MEMOIRS OF THE COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF KYOTO, SERIES A Vol. XXXIII, Mathematics No. 2, 1960.

# A note on the pseudo-compactness of the product of two spaces

By

## Hisahiro TAMANO

### (Received July 10, 1960)

As is well known, the Stone-Čech compactification of a product space is not generally identical (more precisely, homeomorphic) with the product of the Stone-Čech compactifications of coordinate spaces. M. Henriksen and J. R. Isbell [5] pointed out that the relation  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y^{1}$  implies the pseudo-compactness of the product  $X \times Y^{2.3}$ . Recently, the converse has been established by I. Glicksberg [4]. He proved more generally that the relation  $\beta(\Pi X_{\alpha}) = \Pi \beta X_{\alpha}$  holds true if and only if  $\Pi X_{\alpha}^{4}$  is pseudo-compact.

In this note, we shall restrict ourselves to consider the product of two spaces, and give some conditions equivalent to that the relation  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$  hold. We shall show that  $\beta(X \times Y) =$  $\beta X \times \beta Y$  if and only if the tensor product  $C^*(X) \otimes C^*(Y)$  is dense in  $C^*(X \times Y)$ .

The pseudo-compactness of the product  $X \times Y$  implies the pseudo-compactness of each coordinate space. However, it is not true that the product of pseudo-compact spaces must be pseudo-compact<sup>5</sup>. Several additional conditions sufficient to insure the pseudo-compactness of the product of pseudo-compact spaces are given and discussed in [1], [4] and [5]. We shall generalize those results in somewhat unific form.

<sup>1)</sup> Throughout, we shall consider X as a subspace of  $\beta X$ .

<sup>2)</sup> The trivial case that X or Y is a finite set will be excluded throughout. If X is a finite set, then  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$  for any space Y.

<sup>3)</sup> T. Ishiwata [7] has proved that if  $\beta(X \times X) = \beta X \times \beta X$ , then X is totally bounded for any uniform structure of X. (X is pseudo-compact if and only if it is totally bounded for any uniform structure of X. C. f. T. Ishiwata: On uniform spaces, Sugaku Kenkyuroku, Vol. 2 (1953) (in Japanese).)

<sup>4)</sup>  $\Pi X_{\alpha}$  denotes the product of  $X_{\alpha}$ .

<sup>5)</sup> C.f. [9], [10].

All spaces mentioned here will be assumed to be infinite completely regular  $T_1$ -spaces, and all functions to be real-valued.

A compactification of X is a compact Hausdorff space containing X as a dense subspace. The Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta X$ is characterized among compactifications of X by the property that every bounded continuous function on X has a continuous extension over  $\beta X^{6}$ .

Let  $C^*(X)$  denote the Banach space of all bounded continuous functions on X with the usual nom  $||f|| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ . We shall denote by Z(F) the set of zero points of  $F \in C^*(X \times Y)$ , that is,  $Z(F) = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y; F(x, y) = 0\}.$ 

THEOREM 1. The following conditions on the product  $X \times Y$  are equivalent.

(a) Both X and Y are pseudo-compact and  $pr_{X}[Z(F)]^{\tau_{j}}$  is closed in X for each  $F \in C^{*}(X \times Y)$ .

(b) Both X and Y are pseudo-compact and  $pr_{Y}[Z(F)]$  is closed in Y for each  $F \in C^{*}(X \times Y)$ .

(c) The tensor product  $C^*(X) \otimes C^*(Y)$  is dense in  $C^*(X \times Y)$ .

(d)  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X + BY$ .

The pattern of proof is (a)(b)  $(c) \rightarrow (d)$  (b).

Proof of  $(a) \rightarrow (c)$ : Let F be an element of  $C^*(X \times Y)$  and let  $F_x$  denote the restriction of F on  $x \times Y$ . Then  $F_x$  defines a continuous function on Y. By assigning  $F_x$  to  $x \in X$ , we have a map  $\hat{F}$  of X into  $C^*(Y)$ . The map  $\hat{F}$  is continuous as we now verify: Put  $H_{\mathfrak{e}}(x, y) = \mathfrak{E} - \min(\mathfrak{E}, |F(x, y) - 1 \otimes F_x(y)|)$ , then  $H_{\mathfrak{e}}(x, y)$  $= \mathfrak{E}$  on  $x \times Y$  and  $H_{\mathfrak{e}}(x, y) \neq 0$  implies that  $|F(x, y) - 1 \otimes F_x(y)| < 2\mathfrak{E}$ . Since  $pr_X[Z(H_{\mathfrak{e}})]$  is closed in X by (a), there is a neighborhood  $U_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)$  of x such that  $U_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) \times Y \cap Z(H_{\mathfrak{e}}) = \phi$ . If  $x' \in U_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)$ , then  $|F_x(y) - F_{x'}(y)| < \mathfrak{E}$  for each  $y \in Y$ , and consequently  $||F_x - F_{x'}|| < \mathfrak{E}$ for each  $x' \in U(x)$ . Therefore  $\hat{F}$  is continuous.

It follows that the image  $\hat{F}(X) \subset C^*(Y)$  of X is compact, since the continuous image of a pseudo-compact space is pseudo-compact and since pseudo-compact metrizable space is compact<sup>8)</sup>. Therefore

<sup>6)</sup> See [3], P. 831.

<sup>7)</sup>  $pr_{\mathcal{X}}[Z(F)]$  denotes the projection of Z(F) into X.

<sup>8)</sup> Note that every metrizable space is paracompact (c.f. [8], P. 160) and that pseudo-compact paracompact space is compact (c.f. [6]).

## A note on the pseudo-compactness of the product of two spaces 227

we have a finite number of functions, say  $F_1, \dots, F_m$  in  $\hat{F}(X) \leq C^*(Y)$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m V_n(F_i)$  covers  $\hat{F}(X)$ , where  $V_n(F_i) = \{f \in C^*(Y); \|f - F_i\| < 1/n\}$ . Put  $f_i(x) = \max[0, 1/n - \|F_i - F_x\|]$ , then  $0 \leq f_i(x) \leq 1/n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x) > 0$  for each  $x \in X$ . Letting  $\varphi_i(x) = f_i(x) / \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x)$ , we have a finite partition of unity  $\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(x) = 1$ . Now, let us consider the function  $F_n(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(x) \otimes F_i(y)$  which is evidently an element of  $C^*(X) \otimes C^*(Y)$ . Obviously  $\varphi_i(x) \neq 0$  implies that  $|F(x, y) - 1 \otimes F_i(y)| < 1/n$  for each  $y \in Y$ , and therefore we have  $\|F(x, y) - F_n(x, y)\| = \|(\sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_i(x) \otimes 1) \cdot F(x, y) - \sum_{i=1}^m (\varphi_i(x) \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes F_i(y))\| = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\varphi_i(x) \otimes 1\| \cdot \|F(x, y) - 1 \otimes F_i(y)\| \leq 1/n$ . It follows that  $C^*(X) \otimes C^*(Y)$  is dense in  $C^*(X \times Y)$ .

Proof of  $(c) \rightarrow (d)$ : To prove (d), we have only to show that each  $F \in C^*(X \times Y)$  has a continuous extension over  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ . Let F(x, y) be any element of  $C^*(X \times Y)$ . Then there is, regarding our hypothesis, a sequence  $\{F_n(x, y)\}$  of elements of  $C^*(X) \otimes C^*(Y)$ which converges to F(x, y). It is clear that each element of  $C^*(X) \otimes C^*(Y)$  has a continuous extension over  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ , and we shall denote by  $F_n^*(x, y)$  the extension of  $F_n(x, y)$  over  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ . Then  $\{F_n^*(x, y)\}$  forms a Cauchy sequence of  $C^*(\beta X \times \beta Y)^{\text{so}}$ , and since  $C^*(\beta X \times \beta Y)$  in complete  $\{F_n^*(x, y)\}$  converges to a function  $F^* \in C^*(\beta X \times \beta Y)$ , which is the desired extension of F over  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ .

Proof of  $(d) \rightarrow (a)$ : The first statement of (a) is an easy consequence of Stone-Čech's theorem (see [8], P. 153) which states that if *h* is a continuous map of *X* to a compact Hausdorff space *Y*, then *h* has a continuous extension *h*<sup>\*</sup> which carries  $\beta X$  to *Y*. Let *R*<sup>\*</sup> denote the one point compactification of real space *R* (i.e.  $R^* = R \cup \infty$ ), then each continuous function  $f \in C(X)$  (where C(X) denotes the set of all real-valued continuous functions on *X*) has a continuous extension  $f^*(R^*$ -valued function) over  $\beta X$ , and *f* is unbounded if and only if  $f^*(p) = \infty$  for some  $p \in \beta X$ . If *Y* is not pseudo-compact, then there is an unbounded continuous function  $g(y) \in C(Y)$ . Since *X* is assumed to be infinite, we can

<sup>9)</sup> See [2], P. 17, Proposition 5.

see that there is a bounded function  $h \in C(X)$  such that  $Z(h^*)$  is not open in  $\beta X^{10}$ , where  $h^*$  denotes the extension of h over  $\beta X$ . Consider the function  $G(x, y) = h(x) \otimes g(y)$ , then it is easy to see that G(x, y) has no (R\*-valued) extension over  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ . But this contradicts the assumption that  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$ . It follows that both X and Y are pseudo-compact. We now prove that  $pr_{X}[Z(F)]$  is closed in X for each  $F \in C^{*}(X \times Y)$ . To this end, we first observe that  $pr_{\beta X}[Z(F^*) \cap (X \times Y)] = pr_{\beta X}[Z(F^*) \cap (X \times \beta Y)],$ where  $F^*$  denotes the extension of F over  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ . Suppose not, then there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $F(x_0, y) \neq 0$  for each  $y \in Y$ and  $F(x_0, q) = 0$  for some  $q \in \beta Y$ . Let  $F_0$  be the restriction of  $F^*$  on  $x_0 \times Y$ , then  $F_0(y) \neq 0$  for each  $y \in Y$  and  $F_0^*(q) = 0$  for some  $q \in \beta Y$ . Evidently,  $(1/F_0)^2$  is an unbounded continuous function on Y, and hence Y can not be pseudo-compact. This is contradictory, therefore we have  $pr_{\beta X}[Z(F^*) \cap (X \times Y)] = pr_{\beta X}[Z(F^*) \cap (X \times \beta Y)]$ . On the other hand, it is clear that  $Z(F) = Z(F^*) \cap (X \times Y)$  and it follows that  $pr_{X}[Z(F)] = pr_{\beta X}[Z(F^{*}) \cap (X \times Y)] = pr_{\beta X}[Z(F^{*}) \cap (X \times \beta Y)] =$  $pr_{\beta X}[Z(F^*)] \cap X$ . Since  $Z(F^*)$  is compact  $pr_{\beta X}[Z(F^*)]$  is compact and consequently  $pr_{\theta X}[Z(F^*)] \cap X = pr_{X}[Z(F)]$  is closed in X. The proof is completed.

The proof of  $(b) \rightarrow (c)$   $((d) \rightarrow (b))$  is entirely similar to that of  $(a) \rightarrow (c)$   $((d) \rightarrow (a))$ .

We now discuss the pseudo-compactness of the product  $X \times Y$ . Throughout the sequel, both X and Y are assumed to be pseudocompact. By virtue of the theorem due to I. Glicksberg ([4], Theorem 1), the pseudo-compactness of the product  $X \times Y$  is equivalent to that the relation  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$  hold true. It follows from Theorem 1 that  $X \times Y$  is pseudo-compact if and only

<sup>10)</sup> Suppose that  $Z(h^*)$  is open for each  $h \in C^*(X)$  then Z(f) is open for each  $f \in C^*(\beta X)$ . It follows that every continuous function on  $\beta X$  assumes only finitely many values, since  $\{x \in \beta X; f(x) = a, a \in R, f \in C^*(\beta X)\}$  is open (and closed) in  $\beta X$ . Take two points x, y of  $\beta X$  and let f be a continuous function on  $\beta X$  such that f(x)=0 and f(y)=1. Then both  $\{x \in \beta X; f(x)=0\}$  and  $\{x \in \beta X; f(x)=0\}$  are open and closed, and at least one of them must be infinite because  $\beta X$  is infinite. Consequently, there is an open and closed subset  $A_1$  containing infinitely many points such that  $\beta X - A_1 \neq \phi$ . Similarly,  $A_1$  contains an open and closed  $A_2$  containing infinitely points such that  $A_1 - A_2 \neq \phi$ ...It follows that there is a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of open and closed subset of  $\beta X$  such that  $A_n \supseteq A_{n+1}$  and  $A_n - A_{n+1} \neq \phi$  for each n. Let  $g_n$  be a characteristic function of  $A_n$ , then  $g = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n/2^n$  is a continuous function of  $\beta X$  assuming infinitely many values. But this is a contradiction.

if  $pr_{X}[Z(F)]$  is closed in X for each  $F \in C^{*}(X \times Y)$  (or, equivalently, if and only if  $pr_{Y}[Z(F)]$  is closed in Y for each  $F \in C^{*}(X \times Y)$ ).

We first give a simple proof of the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1. If X is compact, then  $X \times Y$  is pseudo-compact for any pseudo-compact space Y.

Proof. We shall show that  $pr_Y[Z(F)]$  is closed for each  $F \in C^*(X \times Y)$ , which will complete the proof. If  $y \notin pr_Y[Z(F)]$ , then  $F(x, y) \neq 0$  for each  $x \in X$ . There is, for each point  $(x, y) \in X \times y$ , an open neighborhood  $U(x) \times V(y)$  on which  $F(x, y) \neq 0$ . Since  $X \times y$  is compact,  $X \times y$  can be covered by a finite number of such neighborhoods, say  $U_1(x_1) \times V_1(y)$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $U_m(x_m) \times V_m(y)$ . Put  $W(y) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m V_i(y)$ ; then W(y) is open and  $W(y) \cap pr_Y[Z(F)] = \phi$ . It follows that  $pr_Y[Z(F)]$  is closed in Y.

The next proposition shows that  $X \times Y$  is pseudo-compact for any pseudo-compact space Y if X has a "rich" supply of compact sets, even if it is not compact. Recall that X is a k-space<sup>11)</sup> provided every subset of X intersects every compact subset of X in a closed set is itself closed. Every locally compact space, and every space satisfying the first axiom of countability is a k-space.

PROPOSITION 2. If X is a pseudo-compact k-space, then  $X \times Y$  is pseudo-compact for any pseudo-compact space Y.

Proof. Suppose that  $X \times Y$  is not pseudo-compact, then there is a function  $F \in C^*(X \times Y)$  such that  $pr_X[Z(F)]$  is not closed in X. Since X is assumed to be a k-space, there is a compact set C such that  $C \cap pr_X[Z(F)]$  is not closed. Let F' be the restriction of F on  $C \times Y$ , then  $F' \in C^*(C \times Y)$ . Evidently  $Z(F') = Z(F) \cap (C \times Y)$  and we can conclude without difficulty that  $pr_C[Z(F')] = pr_C[Z(F) \cap (C \times Y)]$  $= pr_X[Z(F) \cap (C \times Y)] = pr_X[Z(F)] \cap C$ . Therefore  $pr_C[Z(F')]$  is not closed in C. On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 1 and Theorem 1 that  $pr_C[Z(F')]$  is closed, since C is compact. This is contradictory, and hence  $X \times Y$  is pseudo-compact.

The preceding proposition can be generalized, by utilizing the notion of P-point<sup>12</sup>, and Glicksberg's technique on the equicontinuity

<sup>11)</sup> See [8], P. 231.

<sup>12)</sup>  $x \in X$  is said to be a P-point if every countable intersection of neighborhoods of x contains a neighborhood of x. C.f. L. Gillman and M. Henriksen: Concerning rings of continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1954) 340-362.

of  $\{F_y(x)\}_{y \in Y}$ , where  $F_y(x)$  denotes the restriction of  $F \in C^*(X \times Y)$ on  $X \times y$ .

Now, let us agree to call  $x \in X$  as a k-point of X if x satisfies the following condition: If x is an accumulation point of a subset H of X, then there is a compact set C in X such that x is also an accumulation point of  $C \cap H$ . Every discrete point of X is a k-point, and X is a k-space if and only if every point of X is a k-point.

THEOREM 2. If X is pseudo-compact and if every non-P-point of X is a k-point, then  $X \times Y$  is pseudo-compact for any pseudo-compact space Y.

Proof. Reviewing the proof of Prop. 2, we can see that  $x \notin \overline{Pr_x[Z(F)]} - Pr_x[Z(F)]$  for each  $F \in C^*(X \times Y)$  if x is a k-point of X. Consequently,  $\{F_y(x)\}_{y \in Y}$  is equicontinuous at each k-point of X, because  $\{F_y(x)\}_{y \in Y}$  is equicontinuous at x if and only if  $x \notin \overline{A(\varepsilon)} - A(\varepsilon)$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $A(\varepsilon) = Pr_x[Z(\varepsilon - \min(\varepsilon, |F(x, y) - 1 \otimes F_x(y)|))]$ . On the other hand, equicontinuity of  $\{F_y(x)\}_{y \in Y}$  is equivalent to the equicontinuity of each countable subset by virtue of the fact that Ascoli's theorem holds in a pseudo-compact space (c.f. [4], P. 370). Each countable subset of  $\{F_y(x)\}_{y \in Y}$  is equicontinuous at each P-point, and consequently each countable subset of  $\{F_y(x)\}_{y \in Y}$  is equicontinuous on X. It follows that  $\{F_y(x)\}_{y \in Y}$  is equicontinuous on X, and hence  $Pr_x[Z(F)]$  is closed for each  $F \in C^*(X \times Y)$ . Therefore  $X \times Y$  is pseudo-compact.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. W. Bagley, E. H. Connell and J. D. Mcknight: On properties characterizing pseudo-compact spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1958) 500-506.
- [2] N. Bourbaki: Topologie générale, Chap X, Paris (1949).
- [3] E. Čech: On bicompact spaces, Ann. of Math. 38 (1937) 823-844.
- [4] I. Glicksberg: Stone-Čech compactions of products, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 90 (1959) 369-382.
- [5] M. Henriksen and J. R. Isbell: On the Stone-Čech compactification of a product of to two spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1957) P. 145.
- [6] K. Iseki and S. Kasahara: On pseudo-compact and countably comact spaces, Proc. Japan Acad. 33 (1957) 100-102.
- [7] T. Ishiwata: On uniform space with complete structure, Sugaku Kenkyuroku, vol. 1, no. 8-9 (1952) (in Japanese) 68-74.
- [8] J. L. Kelley: General topology, New York (1955).
- [9] J. Novák: On the cartesian product spaces, Fund. Math. 40 (1953) 106-112.
- [10] H. Terasaka: On the cartesian product of compact spaces, Osaka Math. J. 4 (1952) 11-15.

230