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#### Abstract

Let $R=k[[x, y, u, v]]$ over a field $k, I=\langle u, v\rangle$ and $p=x u+y v$. Hartshorne has proved that $H_{I}^{2}(R / p R)$ is not artinian. We show that the same is true for every element $p$ of $(x, y) R$. In fact, we show an even stronger statement. We use Matlis duals of local cohomology modules.


1. Introduction. It is an interesting question to determine if a given local cohomology module $H_{I}^{i}(M)$ is artinian, where $I$ is an ideal of a local ring $(R, m)$ and $M$ is a finite $R$-module; this is one of Huneke's problems on local cohomology (see [3, third problem]). In this note, we prove that a large class of local cohomology modules is not artinian:

Theorem 1.1. Let $(R, m)$ be a local, complete ring, $n=\operatorname{dim}(R) \geq$ 4. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ of height $n-2$ such that $H_{I}^{n-1}(R)=H_{I}^{n}(R)=$ 0 . Let $a, b \in R$ such that $(a, b) R$ is a prime ideal of height two and such that $a, b$ defines a system of parameters for $R / I$. Then, for every $p \in(a, b) R, H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)$ is not artinian.

We actually prove something stronger: the Matlis dual $D\left(H_{I}^{n-2}\right.$ $(R / p R))$ has infinitely many associated prime ideals and is therefore not noetherian.

Theorem 1.1 immediately specializes to the following result which was proved by Hartshorne ([2, Section 3]): $H_{I}^{2}(R)$ is not artinian, where $R=k[[x, y, u, v]] /(x u+y v), k$ is a field and $I \subseteq R$ is the ideal generated by the classes of $u$ and $v$ in $R$; in fact, according to Theorem 1.1, we can replace $x u+y v$ by any element of $(x, y) R$ and the statement is still true.

[^0]In Theorem 2.3 of [6], Marley and Vassilev generalize Hartshorne's example in a different direction; due to different hypotheses, their and our generalization can be compared only in a special case, see Example 2.7 for details.
2. Results. Let $(R, m)$ be a noetherian local ring; by $E$ we denote a fixed $R$-injective hull of $R / m$ and by $D$ the Matlis dual functor for $R$-modules, i e. $D(M):=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, E)$ for an $R$-module $M$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $(R, m)$ be a local, noetherian ring, $n:=$ $\operatorname{dim}(R) \geq 4$. Let $I$ be an ideal of $R$ of height $n-2$ such that $H_{I}^{n-1}(R)=H_{I}^{n}(R)=0$. Let $a, b \in R$ such that $(a, b) R$ is a prime ideal of height two and such that $a, b$ defines a system of parameters for $R / I$. Then, for every $p \in(a, b) R$, the set

$$
\left\{Q \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R}\left(D\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R)\right)\right) \mid p \in Q\right\}
$$

is infinite. In particular, if $R$ is complete, $H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)$ is not artinian.

Proof. We may assume that $p \notin b R$, because: If $p \in b R$, then we first replace $p$ by $b$ (this is possible because $V(b R) \subseteq V(p R)$ ); now we have $p \notin(b-a) R$ (because $p \in(b-a) R$ implies $b-a \mid p=b$ and hence $(a, b) R=(b-a, b) R=(b-a) R$, contradiction) and replace $b$ by $b-a$.
Because of $p \notin b R$, there exists, by Krull's Intersection Theorem, $q \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$such that

$$
p \in\left(a^{q}, b\right) R \backslash\left(a^{q+1}, b\right) R .
$$

In particular, there are $f, g \in R$ such that

$$
p=f a^{q}+g b
$$

$f$ is not an element of $(a, b) R$, because otherwise there would be $u, v \in R$ such that $f=u a+v b$ and hence $p=u a^{q+1}+\left(g+v a^{q}\right) b \in\left(a^{q+1}, b\right) R$.
Now, choose $x \in I \backslash(a, b) R$ arbitrary (this is possible as the height of $I+(a, b) R$ is $n$ which is $>2)$. For every $l \in \mathbb{N}^{+}$, we have

$$
p=f\left(a^{q}+x^{l} g\right)+g\left(b-x^{l} f\right)
$$

and hence $p \in I_{l}:=\left(a^{q}+x^{l} g, b-x^{l} f\right) R$. The height of $I_{l}$ is two (because $\sqrt{I_{l}+x R}=\sqrt{(a, b, x) R}$ and $3=\operatorname{height}(a, b, x) R=\operatorname{height}\left(I_{l}+x R\right) \leq$
height $\left.\left(I_{l}\right)+1\right)$. Clearly, $a^{q}+x^{l} g, b-x^{l} f$ defines a system of parameters for $R / I$; this implies that there exists a $p_{l} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R}\left(D\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R)\right)\right)$ containing $I_{l}$ (because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R / I_{l}, D\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R)\right)\right) & =\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R / I_{l}, \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R), E\right)\right) \\
& =D\left(\left(R / I_{l}\right) \otimes_{R} H_{I}^{n-2}(R)\right) \\
& =D\left(H_{I}^{n-2}\left(R / I_{l}\right)\right) \\
& =D\left(H_{m}^{n-2}\left(R / I_{l}\right)\right) \\
& \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

by the right-exactness of $H_{I}^{n-2}$; note that the dimension of $R / I_{l}$ is precisely $n-2$, because: It is at least $n-2$ because $I_{l}$ is generated by two elements and it is at most $n-2$ because the height of $I_{l}$ is two). The height of $p_{l}$ is two by Lemma 2.3. For $l, l^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}^{+}, l \neq l^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\sqrt{I_{l}+I_{l^{\prime}}}=\sqrt{(x, a, b) R} \cap \sqrt{(f, g, a, b) R}
$$

in particular, the height of $I_{l}+I_{l^{\prime}}$ is three (both $f$ and $x$ are not in $(a, b) R$ by construction); therefore, $p_{l} \neq p_{l^{\prime}}$.
$H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)$ is not artinian because its Matlis dual is

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)\right) & =\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R), E\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left((R / p R) \otimes_{R} H_{I}^{n-2}(R), E\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R / p R, \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R), E\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R / p R, D\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R)\right)\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

but the latter module has infinitely many associated prime ideals and thus is not noetherian.

Remark 2.2. Note that, in the situation of Theorem 2.1, it can easily happen that $H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)$ consists only of $m$-torsion: This is for example the case if $R$ is complete and $p$ is a prime element of $R$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(R / p R)=n-1$ and all minimal prime divisors of $I+p R$ have height $n-2$ (because then, for every prime ideal $q \neq m$ of $R / p R$ one has $H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)_{q}=H_{I+p R}^{n-2}\left((R / p R)_{q}\right)=0$ by Hartshorne-Lichtenbaum vanishing). In those cases the socle dimension of $H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)$ is infinite because of Theorem 2.1 and the general fact that an $R$-module
is artinian iff it is only $m$-torsion and its socle has finite (vector space) dimension.

Lemma 2.3. Let $(R, m)$ be a noetherian local ring, $n:=\operatorname{dim}(R)$. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal of height $n-2$ such that $H_{I}^{n-1}(R)=H_{I}^{n}(R)=0$. Set $D:=D\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R)\right)$. Then height $q \leq 2$ for every $q \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R}(D)$.

Proof. For $q \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R}(D)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \neq \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R / q, D) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R / q, \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R), E\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left((R / q) \otimes_{R} H_{I}^{n-2}(R), E\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R / q), E\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows that $\operatorname{dim}(R / q) \geq 2$ and hence height $q \leq 2$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $(R, m)$ be a noetherian, local, complete, regular ring containing a separably closed coefficient field, $\operatorname{dim}(R):=n$. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an height $n-2$ ideal such that $R / I$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $a, b \in R$ such that $(a, b) R$ is a prime ideal of $R$ and such that $a, b$ define a system of parameters for $R / I$. Then, for every $p \in(a, b) R$, the set

$$
\left\{Q \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R}\left(D\left(H_{I}^{n-2}(R)\right)\right) \mid p \in Q\right\}
$$

is infinite. In particular, $H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)$ is not artinian.

Proof. All hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled: The height of $(a, b) R$ is necessarily two because $\sqrt{(a, b) R+I}=m$ and $R$ is regular (the height of the sum of two ideals is at most the sum of their heights). $\operatorname{Spec}(R / I)$ is two-dimensional and is connected in codimension one because $R / I$ is Cohen-Macaulay (this is well-known; it follows e. g. from a Mayer-Vietoris-sequence argument); hence, by a well-known vanishing theorem (e. g. [4, Theorem 2.9]), one has $H_{I}^{n-1}(R)=H_{I}^{n}(R)=0$.

Remark 2.5. Note that the statement of Corollary 2.4 remains true for an arbitrary field $k$ if we assume instead that $H_{I}^{n-1}(R)=0$;
this holds for example if $I$ is generated by a regular sequence or if $\operatorname{Spec}(R / I) \backslash\{m / I\}$ is formally geometrically connected, see [4 Theorem 2.9].

In particular, we may set $R:=k[[x, y, u, v]]$ where $k$ is a field with variables $x, y, u, v, I:=(u, v) R, p:=x u+y v$ and we get Hartshorne's example: $H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)$ is not artinian.

Marley and Vassilev have shown

Theorem. ([6, Theorem 2.3]) Let $(T, m)$ be a noetherian local ring of dimension at least two. Let $R=T\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a polynomial ring in $n$ variables over $T, I=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, and $f \in R$ a homogenous polynomial whose coefficients form a system of parameters for $T$. Then the *socle of $H_{I}^{n}(R / f R)$ is infinite dimensional. In particular, $H_{I}^{n}(R / f R)$ is not artinian.

Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3 of [6] are both generalizations of Hartshorne's example and can be compared in the following special case:

Example 2.6. Let $k$ be a field, $n \geq 4$,

$$
R_{0}=k\left[\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right]\right]\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-2}\right], \quad R=k\left[\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right]
$$

$I=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-2}\right) R, p$ a homogenous element of $R_{0}$. Then $[\mathbf{6}$, Theorem 2.3] says (implicitly) that

$$
H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)
$$

is not artinian, if the coefficients of $p \in R_{0}$ in $k\left[\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right]\right]$ form a system of parameters for $k\left[\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right]\right]$, while Theorem 2.1 says that $H_{I}^{n-2}(R / p R)$ is not artinian if $p$ is contained in $\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) R$, i. e. if none of the coefficients of $p$ is a unit in $k\left[\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right]\right]$.
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