

Formal fibers and openness of loci

By

Paolo VALABREGA

(Communicated by Prof. M. Nagata, April 30, 1977)

Introduction

Many loci are Zariski open for a large class of rings (algebraic-geometric, analytic, complete, excellent) and such openness of loci is variously related to the good properties of formal fibers. To quote the well known examples, the geometric regularity of formal fibers implies, for a noetherian local ring A , the openness of regular locus for $\text{Spec}(A')$, where A' is any A -algebra of finite type, while the geometric reduceness of fibers carries the openness of normal locus.

The converse arrow is also true for some class of rings: for instance, if A is complete for some \mathfrak{m} -adic topology and excellent modulo \mathfrak{m} , then the openness of regular locus implies the geometric regularity of formal fibers (see [12], theorem 4).

In the present paper we investigate fibers and loci for a property \mathbf{P} meaningful in any noetherian ring, submitted to the following conditions:

- 1—every field has \mathbf{P} ;
- 2— \mathbf{P} is local;
- 3—if A is a complete local ring, then the \mathbf{P} -locus of A is Zariski open;
- 4—if $(A, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (B, \mathfrak{n})$ is a faithfully flat local homomorphism, then \mathbf{P} descends from B to A ; if moreover $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ has \mathbf{P} , then \mathbf{P} ascends;
- 5—if A is regular, then A has \mathbf{P} .

In n. 1. after a short recall on the main properties we need in the paper (Cohen-Macaulay, Gorenstein, complete intersection), we discuss the openness of \mathbf{P} -locus on a ring A and on finite A -algebras, giving a list of examples.

In n. 2 we discuss the so called “Nagata’s criterion for the openness of loci”, formally the same as the criterion for the openness of regular locus, but concerning a property \mathbf{P} of the type considered above.

We discuss also the following condition, closely related to Nagata's criterion: if a ring A has \mathbf{P} , then every domain which is a quotient of A contains a non empty open set having \mathbf{P} .

Using Nagata's criterion and the condition on quotients we can prove the permanence of the openness of \mathbf{P} -loci under morphisms with good fibers, like completions or henselizations.

In n. 3 we prove the following lifting result, which generalizes [12], theorem 4: if A is separated and complete for some \mathfrak{m} -topology and the formal fibers of A/\mathfrak{m} are geometrically \mathbf{P} (where \mathbf{P} satisfies just 1-5), then the openness of \mathbf{P} -loci for every A -algebra of finite type implies that the formal fibers of A are also geometrically \mathbf{P} .

When \mathbf{P} satisfies Nagata's criterion and the quotient condition (*e.g.* when \mathbf{P} =Cohen-Macaulay, Gorenstein or complete intersection) the preceding theorem implies that the good properties of fibers and loci pass to \mathfrak{m} -adic completion.

I wish to thank prof. Markus Brodman and prof. Hideyuki Matsumura for some useful conversations on the subject of this paper.

n. 1

All the rings are assumed to be commutative with 1 and noetherian; our terminology will freely follow [10].

We now shortly recall a few facts and definitions:

- 1—A local ring A is Cohen-Macaulay (CM) iff $\text{depth}(A) = \text{dim}(A)$; a ring A is CM iff $A_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is CM for every $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(A)$;
- 2—A local ring A is Gorenstein (Gor) iff A is CM and there is a system of parameters which generates an irreducible ideal; a ring A is Gor iff $A_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is Gor for every $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(A)$;
- 3—A local ring is called (absolute) complete intersection (CI) iff \hat{A} = completion of A is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring modulo a regular sequence (we follow [6], (19. 3. 1); so we do not assume that A is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring); a ring A is CI iff $A_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is CI for every $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(A)$;
- 4—Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (B, \mathfrak{n})$ be a faithfully flat local homomorphism; then, if B is regular (CI, Gor, CM) also A is regular (CI, Gor, CM); if A and $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ are regular (CI, Gor, CM) also B is (see: [10], theorem 51 and (21. C), corollary 1; [1], theorem 2; [7], proposition 9. 6);
- 5—The formal fibers of a local ring A are the rings $\hat{A} \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p})$, where \hat{A} = completion of A , $k(\mathfrak{p})$ = fraction field of A/\mathfrak{p} , $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$; the formal fibers of A are the formal fibers of all localizations, if A is any ring;

- 6—If \mathbf{P} is any property meaningful for a ring A (like regularity, CI, Gor, CM, ...), we say that the formal fibers of A are geometrically \mathbf{P} (shortly: A is a \mathbf{P} -ring) iff, for every $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ and every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A_{\mathfrak{Q}})$, the ring $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{Q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{Q}}} k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{k(\mathfrak{p})} L$ has \mathbf{P} , L being any finite extension of $k(\mathfrak{p})$;
- 7—A ring A is quasi excellent (q. excellent) iff the formal fibers of A are geometrically regular and the regular locus of $\text{Spec}(A')$ is Zariski open, whenever A' is any A -algebra of finite type;
- 8—We say that a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbf{P} -morphism (\mathbf{P} being as in 6—) iff it is flat and its fibers are geometrically \mathbf{P} ;
- 9—Convention: if A is any ring, $\mathbf{P}(A) = \mathbf{P}$ -locus of $A = \{\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid A_{\mathfrak{Q}} \text{ has the property } \mathbf{P}\}$;
- 10—If A is a complete semilocal ring, then $\mathbf{P}(A)$ is Zariski open, whenever $\mathbf{P} =$ (i) regularity ([10], theorem 74); (ii) CI ([6], (19. 3. 3)); (iii) Gor ([11], theorem 3. 1 or [9], theorem 8); (iv) CM ([6], 6. 11. 2)).

We shall from now on consider a property \mathbf{P} meaningful for a noetherian ring A and satisfying the following

AXIOMS of \mathbf{P} :

- 1—every field has \mathbf{P} ;
- 2— \mathbf{P} is local;
- 3—if A is a complete local ring, then $\mathbf{P}(A)$ is Zariski open;
- 4—if $(A, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (B, \mathfrak{n})$ is a faithfully flat local homomorphism, then \mathbf{P} descends from B to A ; if both A and $B/\mathfrak{m}B$ have \mathbf{P} , then \mathbf{P} ascends from A to B ;
- 5—if A is regular, then A has \mathbf{P} .

Remark 1: Axioms 1—5 are fulfilled whenever $\mathbf{P} =$ any of the following properties:

- 1—regularity; 2— CI; 3— Gor; 4— CM.

On the other hand, properties like normality, reduceness, (R_k) , (S_h) are forbidden because of axiom 4, since the property on the fiber over the closed point is not enough to make them ascend.

Remark 2: A property \mathbf{P} of the type considered above passes to polynomial rings ($A \rightarrow A[X]$ is a morphism with regular fibers).

Remark 3: Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow C$ be two morphisms; if both f and g are \mathbf{P} -morphisms, then also their product $g \circ f$ is a \mathbf{P} -morphism; if $g \circ f$ is a \mathbf{P} -morphism and g is faithfully flat, then f is a \mathbf{P} -morphism.

Remark 4: If A is any \mathbf{P} -ring then the morphism $A \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbf{P} -

morphism whenever B is any \mathfrak{m} -adic completion or henselization, with respect to $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$ ([6], (7. 4. 6) and [5], lemma 5. 1; really the morphism into the henselization is even regular, i. e., with geometrically regular fibers).

Remark 5: A is a \mathbf{P} -ring iff $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a \mathbf{P} -ring for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} ([6], (7. 4. 5)).

Now we introduce and discuss some conditions of openness of loci on a ring A and on finitely generated algebras.

Definition 1: A ring A is $\mathbf{P}-0$ (where \mathbf{P} satisfies 1–5) iff $\mathbf{P}(A)$ contains a non empty open set.

A is $\mathbf{P}-1$ iff $\mathbf{P}(A)$ is Zariski open (maybe empty).

A is $\mathbf{P}-2$ iff every A -algebra of finite type is $\mathbf{P}-1$.

Remarks and Examples:

- 1—Property $\mathbf{P}-2$ passes to homomorphic images and localizations, as well as to algebras of finite type;
- 2—If A is q. excellent and \mathbf{P} =regularity, then A is $\mathbf{P}-2$ ([10], (34. A));
- 3—If A is a homomorphic image of a regular ring, then A is $\mathbf{P}-2$, with \mathbf{P} =CI ([6], (19. 3. 3));
- 4—If A is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring of finite Krull dimension, then A is $\mathbf{P}-2$, with \mathbf{P} =Gor ([9], theorem 8 or [11], theorem 3. 1);
- 5—In [6] (6. 11. 8) it is introduced the following condition: (CMU): Let A be a noetherian ring; for every $\mathfrak{F} \in \text{Spec}(A)$, $\text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{F})$ contains a non empty open set being CM.
(CMU) implies: a) $\text{CM}(A)$ is Zariski open ([6], (6. 11. 8)); b) if (CMU) is true for A , it is automatically true also for any $A' = A$ -algebra of finite type; c) finally, if a ring A has (CMU), then it is $\mathbf{P}-2$, with \mathbf{P} =CM.
- 6—In [6] it is proved that (CMU) is true for a ring A such that $A = B/\mathfrak{F}$, where B is regular ([6], (6. 11. 2)); moreover there is the implicit conjecture that (CMU) be valid for any noetherian ring. On the contrary in [8] Hochster gives counterexamples to the openness of CM-locus, hence to (CMU) even in dimension 3 and for rings which are locally geometric; other counterexamples can be found in [3].

On the other hand in [9] it is shown that (CMU) is always true for homomorphic images of CM rings ([9], theorem 3).

n. 2

In the present section we want to discuss the consequences on openness of loci produced by Nagata's criterion, when it is assumed to be valid for a property \mathbf{P} satisfying axioms 1–5, \mathbf{P} being eventually different from regularity.

Therefore we introduce the following

Definition 2: A property \mathbf{P} satisfying axioms 1–5 has Nagata's criterion (shortly: \mathbf{NC}) iff the following theorem is true for \mathbf{P} :

Let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$, where A is any noetherian ring; then $\mathbf{P}(A)$ is open if, for every $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(A)$, there is a non empty open set \mathfrak{U} of $\text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{Q})$ contained in $\mathbf{P}(A/\mathfrak{Q})$.

Remark 1: \mathbf{NC} is valid and well known when \mathbf{P} =regularity (see for instance [10], (32. A)); it is a key result to construct the theory of excellent rings.

Recently proofs of \mathbf{NC} have been given also for other properties like :
 1—CM ([9], theorem 4) ;
 2—Gor ([4]) ;
 3—CI ([4]).

Remark 2: There are many properties with \mathbf{NC} , but not fulfilling axioms 1–5, like (R_i) ([9], theorem 1) or (S_i) ([9], theorem 6) ; the results of the present section are generally not valid for them.

\mathbf{NC} allows us to state equivalent conditions for \mathbf{P} –2 quite similar to the well known equivalences for regular loci (the so called property J –2 of [10], theorem 73). In fact we have :

Proposition 1: Let \mathbf{P} be any property satisfying axioms 1–5 and \mathbf{NC} . Then the following conditions on a noetherian ring A are equivalent :

- 1— A has \mathbf{P} –2 ;
- 2—every finite algebra is \mathbf{P} –1 ;
- 3—for every $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ and for every L =finite extension of the fraction field $k(\mathfrak{Q})$ of A/\mathfrak{Q} there is a finite A -algebra B , containing A/\mathfrak{Q} and having L as fraction field, such that B is \mathbf{P} –0.

Proof: Enough to show that 3 \implies 1. Choose $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(A)$, L =finite extension of $k(\mathfrak{Q})$ and B as in 3. Then B contains a linear basis of L over $k(\mathfrak{Q})$, say b_1, \dots, b_n , and there is an $f \neq 0$ in A/\mathfrak{Q} such that $B_f = \sum_{i=1}^n (A/\mathfrak{Q})_f b_i$ =finite free module. Hence, by axiom 4 on \mathbf{P} , A/\mathfrak{Q} is \mathbf{P} –0. Therefore, by \mathbf{NC} , A is \mathbf{P} –1, together with every quotient A/\mathfrak{P} ,

with $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec}(A)$.

Now we pass to consider finitely generated A -algebras; by **NC** it is enough to show that, if C is any domain finitely generated as an A -algebra, then C is **P**-0. If $\mathfrak{Q} = \ker(A \rightarrow C)$, we can replace A by A/\mathfrak{Q} and assume that A is contained in C . Passing to a suitable open set of $\text{Spec}(A)$, we can assume also that A has **P**. Let now K and L be the fraction fields of A and C respectively. There are two alternatives:

Case 1— L/K is separable, hence L has a separating transcendence base over K , say (t_1, \dots, t_n) , which can be chosen in C . Put: $A_1 = A[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, $K_1 = K(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Then A_1 has **P** since it is a polynomial ring over a ring having **P**. Replacing A by A_1 , we can assume that L/K is separable algebraic; moreover we can choose a linear base of L over K , say e_1, \dots, e_n , contained in C and select $f \in A$ such that $C_f = \sum_{i=1}^n A_f e_i =$ finite free A_f -module. Now replace A by A_f and C by C_f . Since L/K is separable algebraic we have: $d = \det(\text{tr}_{L/K}(e_i e_j)) \neq 0$. We claim that C_d has **P**. In fact if $d \notin \mathfrak{p}' \in \text{Spec}(C)$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}' \cap A$, then the canonical image of d in $C \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})$ is non zero in $k(\mathfrak{p})$, which means that $C \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})$ is a product of fields; hence $C_{\mathfrak{p}'}/\mathfrak{p}C_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ is a field. But $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ is faithfully flat and both $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $C_{\mathfrak{p}'}/\mathfrak{p}C_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ have **P**; so that also $C_{\mathfrak{p}'}$ has **P**, as we had to show.

Case 2— $\text{char}(L) = p > 0$; then there exists a finite radical extension K_1 of K such that $L_1 = L(K_1)$ is separable over K_1 . We can choose $A_1 \subseteq K_1$ as in 3, so that A_1 is **P**-0 and also $A_1[C]$ is **P**-0 by case 1.

Since $A_1[C]$ is finite over C , C itself is **P**-0 (use axiom 4 on **P**).

Remark 1: Our result is very close to property $J-2$ not only in the formulation, but also in the technique of proof (see [10], theorem 73).

Such a proof is based essentially on the following facts, valid both for regularity and for **P**:

- 1—**P** ascends by faithful flatness if the fiber over the closed point has **P** (hence properties like normality, $(S_k), \dots$ are excluded; on the other hand we remark that for (R_k) there is a proof of R_k-2 based on Nagata's criterion: [9], theorem 2);
- 2—**P** descends by faithful flatness;
- 3—**P** passes to polynomials;
- 4—**P** has **NC**: this property allows us to restrict our investigation to domains.

Remark 2: In [11] Sharp introduces the class of acceptable rings, quite parallel to excellent rings, but with regularity replaced everywhere by Gorenstein; our proposition 1, using **NC** for Gor proved in [4],

gives for acceptable rings the until now missing equivalent of property $J-2$.

Now we consider another condition on \mathbf{P} concerning quotients and strictly related to Nagata's criterion :

Definition 3 : A property \mathbf{P} satisfying axioms 1–5 has the quotient condition (shortly: \mathbf{QC}) if the following theorem is true :

Let A be a noetherian ring having \mathbf{P} and $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec}(A)$; then A/\mathfrak{P} is $\mathbf{P}-0$.

Examples :

1– $\mathbf{P}=\text{CM}$ ([9], theorem 3) ;

2– $\mathbf{P}=\text{Gor}$ ([4]) ;

3– $\mathbf{P}=\text{CI}$ ([4]).

On the other hand we do not know whether regularity has \mathbf{QC} or not, at least in char. 0.

Using \mathbf{NC} and \mathbf{QC} we can give the following permanence theorem for $\mathbf{P}-2$.

Theorem 2 : Let A be a noetherian ring, \mathbf{P} a property satisfying axioms 1–5, \mathbf{NC} and \mathbf{QC} , and let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a \mathbf{P} -morphism.

Then, if A is a ring with $\mathbf{P}-2$, also B has $\mathbf{P}-2$.

Proof : By \mathbf{NC} it is enough to show that, if C is any polynomial ring over B and $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(C)$, then C/\mathfrak{Q} is $\mathbf{P}-0$. Let $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{Q} \cap B$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{Q} \cap A$. Replacing A by A/\mathfrak{p} , B by B/\mathfrak{q} , C by $C/\mathfrak{p}C$, we may assume that $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$. By hypothesis there is an $f \neq 0$ in A such that A_f has \mathbf{P} ; therefore also B_f and hence C_f has \mathbf{P} . But $f \notin \mathfrak{Q}$, since $\mathfrak{Q} \cap A = (0)$; therefore we can conclude, using \mathbf{QC} , that C_f/\mathfrak{Q} is $\mathbf{P}-0$.

Remark : Theorem 2 can be applied when $\mathbf{P}=\text{CM}$, Gor or CI ; moreover B can be chosen to be any \mathfrak{m} -adic completion or henselization of A , with $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$ ([6], (7. 4. 6) ; [5], lemma 5. 1). When A is local, B can be chosen to be the strict henselization hA ([6], (18. 8. 12), (ii)).

n. 3

In the present section we state a lifting result for the property of being a \mathbf{P} -ring, i. e. we lift it from A/\mathfrak{m} to A when A is \mathfrak{m} -adically complete; the result can be refined when \mathbf{P} is supposed to have \mathbf{NC} and \mathbf{QC} .

First we need a lemma :

Lemma: *A noetherian local ring A is a \mathbf{P} -ring iff, for every finite A -algebra B which is a domain, and for every $\mathfrak{Q} \in \text{Spec}(\hat{B})$ (where $\hat{B} = \text{completion of } B$) with $\mathfrak{Q} \cap B = (0)$, the local ring $\hat{B}_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ has \mathbf{P} .*

Proof: Quite the same as the well known proof valid for \mathbf{P} =regularity (see, for instance, [10], (33. E), lemma 3).

Now we can prove the following

Theorem 3: *Let A be a noetherian ring, \mathfrak{m} an ideal and \mathbf{P} a property satisfying axioms 1–5. Assume that:*

1— A is \mathfrak{m} -adically separated and complete;

2— A/\mathfrak{m} is a \mathbf{P} -ring;

3— A is $\mathbf{P}-2$.

Then also A is a \mathbf{P} -ring.

Proof: By [6], (7. 4. 5), we can restrict our attention to the formal fibers of $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where \mathfrak{M} is an arbitrary maximal ideal. By the lemma, it is enough to show that, if D is a domain finite as an $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module and \mathfrak{Q} is a prime ideal of $\text{Spec}(\hat{D})$ lying over $(0) \in \text{Spec}(D)$, then $\hat{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ has \mathbf{P} .

If $\mathfrak{m}D = (0)$, D is a finite $A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module; so, by hypothesis 2, $\hat{D}_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ has \mathbf{P} . Therefore we can assume that $\mathfrak{m}D \neq (0)$.

Let us now consider the canonical map $f: \text{Spec}(\hat{D}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(D)$ and the set $Y = f^{-1}(\mathbf{P}(D)) \cap \text{Non } \mathbf{P}(\hat{D})$, where $\text{Non } \mathbf{P}(\hat{D}) = \text{Spec}(\hat{D}) - \mathbf{P}(\hat{D})$. We want to show that $Y = \emptyset$, which will prove our claim.

Assume $Y \neq \emptyset$. Since A is $\mathbf{P}-2$, then $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is also $\mathbf{P}-2$ and D is $\mathbf{P}-1$; so, using axiom 3 on \mathbf{P} , we see that Y is locally closed and, by [10], (33. F), lemma 5, it contains a prime ideal $\mathfrak{P}' \in \text{Spec}(\hat{D})$ such that $\dim(\hat{D}/\mathfrak{P}') \leq 1$. If $\dim(\hat{D}/\mathfrak{P}') = 0$, then \mathfrak{P}' is maximal as well as $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}' \cap D$. But $D_{\mathfrak{P}} \rightarrow \hat{D}_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ is faithfully flat and the fiber over the closed point is a field. Therefore, by axiom 4 on \mathbf{P} , $\hat{D}_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ should have \mathbf{P} , which contradicts the choice of \mathfrak{P}' . Hence $\dim(\hat{D}/\mathfrak{P}') = 1$.

We now consider $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m}E$, where $E = \hat{D}/\mathfrak{P}'$. There are two alternatives:

1— $\mathfrak{m}' = (0)$; then $\mathfrak{m}\hat{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{P}'$, so that $\mathfrak{m}D \subseteq \mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}' \cap D$. Therefore D/\mathfrak{P} is a finite module over $A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and has formal fibers with property \mathbf{P} , by hypothesis 2. In particular $\hat{D}_{\mathfrak{P}'}/\mathfrak{P}\hat{D}_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ must have \mathbf{P} , so that also $\hat{D}_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ has \mathbf{P} by axiom 4 on \mathbf{P} ; but this is absurd and, finally, \mathfrak{m}' cannot be (0) .

2— $\mathfrak{m}' \neq (0)$; since E is a local domain of dimension 1, \mathfrak{m}' contains a suitable power of $\mathfrak{m}_E = \text{Rad}(E)$, say \mathfrak{m}'_E . Hence we have: $E/\mathfrak{m}' = \text{homomorphic image of } \hat{D}/\mathfrak{m}'_D = D/\mathfrak{m}'_D = \text{finite } A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{M}'A_{\mathfrak{m}}\text{-module} = \text{finite}$

A/\mathfrak{M} -module. So $E/\mathfrak{m}E$ is finite over A , which is \mathfrak{m} -separated and complete; this means that E is a finite A -module ([10], (28. P), lemma). We have now the following finite inclusions:

$$A/(\mathfrak{P} \cap A) \hookrightarrow D/\mathfrak{P} \hookrightarrow E.$$

Since $A/(\mathfrak{P} \cap A)$ is \mathfrak{m} -complete and separated, the same is true for D/\mathfrak{P} ; but D/\mathfrak{P} is a local domain of dimension 1, so that it is complete as a local ring, i. e. $D/\mathfrak{P} = \hat{D}/\mathfrak{P}\hat{D}$; finally we see that $\mathfrak{P}\hat{D} = \mathfrak{P}'$ and $\hat{D}_{\mathfrak{P}'}/\mathfrak{P}'\hat{D}_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ is a field. Therefore $\hat{D}_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ must have \mathbf{P} by axiom 4 on \mathbf{P} .

We get again an absurd and the unique possibility is $Y = \emptyset$, which proves our claim.

Remark: The theorem is true when \mathbf{P} =regularity, CI, Gor, CM. In particular, in the case of regularity, we find exactly the result of [12], theorem 4.

Corollary 1: Let A be a normal local ring of dimension 3, \mathfrak{m} -complete and separated for some ideal \mathfrak{m} . If A/\mathfrak{m} is a \mathbf{P} -ring, with \mathbf{P} =CM, then the same is true for A .

Proof: A has (CMU), hence it is $\mathbf{P}-2$, with \mathbf{P} =CM. In fact, if $f \neq 0, f \in \text{Rad}(A)$, then A_f is a normal domain of dimension 2 and, if $\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec}(A)$, $\mathfrak{P} \neq (0)$, then there is $f \in \text{Rad}(A/\mathfrak{P})$ such that $(A/\mathfrak{P})_f$ is a domain of dimension not greater than 1; in any case we get a CM ring localizing at some suitable f .

When \mathbf{P} has **NC** and **QC** we can deduce the following

Corollary 2: Let A be a noetherian ring and let \mathbf{P} satisfy axioms 1–5, **NC** and **QC**, Assume moreover that:

- 1— A has $\mathbf{P}-2$;
- 2— A is \mathbf{P} -ring.

Then if $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$ and $B = (A, \mathfrak{m})^\wedge$, also B satisfies 1 and 2.

Proof: By proposition 1, $\mathbf{P}-2$ passes to B , since $A \rightarrow B$ is a \mathbf{P} -morphism. Now apply theorem 3.

Remark: Corollary 2 states in particular the following facts:

- 1—If A is acceptable ([11]), then also $(A, \mathfrak{m})^\wedge$ is acceptable;
- 2—If A is q. excellent then $(A, \mathfrak{m})^\wedge$ has $\mathbf{P}-2$ with \mathbf{P} =CI, Gor, CM (the claim on fibers in this case is well known: it is easy to see that the fibers of $(A, \mathfrak{m})^\wedge$ are even CI without the machinery of the theorem).

Unfortunately the corollary cannot be employed when \mathbf{P} =regularity since \mathbf{QC} is not known in this case (and \mathbf{NC} is not enough).

We remark explicitly that, if \mathbf{QC} is valid for some class of regular rings, like, for instance, regular local rings containing a field of characteristic 0, then it gives automatically the passage to completion of the excellent property, within the class considered, by corollary 2.

POLITECNICO DI TORINO
ISTITUTO MATEMATICO
TORINO (ITALY)

References

- [1] L. Avramov Flat morphisms of complete intersections, Dokl. Akad. SSSR., 225 (1975) (Soviet Math. Dokl., 16 (1975))
- [2] N. Bourbaki Algèbre Commutative, Hermann, Paris (1962, ..)
- [3] D. Ferrand Fibrés formelles d'un anneau local noetherien, Ann. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup., t. 3 (1970)
- [4] S. Greco Nagata's criterion and openness of loci for Gorenstein and complete intersections (to appear)
- [5] S. Greco Sugli omomorfismi quasi étale e gli anelli eccellenti, Annali di Matematica pura e applicata, vol. XC, Bologna (1971)
- [6] A. Grothendieck Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, chap. IV, Publ. I. H. E. S. (1964. . .)
- [7] R. Hartshorne Residues and duality, Lecture notes n° 20, Springer Verlag Berlin (1966)
- [8] M. Hochster Non openness of loci in noetherian rings, Duke Math. J. 40 (1973)
- [9] C. Massaza Sull' apertura di luoghi in uno schema localmente noetheriano Boll. U. M. I., XIV—A (1977)
- [10] H. Matsumura Commutative Algebra, Benjamin, New York, (1970)
- [11] R. Sharp Acceptable rings and homomorphic images of Gorenstein rings, J. of Algebra, vol. 44 (1977)
- [12] P. Valabrega A few theorems on completions of excellent rings Nagoya Math. J., vol. 61 (1976).