

On a two-dimensional projectively connected space in the wide sense with torsion

By
 Yûzô GOTÔ

(Received April 15, 1954)

§ 1. Consider a two-dimensional space R_2 whose moving point is designed by parameters u and v . Suppose that a curve C drawn on R_2 is developed on a curve I' of a three-dimensional projective space S_3 by means of the projective connexion $\Gamma_{\alpha r}^{\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta=0, 1, 2, 3; r=1, 2$), the moving frame of reference $[A A_1 A_2 A_3]$ along to I' being defined by

$$(1 \cdot 1) \quad dA_{\alpha} = I'_{\alpha r} du^r A_3, \\ (A_0 \equiv A; \quad u^1 = u, \quad u^2 = v) \\ (\alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3; \quad r = 1, 2).$$

We shall denote hereafter by α, β, \dots the suffixes which take the values 0, 1, 2, 3; by $a, b, \dots i, j, \dots r, s, \dots$ those which take the values 1, 2, except for § 2.

We use the following notations :

$$(1 \cdot 2) \quad H_{rs} = \frac{1}{2} (I'_{rs} + I'_{sr}),$$

$$(1 \cdot 3) \quad H = \det |H_{rs}|,$$

$$(1 \cdot 4) \quad H_{rst} = \frac{1}{3} (A_r H_{st} + A_s H_{tr} + A_t H_{rs}),$$

where $A_t H_{rs} = \frac{\partial H_{rs}}{\partial u^t} - I'_{rt} H_{as} - I'_{st} H_{ra}$,

$$(1 \cdot 5) \quad H_r = \frac{1}{4} H_{rst} H^{st},$$

where H^{st} is the normalized cofactor of the element H_{ts} in H ,

$$(1 \cdot 6) \quad K_{rst} = H_{rst} - H_r H_{st} - H_s H_{tr} - H_t H_{rs}.$$

Let $R_{\alpha rs}^{\beta}$ be the curvature tensor relative to the connexion $\Gamma_{\alpha r}^{\beta}$, that is

$$(1.7) \quad R_{ars}^{\beta} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{ar}^{\beta}}{\partial u^s} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{as}^{\beta}}{\partial u^r} + \Gamma_{ar}^{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha s}^{\beta} - \Gamma_{as}^{\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha r}^{\beta}.$$

If we suppose that $H \neq 0$, we can make without loss of generality

$$(1.8) \quad \Gamma_{0r}^{\beta} = \delta_r^{\beta}, \quad \Gamma_{ar}^{\alpha} = 0, \quad \Gamma_{\beta r}^{\alpha} = 0,$$

$$(1.9) \quad H = 1, \quad H_r = 0,$$

$$(1.10) \quad K_{rs} = H_{rs}.$$

Moreover, if we take the asymptotic parameters u and v , we get

$$(1.11) \quad H_{11} = H_{22} = 0, \quad H_{12} = H_{21} = \pm 1.$$

§ 2. Suppose now that the curves on the n -dimensional space R_n with the dominant projective connexion are developed into a curve on a projective space S_N . We have the following theorem concerning the existence of a surface V_n which lies in S_N and has a contact of ν -th order with the development of R_n .*)

THEOREM. *The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a surface V_n having a contact of ν -th order are expressed by*

$$(2.1) \quad D_{i_\lambda} D_{i_{\lambda-1}} \cdots D_{i_1} R_{blm}^p = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq \nu - 3,$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} i, l, m = 1, 2, \dots, n; \\ p = n+1, \dots, N. \end{array} \right).$$

If these conditions are satisfied, and the equation of V_n is denoted by

$$(2.2) \quad z^p = \frac{1}{2} H_{ij}^p z^i z^j + \cdots + \frac{1}{\nu!} H_{i_1 \cdots i_\nu}^p z^{i_1} \cdots z^{i_\nu} + \cdots,$$

the coefficients $H_{i_1 \cdots i_\lambda}^p$ ($\lambda = 2, \dots, \nu$) are determined by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{i_1 \cdots i_\lambda}^p = & I_{i_\lambda} H_{i_1 \cdots i_{\lambda-1}}^p + \sum_{s=2}^{\lambda-3} (s-1) \sum_j H_{j_1 \cdots j_s}^p H_{j_{s+1} \cdots j_{\lambda-1}}^q I_{q i_\lambda}^0 \\ & + \sum_j (\lambda-3) H_{j_1 \cdots j_{\lambda-2}}^p I_{i_{\lambda-1} i_\lambda}^0 \\ & - \sum_{s=2}^{\lambda-2} \sum_j H_{j_1 \cdots j_s}^q H_{j_{s+1} \cdots j_{\lambda-1}}^p I_{q i_\lambda}^a, \\ & (q : n+1 \rightarrow N, \quad a : 1 \rightarrow n) \end{aligned}$$

and such $H_{i_1 \cdots i_\lambda}^p$ ($\lambda = 2, \dots, \nu-1$) are symmetric relative to $i_1 \cdots i_\lambda$, and moreover we have

*) J. Kanitani: "Sur les surfaces osculatrices à un espace à connexion projective majorante," Mem. Col. of Sci., Univ. Kyoto, Ser. A. XXVI, No. 3, 1951.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad D_{i_\lambda} \cdots D_{i_1} R_{0lm}^p &= (-1)^{\lambda-1} \{ H_{i_1 \cdots i_\lambda a}^p R_{0lm}^a + \sum_j H_{j_1 \cdots j_{\lambda-1} a}^p R_{j_\lambda l m}^a \\
 &\quad + \sum_{s=2}^{\lambda-1} \sum_j H_{j_1 \cdots j_s}^q H_{j_{s+1} \cdots j_\lambda a}^p R_{qlm}^a \\
 &\quad - (\lambda-1) H_{i_1 \cdots i_\lambda}^p R_{0lm}^0 - H_{i_1 \cdots i_\lambda}^q R_{qlm}^p \\
 &\quad - (\lambda-2) \sum_j H_{j_1 \cdots j_{\lambda-1}}^p R_{j_\lambda l m}^0 \\
 &\quad - \sum_{s=2}^{\lambda-2} (s-1) \sum_j H_{j_1 \cdots j_s}^p H_{j_{s+1} \cdots j_\lambda}^q R_{qlm}^0 \}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $2 \leq \lambda \leq \nu - 2$.

§ 3. Always, there is a surface Γ_2 having a contact of second order at the image point A of a point $P(uv)$ on R_2 with the development of any curve passing through the point $P(uv)$.

The condition for a contact of third order is

$$(3.1) \quad R_{0rs}^3 \equiv 0,$$

that is,

$$(3.2) \quad \Gamma_{rs}^3 = I_{rs}^3 = H_{rs}.$$

Then, such surface is expressed by

$$z^3 = \frac{1}{2} H_{rs} z^r z^s + \frac{1}{6} H_{rst} z^r z^s z^t + \dots$$

Next, owing to (1.8), the conditions for a contact of 4-th order are

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \equiv D_t R_{0rs}^3 = H_{at} R_{0r}^a - R_{trs}^3.$$

In (3.3), if we put $t=1$, $r=1$, $s=2$,

$$0 = H_{21} R_{012}^2 - R_{112}^3 = 2H_{21} \Gamma_{12}^2,$$

and if we put $t=2$, $r=1$, $s=2$,

$$0 = 2H_{12} I_{21}^2.$$

Consequently,

$$(3.4) \quad \Gamma_{12}^2 = I_{21}^2 = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{cases} K_{111} = -2H_{12} \Gamma_{11}^2, \\ K_{112} = K_{121} = K_{211} = K_{221} = K_{212} = K_{122} = 0, \\ K_{222} = -2H_{12} \Gamma_{22}^2. \end{cases}$$

For a contact of 5-th order, we have

$$(3 \cdot 6) \quad 0 = D_j D_i R_{0rs}^3 = -\{H_{ija} R_{0rs}^a + H_{ia} R_{jrs}^a + H_{ja} R_{irs}^a \\ - H_{ij}(R_{0rs}^0 + R_{0rs}^3)\}.$$

Multiply the right hand side by H^{ij} , and sum up those expressions, then we get

$$0 = R_{ars}^a - (R_{0rs}^0 + R_{0rs}^3).$$

But, in general, we have

$$0 = R_{ars}^a = R_{0rs}^0 + R_{ars}^a + R_{0rs}^3.$$

Hence,

$$(3 \cdot 7) \quad R_{ars}^a = 0, \quad R_{0rs}^0 + R_{0rs}^3 = 0.$$

Consequently, (3.6) becomes

$$(3 \cdot 8) \quad 0 = H_{ija} R_{0rs}^a + H_{ia} R_{jrs}^a + H_{ja} R_{irs}^a.$$

The coefficients H_{ijhk} are as follows:

$$(3 \cdot 9) \quad \begin{cases} H_{1111} = \frac{\partial K_{111}}{\partial u} - 3I_{11}^{-1}K_{111}, \\ H_{1112} = \frac{\partial K_{111}}{\partial v} + 3I_{22}^{-2}K_{111}, \\ H_{1122} = 2H_{12}(I_{22}^{-1}I_{11}^{-2} + I_{12}^{-0} - H_{12}I_{32}^{-2}) \\ \quad = 2H_{12}(I_{22}^{-1}I_{11}^{-2} + I_{21}^{-0} - H_{12}I_{31}^{-1}), \\ H_{2221} = \frac{\partial K_{222}}{\partial u} + 3I_{11}^{-1}K_{222}, \\ H_{2222} = \frac{\partial K_{222}}{\partial v} - 3I_{22}^{-2}K_{222}, \end{cases}$$

and H_{ijhk} are symmetric relative to i, j, h, k .

For order 6, by (3.7), we have

$$(3 \cdot 10) \quad 0 = D_k D_j D_i R_{0rs}^3 \\ = H_{ijk} R_{0rs}^a \\ + (H_{ija} R_{krs}^a + H_{ika} R_{jrs}^a + H_{ika} R_{irs}^a) \\ + (H_{ij} H_{ka} + H_{ki} H_{ja} + H_{jk} H_{ia}) R_{0rs}^a \\ - H_{ijk} R_{0rs}^0 - (H_{ij} R_{krs}^0 + H_{ki} R_{jrs}^0 + H_{jk} R_{irs}^0).$$

And if we put $\lambda=5$ in (2.3),

$$\left\{
 \begin{aligned}
 H_{11111} &= \frac{\partial^2 K_{111}}{(\partial u)^2} - 7I'_{111}\frac{\partial K_{111}}{\partial u} - 4I'_{111}^2\frac{\partial K_{111}}{\partial v} \\
 &\quad - K_{111}\left\{3\frac{\partial I'_{111}}{\partial u} - 8I'_{111}^0 - 12(I'_{111})^2 + 12I'_{111}^2I'_{222} + 4H_{12}I'_{332}\right\}, \\
 H_{11112} &= \frac{\partial^2 K_{111}}{\partial u \partial v} - 3I'_{111}\frac{\partial K_{111}}{\partial v} + 4I'_{222}\frac{\partial K_{111}}{\partial u} \\
 &\quad - K_{111}\left\{3\frac{\partial I'_{111}}{\partial v} - 8I'_{122}^0 + 12I'_{111}I'_{222}^2 + 4H_{12}I'_{322}\right\}, \\
 H_{11122} &= \frac{\partial^2 K_{111}}{(\partial v)^2} + 5I'_{222}\frac{\partial K_{111}}{\partial v} - I'_{222}\frac{\partial K_{111}}{\partial u} \\
 &\quad + K_{111}\left\{3\frac{\partial I'_{222}}{\partial v} + 2I'_{222}^0 + 3I'_{111}I'_{222}^2 + 6(I'_{222})^2 - 4H_{12}I'_{322}\right\}, \\
 H_{22211} &= \frac{\partial^2 K_{222}}{(\partial u)^2} + 5I'_{111}\frac{\partial K_{222}}{\partial u} - I'_{111}^2\frac{\partial K_{222}}{\partial v} \\
 &\quad + K_{222}\left\{3\frac{\partial I'_{111}}{\partial u} + 2I'_{111}^0 + 3I'_{222}^2I'_{111}^2 + 6(I'_{111})^2 - 4H_{12}I'_{311}\right\}, \\
 H_{22221} &= \frac{\partial^2 K_{222}}{\partial u \partial v} - 3I'_{222}\frac{\partial K_{222}}{\partial u} + 4I'_{111}\frac{\partial K_{222}}{\partial v} \\
 &\quad - K_{222}\left\{3\frac{\partial I'_{222}}{\partial u} - 8I'_{211}^0 + 12I'_{111}I'_{222}^2 + 4H_{12}I'_{311}\right\}, \\
 H_{22222} &= \frac{\partial^2 K_{222}}{(\partial v)^2} - 7I'_{222}\frac{\partial K_{222}}{\partial v} - 4I'_{222}\frac{\partial K_{222}}{\partial u} \\
 &\quad - K_{222}\left\{3\frac{\partial I'_{222}}{\partial v} - 8I'_{222}^0 - 12(I'_{222})^2 + 12I'_{111}I'_{222}^2 + 4H_{12}I'_{322}\right\}.
 \end{aligned}
 \right. \tag{3.11}$$

Finally, for order 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad 0 &\equiv H_{ijk}R_{ors}^a \\
 &\quad + (H_{ijh}R_{krs}^a + H_{kij}R_{hrs}^a + H_{hki}R_{jrs}^a + H_{jhk}R_{irs}^a) \\
 &\quad + (H_{ij}H_{hka} + H_{ih}H_{jka} + H_{ik}H_{jha} + H_{hk}H_{ija} + H_{jk}H_{iba} \\
 &\quad \quad + H_{jh}H_{ika} + H_{ijh}H_{ka} + H_{kij}H_{ha} + H_{hki}H_{ja} + H_{jhk}H_{ia})R_{ors}^a \\
 &\quad - 2H_{ijk}R_{ors}^0 \\
 &\quad - 2(H_{ijh}R_{krs}^0 + H_{kij}R_{hrs}^0 + H_{hki}R_{jrs}^0 + H_{jhk}R_{irs}^0) \\
 &\quad - 2(H_{ij}H_{hk} + H_{ih}H_{jk} + H_{ik}H_{jh})R_{ors}^0.
 \end{aligned}$$

§ 4. Conveniently, we use the following notations :

$$(4 \cdot 1) \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon = H_{12} = H_{21} = \pm 1, \\ K_1 = K_{111}, \quad K_2 = K_{222}, \\ a_1 = H_{1111}, \quad b_1 = H_{1112}, \quad c = H_{1122}, \\ a_2 = H_{2222}, \quad b_2 = H_{2221}, \\ L_1 = H_{1111}, \quad M_1 = H_{1112}, \quad N_1 = H_{1122}, \\ L_2 = H_{2222}, \quad M_2 = H_{2221}, \quad N_2 = H_{2211}. \end{cases}$$

At this time, (3·1), (3·3), (3·7), (3·8), (3·10) and (3·12) are expressed as follows :

$$(4 \cdot 2) \quad \begin{cases} 0 = R_{0rs}^3, \\ 0 = \varepsilon R_{0rs}^2 - R_{1rs}^3, \\ 0 = \varepsilon R_{0rs}^1 - R_{2rs}^3, \\ 0 = R_{0rs}^0 + R_{3rs}^3, \\ 0 = R_{1rs}^1 + R_{2rs}^2, \\ 0 = K_1 R_{0rs}^1 + 2\varepsilon R_{1rs}^2, \\ 0 = K_2 R_{0rs}^2 + 2\varepsilon R_{2rs}^1, \\ 0 = a_1 R_{0rs}^1 + b_1 R_{0rs}^2 + 3K_1 R_{1rs}^1 - K_1 R_{0rs}^0, \\ 0 = b_1 R_{0rs}^1 + c R_{0rs}^2 + K_1 R_{2rs}^1 + 2R_{3rs}^2 - 2\varepsilon R_{1rs}^0, \\ 0 = c R_{0rs}^1 + b_2 R_{0rs}^2 + K_2 R_{2rs}^1 + 2R_{3rs}^2 - 2\varepsilon R_{2rs}^0, \\ 0 = b_2 R_{0rs}^1 + a_2 R_{0rs}^2 + 3K_2 R_{2rs}^1 - K_2 R_{0rs}^0, \\ 0 = L_1 R_{0rs}^1 + M_1 R_{0rs}^2 + 4a_1 R_{1rs}^1 + 4b_1 R_{1rs}^2 + 4\varepsilon K_1 R_{3rs}^2 \\ \quad - 2a_1 R_{0rs}^0 - 8K_1 R_{1rs}^0, \\ 0 = M_1 R_{0rs}^1 + N_1 R_{0rs}^2 + a_1 R_{2rs}^1 + 2b_1 R_{1rs}^2 + 3c R_{1rs}^3 + 4\varepsilon K_1 R_{3rs}^1 \\ \quad - 2b_1 R_{0rs}^0 - 2K_1 R_{2rs}^0, \\ 0 = N_1 R_{0rs}^1 + N_2 R_{0rs}^2 + 2b_1 R_{2rs}^1 + 2b_2 R_{1rs}^2 - 2c R_{0rs}^0 - 4R_{3rs}^0, \\ 0 = N_2 R_{0rs}^1 + M_2 R_{0rs}^2 + a_2 R_{2rs}^1 + 2b_2 R_{2rs}^2 + 3c R_{2rs}^3 + 4\varepsilon K_2 R_{3rs}^2 \\ \quad - 2b_2 R_{0rs}^0 - 2K_2 R_{1rs}^0, \\ 0 = M_2 R_{0rs}^1 + L_2 R_{0rs}^2 + 4b_2 R_{2rs}^1 + 4a_2 R_{2rs}^2 + 4\varepsilon K_2 R_{3rs}^1 \\ \quad - 2a_2 R_{0rs}^0 - 8K_2 R_{2rs}^0. \end{cases}$$

Now, we shall compute the determinant of the matrix \mathcal{A} consisting of the coefficients of R 's in above equations. For the sake, if we put $R_{0rs}^1=0$, then we have $R_{2rs}^3=0$ and $R_{1rs}^2=0$ by (3.3) and (3.8). In this situation, the above matrix is essentially equivalent to the following matrix :

$$\mathcal{A}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ K_1 & b_1 & 0 & 3K_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & K_1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & b_2 & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ K_2 & a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3K_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2a_1 & M_1 & 4K_1 & 4a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\varepsilon K_1 \\ 2b_1 & N_1 & 0 & 2b_1 & K_1 & a_1 & 0 & 2\varepsilon K_1 & 0 \\ 2b_2 & M_2 & K_2 & 0 & 0 & 3c & 2b_2 & 0 & 2\varepsilon K_2 \\ 2a_2 & L_2 & 0 & 0 & 4K_2 & 4b_2 & 4a_2 & 2\varepsilon K_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we shall denote by \mathcal{A}_i the square matrix omitted the i -th column from \mathcal{A}' . Let us compute the determinant $|\mathcal{A}|$ by the aid of those matrices.

Case I. $K_1, K_2 \equiv 0$.

If $K_1 \equiv 0$, we have

$$a_1 = b_1 = L_1 = M_1 = 0,$$

therefore

$$|\mathcal{A}_i| = 0 \quad \text{for every } i.$$

Hence it follows that

$$|\mathcal{A}| = 0.$$

For $K_2 \equiv 0$, we have

$$a_2 = b_2 = L_2 = M_2 = 0$$

therefore, similarly,

$$|\mathcal{A}_i| = 0 \quad \text{for every, } i,$$

and so,

$$|\mathcal{A}| = 0.$$

Case II. $K_1 \not\equiv 0$ and $K_2 \not\equiv 0$.

We shall omit the points where $K_1=0$ or $K_2=0$. Then, by the elementary computation, we get

$$(4 \cdot 3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} |\mathcal{A}_{10}| = 4\varepsilon K_1 \{ 3K_1(K_2)^2 M_1 + 6(K_1)^2 K_2 M_2 - 8(K_1)^2 a_2 b_2 \\ \quad - K_1 K_2 a_1 a_2 - 4K_1 K_2 b_1 b_2 - 5(K_2)^2 a_1 b_1 \\ \quad - 27\varepsilon (K_1 K_2)^2 c + 9(K_1 K_2)^3 \}, \\ |\mathcal{A}_9| = 2 \frac{K_1}{K_2} |\mathcal{A}_7|, \\ |\mathcal{A}_8| = 2 \frac{K_2}{K_1} |\mathcal{A}_{10}|, \\ |\mathcal{A}_7| = 4\varepsilon K_2 \{ 3(K_1)^2 K_2 L_2 + 6K_1(K_2)^2 N_1 - 8(K_2)^2 (b_1)^2 \\ \quad - 5K_1 K_2 a_2 b_1 - 5(K_1)^2 (a_2)^2 - 24\varepsilon (K_1 K_2)^2 b_2 \\ \quad - 3\varepsilon K_1 (K_2)^3 a_1 \}, \\ |\mathcal{A}_6| = \frac{8K_1 b_2 + K_2 a_1}{3(K_2)^2} |\mathcal{A}_7| - \frac{5K_1 a_2 + 4K_2 b_1}{3K_1 K_2} |\mathcal{A}_{10}|, \\ |\mathcal{A}_5| = 6\varepsilon K_2 |\mathcal{A}_{10}|, \\ |\mathcal{A}_4| = 6\varepsilon K_1 |\mathcal{A}_7|, \\ |\mathcal{A}_3| = \frac{8K_2 b_1 + K_1 a_2}{3(K_1)^2} |\mathcal{A}_{10}| - \frac{5K_2 a_1 + 4K_1 b_2}{3K_1 K_2} |\mathcal{A}_7|, \\ |\mathcal{A}_2| = 3K_1 \frac{\varepsilon c - K_1 K_2}{K_2} |\mathcal{A}_7| - \varepsilon \frac{K_2 a_1 + 2K_1 b_2}{K_1} |\mathcal{A}_{10}|, \\ |\mathcal{A}_1| = \frac{4K_1 b_2 + K_2 a_1}{K_2} |\mathcal{A}_7| - \frac{K_1 a_2 + 4K_2 b_1}{K_1} |\mathcal{A}_{10}|. \end{array} \right.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho |\mathcal{A}| &= -2\varepsilon a_1 |\mathcal{A}_3| + 2\varepsilon b_1 |\mathcal{A}_4| + (K_1 K_2 - 2\varepsilon c) |\mathcal{A}_5| \\ &\quad + 2\varepsilon b_2 |\mathcal{A}_6| + (4K_1 b_1 - 2\varepsilon L_1) |\mathcal{A}_7| \\ &\quad + (2\varepsilon M_1 - 3K_1 c) |\mathcal{A}_8| + (K_1 a_2 - 2\varepsilon N_2) |\mathcal{A}_9| + 2\varepsilon M_2 |\mathcal{A}_{10}|, \end{aligned}$$

where ρ is a certain constant.

Then if we substitute the above expressions for $|\mathcal{A}_i|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho |\mathcal{A}| &= \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{10}|}{K_1 K_2} \frac{1}{K_1 K_2} \{ 6K_1(K_2)^2 M_1 + 3(K_1)^2 K_2 M_2 - 8(K_2)^2 a_1 b_1 \\ &\quad - K_1 K_2 a_1 a_2 - 4K_1 K_2 b_1 b_2 - 5(K_1)^2 a_2 b_2 - 27\varepsilon (K_1 K_2)^2 c + 9(K_1 K_2)^3 \} \\ &\quad - \frac{|\mathcal{A}_7|}{K_2} \frac{1}{K_1 K_2} \{ 6(K_1)^2 K_2 N_2 + 3K_1(K_2)^2 L_1 - 8(K_1)^2 (b_2)^2 \} \end{aligned}$$

$$-5K_1K_2a_1b_2 - 5(K_2)^2(a_1)^2 - 24\varepsilon(K_1K_2)^2b_1 - 3\varepsilon(K_1)^3K_2a_2\},$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho K_1K_2|J| = & \{3K_1(K_2)^2M_1 + 6(K_1)^2K_2M_2 - 8(K_1)^2a_2b_2 - K_1K_2a_1a_2 \\ & - 4K_1K_2b_1b_2 - 5(K_1)^2a_1b_1 - 27\varepsilon(K_1K_2)^2c + 9(K_1K_2)^3\} \\ & \times \{3(K_1)^2K_2M_2 + 6K_1(K_2)^2M_1 - 8(K_2)^2a_1b_1 - K_1K_2a_1a_2 \\ & - 4K_1K_2b_1b_2 - 5(K_2)^2a_2b_2 - 27\varepsilon(K_1K_2)^2c + 9(K_1K_2)^3\} \\ & - \{3(K_1)^2K_2L_2 + 6K_1(K_2)^2N_1 - 8(K_2)^2(b_1)^2 - 5(K_1)^2(a_2)^2 \\ & - 5K_1K_2a_2b_1 - 24\varepsilon(K_1K_2)^2b_2 - 3\varepsilon K_1(K_2)^3a_1\} \\ & \times \{3K_1(K_2)^2L_1 + 6(K_1)^2K_2N_2 - 8(K_1)^2(b_2)^2 - 5(K_2)^2(a_1)^2 \\ & - 5K_1K_2a_1b_2 - 24\varepsilon(K_1K_2)^2b_1 - 3\varepsilon(K_1)^3K_2a_2\}, \end{aligned}$$

where ρ is a certain constant. Using (3.10) and the relation

$$\frac{1}{K} \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial u^r \partial u^s} = \frac{\partial^2 \log K}{\partial u^r \partial u^s} + \frac{\partial \log K}{\partial u^r} \frac{\partial \log K}{\partial u^s},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{1st term} = & (K_1K_2)^2 \left\{ 3 \frac{\partial^2 \log K_1(K_2)^2}{\partial u \partial v} - \frac{\partial \log K_1(K_2)^2}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \log (K_1)^2 K_2}{\partial v} \right. \\ & \left. + 9 \frac{\partial I'_{11}^{-1}}{\partial v} - 3I'_{11}^{-1} \frac{\partial \log (K_1)^2 K_2}{\partial u} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{2nd term} = & (K_1K_2)^2 \left\{ 3 \frac{\partial^2 \log (K_1)^2 K_2}{\partial u \partial v} - \frac{\partial \log (K_1)^2 K_2}{\partial v} \frac{\partial \log K_1(K_2)^2}{\partial u} \right. \\ & \left. + 9 \frac{\partial I'_{22}^{-2}}{\partial u} - 3I'_{22}^{-2} \frac{\partial \log K_1(K_2)^2}{\partial u} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{3rd term} = & (K_1K_2)^2 \left\{ 3 \frac{\partial^2 \log (K_1)^2 K_2}{(\partial v)^2} - \frac{\partial \log (K_1)^2 K_2}{\partial v} \frac{\partial \log K_1(K_2)^2}{\partial v} \right. \\ & \left. + 9 \frac{\partial I'_{22}^{-2}}{\partial v} - 3I'_{22}^{-2} \frac{\partial \log K_1(K_2)^2}{\partial v} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{4th term} = & (K_1K_2)^2 \left\{ 3 \frac{\partial^2 \log K_1(K_2)^2}{(\partial u)^2} - \frac{\partial \log K_1(K_2)^2}{\partial u} \frac{\partial \log (K_1)^2 K_2}{\partial u} \right. \\ & \left. + 9 \frac{\partial I'_{11}^{-1}}{\partial u} - 3I'_{11}^{-1} \frac{\partial \log (K_1)^2 K_2}{\partial u} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$(4 \cdot 4) \quad \begin{cases} X_1 = \log(K_1)^2 K_2, \\ X_2 = \log K_1 (K_2)^2, \\ Y_1 = 3I'_{11} + \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial u}, \\ Y_2 = 3I'_{22} + \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial v}, \end{cases}$$

then

$$|\mathcal{J}| = \rho (K_1 K_2)^3 \left\{ \left(3 \frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial u} Y_1 \right) \left(3 \frac{\partial Y_2}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial v} Y_2 \right) - \left(3 \frac{\partial Y_1}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial v} Y_1 \right) \left(3 \frac{\partial Y_2}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial u} Y_2 \right) \right\}.$$

Case II-i. $Y_1, Y_2 \equiv 0$.

At this time, clearly,

$$|\mathcal{J}| = 0.$$

Case II-ii. $Y_1 \not\equiv 0$ and $Y_2 \not\equiv 0$.

$$|\mathcal{J}| = \rho (K_1 K_2)^3 Y_1 Y_2 \left\{ \left(3 \frac{\partial \log Y_1}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial u} \right) \left(3 \frac{\partial \log Y_2}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial v} \right) - \left(3 \frac{\partial \log Y_1}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial v} \right) \left(3 \frac{\partial \log Y_2}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial u} \right) \right\}.$$

If we put

$$(4 \cdot 5) \quad \begin{cases} U_1 = 3 \log Y_1 - X_1, \\ U_2 = 3 \log Y_2 - X_2, \end{cases}$$

it follows that

$$|\mathcal{J}| = \rho (K_1 K_2)^3 Y_1 Y_2 \frac{\partial(U_1 U_2)}{\partial(uv)},$$

where ρ is a certain constant.

So, we get the following theorem :

THEOREM. *A two-dimensional space with a dominant projective connexion which cannot be imbeded in a three-dimensional projective space admits an osculating surface of 7-th order if and only if one of the following three conditions is satisfied,*

- (A) $K_1 K_2 \equiv 0,$
- (B) $Y_1 Y_2 \equiv 0,$
- (C) $\frac{\partial(U_1 U_2)}{\partial(uv)} \equiv 0.$

$K_1 K_2 \equiv 0$ means that *the three Darboux tangents are coincident*.

We next consider a geometrical meaning of the equation $Y_1 Y_2 \equiv 0$. If we put

$$(4 \cdot 6) \quad \begin{cases} E = K_{ru} K^{rs}, \\ E_r = \frac{\partial E}{\partial u^r}, \\ \theta_r = H_{rst}^a K_r^s, \end{cases}$$

those are expressed by

$$E = 2\varepsilon K_1 K_2,$$

$$E_r = 2\varepsilon K_1 K_2 \frac{\partial \log K_1 K_2}{\partial u^r},$$

$$\theta_1 = 2\varepsilon K_1 K_2 \left(3I_{11}^{-1} + \frac{\partial \log K_2}{\partial u} \right),$$

$$\theta_2 = 2\varepsilon K_1 K_2 \left(3I_{22}^{-2} + \frac{\partial \log K_1}{\partial v} \right).$$

Accordingly

$$\frac{E_1 + \theta_1}{E} = Y_1,$$

$$\frac{E_2 + \theta_2}{E} = Y_2.$$

Since the space R_2 admits the surface which has the contact of four-th with it, the canonical pencil can be defined as the same way in the ordinary projective differential geometry: the lines joining the point

$$\frac{p(E_2 + \theta_2) - \theta_2}{2\varepsilon E} A_1 + \frac{p(E_1 + \theta_1) - \theta_1}{2\varepsilon E} A_2 + A_3,$$

(p : arbitrary constant)

with A are the canonical edges of the first kind. When we make

vary p , these edges form a flat pencil having A as vertex. Let z^1, z^2, z^3 be non-homogeneous coordinates of a point referred to the frame $[AA_1AA_2A_3]$. Now AA_1, AA_2 are asymptotic tangents at A . The plane of said pencil is given by

$$(E_1 + \theta_1)z^1 - (E_2 + \theta_2)z^2 = \frac{E_2\theta_1 - E_1\theta_2}{2\varepsilon E}z^3.$$

Therefore, $Y_1 Y_2 \equiv 0$ means that *the plane of the canonical pencil of the first kind intersects the tangent plane along an asymptotic tangent.*

§ 5. In the case where torsions are zero, that is,

$$(5 \cdot 1) \quad R_{0rs}^a = 0,$$

(3·1), (3·3) and (3·8) become

$$(5 \cdot 2) \quad R_{0rs}^3 = 0, \quad R_{trs}^3 = 0, \\ R_{0rs}^1 = R_{trs}^2 = 0.$$

Next, let i, j, k in (3·10) take the values 1, 2, then, if $K_1 K_2 \neq 0$, we have

$$(5 \cdot 3) \quad R_{0rs}^0 = 3R_{1rs}^1 = 3R_{2rs}^2, \\ R_{krs}^0 = H_{ka} R_{3rs}^a.$$

Hence, by (3·7),

$$(5 \cdot 4) \quad R_{0rs}^0 = 0, \quad R_{3rs}^3 = 0,$$

$$(5 \cdot 5) \quad R_{trs}^a = 0.$$

Consequently, if two-dimensional space with a projective connexion without torsion admits an osculating surface of 6-th order, this connexion must be symmetric.

In virtue of (5·1), (5·2), (5·3), (5·4) and (5·5), (3·12) is turned to

$$0 = (H_{ij}H_{hka} + H_{ih}H_{jka} + H_{ik}H_{jha} + H_{hk}H_{ija} + H_{jk}H_{iba} + H_{jh}H_{ika} \\ - H_{ijh}H_{ka} - H_{kij}H_{ha} - H_{hki}H_{ja} - H_{jhk}H_{ia})R_{trs}^a \\ - 2(H_{ij}H_{hk} + H_{ih}H_{jk} + H_{ik}H_{jh})R_{trs}^0.$$

If we put $i=j=k=1, 2$,

$$0 = -4\varepsilon K_1 R_{trs}^2,$$

$$0 = -4\varepsilon K_2 R_{3rs}^1,$$

so,

$$(5 \cdot 6) \quad R_{3rs}^a = 0, \quad R_{krs}^0 = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(5 \cdot 7) \quad R_{3rs}^0 = 0.$$

Thus, if a two-dimensional projectively connected space in the wide sense without torsion cannot be imbeded in a three-dimensional projective space, this space admits an osculating surface of 7-th order if and only if the three Darboux tangents do not coincide.

This result coincides with the general theory obtained by Prof. J. Kanitani.*)

At the end, I express my sincere gratitude to Prof. J. Kanitani for his kind guidance during my researches.

*) J. Kanitani. (In the press)