

On T direction of algebroidal function

By

Zhaojun WU

Abstract

In this paper, by using the sphere characteristic function $T(r, w)$, we define and establish the existence of a new singular direction for the algebroidal function w , namely a T direction, for which the characteristic function $T(r, w)$ is used as a comparison function. This extended the previous result due to Guo, Zheng and Ng in [Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 69(2004), 277-287].

1. Introduction and results

Let $w = w(z)$ be a ν -valued algebroidal function defined by the irreducible equation

$$(1.1) \quad A_\nu(z)w^\nu + A_{\nu-1}(z)w^{\nu-1} + \cdots + A_1(z)w + A_0(z) = 0,$$

where $A_j(z)$ ($j = 0, 2, \dots, \nu$) are entire functions without any common zeros. The studies of the singular direction for algebroidal function $w(z)$ due to Valiron [1] concerning the Borel directions and the Julia directions, were generalized in 1960's for algebroidal functions, see Toda [2]. Recently, Lü Yinian [3], [4] and Lü, Yinian, Gu Yongxing [5] proved some more precise versions than Valiron [1] and Toda [2] for the Julia directions and the Borel directions for algebroidal functions. A ray $\arg z = \theta$ is called a Borel direction of order ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$) for a ν -valued algebroidal function $w(z)$, if it has the following property: for every $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n(r, \theta, \varepsilon, a)}{\log r} \geq \rho,$$

for all a in $\mathbb{C}_\infty := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ with at most 2ν exceptions, where $n(r, \theta, \varepsilon, a)$ is the number of the solution of $w(z) = a$ in $\{z : \theta - \varepsilon < \arg z < \theta + \varepsilon\} \cap \{|z| < r\}$, counting with multiplicities. Lü Yinian and Gu Yongxing [5] proved the following.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification(s)*. 30D30

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification(s)*. 30D35

Received November 15, 2006

Revised April 28, 2007

Theorem A. Suppose that $w(z)$ is a ν -valued algebroidal function of order ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$) defined by (1.1). Then there at least exists a Borel direction of order ρ of $w(z)$.

Recalling the definition of the Borel direction, this characterization is effective only for the finite and positive order functions. When the order $\rho = 0$ or ∞ , it is not better to use the order to characterize the growth of w . In this case, Zheng Jianhua [6] considered the T direction which gives another singular direction for the meromorphic function. We follow Zheng's definition. Let $\{z : \theta - \varepsilon < \arg z < \theta + \varepsilon\}$ be a sector. A radial $\arg z = \theta$ is called a T direction of a meromorphic function $f(z)$ provided that for any given $b \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$, with the exceptional values at most two values, for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \theta, \varepsilon, a)}{T(r, f)} > 0,$$

where $N(r, \theta, \varepsilon, a)$ is a integrated counting function which counts the zero points of $f(z) - b$ in $\{z : \theta - \varepsilon < \arg z < \theta + \varepsilon\}$.

Now we give an analogy to the definition of Zheng for the T direction of algebroidal function.

Definition 1.1. A ray $\arg z = \theta$ is called a T direction for a ν -valued algebroidal function $w(z)$, provided that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \theta, \varepsilon, a)}{T(r, w)} > 0,$$

holds for any given a in \mathbb{C}_∞ with at most 2ν exceptions.

Recently the existence theorem of the T direction of a meromorphic function is established, see Guo H., Zheng J. H. and T. W., Ng [7]. It is shown that any meromorphic function $f(z)$ has at least one T direction provided that $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} T(r, f)/(\log r)^2 = +\infty$. Note that we have an example due to Ostrovskii [8]. Namely there is a transcendental meromorphic function such that $T(r, f) = O(\log^2 r)$ and which has no T directions (and no Julia direction). In this note, we consider a generalization of the T directions for an algebroidal function and state the main results here.

Theorem 1.1. Let $w(z)$ be a ν -valued algebroidal function on the whole complex plane defined by (1.1). If

$$(1.2) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, w)}{(\log r)^2} = +\infty,$$

then $w(z)$ has at least one T direction.

2. Notations and lemmas

Suppose that $w = w(z)$ is a ν -valued algebroidal function defined by the expression (1.1) on the whole complex plane. Now, we give some standard

notations and fundament results which can be found in [9]. The single valued domain of definition of $w(z)$ is a ν sheets covering of z plane, a Riemann surface, denoted by \tilde{R}_z . It is denoted by \tilde{z} that the point in \tilde{R}_z whose projection in the z plane is z . The part of \tilde{R}_z , which covers a disk $|z| < r$, is denoted by $|\tilde{z}| < r$. Write

$$S(r, w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \int_{|\tilde{z}| < r} \left(\frac{|w'(z)|}{1 + |w(z)|^2} \right)^2 dw, \quad T(r, w) = \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{S(t, w)}{t} dt.$$

$S(r, w)$ is called the mean covering number of $|\tilde{z}| \leq r$ into w sphere under the mapping $w = w(z)$, $T(r, w)$ is called the characteristic function of $w(z)$. The order of algebroidal function $w(z)$ is denoted by

$$\rho = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, w)}{\log r}.$$

Put

$$N(r, a) = \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, a) - n(0, a)}{t} dt + \frac{n(0, a)}{\nu} \log r,$$

$$m(r, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \int_{|\tilde{z}|=r} \log^+ |w(re^{i\theta})| d\theta, \quad z = re^{i\theta},$$

where $n(r, a)$ is the number of zeros, counted according to their multiplicities, of $w(z) - a$ in $|\tilde{z}| \leq r$. We have

$$T(r, w) = m(r, w) + N(r, \infty) + O(1).$$

Let $n(r, \tilde{R}_z)$ be the number of the branch points of \tilde{R}_z in $|\tilde{z}| \leq r$, counted with the order of branch. Denote

$$N(r, \tilde{R}_z) = \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, \tilde{R}_z) - n(0, \tilde{R}_z)}{t} dt + \frac{n(0, \tilde{R}_z)}{\nu} \log r.$$

By [9],

$$(2.1) \quad N(r, \tilde{R}_z) \leq 2(\nu - 1)T(r, w) + O(1).$$

We define an angular domain $\Delta(\theta_0, \delta) = \{z \mid |\arg z - \theta_0| < \delta\}, 0 \leq \theta_0 < 2\pi, 0 < \delta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. The part of \tilde{R}_z which lies over $\Delta(\theta_0, \delta)$ is denoted by $\tilde{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta)$. Let $n(r, \theta_0, \delta, a)$ be the number of $w(z) - a$ in $\tilde{\Delta}(\theta_0, \delta) \cap \{|\tilde{z}| \leq r\}$ and let $n(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), \tilde{R}_z)$ be the number of the branch points in the same region. Put

$$N(r, \theta_0, \delta, a) = \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, \theta_0, \delta, a)}{t} dt$$

$$N(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), \tilde{R}_z) = \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^r \frac{n(r, \Delta(\theta_0, \delta), \tilde{R}_z)}{t} dt$$

In order to prove the Theorem 1.1, we give some lemmas as following.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $S(r)$ be a positive continuous non-decreasing function of r in $[0, +\infty)$. Suppose that*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log S(r)}{\log r} = \mu < +\infty,$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(r)}{\log^2 r} = +\infty.$$

Then for any $h > 0$, there exist the sequences $\{r_n\}$ and $\{R_n\}$, $R_n^{1-o(1)} \leq r_n \leq R_n$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(r_n)}{\log^2 r_n} = +\infty, \quad S(e^h R_n) \leq e^{h\mu} S(R_n)(1 + o(1))(n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Lemma 2.1 can be found in [10] and the following Lemma 2.2 due to [3].

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that $w(z)$ is a ν -valued algebraic function in an angular domain $\Delta_0 = \{z : |\arg z - \theta| < \delta_0\}$. Let $\Omega = \{z : |\arg z - \theta| \leq \delta\}$ be an angular domain, contained in Δ_0 , where $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$. The part of \tilde{R}_z which lies over Ω is denoted by $\tilde{\Omega}$. Let*

$$S(r, \Omega, w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \left(\frac{|w'(z)|}{1 + |w(z)|^2} \right)^2 dw,$$

and a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q ($q > 2$) be q distinct points on w sphere \mathbb{C}_∞ . Then for arbitrarily constant $\lambda > 1$ and positive integer α , we have

$$(q - 2)S(r, \Omega, w) \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^q n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta_0, a_j) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta_0, \tilde{R}_z)$$

$$+ (q - 2)S(\lambda^{2\alpha}, \Omega, w) + \frac{2A}{(1 - k) \log \lambda} \log^+ r.$$

where A is a constant depending only on a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q , and $k(0 < k < 1)$ depending only on δ, δ_0, α and λ .

Lemma 2.3. *Let $B(r)$ be a positive and continuous function in $[0, +\infty)$ which satisfies $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log B(r)}{\log r} = \infty$. Then there exist continuously differentiable functions $\rho(r)$ and $U(r)$, which satisfy the following conditions.*

1. $\rho(r) \downarrow 0$ and $\rho'(r)$ monotone increasing.
2. $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \rho'(r) \log r \log \log r = 0$.
3. For sufficient large r , we have $B(r) \ll U(r) = r^{\exp(\frac{1}{\rho(r)})}$, where " \ll " note that $B(r) \leq U(r)$ and there is a sequence $\{r_n\} \rightarrow \infty$, such that $B(r_n) = U(r_n)$.
4. $U(R) < (1 + o(1))U(r)$, where $R = r + \frac{r \log r}{\log U(r) \log^2 \log U(r)}$.

A proof of Lemma 2.3 can be found in [11] and the following Lemma 2.4 due to [2].

Lemma 2.4. Let $w(z)$ be a ν -valued algebroidal function defined by (1.1) in $|z| < 1$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q\}$ be $q (> 2)$ distinct complex numbers. Put $\sum_{j=1}^q n(1, a_j) < \infty, n(1, \tilde{R}_z) < \infty$. Then

$$(q - 2)S(r, w) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q n(1, a_j) + n(1, \tilde{R}_z) + \frac{A}{1 - r},$$

where $0 < r < 1$ and A is a constant depending only upon $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q\}$.

Lemma 2.5. Suppose that $w(z)$ is a ν -valued algebroidal function defined by (1.1) in the sector $\Omega(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \{z : \psi_1 < \arg z < \psi_2\} (\psi_1 < \psi_2)$, continuously differentiable functions $\rho(r)$ and $U(r)$ satisfy the condition 1, 2, 4 that stated in Lemma 2.3, $T(r, \Omega(\psi_1, \psi_2), w) \leq U(r) = r^{\exp(\frac{1}{\rho(r)})}$ and $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q\}$ be $q (\geq 2)$ distinct points. Then for arbitrary $\psi, \delta', \delta (0 < \delta' < \delta, \psi_1 < \psi - \delta < \psi - \delta' < \psi_2)$ we have

$$(q - 2)T(r, \Omega(\psi - \delta', \psi + \delta'), w) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q N(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), a_j) + N(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), \tilde{R}_z) + o(U(r)).$$

Proof. A proof of Lemma 2.3 can be found in [12]. For the sake of convenience, here we only give an outline of the proof. Put

$$f(z) = \frac{(ze^{-i\psi})^{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} + 2(ze^{-i\psi})^{\frac{\pi}{2\delta}} R^{\frac{\pi}{2\delta}} - R^{\frac{\pi}{\delta}}}{(ze^{-i\psi})^{\frac{\pi}{\delta}} - 2(ze^{-i\psi})^{\frac{\pi}{2\delta}} R^{\frac{\pi}{2\delta}} - R^{\frac{\pi}{\delta}}}.$$

It is easy to verify that $f(z)$ maps conformally the sector $E : \{|z| < R\} \cap \{|\arg z - \psi| \leq \delta\}$ into the unit disc $|f(z)| < 1$.

Put $F := \{r_0 \leq |z| \leq r\} \cap \{|\arg z - \psi| \leq \delta'\}$, $M = \max\{\frac{1}{1-|f(z)|} : z \in F\}$. We can prove that M is bounded by using the argument adopted by Sun in Lemma 7 of [11], and hence we omit the details. Since M is bounded, we know that $f(z)$ maps conformally F into some region in unit disc. By applying Lemma 2.4,

$$(q - 2)S(r, \Omega(\psi - \delta', \psi + \delta'), w) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q n(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), a_j) + n(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), \tilde{R}_z) + O(R^{\frac{3\pi}{\delta}} \log^2 U(r) / \log r).$$

Since $U(r) = r^{\exp(\frac{1}{\rho(r)})}$, $R = r + \frac{r \log r}{\log U(r) \log^2 \log U(r)}$, we have

$$dR = \left[1 + \frac{1 + o(1)}{\exp(\frac{1}{\rho(r)}) \log^2 \log U(r)} - \frac{o(1)}{\exp(\frac{1}{\rho(r)}) \log r} \right] dr, \frac{dR}{R} = \left[1 + \frac{o(1)}{\exp(\frac{1}{\rho(r)})} \right] \frac{dr}{r} = (1 + o(1)\rho(r)) \frac{dr}{r},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q-2) \left(1 - \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}r\right)\right) \int_{\frac{1}{2}r}^r \frac{S(r, \Omega(\psi - \delta', \psi + \delta'), w)}{r} dr \\
& \leq (q-2) \int_{\frac{1}{2}r}^r \frac{S(r, \Omega(\psi - \delta', \psi + \delta'), w)}{r} (1 + o(1)\rho(r)) dr \\
& \leq (q-2) \int_0^r \frac{S(r, \Omega(\psi - \delta', \psi + \delta'), w)}{R} dR \\
& < \sum_{j=1}^q \int_0^R \frac{n(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), a_j)}{R} dR + \int_0^R \frac{n(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), \tilde{R}_z)}{R} dR \\
& \quad + O(r^{\frac{2\pi}{\sigma}} \log^2 U(r)) \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^q N(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), a_j) + N(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), \tilde{R}_z) \\
& \quad + O(r^{\frac{2\pi}{\sigma}} \log^2 U(r)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& (q-2)T(r, \Omega(\psi - \delta', \psi + \delta'), w) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^q N(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), a_j) + N(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), \tilde{R}_z) \\
& \quad + O(r^{\frac{2\pi}{\sigma}} \log^2 U(r)) + (q-2)\rho\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)T\left(\frac{r}{2}, \Omega(\psi - \delta', \psi + \delta'), w\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^q N(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), a_j) \\
& \quad + N(R, \Omega(\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta), \tilde{R}_z) + o(U(r) + qU\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)).
\end{aligned}$$

By $U\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) \leq (1/2)^{\exp\left(\frac{1}{\rho\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)}\right)} U(r) = o(1)U(r)$. Combining the above two inequalities Lemma 2.5 follows. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $w(z)$ be a ν -valued algebroidal function defined by (1.1) on the whole complex plane and satisfies (1.2). Let $m(m \geq 4)$ be a positive integer, $\theta_0 = 0, \theta_1 = \frac{2\pi}{m}, \dots, \theta_{m-1} = \frac{(m-1)2\pi}{m}, \theta_m = \theta_0$, and $\Delta(\theta_i) = \{z \mid |\arg z - \theta_i| < \frac{2\pi}{m}\}, i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1; \Delta(\theta_m) = \Delta(\theta_0)$. Then among these m angular domains $\{\Delta(\theta_i)\}$, there is at least an angular domain $\Delta(\theta_i)$ such that the relative expression*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \Delta(\theta_i), a)}{T(r, w)} > 0,$$

holds for all $a \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ with at most 2ν exceptions.

Proof. We need to consider two different cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r,w)}{\log r} = \mu < +\infty$. Suppose the lemma 2.6 does not hold. Then for any angular domain $\Delta(\theta_i)(1 \leq i \leq m-1)$, we have $q = 2\nu + 1$ distinct points $a_i^j(j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$ in \mathbb{C}_∞ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^q N(r, \Delta(\theta_{i+1}), a_{i+1}^j) = o(T(r, w)).$$

Let α be arbitrary positive integer. Put

$$\theta_{i,k} = \frac{2\pi i}{m} + \frac{2\pi k}{\alpha m}, 0 \leq i \leq m-1, 0 \leq k \leq \alpha-1, \theta_{i,0} = \theta_i.$$

For sufficient large r , let

$$\Delta_{i,k} = \{z \mid |z| < \lambda^{2\alpha} r, \theta_{i,k} \leq \arg z < \theta_{i,k+1}\},$$

where $\lambda > 1$. Then

$$\{|z| < \lambda^{2\alpha} r\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \Delta_{i,k},$$

Hence there must be one $k_0(0 \leq k_0 \leq \alpha-1)$, such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} n(\Delta_{i,k_0}, \tilde{R}_z) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \tilde{R}_z).$$

Define the angular domains

$$\Omega_i = \left\{ z \mid \frac{\theta_{i,k_0} + \theta_{i,k_0+1}}{2} \leq \arg z \leq \frac{\theta_{i+1,k_0} + \theta_{i+1,k_0+1}}{2} \right\},$$

$$\Delta_i^0 = \{z \mid \theta_{i,k_0} < \arg z < \theta_{i+1,k_0+1}\} \subset \Delta(\theta_{i+1}).$$

Since Δ_i^0 only covers Δ_{i,k_0} twice, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta_i^0; \tilde{R}_z) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \tilde{R}_z).$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to Δ_i^0, Ω_i , we have

$$(q-2)S(r, \Omega_i, w) \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^q n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta_i^0, a_{i+1}^j) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta_i^0, \tilde{R}_z)$$

$$+ (q-2)S(\lambda^{2\alpha}, \Omega_i, w) + \frac{2A}{(1-k)\log \lambda} \log^+ r.$$

Since $S(r, w) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} S(r, \Omega_i, w)$. Adding both sides of the above expression from i to $m - 1$, we can obtain

$$(q - 2)S(r, w) \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^q n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta(\theta_{i+1}), a_{i+1}^j) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 n(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \tilde{R}_z) + O(\log r).$$

Divided both sides of the above expression by r , and then integrating both sides from 1 to r , thus we obtain

$$(q - 2)T(r, w) \leq 2 \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^q N(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \Delta(\theta_{i+1}), a_{i+1}^j) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 N(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, \tilde{R}_z) + O(\log^2 r).$$

Applying (2.1) and (2.2) to the above inequality shows that

$$(2.4) \quad (q - 2)T(r, w) \leq \left(2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 (\nu - 1) + o(1)\right) T(\lambda^{2\alpha} r, w) + O(\log^2 r).$$

By the hypothesis and applying Lemma 2.1 to $T(r, w)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r_n, w)}{\log^2 r_n} = +\infty, \quad T(\lambda^{2\alpha} R_n, w) \leq \lambda^{2\alpha\mu} T(R_n, w),$$

and where $R_n^{1-o(1)} \leq r_n \leq R_n (n \rightarrow \infty)$. From this we can obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(R_n, w)}{\log^2 R_n} = +\infty.$$

In (2.4), we let $r = R_n$ and obtain

$$(q - 2)T(R_n, w) \leq \left(2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 (\nu - 1) + o(1)\right) \lambda^{2\alpha\mu} T(R_n, w) + O(\log^2 R_n).$$

Hence

$$q - 2 \leq 2\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right)^2 (\nu - 1) \lambda^{2\alpha\mu}.$$

By a simple calculation, we can obtain that $q - 2 \leq 2(\nu - 1)$. This contradicts $q = 2\nu + 1$.

Case 2. Suppose that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, w)}{\log r} = +\infty$. That is to say $w(z)$ is an infinite order function. By Lemma 2.3, there exists $U(r)$ satisfying the

conditions that stated in Lemma 2.3. We can assert that there is at least an angular domain $\Delta(\theta_i)$ such that the relative expression

$$(2.5) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \Delta(\theta_i), a)}{U(r)} > 0,$$

holds for all $a \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ with at most 2ν exceptions. In fact, if this is not the case, then for any angular domain $\Delta(\theta_i) (1 \leq i \leq m-1)$, we have $q = 2\nu + 1$ distinct points $a_i^j \in \mathbb{C}_\infty (j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$ such that for any i and j ,

$$(2.6) \quad N(r, \Delta(\theta_{i+1}), a_{i+1}^j) = o(U(r)).$$

Let α be arbitrary positive integral, put

$$\theta_{i,k} = \frac{2\pi i}{m} + \frac{2\pi k}{\alpha m}, 0 \leq i \leq m-1, 0 \leq k \leq \alpha-1, \theta_{i,0} = \theta_i,$$

and $\Delta_{i,k} = \{z \mid |z| < R, \theta_{i,k} \leq \arg z < \theta_{i,k+1}\}$. Then $\{|z| < R\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \Delta_{i,k}$.

Hence there must be one $k_0 (0 \leq k_0 \leq \alpha-1)$, such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} n(\Delta_{i,k_0}, \tilde{R}_z) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} n(R, \tilde{R}_z).$$

Let the angular domain

$$\Omega_i = \left\{ z \mid \frac{\theta_{i,k_0} + \theta_{i,k_0+1}}{2} \leq \arg z \leq \frac{\theta_{i+1,k_0} + \theta_{i+1,k_0+1}}{2} \right\},$$

$$\Delta_i^0 = \{z \mid \theta_{i,k_0} < \arg z < \theta_{i+1,k_0+1}\} \subset \Delta(\theta_{i+1}).$$

Since Δ_i^0 only cover Δ_{i,k_0} twice, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} n(R, \Delta_i^0, \tilde{R}_z) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) n(R, \tilde{R}_z),$$

by a simple calculation, we can obtain that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} N(R, \Delta_i^0, \tilde{R}_z) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) N(R, \tilde{R}_z) + O(1).$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 to Δ_i^0, Ω_i , we have

$$(q-2)T(r, \Omega_i, w) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q N(R, \Delta_i^0, a_i^j) + N(R, \Delta_i^0, \tilde{R}_z) + o(U(r)),$$

Adding both sides of the above expression from $i = 0$ to $m-1$, applying (2.6), we can further obtain that

$$(q-2)T(r, w) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) N(R, \tilde{R}_z) + o(U(r)).$$

From (2.1),

$$(q-2)T(r, w) \leq 2(\nu-1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) T(R, w) + o(U(r)).$$

Furthermore, we can have

$$\begin{aligned} (q-2) \frac{T(r, w)}{U(r)} &\leq 2(\nu-1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{T(R, w)}{U(r)} + \frac{o(U(r))}{U(r)} \\ &= 2(\nu-1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \frac{T(R, w)}{U(R)} \frac{U(R)}{U(r)} + \frac{o(U(r))}{U(r)} \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3, we have $q-2 \leq 2(\nu-1)(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha})$. Letting $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, we have $q-2 \leq 2(\nu-1)$. This contradicts $q = 2\nu + 1$ and hence (2.5) follows. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \Delta(\theta_i), a)}{T(r, w)} &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \Delta(\theta_i), a)}{U(r)} \frac{U(r)}{T(r, w)} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \Delta(\theta_i), a)}{U(r)} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(r)}{T(r, w)} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and hence Lemma 2.6 holds. \square

3. The proof of the Theorem 1.1

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, for arbitrary positive integer m , there exists an angular domain $\Delta(\theta_m) = \{z \mid |\arg z - \theta_m| < \frac{2\pi}{m}\}$ such that for any a , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \Delta(\theta_m), a)}{T(r, w)} > 0,$$

except for 2ν exceptions at most. Choosing subsequence of $\{\theta_m\}$, still denote it $\{\theta_m\}$, we assume that $\theta_m \rightarrow \theta_0$. Put $L : \arg z = \theta_0$. Then L is the T direction of Theorem 1.1.

In fact, for any $\delta (0 < \delta < \pi/2)$, when m is sufficiently large, we have $\Delta(\theta_m) \subset \Delta(\theta_0, \delta)$. By (3.1), we obtain

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \theta_0, \delta, a)}{T(r, w)} \geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \Delta(\theta_m), a)}{T(r, w)} > 0$$

at most 2ν exceptions for a . Hence Theorem 1.1 holds in this case. \square

4. Examples of T direction of algebroidal function

In order to give an example of T direction of algebroidal function, we firstly prove the following Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $w(z)$ be a ν -valued algebraic function on the whole complex plane defined by the following irreducible equation*

$$(4.1) \quad g(z)w^\nu - h(z) = 0,$$

where $g(z) (\neq 0), h(z)$ are entire functions without any common zeros. Let $f(z) = \frac{h(z)}{g(z)}$. Suppose that $L : \arg z = \theta$ is a T direction of $f(z)$. Then L must be a T direction of $w(z)$.

Proof. We can follow from (4.1) that

$$N(r, w) = \frac{1}{\nu}N(r, g(z) = 0) = \frac{1}{\nu}N(r, f);$$

$$m(r, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \sqrt[\nu]{|f(re^{i\theta})|} d\theta = \frac{1}{\nu}m(r, f).$$

Hence $T(r, w) = \frac{1}{\nu}T(r, f)$. Suppose that $L : \arg z = \theta$ is a T direction of $f(z)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \theta, \varepsilon, f = a)}{T(r, f)} > 0$$

holds for any value a , except for 2 exceptions at most. Since for any $b \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$, we have

$$N(r, \theta, \varepsilon, w^\nu = b) = N(r, \theta, \varepsilon, f = b).$$

Then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \theta, \varepsilon, w^\nu = b)}{T(r, w)} > 0,$$

holds for any value b , except for 2 exceptions at most. Therefore,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \theta, \varepsilon, w = \sqrt[\nu]{b})}{T(r, w)} > 0,$$

holds for any value b , except for 2 exceptions at most. Let $b_0 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$ be an exception, then there exist ν values $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$ such that $x_i^\nu = b_0$ and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \theta, \varepsilon, w = x_i)}{T(r, w)} = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu.$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, \theta, \varepsilon, w = a)}{T(r, w)} > 0,$$

holds for any value a , except for 2ν exceptions at most i.e. L is a T direction of $w(z)$. □

We are now in the position to construct an example of T direction for algebraic function.

Example 4.1. Let

$$f(z) = \frac{\prod_{n=0}^{+\infty} (1 - \frac{z}{e^{\sqrt{n}}})}{\prod_{n=0}^{+\infty} (1 + \frac{z}{e^{\sqrt{n}}})} = \prod_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{\sqrt{n}} - z}{e^{\sqrt{n}} + z}.$$

Since the exponent of convergence of sequence $\{e^{\sqrt{n}}\}$ is zero (In fact, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\Sigma(e^{\sqrt{n}})^{-\varepsilon}$ converges), and $\Sigma(e^{\sqrt{n}})^{-1}$ converges, using a theorem in J. K. Langley [15, p35], we have $\prod_{n=0}^{+\infty} (1 - \frac{z}{e^{\sqrt{n}}})$ and $\prod_{n=0}^{+\infty} (1 + \frac{z}{e^{\sqrt{n}}})$ converges.

Hence $\prod_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{\sqrt{n}} - z}{e^{\sqrt{n}} + z}$ converges. It follows from Sauer [13] that, $T(r, f(z)) = (\frac{1}{3} + o(1)) \log^3 r$. Suppose that $f(z) = \frac{h(z)}{g(z)}$, where $g(z), h(z)$ are entire functions, for any $\nu \geq 2$,

$$g(z)w^\nu - h(z) = 0,$$

give a ν -valued algebroidal function $w(z)$. By the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have $w(z)$ is of zero order of growth and satisfies (1.2). It follows from Zheng [14] that, $f(z)$ has exactly two T directions, the negative and positive imaginary axis. Using Theorem 4.1, the negative and positive imaginary axis are T directions of algebroidal function $w(z)$. In fact, we can derive that there is no T direction other than the two directions on imaginary axis. The Mobius transformations $\frac{e^{\sqrt{n}} - z}{e^{\sqrt{n}} + z}$ map the right half plane to the interior of the unit disk and the left half plane to the exterior of the unit disk. Hence there is no T direction of $f(z)$ other than the two directions on imaginary axis.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks the referee for his/her valuable suggestions and comments which have improved the paper. The research is supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10471048) and the Funds from Xianning University (KT0623, KZ0629, KY0718).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, XIANNING UNIVERSITY
XIANNING, 437100, HUBEI, P. R. CHINA

CURRENT ADDRESS: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS
SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY
GUANGZHOU, 510631, P. R. CHINA
e-mail: wuzj52@hotmail.com

References

- [1] G. Valiron, *Sur les direction de Borel des fonctions algebroides meromorphes d'ordre infini*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **206** (1938), 735–737.
- [2] N. Toda, *Sur les direction de Julia et Borel des fonction algebrides*, Nagoya Math. J. **34** (1969), 1–23.

- [3] Y. N. Lü, *On Julia direction of meromorphic function and meromorphic algebroidal function*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Ser. **27-3** (1984), 367–373.
- [4] ———, *On Borel direction of algebroidal function*, Science in China, Ser. A. **6** (1981), 657–680.
- [5] Y. N. Lü and Y. X. Gu, *On the existence of Borel Direction for algebroidal function*, Kexue Tongbao (Science Bulletin) **28** (1983), 264–266.
- [6] J. H. Zheng, *On transcendental meromorphic functions with radially distributed values*, Science in China, Ser. A. **33** (2003), 539–550.
- [7] H. Guo, J. H. Zheng and T. W. Ng, *On a new singular direction of meromorphic functions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **69** (2004), 277–287.
- [8] A. Ostrowskii, *Über Folgen analytischer Functionen und einige Verschärfungen des Picardschen Satzes*, Math. Z. **24** (1926), 215–252.
- [9] Y. Z. He and X. Z. Xiao, *Algebroidal function and ordinary differential equation*, Beijing: Science Press, 1988.
- [10] Y. N. Lü and G. H. Zhang, *On Nevanlinna direction of an algebroidal*, Science in China, Ser. A. **3** (1983), 215–224.
- [11] D. C. Sun, *On the existence of Nevanlinna direction*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A. **7** (1986), 212–221.
- [12] T. W. Chen, *The Maximality Borel directions of algebroidal functions with infinite order growth*, Journal of South China Normal University **14-4** (1994), 70–76.
- [13] A. Sauer, *Julia directions of meromorphic functions and their derivatives*, Arch. Math. **79** (2002), 182–187.
- [14] J. H. Zheng, *On value distribution of meromorphic functions with respect to arguments*, preprint.
- [15] J. K. Langley, *Postgraduate notes on complex analysis*, preprint.
- [16] L. Yang, *Value distribution theory*, Beijing: Science Press, 1982.