	1.42×10^{-9}	4.00	8.77×10^{-14}	6.02	0	-

TABLE 5.5. $s = 0.4; r = 0$.

n	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^C(s) $	δ_C	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^S(s) $	δ_S	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^M(s) $	δ_M	$ \varphi(s) - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M(s) $	δ_{MI}
40	2.80×10^{-2}		4.74×10^{-4}		4.21×10^{-5}		4.14×10^{-7}	
80	7.08×10^{-3}	1.98	1.01×10^{-4}	2.23	2.29×10^{-6}	4.20	2.85×10^{-8}	3.86
160	1.78×10^{-3}	2.00	2.44×10^{-5}	2.05	1.38×10^{-7}	4.04	1.80×10^{-9}	3.98
320	4.44×10^{-4}	2.00	6.04×10^{-6}	2.01	8.58×10^{-9}	4.01	1.12×10^{-10}	4.00
640	1.11×10^{-4}	2.00	1.51×10^{-6}	2.00	5.35×10^{-10}	4.00	7.06×10^{-12}	4.00

TABLE 5.6. $s = 0.4; r = 1$.

n	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^C(s) $	δ_C	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^S(s) $	δ_S	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^M(s) $	δ_M	$ \varphi(s) - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M(s) $	δ_{MI}
40	1.82×10^{-2}		3.17×10^{-5}		3.44×10^{-6}		3.60×10^{-9}	
80	4.74×10^{-3}	1.94	1.84×10^{-6}	4.11	3.92×10^{-8}	6.45	1.22×10^{-11}	8.20
160	1.19×10^{-3}	1.99	1.13×10^{-7}	4.02	5.15×10^{-10}	6.25	4.63×10^{-14}	8.04
320	3.00×10^{-4}	2.00	7.04×10^{-9}	4.01	7.30×10^{-12}	6.14	1.66×10^{-16}	8.12
640	7.51×10^{-5}	2.00	4.39×10^{-10}	4.00	1.08×10^{-13}	6.00	0	-

Remark 5.1. It can be seen that, for $s = 1/3$, the computed orders of convergence match well with the theoretically predicted values. In the case of $r = 0$, or the partition points $s = 0$ and $s = 0.4$, the computed orders of convergence δ_C , in the collocation method and δ_M , in the modified projection method are respectively 2 and 4. These values are

better than the predicted values. Note that, even though the orders of convergence in the collocation and the iterated collocation method are the same, the error in the iterated collocation method is smaller than the collocation error. The same observation is valid for the modified projection and the iterated modified projection methods.

It can be seen from Table 5.1 that the approximation in the iterated modified projection method with $n = 40$ is better than the iterated collocation approximation with $n = 640$.

5.1.2. Collocation at partition points. Let X_n be the space of continuous piecewise linear functions ($r = 1$) with respect to the partition (5.1). Then the dimension of X_n is equal to $n + 1$. The collocation points in this case are chosen to be the partition points

$$t_i = \frac{i-1}{n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n+1.$$

The expected orders of convergence are as follows:

$$\delta_C = \delta_S = 2, \quad \delta_M = \delta_{MI} = 4.$$

The results are given in Tables 5.7–5.9.

Remark 5.2. It is seen from the results in Tables 5.7–5.9 that the computed orders of convergence match well with the expected values.

For $s = 1/3$, note that the error in the iterated modified projection is smaller as compared to the modified projection method, even though the orders of convergence are the same. The same phenomenon occurs for the collocation and the iterated collocation methods.

For $s = 0$ and $s = 0.4$, the values in the second and the fourth columns of Tables 5.8 and 5.9 are identical. Similarly, the values in the sixth and eighth columns are identical. This is expected, since $s = 0$ and $s = 0.4$ are the collocation points and

$$\pi_n \varphi_n^S = \varphi_n^C, \quad \pi_n \tilde{\varphi}_n^M = \pi_n \varphi_n^M.$$

As in the case of piecewise constant functions, the approximation $\tilde{\varphi}_n^M$ in the iterated modified projection seems to be the best.

TABLE 5.7. $s = 1/3$.

n	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^C(s) $	δ_C	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^S(s) $	δ_S	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^M(s) $	δ_M	$ \varphi(s) - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M(s) $	δ_{MI}
40	4.98×10^{-2}		2.69×10^{-3}		1.14×10^{-4}		3.00×10^{-6}	
80	1.02×10^{-2}	2.29	7.50×10^{-4}	1.84	5.82×10^{-6}	4.30	1.87×10^{-7}	4.00
160	2.89×10^{-3}	1.82	1.93×10^{-4}	1.96	4.15×10^{-7}	3.81	1.17×10^{-8}	4.00
320	6.83×10^{-4}	2.08	4.86×10^{-5}	1.99	2.45×10^{-8}	4.08	7.31×10^{-10}	4.00
640	1.76×10^{-4}	1.96	1.22×10^{-5}	2.00	1.58×10^{-9}	3.96	4.57×10^{-11}	4.00

TABLE 5.8. $s = 0$.

n	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^C(s) $	δ_C	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^S(s) $	δ_S	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^M(s) $	δ_M	$ \varphi(s) - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M(s) $	δ_{MI}
40	5.38×10^{-3}		5.38×10^{-3}		5.99×10^{-6}		5.99×10^{-6}	
80	1.50×10^{-3}	1.84	1.50×10^{-3}	1.84	3.73×10^{-7}	4.00	3.73×10^{-7}	4.00
160	3.86×10^{-4}	1.96	3.86×10^{-4}	1.96	2.34×10^{-8}	4.00	2.34×10^{-8}	4.00
320	9.73×10^{-5}	1.99	9.73×10^{-5}	1.99	1.46×10^{-9}	4.00	1.46×10^{-9}	4.00
640	2.44×10^{-5}	2.00	2.44×10^{-5}	2.00	9.14×10^{-11}	4.00	9.14×10^{-11}	4.00

TABLE 5.9. $s = 0.4$.

n	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^C(s) $	δ_C	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^S(s) $	δ_S	$ \varphi(s) - \varphi_n^M(s) $	δ_M	$ \varphi(s) - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M(s) $	δ_{MI}
40	1.66×10^{-3}		1.66×10^{-3}		1.85×10^{-6}		1.85×10^{-6}	
80	4.64×10^{-4}	1.84	4.64×10^{-4}	1.84	1.15×10^{-7}	4.00	1.15×10^{-7}	4.00
160	1.19×10^{-4}	1.96	1.19×10^{-4}	1.96	7.22×10^{-9}	4.00	7.22×10^{-9}	4.00
320	3.01×10^{-5}	1.99	3.01×10^{-5}	1.99	4.52×10^{-10}	4.00	4.52×10^{-10}	4.00
640	7.53×10^{-6}	2.00	7.53×10^{-6}	2.00	2.82×10^{-11}	4.00	2.82×10^{-11}	4.00

5.2. Example 2. Consider

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi(s) - \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{s + t + \varphi(t)} = f(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1,$$

where f is so chosen that

$$\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{t + c}, \quad c > 0$$

is a solution of (5.3).

We choose $c = 1$ and $c = 0.1$. The results are worse in the case of $c = 0.1$, since the exact solution is ill behaved.

In this example, we need to evaluate integrals numerically. If X_n is the space of piecewise constant functions with respect to the partition (5.1), then in both the cases of interpolatory projection at the midpoints of the subintervals and of the orthogonal projection, the order of convergence in the iterated version of the modified projection method is $1/n^4$ and it is the least as compared to the other three methods which we consider. Hence, we choose composite Simpson rule with respect to the partition (5.1) to evaluate the integrals numerically. If X_n is the space of piecewise linear functions with respect to the partition (5.1), and the interpolation points are Gauss 2 points, then the order of convergence in the iterated version of the modified projection method is $1/n^8$. In this case, we choose composite Gauss 2 point rule with respect to a uniform partition with n^2 subintervals as the approximate quadrature rule.

5.2.1. Collocation at Gauss points. For $r = 0, 1$, let X_n be the space of piecewise polynomials of degree $\leq r$ with respect to the partition (5.1). The collocation points are chosen to be $r + 1$ Gauss points in each subinterval. The expected orders of convergence are as follows:

$$\delta_C = r + 1, \quad \delta_S = 2r + 2, \quad \delta_M = 3r + 3, \quad \delta_{MI} = 4r + 4.$$

The results are given in Tables 5.10–5.13.

TABLE 5.10. $\varphi(t) = 1/(t + 1); r = 0$.

n	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^C\ _\infty$	δ_C	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^S\ _\infty$	δ_S	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_M	$\ \varphi - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_{MI}
2	1.09×10^{-1}		2.64×10^{-3}		8.46×10^{-4}		2.38×10^{-5}	
4	5.67×10^{-2}	0.95	6.07×10^{-4}	2.12	1.03×10^{-4}	3.04	1.37×10^{-6}	4.12
8	2.85×10^{-2}	0.99	1.44×10^{-4}	2.07	1.24×10^{-5}	3.05	8.18×10^{-8}	4.07
16	1.37×10^{-2}	1.06	3.52×10^{-5}	2.04	1.45×10^{-6}	3.09	4.99×10^{-9}	4.04
32	6.10×10^{-3}	1.17	8.67×10^{-6}	2.02	1.59×10^{-7}	3.19	3.08×10^{-10}	4.02

TABLE 5.11. $\varphi(t) = 1/(t + 1); r = 1$.

n	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^C\ _\infty$	δ_C	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^S\ _\infty$	δ_S	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_M	$\ \varphi - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_{MI}
2	7.60×10^{-2}		1.36×10^{-3}		5.06×10^{-4}		6.47×10^{-5}	
4	2.64×10^{-2}	1.53	8.18×10^{-5}	4.05	1.07×10^{-5}	5.56	2.09×10^{-7}	8.27
8	7.92×10^{-3}	1.74	4.68×10^{-6}	4.13	1.85×10^{-7}	5.86	8.45×10^{-10}	7.95
16	2.13×10^{-3}	1.90	2.84×10^{-7}	4.04	3.07×10^{-9}	5.90	3.35×10^{-12}	7.98
32	5.17×10^{-4}	2.04	1.76×10^{-8}	4.01	4.74×10^{-11}	6.02	1.34×10^{-14}	7.96

TABLE 5.12. $\varphi(t) = 1/(t + 0.1)$; $r = 0$.

n	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^C\ _\infty$	δ_C	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^S\ $	δ_S	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_M	$\ \varphi - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_{MI}
2	5.56		1.12×10^{-3}		3.64×10^{-4}		7.80×10^{-6}	
4	3.68	0.59	6.73×10^{-4}	0.73	6.29×10^{-5}	2.53	4.20×10^{-7}	4.21
8	2.22	0.73	2.33×10^{-4}	1.53	8.85×10^{-6}	2.83	2.42×10^{-8}	4.12
16	1.19	0.90	6.76×10^{-5}	1.78	1.12×10^{-6}	2.99	1.45×10^{-9}	4.06
32	5.62×10^{-1}	1.08	1.82×10^{-5}	1.89	1.27×10^{-7}	3.14	8.89×10^{-11}	4.03

TABLE 5.13. $\varphi(t) = 1/(t + 0.1)$; $r = 1$.

n	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^C\ _\infty$	δ_C	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^S\ $	δ_S	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_M	$\ \varphi - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_{MI}
2	6.02		1.03×10^{-3}		9.39×10^{-5}		1.14×10^{-4}	
4	3.95	0.61	2.19×10^{-3}	-1.08	1.19×10^{-4}	-0.35	2.84×10^{-7}	8.65
8	2.17	0.87	4.08×10^{-4}	2.43	3.30×10^{-6}	5.18	1.10×10^{-9}	8.01
16	9.41×10^{-1}	1.20	3.85×10^{-5}	3.40	4.99×10^{-8}	6.05	4.35×10^{-12}	7.99
32	3.20×10^{-1}	1.55	2.80×10^{-6}	3.78	7.00×10^{-10}	6.16	1.78×10^{-14}	7.93

5.2.2. Orthogonal projection. Let X_n be the space of piecewise constant functions and π_n the orthogonal projection from $L^2[0, 1]$ onto X_n . The expected orders of convergence are as follows:

$$\delta_G = 1, \quad \delta_S = 2, \quad \delta_M = 3, \quad \delta_{MI} = 4.$$

The results are given in Tables 5.14–5.15.

TABLE 5.14. $\varphi(t) = 1/(t + 1)$.

n	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^G\ _\infty$	δ_G	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^S\ $	δ_S	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_M	$\ \varphi - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_{MI}
2	1.85×10^{-1}		7.15×10^{-3}		3.92×10^{-3}		1.75×10^{-4}	
4	1.05×10^{-1}	0.82	1.48×10^{-3}	2.28	4.90×10^{-4}	3.00	9.34×10^{-6}	4.22
8	5.58×10^{-2}	0.91	3.17×10^{-4}	2.21	5.86×10^{-5}	3.06	5.41×10^{-7}	4.11
16	2.83×10^{-2}	0.98	7.21×10^{-5}	2.14	6.90×10^{-6}	3.09	3.26×10^{-8}	4.05
32	1.36×10^{-2}	1.05	1.71×10^{-5}	2.08	7.96×10^{-7}	3.12	2.00×10^{-9}	4.03

TABLE 5.15. $\varphi(t) = 1/(t + 0.1)$.

n	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^G\ _\infty$	δ_G	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^S\ $	δ_S	$\ \varphi - \varphi_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_M	$\ \varphi - \tilde{\varphi}_n^M\ _\infty$	δ_{MI}
2	6.18		3.99×10^{-2}		7.51×10^{-3}		8.42×10^{-5}	
4	4.74	0.38	1.09×10^{-2}	1.87	1.07×10^{-3}	2.81	4.69×10^{-6}	4.16
8	3.33	0.51	2.91×10^{-3}	1.90	1.44×10^{-4}	2.90	2.91×10^{-7}	4.01
16	2.07	0.69	7.68×10^{-4}	1.92	1.85×10^{-5}	2.96	1.85×10^{-8}	3.97
32	1.14	0.86	1.99×10^{-4}	1.95	2.25×10^{-6}	3.04	1.18×10^{-9}	3.98

Remark 5.3. Note that the computed values of orders of convergence in all the cases are as expected.

REFERENCES

1. K.E. Atkinson, *A survey of numerical methods for solving nonlinear integral equations*, J. Int. Eqns. Appl. **4** (1992), 15–46.
2. ———, *The numerical solutions of integral equations of the second kind*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
3. K. Atkinson, I. Graham and I. Sloan, *Piecewise continuous collocation for integral equations*, SIAM J. Num. Anal. **20** (1983), 172–186.
4. K.E. Atkinson and F.A. Potra, *Projection and iterated projection methods for nonlinear integral equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **24** (1987), 1352–1373.
5. ———, *The discrete Galerkin method for nonlinear integral equations*, J. Int. Eqns. Appl. **1** (1988), 17–54.
6. C. De Boor and B. Swartz, *Collocation at Gaussian points*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **10** (1973), 582–606.
7. L. Grammont, *A Galerkin's perturbation type method to approximate a fixed point of a compact operator*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2011), 1–14.
8. L. Grammont and R.P. Kulkarni, *A superconvergent projection method for nonlinear compact operator equations*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **342** (2006), 215–218.
9. M.A. Krasnoselskii, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Pergamon Press, London, 1964.
10. M.A. Krasnoselskii, G.M. Vainikko, P.P. Zabreiko, et al., *Approximate solution of operator equations*, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, 1972.
11. M.A. Krasnoselskii and P.P. Zabreiko, *Geometrical methods of nonlinear analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
12. R.P. Kulkarni, *A superconvergence result for solutions of compact operator equations*, Bull. Austr. Math. Soc. **68** (2003), 517–528.
13. R.P. Kulkarni and N. Gnaneshwar, *Spectral refinement using a new projection method*, ANZIAM J. **46** (2004), 203–224.
14. G.M. Vainikko, *Galerkin's perturbation method and general theory of approximate methods for non-linear equations*, USSR Comput. Math. Math Phys. **7** (1967), 1–41.

UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, 23, RUE DU DR PAUL MICHELON, 42023, SAINT-ÉTIENNE CEDEX 2, FRANCE
Email address: laurence.grammont@univ-st-etienne.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, I.I.T. BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI 400076, INDIA
Email address: rpk@math.iitb.ac.in

FACULDADE DE ECONOMIA DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO AND CENTRO MATEMÁTICA DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO, PORTUGAL
Email address: pjv@fep.up.pt