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## Introduction

The purpose of this note is to give variational formulas for Green's functions on arbitrary Riemann surfaces under quasiconformal deformation, which contains known formulas such as those due to Sontag [7], Guerrero [3] and Maitani [5].

After some preliminary discussion on quasiconformal mappings in $\S 1$, we will prove the main formulas in $\S 2$ and $\S 3$ (Theorems 2 and 3 ).

## § 1. A surgery of quasiconformal mappings

Let $U$ be the unit disk $\{|z|<1\}$ and $U^{\prime}=\{|z|<r<1\}$. Then we can define a surgery of a given quasiconformal mapping $f$ of $U$ onto itself such that $f(0)=0$ as follows.

Let $\mu=\mu_{f}$ be the complex dilatation of $f$, and first decompose $f$ as $f_{2} \circ f_{1}$ with quasiconformal mappings $f_{1}=f_{1}^{\mu}$ and $f_{2}=f_{2}^{\mu}$ of $U$ onto itself such that the complex dilatations $\mu_{f_{1}}$ and $\mu_{f_{2}}$ are equal to $\left.\mu\right|_{\left(U-U^{\prime}\right)}$ and $\left(\mu_{U^{\prime}} \cdot\left(f_{1}\right)_{2} /\left(\bar{f}_{1}\right)_{\bar{z}}\right) \cdot\left(f_{1}\right)^{-1}$, respectively, $f_{1}(0)=0$ (hence $f_{2}(0)=0$ ) and $f_{2}(1)=1$.

Next let $H$ be the upper half plane in $\boldsymbol{C}$, and set

$$
\pi(z)=\exp (2 \pi i \cdot z) .
$$

Then $f_{2}$ can be lifted to a quasiconformal mapping $F=F_{2}^{\mu}$ of $H$ onto itself such that $F(0)=0$ and $\pi \circ F=f_{2} \circ \pi$. Since we can find a constant $r^{\prime}<1$ depending only on $r$ and a given $k(<1)$ such that $f_{1}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ is contained in $\left\{|z|<r^{\prime}\right\}$ whenever $\|\mu\|_{\infty}$ (=ess. $\sup _{U}|\mu|$ ) $\leqq k, F$ is conformal on $\{z \in H: 0<y<c\}$ with $c=(-1 / 2 \pi) \cdot \log r^{\prime}$, where $z=x+i y$. Here we may also assume that $c<1$.

Now set

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\circ}(z) & =F(z) \quad \text { on }\{0<y<c / 3\}, \\
& =\frac{y-(c / 3)}{c / 3} \cdot z+\frac{(2 c / 3)-y}{c / 3} \cdot F(z) \quad \text { on }\{c / 3 \leqq y \leqq 2 c / 3\}, \\
& =z \quad \text { on }\{2 c / 3<y\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then clearly $F^{\circ}(0)=0$ and $F^{\circ}(z+1)=F^{\circ}(z)+1$, hence $F^{\circ}$ can be projected to a selfmapping $S\left(f_{2}\right)$ of $\bar{U}$ fixing 0 and 1 . And setting $S(f)=S\left(f_{2}\right) \circ f_{1}$, we have a reformation of $f$. Note that $S(f)$ is conformal on $U^{\prime}$. Moreover we can show the following

Theorem 1. i) There are positive constants $k_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ depending only on $r^{\prime}$ such that, for every quasiconformal mapping $f$ of $U$ onto itself such that $f(0)=0$ and $\left\|\mu_{f}\right\|_{\infty}$ $\leqq k_{0}, S(f)$ is quasiconformal and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mu_{S(f)}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq C_{0} \cdot\left\|\mu_{f}\right\|_{\infty} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) Moreover, for every such $f$ as in i) and every meromorphic function $h$ on $U$ which is holomorphic on $U-\{0\}$ and has at most simple pole at 0 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{f, h}=d(f-S(f)) \wedge h d z \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is absolutely integrable on $U$, and satisfries that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{U} \omega_{f, n}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. Above Theorem 1 is closely related to Ohtake's recent more general result [6. Theorem 1].

Proof of Theorem 1 is elementary and involves no use of the Teichmüller theory.
The second assertion ii) of Theorem 1 is related also to the locally trivial Beltrami differentials. See (8) in § 2.

To prove Theorem 1, we need the following
Lemma 1. Set $E=\{c / 3 \leqq y \leqq 2 c / 3,0 \leqq x \leqq 1\}$ and $E^{\prime}=\{c / 6 \leqq y \leqq 5 c / 6,-1 / 2 \leqq x \leqq 3 / 2\}$. Then there are constants $k_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ depending only on $c$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& |F(z)-z| \leqq C_{1} \cdot\|\mu\|_{\infty} \quad \text { on } E^{\prime} \text { and }  \tag{4}\\
& \left|F^{\prime}(z)-1\right| \leqq C_{1} \cdot\|\mu\|_{\infty} \quad \text { on } E \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $F=F_{2}^{\mu}$ as above with $\|\mu\|_{\infty} \leqq k_{1}$.
This lemma is a corollary of a basic result on quasiconformal mappings due to Ahlfors-Bers [2]. But we include a direct proof.

Proof. First extend $F$ to a quasiconformal mapping $\hat{F}$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$ onto itself with the complex dilatation $\hat{\mu}$, the symmetric extension of $\mu$, and consider $\tilde{F}(z)=1 / \hat{F}(1 / z)$, which fixes again 0,1 and $\infty$ and has the complex dilatation $\tilde{\mu}(z)=\hat{\mu}(1 / z) \cdot\left(z^{2} / \bar{z}^{2}\right)$. Since the support of $\tilde{\mu}$ is contained in $\{|z|<1 / c\}$, there is a unique quasiconformal mapping $f^{\tilde{r}}(z)$ of $\boldsymbol{C}$ onto itself with the complex dilatation $\tilde{\mu}$ such that $f^{\tilde{r}}(0)=0$ and $\left(f^{\tilde{r}}\right)_{z}-1$ belongs to $L^{\nu}(\boldsymbol{C})$ whenever $\|\mu\|_{\infty}$ is sufficiently small, where $p>2$ (cf. [1, 91p, Theorem 1]).

By the standard construction of $f^{\tilde{\prime}}$ (cf. [1, 92p]), we can find $k_{2}$ and $C_{2}$ depending only on $c$ (and $p$ ) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\tilde{\mu}}(z)-z\right| \leqq C_{2} \cdot\|\mu\|_{\infty} \quad \text { on } E^{\prime \prime}=\{|z|<6 / c\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\mu$ with $\|\mu\|_{\infty} \leqq k_{2}$ (, also see [1, 86p-(3)]). Replacing $k_{2}$ by a smaller one if necessary, we may assume by (6) that $\left|f^{\tilde{\mu}}(1)\right| \geqq 1 / 2$ whenever $\|\mu\|_{\infty} \leqq k_{2}$. Since $\widetilde{F}(z)=$
$f^{\tilde{\mu}}(z) / f^{\tilde{\mu}}(1)$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{F}(z)-z=\left(f^{\tilde{\mu}}(z)-z\right) / f^{\tilde{\mu}}(1)-z \cdot\left(f^{\tilde{n}}(1)-1\right) / f^{\tilde{\mu}}(1), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{F}(z)-z| \leqq 2(1+6 / c) \cdot C_{2} \cdot\|\mu\|_{\infty} \equiv C_{3}\|\mu\|_{\infty} \quad \text { on } E^{\prime \prime} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $|\tilde{F}(1 / z)| \geqq 1 /(5 c / 6+3 / 2)-C_{3} \cdot\|\mu\|_{\infty}$ on $E^{\prime}$, hence we can find desired constants for (4) by using (8). The second assertion (5) can be seen by using Cauchy's integral formula.
q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 1-i). Since $F=F_{2}^{\mu}$ is conformal on $\{0<y<c\}$, so does $F^{\circ}$ outside of $\{c / 3 \leqq y \leqq 2 c / 3\}$, where it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F^{\circ}\right)_{z}=(3 / 2 i c) \cdot(F(z)-z), \quad \text { and } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F^{\circ}\right)_{z}=1+\frac{2 c-3 y}{c}-\left(F^{\prime}(z)-1\right)-\frac{3}{2 i c} \cdot(F(z)-z) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $k_{0}=\min \left\{k_{1},\left(\frac{1}{2+3 / c}\right) / C_{1}\right\}$, where $k_{1}$ and $C_{1}$ are as in Lemma 1 , then for every $F$ with $\|\mu\|_{\infty} \leqq k_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(F^{\circ}\right)_{\bar{z}}\right| \leqq \frac{3}{2 c} \cdot C_{1} \cdot\|\mu\|_{\infty}<1 / 2, \quad \text { and }  \tag{11}\\
& \left|\left(F^{\circ}\right)_{z}\right| \geqq 1-\left(1+\frac{3}{2 c}\right) \cdot C_{1} \cdot\|\mu\|_{\infty} \geqq 1 / 2 \quad \text { on } E . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mu^{\circ}\right| & \equiv\left|\left(F^{\circ}\right)_{z} /\left(F^{\circ}\right)_{z}\right| \leqq \frac{3 C_{1} / 2 c}{1-(1+3 / 2 c) \cdot C_{1} \cdot k_{0}} \cdot\|\mu\|_{\infty}  \tag{13}\\
& \leqq\left(3 C_{1} / c\right) \cdot\|\mu\|_{\infty}<1 \quad \text { on } E,
\end{align*}
$$

which still holds on $\{c / 3 \leqq y \leqq 2 c / 3\}$, for $\mu^{\circ}(z+1) \equiv \mu^{\circ}(z)$.
Now we can see that $F^{\circ}$ is locally injective. In fact, the assertion is clear outside of $\{c / 3 \leqq y \leqq 2 c / 3\}$, and at any point in $\{c / 3<y<2 c / 3\}, F^{\circ}$ is smooth and has a positive Jacobian by (11) and (12), hence is injective on some neighborhood of the point.

Next fix a point $z_{0}$ with $\operatorname{Im} z_{0}=2 c / 3$, and set

$$
\eta(z)=\max \left\{0, \frac{(2 c / 3)-y}{c / 3}\right\} \cdot(F(z)-z),
$$

then $F^{\circ}(z)=z+\eta(z)$ near $z_{0}$. Noting that (5) in Lemma 1 holds on $\{c / 3 \leqq y \leqq 2 c / 3\}$ (, for $F^{\prime}(z+1) \equiv F^{\prime}(z)$ ), we can find a neighborhood $W$ of $z_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\eta\left(z_{1}\right)-\eta\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \leqq \frac{1}{2} \cdot\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|, \quad \text { and hence } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left|F^{\circ}\left(z_{1}\right)-F^{\circ}\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \geqq\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|-\left|\eta\left(z_{1}\right)-\eta\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \geqq \frac{1}{2} \cdot\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|
$$

for every $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ in $W$. Then $F^{\circ}$ is injective on $W$. And similarly we can find a
neighborhood of any point $z_{0}$ with $\operatorname{Im} z_{0}=c / 3$ on which $F^{\circ}$ is injective, and we can conclude that $F^{\circ}$ is locally injective on the whole $H$.

Thus by the monodromy theorem, $F^{\circ}$ is a homeomorphism of $H$ onto itself. Finally by (13), $F^{\circ}$ is quasiconformal and we can find a $C_{0}$ as desired.
q. e.d.

Proof of Theorem 1-ii). Let $f$ and $h$ be as in ii), and set $w=f-S(f)$. Then $w \equiv 0$ outside of a compact set of $U$. Also it is well-known that $w$ has $L^{p}$-derivarives on $U$ for any given $p>2$. And by assumption, $|h|^{p /(p-1)}$ is locally integrable on $U$. Hence by Hölder's inequality, we see that $\omega_{f, h}=d w \wedge h d z$ is absolutely integrable on $U$.

Take a suitable sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of smooth functions on $U$ approximating $w$ in $L^{\infty}(U)$ so that the derivatives of $w_{n}$ approximate those of $w$ in $L^{p}(U)($, cf. for instance [4, III §6]), then by Green's formula we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\iint_{U} \omega_{f, h} & =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \iint_{U_{\varepsilon}} \omega_{f, h}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \iint_{U_{\varepsilon}} d w_{n} \wedge h d z\right)  \tag{15}\\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{i U_{\varepsilon}} w_{n} \cdot h d z\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial U_{\varepsilon}} w \cdot h d z
\end{align*}
$$

where $U_{\varepsilon}=\{\varepsilon<|z|<1-\varepsilon\}$ for every $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} w(z)=0$ and $z h(z)$ is bounded near $z=0$, we conclude the assertion.
q.e.d.

Here as an application of Theorem 1, we prove the following Lemma 2 due to Ahlfors-Bers [2].

Lemma 2. Let $p>2$ be given, then there are constants $k_{p}$ and $C_{p}$ depending only on $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{z}-1\right\|_{p} \leqq C_{p} \cdot\left\|\mu_{f}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every quasiconformal mapping $f$ of $U$ onto itself, fixing 0 and 1 , with $\left\|\mu_{f}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq k_{p}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is the $L^{p}$-norm on $U$.

Proof. Set $\tilde{f}(z)=f(z)$ on $U$ and $\tilde{f}(z)=1 / \overline{S(f)(1 / \bar{z})}$ on $\boldsymbol{C}-U$, where $S(f)$ is as above with $r=1 / 2$. Then by Theorem $1-\mathrm{i}$ ), there are universal constants $k(<1)$ and $C$ such that, for every $f$ as in Lemma 2 with $\left\|\mu_{f}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq k, \tilde{f}$ is quasiconformal and $\left\|\mu_{f}\right\|_{\infty}$ $\leqq C \cdot\left\|_{\mu}\right\|_{\infty}$. Recall that $\tilde{f}$ fixes 0,1 and $\infty$, and that $\tilde{\mu}=\mu_{f}$ has a compact support in $\boldsymbol{C}$. Let $f^{\tilde{\mu}}$ be as in the proof of Lemma 1, then since $\tilde{f}(z)=f^{\tilde{\mu}}(z) / f^{\tilde{\mu}}(1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}_{z}-1=\left(\left(f^{\tilde{\mu}}\right)_{z}-1\right) / f^{\tilde{\mu}}(1)-\left(f^{\tilde{\mu}}(1)-1\right) / f^{\tilde{n}}(1) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the assertion follows from (6) and the standard construction of $f^{\tilde{\mu}}$ (cf. [1, 92p. (7), (8)]). q.e.d.

## § 2. Generalized Sontag-Maitani's formulas

First, we generalize Sontag's formula [7, Proposition 4.1] and Maitani's one [5, Formula 3] as follows.

Theorem 2. Let $R_{0}$ be a Riemann surface admitting Green's functions, and $\left\{f_{t}\right\}_{t z 0}$ be a one-parameter family of quasiconformal mappings $f_{t}$ of $R_{0}$ onto another $R_{t}$ with the complex dilatation $\mu_{t}$.

Let $g_{t}(\cdot, q)$ be Green's function on $R_{t}$ with the pole $q \in R_{t}$, and set $\phi_{t}(q)=d g_{t}(\cdot, q)+$ $i^{*} d_{t}(\cdot, q)$ for every $t(\geqq 0)$.
I) Assume that $k_{t}=\left\|\mu_{t}\right\|_{\infty}=O(t)$ as $t$ tends to 0 . Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{t}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{1}\right), f_{t}\left(p_{2}\right)\right)-g_{0}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Re} \iint_{R_{0}} \phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \cdot \mu_{t} \wedge^{*} \phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)+E\left(t^{2}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as tends to 0 for every mutually distinct point $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ on $R_{0}$, where $\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0} E\left(t^{2}\right) / t^{2}$ is finite.
II) Further assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \mu_{t} / t \quad \text { exsits in } L^{\infty}\left(R_{0}\right) \text {. } \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{t}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{1}\right), f_{t}\left(p_{2}\right)\right)-g_{0}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\frac{t}{2 \pi} \cdot \operatorname{Re} \iint_{R_{0}} \phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \cdot \mu \wedge{ }^{*} \phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)+\varepsilon(t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as t tends to 0 for every mutually distinct $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ on $R_{0}$, where $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon(t) / t=0$.
III) In I), the family $\left\{E\left(t^{2}\right) / t^{2}\right\}$ is locally uniformly bounded with respect to $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ on $R_{0} \times R_{0}-\Delta$, where $\Delta=\left\{(p, p): p \in R_{0}\right\}$.

In II), $\varepsilon(t) / t$ converges to 0 locally uniformly with respect to $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ on $R_{0} \times R_{0}-\Delta$ as $t$ tends to 0 .

Proof of Theorem 2-I). Fix ( $p_{1}, p_{2}$ ) and mutually disjoint simply connected neighhorhoods $U_{j}$ of $p_{j}(j=1,2)$. Map $U_{j}$ onto $\{|z|<2\}$ by a conformal mapping $z_{j}$ so that $z_{j}\left(p_{j}\right)=0$, and set $U_{j}^{r}=\left(z_{j}\right)^{-1}(\{|z|<r\})$ for every positive $r(<2)$ and $j$. Fix a positive $r<1$, and reform a quasiconformal mapping $h_{i}^{j}=z_{t, j^{\circ}} f_{t} \circ\left(z_{j}\right)^{-1}$, of $U=z_{j}\left(U_{j}^{1}\right)$ onto itself fixing the origin, to $S\left(h_{t}^{j}\right)$ as in $\S 1$ for every $t$ and $j$, where $z_{t, j}$ maps $f_{t}\left(U_{j}^{1}\right)$ conformally onto $U$ so that $z_{t, j}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{j}\right)\right)=0$. And set

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(f_{t}\right) & =\left(z_{t, j}\right)^{-1} \circ S\left(h_{t}^{j}\right) \circ z_{j} \quad \text { on } U_{j}^{1}(j=1,2), \text { and } \\
& =f_{t} \quad \text { on } R_{0}-\left(U_{1}^{1} \cup U_{2}^{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Theorem 1-i), $S\left(f_{t}\right)$ is quasiconformal for every sufficiently small $t$, and $S\left(f_{t}\right)\left(p_{j}\right)=f_{t}\left(p_{j}\right)(j=1,2)$. And for such a $t$, a standard argument (cf. for instance the proofs of [8, Lemmas 2 and 4]) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{t}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{1}\right), f_{t}\left(p_{2}\right)\right)-g_{0}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Re} \iint_{R_{0}} \phi_{t}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \cdot S\left(f_{t}\right) \wedge \psi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{t}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \cdot S\left(f_{t}\right)-\phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}} \leqq \frac{\sqrt{2} \tilde{k}_{t}}{1-\tilde{k}_{t}}\left\|\phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\|_{R-V} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi \circ f$ is the pull-back of $\phi$ by $f, \tilde{k}_{t}=\left\|\mu_{S\left(f_{t}\right)}\right\|_{\infty}, V=U_{1}^{r} \cup U_{2}^{r}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{E}$ is the Dirichlet norm on $E \subset R_{0}$. Hence we see by Theorem 1-i) and (4) that
(5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\iint_{R_{0}} \phi_{t}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \cdot S\left(f_{t}\right) \wedge * \phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)-\iint_{R_{0}} \phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \cdot \mu_{S\left(f_{t}\right)} \wedge * \phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leqq \tilde{k}_{t} \cdot\left\|\phi_{t}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \cdot S\left(f_{t}\right)-\phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}} \cdot\left\|\phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}-V} \\
& \quad=O\left(t^{2}\right) \quad \text { as } t \text { tends to } 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next fix $j$ and write $\phi_{0}\left(p_{k}\right) \circ\left(z_{j}\right)^{-1}=a_{k}^{j}(z) d z(j, k=1,2), \mu_{l} \circ\left(z_{j}\right)^{-1}=\mu_{l}^{j}(z) d \bar{z} / d z$ and


$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{U} d\left(h_{t}^{j}-S\left(h_{t}^{j}\right)\right) \wedge a_{1}^{j}(z) a_{2}^{j}(z) d z  \tag{6}\\
& \quad=\iint_{U}\left(\mu_{\iota}^{j}(z) \cdot\left(h_{t}^{j}\right)_{z}-\tilde{\mu}_{l}^{j}(z) \cdot S\left(h_{t}^{j}\right)_{2}\right) d \bar{z} \wedge a_{1}^{j}(z) a_{2}^{j}(z) d z=0
\end{align*}
$$

Hence by Theorem 1-i), Lemma 2 and Hölder's inequality, we can show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\iint_{V} \mu_{t}^{j}(z) a_{1}^{j}(z) a_{2}^{j}(z) d z \wedge d \bar{z}-\iint_{V} \tilde{\mu}_{l}^{j}(z) a_{1}^{j}(z) a_{2}^{j}(z) d z \wedge d \bar{z}\right|  \tag{7}\\
& \quad \leqq C_{p}\left(k_{i}^{2}+\tilde{k}_{t}^{2}\right)\left\|a_{1}^{j} \cdot a_{2}^{j}\right\|_{p /(p-1)}=O\left(t^{2}\right), \quad \text { hence }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\iint_{R_{0}} \phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \cdot \mu_{t} \wedge^{*} \phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)-\iint_{R_{0}} \phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \cdot \mu_{S\left(f_{l}\right)} \wedge^{*} \phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right|  \tag{8}\\
& \quad=O\left(t^{2}\right) \quad \text { as } t \text { tends to } 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the assertion follows by (3), (5) and (8).
q. e.d.

Proof of Theorem 2-II). The assumption (*) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\iint_{R_{1}} \phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \cdot \mu_{f} \wedge^{*} \phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)-t \cdot \iint_{R_{0}} \phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \cdot \mu \wedge^{*} \phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right|  \tag{9}\\
& \quad \leqq\left\|\mu_{t}-t \mu\right\|_{\infty} \cdot \iint_{R_{0}}\left|\phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \wedge \overline{\phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)}\right|=o(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the assertion follows by Theorem 2-I).
q. e.d.

To prove Theorem 2-III), we need the following
Lemma 3. For every positive $q<2$, there is a constant $C_{q}$ depending only on $q$ and $r$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a_{1}^{j} \cdot a_{2}^{j}\right\|_{q} \leqq C_{q} \cdot\left\|\phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}-V} \cdot\left\|\phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}-V} \quad(j=1,2) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $j$. Since $z \cdot a_{1}^{j}(z) \cdot a_{2}^{j}(z)$ is holomorphic on $\{|z|<2\}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|z \cdot a_{1}^{j}(z) \cdot a_{2}^{j}(z)\right| \leqq \max _{(|s|=1)}\left|a_{1}^{j}(s) \cdot a_{2}^{j}(s)\right|  \tag{11}\\
\leqq & \max _{(|s|=1)} A_{r} \cdot\left(\iint_{(|w-s|<1-r)}\left|a_{1}^{j}(w)\right|^{2} d x d y\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\iint_{(|w-s|<1-r)}\left|a_{2}^{j}(w)\right|^{2} d x d y\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leqq & A_{r} \cdot\left\|\phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}-V} \cdot\left\|\phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}-V},
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{r}$ is a constant depending only on $r$. Hence the assertion follows by a simple computation.
q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 2-III). We have shown actually in (4). (5) and (7) that $E\left(t^{2}\right)$ in I) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2} \cdot M \cdot\left(\left\|\phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}-V} \cdot\left\|\phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}-V}+\left\|a_{1}^{j} \cdot a_{2}^{j}\right\|_{p /(p-1)}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $M$ independent of $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. Using Lemma 3, we see that boundedness of $\left\|\phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}-V} \cdot\left\|\phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}-v}$ on some neighborhood of ( $p_{1}, p_{2}$ ) in $R_{0} \times R_{0}-\Delta$ implies the assertion for $E\left(t^{2}\right)$. This also implies the assertion for $\varepsilon(t)$ in II), by (9) and Lemma 3.

Now to clearify the dependence to $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$, we write $U_{j}^{r}=U_{p_{j}}^{r}(j=1,2)$ and $V=$ $V_{p_{1}, p_{2}}$. Then it is clear that there are neighborhood $W_{j}$ of $p_{j}(j=1,2)$ such that $V_{q_{1}, q_{2}}$ contains $V^{\prime}=U_{p_{1}}^{r / 2} \cup U_{p_{2}}^{\tau / 2}$ for every $q_{j}$ in $W_{j}(j=1,2)$ (, where we use the same $U_{j}$ as the neighborhood of $q_{j}$ ). Since $\left\|\phi_{0}\left(q_{j}\right)\right\|_{R_{0}-V^{\prime}}$ is bounded in a neighborhood of $p_{j}$ (cf. [8, Theorem 1]), we conclude the desired boundedness,
q.e.d.

Remark. We can use the same argument to loose the assumption in other formulas such as [9, Theorems 3 and 4].

## § 3. Generalized Sontag-Guerrero's formulas

By Theorem 2, it is easy to generalize Sontag-Guererro's formulas ([7] and [3, §3]) as follows.

Theorem 3. Let $S_{0}$ be a subsurface of a given Riemann surface $R$, and $\left\{f_{\iota}\right\}$ be a one-parameter family of quasiconformal mappings $f_{t}$ of $S_{0}$ into $R$ with the complex dilatation $\mu_{t}$.

Suppose that $S_{0}$ admits Green's functions. Let $g_{t}(\cdot, q)$ be Green's function on $S_{t}=$ $f_{t}\left(S_{0}\right)$ with the pole $q \in S_{t}$, and set $\phi_{t}(q)=d g_{t}(\cdot, q)+i^{*} d g_{\iota}(\cdot, q)$ for every $t(\geqq 0)$.
I) Assume that $\left\|\mu_{t}\right\|_{\infty}=O(t)$ as $t$ tends to 0 , and that
(\#) $\left|z \circ f_{t}-z\right| / t$ is locally uniformly bounded on $W$ for every local chart ( $W, z$ ) (, i.e. every pair $(W, z)$ of a simply connected subdomain $W$ of $S_{0}$ and a conformal mapping $z$ of $W$ onto $U$ ).
Then it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{t}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)-g_{0}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Re} \iint_{S_{0}} \phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \cdot \mu_{t} \wedge^{*} \phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \quad-\operatorname{Re}\left[a_{2}^{1}\left(z_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \cdot\left(z_{1}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{1}\right)\right)-z_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)\right)+a_{1}^{2}\left(z_{2}\left(p_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(z_{2}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{2}\right)\right)-z_{2}\left(p_{2}\right)\right)\right]+E\left(t^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

as $t$ tends to 0 for every mutually distinct $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ on $S_{0}$, where $\left(W_{j}, z_{j}\right)$ is a local chart such that $W_{j} \ni p_{j}$ for each $j$, and we set $\phi_{0}\left(p_{k}\right) \circ\left(z_{j}\right)^{-1}=a_{k}^{j}(z) d z$ on $U\left(=z_{j}\left(W_{j}\right)\right)$ for each ; and $k$.

Here $\left\{E\left(t^{2}\right) / t^{2}\right\}$ is locally uniformly bounded with respect to $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ on $S_{0} \times S_{0}-\Delta$, $\Delta=\left\{(p, p): p \in S_{0}\right\}$.
II) Further assume that
(*) $\mu=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \mu_{t} / t$ exists in $L^{\infty}\left(S_{0}\right)$, and that
(\#') $\left(z \circ f_{t}-z\right) / t$ converges to some (continuous) function $f_{W}$ locally uniformly on $W$ for every local chart $(W, z)$ of $S_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{t}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)-g_{0}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\frac{t}{2 \pi} \operatorname{Re} \iint_{S_{0}} \phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \cdot \mu \wedge^{*} \phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \quad-t \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left(a_{2}^{1}\left(z_{1}\left(p_{1}\right)\right) \cdot f_{W_{1}}\left(p_{1}\right)+a_{1}^{2}\left(z_{2}\left(p_{2}\right)\right) \cdot f_{W_{2}}\left(p_{2}\right)\right)+\varepsilon(t)
\end{align*}
$$

as $t$ tends to 0 for every mutually distincts $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ on $S_{0}$.
Here $\varepsilon(t) / t$ converges to 0 locally uniformly with respect to $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ on $S_{0} \times S_{0}-\Delta$.

Remark. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} a_{3-j}^{j}\left(z_{j}\left(p_{j}\right)\right) \cdot f_{W_{j}}\left(p_{j}\right)=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{0}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{j}\right), p_{3-j}\right)\right|_{t=0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $j$, every term of (2) does not depend on the choice of local charts.
To prove Theorem 3, we first note some consequences of Theorem 2-I). Since $\left\|\mu_{t}\right\|_{\infty}=O(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|g_{t}\left(f_{t}\left(p_{1}\right), f_{t}\left(p_{2}\right)\right)-g_{0}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)\right|  \tag{4}\\
& \quad \leqq\left\|\mu_{t}\right\|_{\infty} \cdot\left|\iint_{S_{0}} \phi_{0}\left(p_{1}\right) \wedge \overline{\phi_{0}\left(p_{2}\right)}\right|+O\left(t^{2}\right) \equiv E^{\prime}(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

And similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2-III) we can see that $E^{\prime}(t) / t$ is locally uniformly bounded on $S_{0} \times S_{0}-\Delta$ for $E^{\prime}(t)$ in (4).

Let $\left\{U_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{2}$ and $\left\{z_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{2}$ be as in $\S 2$, and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{t}(z, \zeta)=g_{t}\left(z_{1}^{-1}(z), z_{2}^{-1}(\zeta)\right), \quad \text { and } \\
& f_{t, j}(z)=z_{j^{\circ}} f_{t^{\circ}}\left(z_{j}\right)^{-1}(z) \quad \text { for each } j .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by the assumption (\#), we may assume, without loss of generality, that $f_{t . j}(z)$ is well-defined on, say $U^{0}=\{|z|<3 / 2\}$ and that $f_{t, j}\left(U^{0}\right)$ contains $\bar{U}(=\{|z| \leqq 1\})$ for every $t$ and $j$. Then (4) implies that there is a constant $M_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{t}\left(f_{t, 1}(z), f_{t, 2}(\zeta)\right)-G_{0}(z, \zeta)\right| \leqq M_{1} \cdot t \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t$ and every $z, \zeta$ in $U^{0}$.
In particular, $\left\{G_{t}(z, \zeta)\right\}_{\zeta \in U, t \geq 0}$ is a family of uniformly bounded harmonic functions of $z \in U$. Hence by Poisson's formula, there is a constant $M_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{t}(z, \zeta)-G_{t}\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta\right)\right| \leqq M_{2} \cdot\left|z-z^{\prime}\right| \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t$ and every $z, z^{\prime}, \zeta$ in $U^{\prime}=\{|z|<1 / 2\}$.
Here by the assumytion (\#), we may assume, without loss of generality, that $f_{t, j}(z) \in U^{\prime}$ for every $t, j$ and $z \in U^{\prime \prime}=\{|z|<1 / 4\}$. Hence by (5), (6) and (\#), we can conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|G_{t}(z, \zeta)-G_{0}(z, \zeta)\right|  \tag{7}\\
& \quad \leqq\left|G_{t}(z, \zeta)-G_{t}\left(z, f_{t, 2}(\zeta)\right)\right|+\mid G_{t}\left(z, f_{t, 2}(\zeta)\right)-G_{t}\left(f_{t, 1}(z), f_{t, 2}(\zeta) \mid\right. \\
& \quad+\left|G_{t}\left(f_{t, 1}(z), f_{t, 2}(\zeta)\right)-G_{0}(z, \zeta)\right| \leqq M_{3} \cdot t
\end{align*}
$$

for every $t$ and $z, \zeta$ in $U^{\prime \prime}$ with some constant $M_{3}$.
Again by Poisson's formula, (7) implies that there is a constant $M_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(G_{t}\right)_{z}(z, \zeta)-\left(G_{0}\right)_{z}(z, \zeta)\right| \leqq M_{4} \cdot t \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $t$ and $z, \zeta$ in $U^{*}=\{|z|<1 / 8\}$.
Proof of Theorem 3. Under the assumptions in Theorem 3-I), we have by (8) and the assumption (\#)

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{t}\left(f_{t}\left(q_{1}\right), f_{t}\left(q_{2}\right)\right)-g_{t}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& \quad=\left(G_{t}\left(f_{t, 1}\left(z_{1}^{0}\right), f_{t, 2}\left(z_{2}^{0}\right)\right)-G_{t}\left(z_{1}^{0}, f_{t, 2}\left(z_{2}^{0}\right)\right)\right)+\left(G_{t}\left(z_{1}^{0}, f_{t, 2}\left(z_{2}^{0}\right)\right)-G_{t}\left(z_{1}^{0}, z_{2}^{0}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=2 \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left[\int_{z_{1}^{0}, 1}^{f_{1,1}^{0}\left(z_{1}^{0}\right)}\left(G_{t}\right)_{2}\left(z, f_{t, 2}\left(z_{2}^{0}\right)\right) d z+\int_{z_{2}^{0}}^{f t t, 2_{\left(z_{2}^{0}\right)}^{2}}\left(G_{t}\right)_{2}\left(z, z_{1}^{0}\right) d z\right] \\
& \quad=2 \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left[\int_{z_{1}^{0}}^{f t, 1\left(z_{1}^{0}\right)}\left(G_{0}\right)_{z}\left(z, f_{t, 2}\left(z_{2}^{0}\right)\right) d z+\int_{z_{2}^{0}}^{f_{t, 2}\left(z_{2}^{0}\right)}\left(G_{0}\right)_{2}\left(z, z_{1}^{0}\right) d z\right]+O\left(t^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for every $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ in a suitable neighborhood of ( $p_{1}, p_{2}$ ), where we set $z_{j}^{0}=z_{j}\left(q_{j}\right)(j=1,2)$ and the paths in integration are the segments between $z_{j}^{0}$ and $f_{t, j}\left(z_{j}^{0}\right)$.

Here since $\left\{G_{0}(z, \zeta)\right\}_{\xi \in U}$ is a family of uniformly bounded harmonic functions of $z \in U$, we can show as before that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(G_{0}\right)_{z}(z, \zeta)-\left(G_{0}\right)_{z}\left(z^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq M_{5} \cdot\left(\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|+\left|\zeta-\zeta^{\prime}\right|\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z, z^{\prime}, \zeta$ and $\zeta^{\prime}$ in $U^{\prime \prime}$ with some constant $M_{5}$. Hence by (9) and the assumption (\#), we conclude that
(11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{t}\left(f_{t}\left(q_{1}\right), f_{t}\left(q_{2}\right)\right)-g_{t}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right) \\
& =2 \cdot \operatorname{Re}\left(\left(G_{0}\right)_{2}\left(z_{1}^{0}, z_{2}^{0}\right) \cdot\left(f_{t, 1}\left(z_{1}^{0}\right)-z_{1}^{0}\right)+\left(G_{0}\right)_{2}\left(z_{2}^{0}, z_{1}^{0}\right) \cdot\left(f_{t, 2}\left(z_{2}^{0}\right)-z_{2}^{0}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+O\left(t^{2}\right) \quad \text { as } t \text { tends to } 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also the above argument shows that $\left\{E\left(t^{2}\right) / t^{2}\right\}$ is locally uniformly bounded on $S_{0} \times S_{0}-\Delta$ for $E\left(t^{2}\right)$ in (1). And since $a_{k}^{j}(z)=2 \cdot\left(G_{0}\right)_{z}\left(z, z_{k}^{0}\right)$ on $U=z_{j}\left(U_{j}^{1}\right)$, we conclude the assertion I).

The assertion II) follows by Theorem 3-I) and the assumptions.
q.e.d.
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