# EFFECTIVE BOUNDS FOR THE SINGULAR VALUES OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS

#### V. FABER AND G. MILTON WING

I. Introduction. In [1, 11] results on the asymptotic behavior of condition numbers of matrices arising in the numerical treatment of integral equations of the first kind are presented. These are partially based on a theorem of Chang [2], which provides information on the asymptotic properties of singular values of certain integral operators. Chang's paper is a natural outgrowth of a long sequence of investigations of eigenvalues of such operators (see, e.g., [4, 8, 9]).

From the viewpoint of the numerical analyst, the estimates in [1, 11], being asymptotic, are rather unsatisfactory. Much more valuable would be actual bounds. A careful examination of Chang's work [3] reveals that such bounds are available there, but at the cost of a great deal of labor. We present here a simplified version of the proof of Chang. The desired bounds are an immediate by-product.

In Section II we prove a result on singular values of products of operators. (This maybe extracted from theorems of Weyl and Horn [6, 10]. We provide an elementary proof.) In §III this result is applied to the integral operators of primary interest, but under a somewhat restrictive hypothesis. This assumption is completely removed in §IV, and the desired bounds are obtained. In the final section, the possible extension of results to more general integral operators is discussed. Some classical eigenvalue bounds are obtained in the Appendix.

II. A basic lemma. We consider integral operators K, L, and M where

(2.1) 
$$K_{\bullet} = \int_0^1 K(x, y) \cdot dy,$$

with similar representations for L and M. All kernels are assumed to be in  $L_2$ . Denote by  $\kappa_j$  the singular values of K, with  $\lambda_j$  and  $\mu_j$  the

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Received by the editors on March 27, 1986.

corresponding values for L and M. We assume the singular values ordered by magnitude.

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose K = LM. Then

$$(2.2) \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \dots \kappa_n \leq (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_n) (\mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n), \quad n = 1, 2 \dots$$

PROOF. Let  $\varphi_j$  and  $\psi_j$  be the normalized singular functions belonging to  $\kappa$ :

(2.3) 
$$K\varphi_j = \kappa_j \psi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Consider the space S generated by  $\{M\varphi_1, M\varphi_2, \ldots, M\varphi_n\}$ . Assume for the moment that S is of dimension n, and let  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n$  be an orthonormal set spanning S. Form the n by n Galerkin matrices

(2.4) 
$$\hat{K} = (\psi_j, K\varphi_j), \\
\hat{L} = (\psi_j, L\theta_j), \\
\hat{M} = (\theta_j, M\varphi_j)$$

with singular values  $\hat{\kappa}_m$ ,  $\hat{\lambda}_m$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_m$ . Obviously,  $\hat{\kappa}_m = \kappa_m$ . Also

$$(2.5) \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \dots \kappa_n = \det \hat{K}.$$

By a theorem of linear algebra [5],

$$\hat{K} = \hat{L}\hat{M}.$$

Therefore,

(2.7) 
$$\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \dots \kappa_n = \det \hat{L} \det \hat{M} \\ = (\hat{\lambda}_1 \hat{\lambda}_2 \dots \hat{\lambda}_n) (\hat{\mu}_1 \hat{\mu}_2 \dots \hat{\mu}_n).$$

It is proved in [1] by an application of the mini-max principle [3, 7] that

(2.8) 
$$\hat{\lambda}_m \le \lambda_m, \quad \hat{\mu}_m \le \mu_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The result is now immediate.

The condition that S be of dimension n must be removed. If it is of lower dimension, then M annihilates a linear combination of  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_n$ . Therefore,  $\mu_j = 0$  for some  $j \leq n$ . Hence all succeeding singular values are zero, and the same holds for the  $\kappa$ 's. Thus (2.2) continues to hold.

# III. The preliminary theorem. We begin with another lemma.

LEMMA 3.1.

$$\kappa_n \leq \frac{\varepsilon_n \mid\mid K \mid\mid}{\sqrt{n}},$$

where  $|| \cdots ||$  indicates the usual  $L_2$  norm and  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\varepsilon_n \leq 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

PROOF. It is a classical result that (see [3])

$$(3.1) \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \kappa_j^2 \le ||K||^2.$$

Hence

(3.2) 
$$n\kappa_n^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^n \kappa_j^2 \le ||K||^2$$

and

(3.3) 
$$\kappa_n \le \frac{\parallel K \parallel}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists N such that, for  $n, m \geq N$ ,

$$(3.4) \sum_{m}^{n} \kappa_j^2 < \varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$(3.5) (n-m)\kappa_n^2 < \varepsilon.$$

Fix m and choose n large enough so that  $m\kappa_n^2 < \varepsilon$ . From (3.5),

$$(3.6) n\kappa_n^2 \le 2\varepsilon,$$

and the proof is complete.□

LEMMA 3.2. The operator

$$(3.7) L \cdot = \int_0^x 1 \cdot dy$$

has singular values  $\lambda_n = ((n+1/2)\pi)^{-1}$ .

PROOF. A simple computation provides the result.

LEMMA 3.3. Let  $K(0,y) = \frac{\partial K}{\partial x}(0,y) = \cdots = \frac{\partial^s K}{\partial x^s}(0,y) = 0$  for almost all y. Further suppose that  $\frac{\partial^{s+1}}{\partial x^{s+1}}K(x,y) \in L_2$  and that

(3.8) 
$$\frac{\partial^s K}{\partial x^s}(x,y) = \int_0^x \frac{\partial^{s+1} K}{\partial z^{s+1}}(z,y)dz$$

for almost all y. Then

(3.9) 
$$\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \dots \kappa_n \le \frac{\varepsilon_n \mid \mid \frac{\partial^{s+1}}{\partial x^{s+1}} K \mid \mid^n}{\pi^{n(s+1)} (n!)^{1/2}} \prod_{n=1}^n (p+1/2)^{-(s+1)},$$

where  $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \le 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ 

PROOF. We first establish the result for s=0. In Lemma 2.1 select L as in Lemma 3.2. Let M be the integral operator with kernel  $\partial K/\partial x$ . From Lemma 3.1 we obtain

(3.10) 
$$\mu_n = \varepsilon_n' \left\| \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} \right\| n^{-1/2}, \quad \varepsilon_n' \to 0, \ \varepsilon_n' \le 1, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Thus

(3.11) 
$$\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2} \dots \kappa_{n} \leq \prod_{p=1}^{n} (\pi(p+1/2))^{-1} \prod_{p=1}^{n} \left(\varepsilon_{p}' \left| \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} \right| \right| p^{-1/2} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\varepsilon_{n} \left| \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} \right| \right|^{n}}{\pi^{n} (n!)^{1/2}} \prod_{p=1}^{n} (p+1/2)^{-1},$$
$$\varepsilon_{n} = \prod_{p=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{p}'.$$

Clearly (3.11) agrees with (3.9) for s=0. Proceeding inductively, we suppose the result holds for s=s'. Choose L as before and select M as the operator with kernel  $\frac{\partial^{s'}K}{\partial x^{s'}}$ . Then (3.12)

$$\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}...\kappa_{n} \leq \prod_{p=1}^{n} ((p+1/2)\pi)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{n} \parallel \frac{\partial^{s'+1}}{\partial x^{s'+1}} \parallel^{n}}{\pi^{ns'}(n!)^{1/2} \prod_{p=1}^{n} (p+1/2)^{s'}}$$
$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon_{n} \parallel \frac{\partial^{s'+1}K}{\partial x^{s'+1}} \parallel^{n}}{\pi^{n(s'+1)}(n!)^{1/2}} \prod_{p=1}^{n} (p+1/2)^{-(s'+1)}.$$

This completes the induction.  $\Box$ 

We have a preliminary theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. If K(x,y) satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3, then

(3.13) 
$$\kappa_{n} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{n} \parallel \frac{\partial^{s+1}}{\partial x^{s+1}} K \parallel}{\pi^{s+1} (n!)^{1/2n}} \prod_{p=1}^{n} (p+1/2)^{-(1+s')/n}$$
$$= B_{n}^{s}, \quad \varepsilon_{n} \leq 1, \varepsilon_{n} \to 0.$$

PROOF. We observe that  $\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \dots \kappa_n \geq k_n^n$ . The result follows from (3.12) except that we get  $\varepsilon_n^{1/n}$ . Note, however, that (see (3.11))

$$\varepsilon_n^{1/n} = \{\prod_{p=1}^n \varepsilon_p'\}^{1/n} \le 1/n \sum_{p=1}^n \varepsilon_p' \to 0.$$

Clearly  $\varepsilon_n^{1/n} \leq 1.\square$ 

IV. Removal of the restrictions on K at x=0. We now suppose the condition  $\frac{\partial^j K}{\partial x^j}(0,y)=0,\ j=0,1,2,\ldots,s$  fails to hold. Define

$$\tilde{K}(x,y) = K(x,y) - N(x,y),$$

where

(4.2) 
$$N(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{s} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^{j} K}{\partial x^{j}} (0,y) x^{j}.$$

Observe that

(4.3) 
$$\frac{\partial^{p} \tilde{K}}{\partial x^{p}}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^{p} K}{\partial x^{p}}(x,y) - \sum_{j=0}^{s-p} \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^{j+p} K(0,y)}{\partial x^{j+p}} x^{j},$$
$$p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s,$$

so that

(4.4) 
$$\frac{\partial^p \tilde{K}(0,y)}{\partial x^p} = 0, \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

Assume that  $\frac{\partial^{s+1}}{\partial x^{s+1}}K(x,y) \in L_2$  and that

(4.5) 
$$\frac{\partial^{s} K}{\partial x^{s}}(x,y), \quad -\frac{\partial^{s} K(0,y)}{\partial x^{s}} = \int_{0}^{x} \frac{\partial^{s+1} K(z,y) dz}{\partial z^{s+1}}$$

for almost all y. Then  $\tilde{K}(x,y)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3, and the conclusions of the lemma apply to the singular values  $\tilde{\kappa}_i$  of  $\tilde{K}$ .

LEMMA 4.1. Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two compact linear operators in  $L_2$ . Denote their singular values by  $\sigma_j(T_k), k = 1, 2, j = 1, 2, \ldots$  Then if  $T = T_1 + T_2$ ,

(4.6) 
$$\sigma_{p+q-1}(T) \le \sigma_p(T_1) + \sigma_q(T_2), \quad p+q > 1.$$

PROOF. The analogue of this theorem for eigenvalues can be established by the mini-max principle (see [7]). The proof for singular values is virtually the same.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 4.1. Let  $K(0,y), \frac{\partial K}{\partial x}(0,y), \ldots, \frac{\partial^s K(0,y)}{\partial x^s}$  be in  $L_2$ . Let m(s) be the number of these functions that are not zero for almost all y. Further, suppose that  $\frac{\partial^{s+1}k}{\partial x^{s+1}}(x,y) \in L_2$  and that

(4.7) 
$$\frac{\partial^s K}{\partial x^s}(x,y) - \frac{\partial^s K}{\partial x^s}(0,y) = \int_0^x \frac{\partial^{s+1} K}{\partial z^{s+1}}(z,y)dz.$$

Then

$$(4.8) \kappa_{n+m(s)} \le B_n^s, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $B_n^s$  is given by (3.13).

PROOF. Write, in the notation of Lemma 4.1,

(4.9) 
$$\sigma_{p+q-1}(K) \le \sigma_p(\tilde{K}) + \sigma_q(N).$$

Observe that N has separable kernel of order at most m(s), and is therefore equivalent to a matrix operator of the same order. The operator N can thus have no more than m(s) non-zero singular values. Thus

(4.10) 
$$\sigma_j(N) = 0, \quad j \ge m(s) + 1.$$

In (4.9), choose p = n, q = m(s) + 1. This yields

(4.11) 
$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{n+m(s)} &= \sigma_{n+m(s)}(K) \\ &\leq \sigma_{n}(\tilde{K}) + \sigma_{m(s)+1}(N) \\ &= \tilde{\kappa}_{n} \leq B_{n}^{s}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

It should be noted that we have chosen to concentrate interest on  $\kappa_n$  rather than on  $\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \ldots \kappa_n$ . Thus some information has been lost in our

development. Further simplification can be achieved by estimating  $B_n^s$ .

Theorem 4.3. The quantity  $B_n^s$  satisfies

(4.12) 
$$B_{1}^{s} \leq (2/3)^{1+s} \left\| \frac{\partial^{s+1} K}{\partial x^{s+1}} \right\|_{\pi^{-s-1}},$$

$$B_{n}^{s} \leq \varepsilon_{n} \sqrt{\pi} (.910)^{s+3/2} \left\| \frac{\partial^{s+1} K}{\partial x^{s+1}} \right\|_{\pi^{-(s+3/2)}}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots.$$

PROOF. From (3.13),

(4.13) 
$$B_{n}^{s} = \frac{\varepsilon_{n} \parallel \frac{\partial^{s+1} K}{\partial x^{s+1}} \parallel}{\pi^{s+1} (n!)^{1/2n}} \prod_{p=1}^{n} (p+1/2)^{-(1+s)/n} \\ < \frac{\varepsilon_{n} \parallel \frac{\partial^{s+1} K}{\partial x^{s+1}} \parallel}{\pi^{s+1} (n!)^{(s+3/2)/n}}.$$

By Stirling's formula

$$(4.14) n! \ge \sqrt{2\pi}e^{-n-1}(n+1)^{n+1/2},$$

so that

(4.15) 
$$(n!)^{1/n} \ge \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\right)^{1/n} e^{-1} n.$$

Now, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$(4.16) \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} > .350.$$

Thus, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$(4.17) B_n^s < \varepsilon_n \sqrt{\pi} \left( \frac{.910}{n} \right)^{s+3/2} \left| \left| \frac{\partial^{s+1} K}{\partial x^{s+1}} \right| \right|.$$

The result for  $B_1^s$  is obtained directly from (4.13). This completes the proof. It should be observed that the result of Chang [2] follows at

once from Theorem 4.3.  $\square$ 

V. Summary and remarks. We have presented a new and relatively transparent proof of a theorem of Chang. The approach has easily produced effective bounds on the singular values of integral operators. Chang's efforts actually represented a partial extension to singular values of results of Hille and Tamarkin on eigenvalues (see the Appendix). The methods of this article can be extended in such a way as to obtain a much more complete extension. That matter will be covered in a forthcoming paper.

### APPENDIX

#### A CLASSICAL RESULT OF HILLE AND TAMARKIN

In the early studies, the behavior of the eigenvalues of integral operators, rather than of the singular values, was investigated. Methods often used the Fredholm determinant and rather deep results from analytic function theory. In particular, Hille and Tamarkin [4] showed that if K(x,y) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 and  $\Lambda_j$  are the eigenvalues of  $K_j$  arranged so that  $|\Lambda_{i+1}| \leq |\Lambda_i|$ , then

(A.1) 
$$|\Lambda_n| = o(n^{-s-3/2}).$$

(see also Chang [2].) An easy proof is now available.

According to a result of Weyl [10],

$$(A.2) |\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \dots \Lambda_n| < \kappa_1 \kappa_2 | \kappa_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now, for n > m(s), (A.3)  $\Pi_{j=1}^{n} \kappa_{j} = \Pi_{j=1}^{m(s)} \kappa_{j} \Pi_{j=1}^{n-m(s)} \kappa_{j+m(s)}$   $\leq M_{s} \Pi_{j=1}^{n-m(s)} \tilde{\kappa}_{j}$   $\leq \frac{M_{s} \left( \Pi_{p=1}^{n-m(s)} \varepsilon_{p}' \right) \parallel \frac{\partial^{s+1} K}{\partial x^{s+1}} \parallel^{n-m(s)}}{\pi^{(n-m(s))(s+1)} ((n-m(s))!)^{1/2}} \cdot \Pi_{p=1}^{n-m(s)} (p+1/2)^{-(s+1)}.$ 

Here,  $M_s$  is a constant and we have used Lemma 3.3 applied to  $\tilde{\kappa}$ . Upon noting that

and making use of the kinds of estimates used in Theorem 4.3, we readily obtain (A.1).

## REFERENCES

- 1. R.C. Allen, Jr., W.R. Boland, V. Faber, and G.M. Wing, Singular values and condition numbers of Galerkin matrices arising from linear integral equations of the first kind, J. of Math. Anal. and Appl. 109 (1985), 564-590.
- 2. S.H. Chang, A generalization of a theorem of Hille and Tamarkin with applications, Proc. London Math. Soc. 2 (1952), 22-29.
- 3. J.A. Cochran, The Analysis of Linear Integral Equations, McGraw Hill, New York, 1972.
- 4. E. Hille and J.D. Tamarkin, On the characteristic values of linear integral equations, Acta Math 57 (1931), 1-76.
- 5. K. Hoffman and R. Kunze, *Linear Algebra*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1961.
- **6.** A. Horn, On the singular values of a product of completely continuous operators, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 36 (1950), 374-375.
- 7. F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, Functional Analysis, F. Ungar, New York, New York, 1955.
- 8. F. Smithies, The eigenvalues and singular values of integral equations, Proc. London Math. Soc. 43 (1937) 255-279.
- **9.** H. Weyl, Über die Asymptotische Verteilung der Eigenwerte, Nach. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen (1911), 119-117.
- 10. ——, Inequalities between the two kinds of eigenvalues of a linear transformation, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 35 (1949), 408-411.
- 11. G.M. Wing, Condition numbers of matrices arising from the numerical solution of integral equations of the first kind, J. of Int. Eq. 9 (Supp.) (1985), 191-204.

Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545