

On the asymptotic behavior of the increments of a Wiener process

By

Norio KÔNO

§1. Introduction

For a Wiener process $\{W(t); 0 \leq t < +\infty\}$, Erdős-Rényi law [5] implies the following strong limit theorem:

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - c \log T} |W(t + c \log T) - W(t)| / \log T = \sqrt{2c} \text{ a.s. } (c > 0)$$

After them varieties of such limit theorems are proved (for examples, see [3], [4]). Furthermore, P. Révész [8] has investigated much sharper limit theorems using the notion of upper class or lower class.

Definition 1. Let f and g be two real (not necessarily random functions) defined on the positive half line, and we assume that g is a monotone function. Then g is called an upper-upper function of f (briefly, $g \in UUC(f)$) if and only if there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for all $t > t_0$, $f(t) < g(t)$ holds, and g is called an upper-lower function of f (briefly, $g \in ULC(f)$) if and only if there exists an infinite sequence $t_1 < t_2 < \dots \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $f(t_n) > g(t_n)$ holds for all n .

In this paper, we will give an integral test which determines whether a given deterministic function belongs to UUC or ULC of the following functionals of a Wiener process with probability one:

$$X_0(T) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-s} |W(s+t) - W(t)| / \sqrt{a_T},$$

$$X_1(T) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} |W(s+t) - W(t)| / \sqrt{a_T},$$

$$X_2(T) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} (W(s+t) - W(t)) / \sqrt{a_T},$$

$$X_3(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} |W(t+a_T) - W(t)| / \sqrt{a_T},$$

$$X_4(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} (W(t+a_T) - W(t)) / \sqrt{a_T},$$

where a_T is a real function of T satisfying the following conditions;

(a-1) $0 < a_T \leq T$,

(a-2) a_T is a non-decreasing function and

(a-3) $T - a_T$ is also a non-decreasing function.

We remark that the above assumption (a-3) is a little bit weaker than that in Révész's paper [8]. (He assumed that a_T/T is non-increasing instead of (a-3)).

The case of multi-dimensional Wiener process is also investigated.

Remark 1. We are concerning only with the behavior of functions near at the infinity, so all statements in this paper about functions defined on the half line hold only at some neighborhood of the infinity.

We use letters c, c_1, c_2, \dots for non-essential positive absolute constants which may be different from line to line. We also use notation $a \wedge b = \min \{a, b\}$, $a \vee b = \max \{a, b\}$, $\log_{(1)} t = \log t$ and $\log_{(k)} t = \log(\log_{(k-1)} t)$.

Acknowledgment. I am indebted to Professor P. Révész who kindly sent me K. Grill's pre-print "On the increments of the Wiener process" (to be published in the Annals of Probability) giving a reply to my first draft. A part of his results (Theorem 1 and 2) give exactly the same conclusion as mine, though regularity conditions are somewhat different. The main difference between my first draft and his is that he is investigating $X_0(T)$ instead of $X_1(T)$. Of course $X_1(T) \leq X_0(T)$. Therefore I gave a new proof of the original Theorem 1 for $X_0(T)$. I have given the complete proofs in the unifying manner which is standard technique after Chung-Erdős-Sirao [2] and Sirao [9]. Unfortunately the proof of his Theorem 2 is omitted saying "there are no new ideas needed", but in my feeling this case is more delicate than the case of his Theorem 1. Taking account of these and the difference of regularity conditions, I believe that this manuscript is also worth publishing.

§2. Main results.

Set $T_k = e^k$, $d_k = a_{T_k}$, $b_k = T_k - d_k$,

$$\gamma_k = \sqrt{\log(T_k/d_k) + \log \log T_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_k = d_k/\gamma_k^2.$$

Theorem 1. If

$$I_U(g) = \sum_k^{\infty} \left(\frac{b_{k+1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \left(\frac{d_{k+1} - d_k}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \gamma_k^{-1} e^{-g^2(T_k)/2} < +\infty,$$

then $g \in UUC(X_i)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Theorem 2. If

$$I_L(g) = \sum_k^{\infty} \left(\frac{b_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \left(\frac{d_k - d_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \gamma_k^{-1} e^{-g^2(T_k)/2} = +\infty,$$

then $g \in UUC(X_i)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Corollary 1.

Case I. If $\overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T - a_T}{a_T} \log_{(2)} T < + \infty$, then

$g \in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if

$$\int^{+\infty} \frac{(\log_{(2)} t)^{1/2}}{t} e^{-g^2(t)/2} dt < + \infty.$$

Example.

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2 \log_{(2)} T + 3 \log_{(3)} T + 2 \log_{(4)} T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon) \log_{(k)} T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$a_T = T - cT / (\log_{(2)} T)^\alpha, \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \quad 0 \leq c.$$

$$a_T = T - c(\log T)^\beta, \quad 0 \leq c, \quad 0 \leq \beta \quad (\text{this example is not included in Grill's}$$

Theorem 2.)

Case II. If

(i) $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{T - a_T}{a_T} \log_{(2)} T = + \infty$,

(ii) $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} a_T / T = 1$ and

(iii) $\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} b_{k+1} / b_k < + \infty$, then

$g \in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if

$$\int^{+\infty} \frac{(\log_{(2)} t)^{3/2}}{t} e^{-h^2(t)/2} dt < + \infty, \text{ where}$$

$$h^2(T) = g^2(T) - 2 \log \{(T - a_T) / a_T\}.$$

Example.

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2 \log_{(2)} T + 5 \log_{(3)} T + 2 \log \{(T - a_T) / a_T\} + 2 \log_{(4)} T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon) \log_{(k)} T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

(Here we assume that g is non-decreasing.)

In particular, $a_T = T - cT / (\log_{(2)} T)^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < c$, then

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2 \log_{(2)} T + (5 - 2\alpha) \log_{(3)} T + 2 \log_{(4)} T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon) \log_{(k)} T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

Remark. Case I and II are not considered in Révész's paper [8], since a_T/T can not be non-increasing unless $a_T = T$.

Case III. If

$$(i) \quad \overline{\lim}_{T \rightarrow +\infty} a_T/T < 1 \text{ and}$$

$$(ii) \quad 1 < \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{k+1}/d_k \leq \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{k+1}/d_k < +\infty, \text{ then}$$

$g \in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, if and only if

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{t} e^{-h^2(t)/2} dt < +\infty, \text{ where}$$

$$h^2(T) = g^2(T) - \{2\log(T/a_T) + 3\log(\log(T/a_T) + \log_{(2)}T)\}.$$

Example.

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2\log(T/a_T) + 3\log\{\log(T/a_T) + \log_{(2)}T\} + 2\log_{(2)}T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon)\log_{(k)}T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

(Here we assume that g is non-decreasing.)

In particular, $a_T = \alpha T$ $0 < \alpha < 1$, then

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2\log_{(2)}T + 5\log_{(3)}T + 2\log_{(4)}T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon)\log_{(k)}T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

$a_T = Te^{-(\log_{(2)}T)^\alpha}$, then for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2(\log_{(2)}T)^\alpha + 2\log_{(2)}T + 5\log_{(3)}T + 2\log_{(4)}T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon)\log_{(k)}T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$,

and for $\alpha \geq 1$

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2(\log_{(2)}T)^\alpha + 2\log_{(2)}T + (2 + 3\alpha)\log_{(3)}T + 2\log_{(4)}T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon)\log_{(k)}T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

$a_T = T/(\log T)^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, then

$$g(T) = \sqrt{(2\alpha + 2)\log_{(2)}T + 5\log_{(3)}T + 2\log_{(4)}T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon)\log_{(k)}T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

$a_T = \alpha T^\beta$ $0 < \alpha \leq 1, 0 < \beta < 1$, then

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2(1 - \beta)\log T + 5\log_{(2)}T + \dots + 2\log_{(3)}T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon)\log_{(k)}T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

Case IV. if

$$(i) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{k+1}/d_k = 1,$$

$$\left(\text{this implies that } \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_k/T_k = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log(T_k/d_k)}{k} = 1 \right),$$

(ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k(d_{k+1} - d_k)/d_k = +\infty$ and

(iii) $0 < \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (d_{k+1} - d_k)/(d_k - d_{k-1}) \leq \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (d_{k+1} - d_k)/(d_k - d_{k-1}) < +\infty,$

then $g \in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if

$$\sum_k \frac{T_k}{d_k} k^{3/2} \frac{d_{k+1} - d_k}{d_k} e^{-g^2(T_k)/2} < +\infty.$$

Example. $a_T = \exp\left(\int_1^T \varepsilon(t)/t dt\right)$, where $\varepsilon(t)$ is a continuous slowly varying function

such that $\varepsilon(t) \downarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon(T) \log T = +\infty$. Then $g \in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if

$$\int^{+\infty} \frac{(\log t)^{3/2}}{t} e^{-h^2(t)/2} dt < +\infty, \text{ where}$$

$$h^2(T) = g^2(T) - \{2\log(T/a_T) + 2\log \varepsilon(T)\}.$$

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2\log(T/a_T) + 2\log \varepsilon(T) + 5\log_{(2)}T + 2\log_{(3)}T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon)\log_{(k)}T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

(Here we assume that g is non-decreasing.)

In particular, $a_T = \exp\{\beta(\log T)^\alpha\}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta$, then

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2\log T - 2\beta(\log T)^\alpha + (3 + 2\alpha)\log_{(2)}T + 2\log_{(3)}T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon)\log_{(k)}T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

Case V. If

(i) $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d_{k+1}/d_k = 1$ and

(ii) $\overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} k(d_{k+1} - d_k)/d_k < +\infty$, then

$g \in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if

$$\int^{+\infty} \frac{(\log t)^{1/2}}{t} e^{-h^2(t)/2} dt < +\infty, \text{ where}$$

$$h^2(T) = g^2(T) - 2\log(T/a_T).$$

Example.

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2\log(T/a_T) + 3\log_{(2)}T + 2\log_{(3)}T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon)\log_{(k)}T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

(Here we assume that g is non-decreasing.)

$a_T = c(\log T)^\alpha$ $0 \leq \alpha$, $0 < c$, then

$$g(T) = \sqrt{2\log T + (3 - 2\alpha)\log_{(2)}T + 2\log_{(3)}T + \dots + (2 + \varepsilon)\log_{(k)}T}$$

$\in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if $\varepsilon > 0$.

Corollary 2 (K. Grill's Theorem 1 and 2).

(i) If $\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} a_T/T < 1$ and $a_T = c_0 \exp\left(\int_c^T \eta(y)/y \, dy\right)$,

where $\eta(y)$ is slowly varying as $y \rightarrow \infty$, then

$g(T) \in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if

$$K(g) = \int_1^\infty (1 + \eta(t)\gamma^2(t)) \frac{\gamma(t)}{a_t} e^{-g^2(t)/2} \, dt < +\infty,$$

where $\gamma(t) = (\log(t/a_t) + \log_{(2)}t)^{1/2}$.

(ii) If $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} a_T/T = 1$ and $a_T = T(1 - b_T)$, where b_T is decreasing to 0 and slowly varying as $T \rightarrow \infty$, then

$g(T) \in UUC(X_i)$ $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ if and only if

$$K(g) = \int_1^\infty (1 + b_t \log_{(2)}t) \frac{(\log_{(2)}t)^{1/2}}{t} e^{-g^2(t)/2} \, dt < +\infty.$$

Remark 2. Corollary 2, (i) corresponds to Case III-V of Corollary 1 and Corollary 2, (ii) corresponds to Case I-II of Corollary 1.

Remark 3. When $\eta(t)$ or b_t in Corollary 2 is continuous slowly varying function, then our conditions (a-2) and (a-3) are not required.

Let W^d be a d -dimensional Wiener process. Set

$$X_5(T) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-s} \|W^d(s+t) - W^d(t)\|/\sqrt{a_T},$$

$$X_6(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} \|W^d(t+a_T) - W^d(t)\|/\sqrt{a_T},$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the d -dimensional Euclidean metric.

Theorem 3. If

$$I_U^d(g) = \sum_k^\infty \left(\frac{b_{k+1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1\right) \left(\frac{d_{k+1} - d_k}{\delta_k}\right) \vee 1 \gamma_k^{d-2} e^{-g^2(T_k)/2} < +\infty,$$

then $g \in UUC(X_i)$, $i = 5, 6$.

Theorem 4. *If*

$$I_L^d(g) = \sum_k^\infty \left(\frac{b_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \left(\frac{d_k - d_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \gamma_k^{d-2} e^{-g^2(T_k)/2} = +\infty,$$

then $g \in ULC(X_i)$, $i = 5, 6$.

§3. Preliminary lemmas.

In order to prove our theorems, we will list several well known lemmas, which are modified for our purpose.

Lemma 1 (cf. [2], lemma 1). *It is enough to prove Theorem 1 and 2 for g which satisfies*

(i) $\gamma_k/2 \leq g(T_k) \leq 3\gamma_k,$

(ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{b_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \left(\frac{d_k - d_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \gamma_k^{-1} e^{-g^2(T_k)/2} = 0$ when $I_L(g) = +\infty.$

Proof. (i) First we assume that $I_U\{g\} < +\infty.$ We will show that there exists k_0 such that for $\forall k > k_0,$ $g(T_k) \geq \gamma_k/2$ holds. Assume the contrary, i.e. $\exists 2 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $g(T_{k_n}) < \gamma_{k_n}/2.$ Then,

$$\begin{aligned} I_U(g) &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{k_n} \left(\frac{b_{k+1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \gamma_k^{-1} \exp\{-g^2(T_k)/2\} \geq c \gamma_{k_n}^{-1} \\ &\quad \exp\{-g^2(T_{k_n})/2\} \sum_{n=1}^{k_n} \left(\frac{b_{k+1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \\ &\geq c \gamma_{k_n}^{-1} \exp\{-g^2(T_{k_n})/2\} \{T_{k_n}/c_{k_n}\} \vee k_n\}/2 \\ &\geq c \gamma_{k_n}^{-1} \exp\{-\log(T_{k_n}/d_{k_n}) + \log k_n/4\} \{(T_{k_n}/d_{k_n}) \vee k_n\}/2 \\ &\rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } k_n \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ which yields a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

By simple calculus we have

$$I_\gamma \equiv \sum_k^\infty \left(\frac{b_{k+1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \left(\frac{d_{k+1} - d_k}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \gamma_k^{-1} \exp(-9\gamma_k^2/2) < +\infty.$$

Therefore choosing a monotone continuous function $g' \leq g$ such that $g'(T_k) = g(T_k) \wedge (3\gamma_k),$ we have $I_U(g') \leq I_U(g) + I_\gamma < +\infty,$ and $\gamma_k/2 \leq g'(T_k) \leq 3\gamma_k.$ Obviously if $g' \in UUC,$ then $g \in UUC.$ It shows that it is enough to prove Theorem 1 under the condition (i).

Next we assume that $I_L(g) = +\infty.$ Choose a monotone function g' such that $g'(T_k) = g(T_k) \vee (\gamma_k/2)$ and $g' \geq g.$ If $g(T_k) > \gamma_k/2$ holds for all $k,$ then nothing is to be proved. Otherwise there exists a sequence $k_1 < k_2 \dots \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $g'(T_{k_n})$

$= \gamma_{k_n}/2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_L(g') &\geq \sum_k^{k_n} \left(\frac{b_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \left(\frac{d_k - d_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \gamma_k^{-1} \exp\{-g'(T_k)/2\} \\ &\geq c\gamma_{k_n}^{-1} \exp(-\gamma_{k_n}^2/8) \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \left(\frac{b_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \\ &\geq c\gamma_{k_n}^{-1} \exp(-\gamma_{k_n}^2/8) \{(T_{k_n}/d_{k_n}) \vee k_n\} \\ &\rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } k_n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let g_γ be a monotone continuous function satisfying $g_\gamma(T_k) = 3\gamma_k$. Easily we can check $I_L(g' \wedge g_\gamma) = +\infty$. Since $g_\gamma \in UUC$ by Theorem 1 (Theorem 1 must be proved before Theorem 2), if $g' \wedge g_\gamma \in ULC$, then $g' \in ULC$.

(ii) Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{b_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \left(\frac{d_k - d_{k-1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \gamma_k^{-1} \exp(-9\gamma_k^2/2) = 0$ and $I_L(\gamma) < +\infty$, where $\gamma(T_k) = 3\gamma_k$, we can replace $g(T_k)$ by a smaller function (say g'') which satisfies (i), (ii) and $I_L(g'') = +\infty$. Clearly $g'' \in ULC$ implies $g \in ULC$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. Let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of events.

(i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(A_n) = +\infty$,

(ii) for each n there exists a finite subsequence $n \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{i(n)}$ such that

(ii-a) $\sum_{k=1}^{i(n)} P(A_n \cap A_{n_k}) \leq c_1 P(A_n)$,

(ii-b) $P(A_n \cap A_i) \leq c_2 P(A_i)$ holds if $i \neq n_k$ and $n < i$,

then $P(\overline{\lim} A_n) \geq 1/c_2$.

Lemma 3 ([2] lemma 3, 4). Let (X, Y) be a two dimensional random variable with the Gaussian distribution such that $E[X] = E[Y] = 0$, $E[X^2] = E[Y^2] = 1$ and $E[XY] = r$. Set $\phi(x) = P(X \geq x)$, $x > 0$. Then,

(i) $c_1(x+1)^{-1} \exp(-x^2/2) \leq \phi(x) \leq P(|X| \geq x) \leq c_2 x^{-1} \exp(-x^2/2)$,

(ii) $P(X \geq x, Y \geq y) \leq c_\epsilon \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for any $-1 < r < \epsilon/(xy)$ and $x, y > 0$, where

$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} c_\epsilon = 1$.

(iii) $P(X \geq x, Y \geq y) \leq c \exp\{-(1-r)y^2/4\} \phi(x)$ for any $y \geq x \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$.

The idea of the following lemma has been used in the proof of Theorem 1 in [9]. After him many people modified it.

Lemma 4 ([1], [7]) Let $\{X(t); t \in S\}$ be a centered separable Gaussian process with the pseudo-metric $d_x(s, t) \equiv (E[(X(s) - X(t))^2])^{1/2}$ satisfying the following conditions;

(i) (S, d_x) is a compact space,

(ii) $0 < \underline{\sigma} \leq [E(X^2(t))]^{1/2} \leq \bar{\sigma} < +\infty$ for $\forall t \in S$.

Then for $0 < \varepsilon_j \leq \sqrt{2}$, $0 < \lambda_{j+1}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 < x$,

$$P(\sup_{t \in S} X(t) \geq \bar{\sigma}(x + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \lambda_{j+1})) \leq (N_X(S, \underline{\sigma} \varepsilon_0) + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} N_X(S, \underline{\sigma} \varepsilon_j) e^{-\lambda_j^2/2}) \phi(x),$$

where $N_X(S, \varepsilon)$ is the minimal number of balls $B(t, \varepsilon) \equiv \{s \in S; d_X(s, t) \leq \varepsilon\}$ whose union covers S .

§4. Proofs of Theorems.

First, we shall prove two lemmas to prove Theorem 1.

Lemma 5. For $\gamma_k/3 \leq x_k \leq 3\gamma_k$,

$$P(\sup_{0 < s \leq d_k} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_{k+1} - s} |W(s+t) - W(t)| \geq \sqrt{d_k}(x_k + 36x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2}(\log(j+1))^{1/2})) \leq c \left(\frac{b_{k+1}}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \left(\frac{d_{k+1} - d_k}{\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \phi(x_k).$$

Proof. Set $\alpha_k = 1 - 1/\gamma_k^2$, $s_n = \delta_k \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_k^{i-1} = d_k \alpha_k^n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), $S = \{(s, t) ; 0 < s \leq d_k, 0 \leq t \leq T_{k+1} - s\}$, $A_n = \{(s, t) ; s_{n+1} \leq s \leq s_n, 0 \leq t \leq T_{k+1} - s\}$ and $X_n(s, t) = (W(s+t) - W(t))/\sqrt{d_k}$, $(s, t) \in A_n$. Then we have $\underline{\sigma} = \sqrt{s_{n+1}/d_k} \leq (E[X_n^2(s, t)])^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{s_n/d_k} = \bar{\sigma}$ and $E[(X_n(s, t) - X_n(s', t'))^2] \leq 4(|s - s'| + |t - t'|)/\alpha_k$. Now we can apply Lemma 4 by setting $\varepsilon_j = (\delta_k/s_{n+1})^{1/2} (j+1)^{-2}$, $\lambda_j = 6(\log(j+1))^{1/2}$ and $x = \sqrt{d_k/s_n} x_k$. Then we have

$$\bar{\sigma}(x + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \lambda_{j+1}) = x_k + 6\sqrt{(\delta_k s_n)/(d_k s_{n+1})} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2}(\log(j+1))^{1/2}$$

(by using $s_n/s_{n+1} = 1/\alpha_k = (1 - 1/\gamma_k^2)^{-1} \leq 2$)

$$\leq x_k + 12 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2}(\log(j+1))^{1/2}/\gamma_k$$

$$\leq x_k + 36 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2}(\log(j+1))^{1/2}/x_k,$$

$$s_n - s_{n+1} = \delta_k \alpha_k^n \leq \delta_k,$$

$$N_X(A_n, \underline{\sigma} \varepsilon_j) = N_X(A_n, (\delta_k/d_k)^{1/2} (j+1)^{-2}) \leq c(T_{k+1}/\delta_k)(j+1)^8 \leq c((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1)((d_{k+1} - d_k)/\delta_k) \vee 1)(j+1)^8,$$

$$N_X(A_n, \underline{\sigma} \varepsilon_0) + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} N_X(A_n, \underline{\sigma} \varepsilon_j) \exp(-\lambda_j^2)$$

$$\leq c((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1)((d_{k+1} - d_k)/\delta_k) \vee 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j+1)^{-10},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi(\sqrt{d_k/s_n} x_k) &\leq c x_k^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{d_k}{2s_n} x_k^2\right) = c x_k^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\alpha_k^{-n} x_k^2/2) \\ &= c x_k^{-1} \exp(-x_k^2/2) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\{- (\alpha_k^{-n} - 1) x_k^2/2\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

(by using $x_k^2(\alpha_k^{-n} - 1) = x_k^2(\alpha_k^{-1} - 1)(\alpha_k^{-n+1} + \dots + 1) = \alpha_k^{-1}(x_k/\gamma_k)^2(\alpha_k^{-n+1} + \dots + 1) \geq cn \leq c_1 x_k^{-1} \exp(-x_k^2/2) (1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-c_2 n))$).

Combining all these estimations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\sup_{(s,t) \in S} |W(s+t) - W(t)| \geq \sqrt{d_k}(x_k + 36 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2})) \\ \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(\sup_{(s,t) \in \Lambda_n} |W(s+t) - W(t)| \geq \sqrt{d_k}(x_k + 36 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2})) \\ \leq c_1((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1) (((d_{k+1} - d_k)/\delta_k) \vee 1) x_k^{-1} \exp(-x_k^2/2) (1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp(-c_2 n)). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Lemma 6. For $\gamma_k/3 \leq x_k \leq 3\gamma_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_k \equiv P\left(\sup_{T_k \leq T \leq T_{k+1}} \sup_{d_k < s \leq a_T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-s} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{a_T} \geq x_k + 180 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} \right. \\ \left. (\log(j+1))^{1/2} \leq c((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1) (((d_{k+1} - d_k)/\delta_k) \vee 1) \phi(x_k)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) The case of $d_{k+1} \leq 3T_{k+1}/4$. Set $S = \{(s, t); d_k \leq s \leq d_{k+1}, 0 \leq t \leq T_{k+1} - d_k\}$, $X(s, t) = (W(s+t) - W(t))/\sqrt{s}$. Then we have $E[X^2(s, t)] = 1$ and $E[(X(s, t) - X(s', t'))^2] \leq 4(|s - s'| + |t - t'|)/d_k$. Now we can apply Lemma 4 by setting $\varepsilon_j = (j+1)^{-2}/x_k$, $\lambda_j = 6(\log(j+1))^{1/2}$ and $x = x_k$. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}(x + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \lambda_{j+1}) &= x_k + 6 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}, \\ N_X(T, \underline{\sigma} \varepsilon_j) &\leq c(((T_{k+1} - d_k) x_k^2/d_k) \vee 1) (((d_{k+1} - d_k) x_k^2/d_k) \vee 1) (j+1)^8 \\ &\leq c((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1) (((d_{k+1} - d_k)/\delta_k) \vee 1) (j+1)^8, \\ N_X(T, \underline{\sigma} \varepsilon_0) + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} N_X(T, \underline{\sigma} \varepsilon_j) \exp(-\lambda_j^2/2) \\ &\leq c((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1) (((d_{k+1} - d_k)/\delta_k) \vee 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j+1)^{-10}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields the desired inequality.

(ii) The case of $d_{k+1} \geq 3T_{k+1}/4$.

First under our conditions we recall the following;

$$\begin{aligned} d_{k+1} - d_k &\geq 3T_{k+1}/4 - T_k = (3e/4 - 1) T_k, \\ d_k &\geq T_k - (T_{k+1} - d_{k+1}) \quad (\text{by (a-3)}), \\ &\geq T_k - T_{k+1}/4 = (1 - e/4) T_k \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\log k \leq \gamma_k^2 \leq 2 \log k.$$

Now set

$$T_{k,i} = T_k + i\Delta_k/\log k, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, i_k,$$

$$T_{k,i_k+1} = T_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{k,i} = a_{T_{k,i}},$$

where $\Delta_k = T_{k+1} - T_k$ and $i_k = [\log k]$. Then we have

$$d_{k,i+1} - d_{k,i} \leq T_{k,i+1} - T_{k,i} \leq \Delta_k/\log k \leq c d_k/\log k \leq c\delta_k.$$

Set $A_i = \{(s, t); d_k < s \leq d_{k,i}, 0 \leq t \leq T_{k,i} - s\}$, then it follows that

$$P_k \leq \sum_{i=0}^{i_k} P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in A_{i+1} - A_i} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{d_{k,i}} \vee s \geq x_k + 180 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}\right).$$

Set $A_{i,1} = \{(s, t); d_{k,i} < s \leq d_{k,i+1}, 0 \leq t \leq T_{k,i+1} - s\}$ and

$$A_{i,2} = \{(s, t); d_k < s \leq d_{k,i}, T_{k,i} \leq t + s \leq T_{k,i+1}\}.$$

Obviously we have $A_{i+1} - A_i \subset A_{i,1} \cup A_{i,2}$.

Step 1.

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in A_{i,1}} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{s} \geq x_k + 180 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}\right) \leq c((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1)\phi(x_k).$$

Proof. Set $X(s, t) = (W(s+t) - W(t))/\sqrt{s}$, then we have $E[X^2(s, t)] = 1$ and $E[(X(s, t) - X(s', t'))^2] \leq 4(|s - s'| + |t - t'|)/d_k$. Now we can apply Lemma 4 by setting $\varepsilon_j = (j+1)^{-2}/x_k$, $\lambda_j = 6(\log(j+1))^{1/2}$, $\underline{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma} = 1$, and $x = x_k$,

Since we have

$$\bar{\sigma}(x + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \lambda_{j+1}) = x_k + 6 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2},$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_X(T, \underline{\sigma}\varepsilon_j) &\leq c(((T_{k,i+1} - d_{k,i})x_k^2/d_k) \vee 1)(j+1)^8 \\ &\leq c((T_{k,i} - d_{k,i})/\delta_k) \vee 1)(j+1)^8 \\ &\leq c((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1)(j+1)^8, \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$N_X(T, \sigma\varepsilon_0) + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} N_X(T, \underline{\sigma}\varepsilon_j) \exp(-\lambda_j^2/2) \leq c((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j+1)^{-10}.$$

Step 2.

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in A_{i,2}} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{d_{k,i}} \geq x_k + 180 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}\right) \leq c\phi(x_k).$$

Proof. Set $A_{i,2}^{(n)} = \{(s, t); s_{n+1} < s \leq s_n, T_{k,i} \leq s + t \leq T_{k,i+1}\}$, where $s_n = (d_{k,i} - n(d_{k+1} - d_k)/\gamma_k^2) \vee d_k$. Then

$$P\left(\sup_{(s,t) \in A_{i,2}} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{d_{k,i}} \geq x_k + 180 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}\right)$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{A}_{i,2}^{(n)}} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{d_{k,i}} \geq x_k + 180 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}).$$

Set $X(s, t) = (W(s+t) - W(t))/\sqrt{d_{k,i}}$. Then $\sigma = \sqrt{s_{n+1}/d_{k,i}} \leq (E[X^2(s, t)])^{1/2} \leq \bar{\sigma} = \sqrt{s_n/d_{k,i}}$. Now apply Lemma 4 by setting $\varepsilon_j = (\delta_k/s_{n+1})^{1/2} (j+1)^{-2}$, $\lambda_j = 6(\log(j+1))^{1/2}$, $x = x_k \sqrt{d_{k,i}/s_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}(x + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \lambda_{j+1}) &= x_k + 6\sqrt{(\delta_k s_n)/(d_{k,i} s_{n+1})} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}, \\ &\leq x_k + 60 \gamma_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}, \quad (s_n/s_{n+1} \leq 10) \\ &\leq x_k + 180 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$s_{n+1} - s_n \leq (d_{k+1} - d_k)/\gamma_k^2 \leq c \delta_k,$$

$$N_X(\mathcal{A}_{i,2}^{(n)}, \sigma \varepsilon_j) = N_X(\mathcal{A}_{i,2}^{(n)}, (\delta_k/d_{k,i})^{1/2} (j+1)^{-2}) \leq c(j+1)^8,$$

$$N_X(\mathcal{A}_{i,2}^{(n)}, \sigma \varepsilon_0) + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} N_X(\mathcal{A}_{i,2}^{(n)}, \sigma \varepsilon_j) \exp(-\lambda_j^2) \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j+1)^{-10}.$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(\sup_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{A}_{i,2}^{(n)}} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{d_{k,i}} \geq x_k + 180 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}) \\ \leq c \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j+1)^{-10} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi(x_k \sqrt{d_{k,i}/s_n}) \\ \leq c x_k^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp(-d_{k,i} x_k^2 / (2s_n)) \\ \leq c x_k^{-1} \exp(-x_k^2/2) (1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp(-\{(d_{k,i} - s_n)/(2s_n)\} x_k^2)) \\ \leq c_1 \phi(x_k) (1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp(-c_2 n)). \end{aligned}$$

Step 3, Combining setp 1 and step 2, we have

$$P_k \leq c(\log k)((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1) \phi(x_k) \leq c((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1)((d_{k+1} - d_k)/\delta_k) \vee 1) \phi(x_k).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. There exists k_0 such that $g^2(T_k) \geq 2B$ for all $k \geq k_0$, where $B = 180 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2}$. Set $x_k = g(T_k) - B/g(T_k)$. Then $x_k + 180 x_k^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} (\log(j+1))^{1/2} \leq g(T_k)$ and $\phi(x_k) \leq c \phi(g(T_k)) \leq c \gamma_k^{-1} \exp(-g^2(T_k)/2)$ (by Lemma 1). Applying Lemma 5 and 6, we have

$$P(\sup_{T_k \leq T \leq T_{k+1}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-s} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{a_T} \geq g(T_k))$$

$$\leq c((b_{k+1}/\delta_k) \vee 1)((d_{k+1} - d_k)/\delta_k) \vee 1) \gamma_k^{-1} \exp(-g^2(T_k)/2).$$

The first Borel-Centelli lemma implies that there exists k_1 with probability one such that

$$\sup_{T_k \leq T \leq T_{k+1}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-s} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{a_T} < g(T_k)$$

holds for all $k \geq k_1$. Then it follows for $T_k \leq T \leq T_{k+1}$ that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-s} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{a_T} \leq \sup_{T_k \leq T \leq T_{k+1}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-s} |W(s+t) - W(t)|/\sqrt{a_T} < g(T_k) \leq g(T),$$

which implies $g \in UUC(X_0)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Set $i_k = \lceil [(d_k - d_{k-1})/(\delta_k M)] \vee 1 \rceil$, where $M \geq 1$ chosen later big enough and $\lceil x \rceil$ means the integral part of x . Then there exists a sequence $e^{k-1} = T_{k-1} \equiv T_{k,1} \leq \dots \leq T_{k,i_k} \leq T_k = e^k$ such that $d_{k,i} \equiv a_{T_{k,i}} = d_{k-1} + (i-1)\delta_k M$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, i_k$. For $k \geq 2$ let $\tau_k = \max\{p \geq 0; T_{k-1} - d_{k-1} > 2(T_p - d_p)\}$, $= -1$ if $\{\} = \phi$ and $t_{1,1} = 0$, $t_{k,1} = T_{\tau_k} - d_{\tau_k}$ if $\tau_k \geq 0$, $t_{k,1} = 0$ if $\tau_k = -1$ ($k \geq 2$). Easily we can check that

$$(4.1) \quad T_{k-1} - d_{k-1} - t_{k,1} \geq (T_{k-1} - d_{k-1})/2 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \text{ and}$$

$$(4.2) \quad t_{k,1} \leq t_{k',1} \text{ if } k < k'.$$

Set $j_k = \lceil [(T_{k-1} - d_{k-1} - t_{k,1})/(\delta_k M)] \vee 1 \rceil$, $t_{k,j} = t_{k,1} + (j-1)\delta_k M$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, j_k$, $B_{i,j,k} = \{W(t_{k,j} + d_{k,i}) - W(t_{k,j}) > \sqrt{d_{k,i}}, x_k\}$, where $x_k = g(T_k)$ and $B_k = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq i_k} \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq j_k} B_{i,j,k}$. Then in order to show $g \in ULC(X_4)$, it is enough to prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad P(B_k \text{ i. o.}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Now we start proving (4.3) applying Lemma 2.

Step 1. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist k_ε and $M = M_\varepsilon$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad P(B_k) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{i,j} P(B_{i,j,k}) \geq c((b_{k-1}/\delta_k) \vee 1)((d_k - d_{k-1})/\delta_k) \vee 1) \gamma_k^{-1} \exp(-x_k^2).$$

holds for all $k \geq k_\varepsilon$.

Proof.

$$(4.5) \quad P(B_k) \geq \sum_{i,j} P(B_{i,j,k}) - \sum_{(i,j) \neq (i',j')} P(B_{i,j,k} \cap B_{i',j',k}).$$

Set $X_{i,j,k} = (W(t_{k,j} + d_{k,i}) - W(t_{k,j}))/\sqrt{d_{k,i}}$ and $r \equiv E[X_{i,j,k} X_{i',j',k}] = |I_{i,j,k} \cap I_{i',j',k}|$

$\sqrt{d_{k,i}d_{k,i'}}$, where $I_{i,j,k} = \{t; t_{k,j} \leq t \leq t_{k,j} + d_{k,i}\}$ and $|I|$ means the length of an interval I .

Case 1. If $|I_{i,j,k} \cap I_{i',j',k}| = 0$, then

$$(4.6) \quad P(B_{i,j,k} \cap B_{i',j',k}) = P(B_{i,j,k})P(B_{i',j',k}).$$

Case 2. If $t_{k,j} \leq t_{k,j'}$ and $|I_{i,j,k} \cap I_{i',j',k}| > 0$, then

$$(4.7) \quad 0 \leq j' - j \leq i_k^* \equiv [d_k/(\delta_k M)] + 1, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - r &= (\sqrt{d_{k,i}d_{k,i'}} - ((t_{k,j} + d_{k,i} - t_{k,j'}) \vee 0) \wedge d_{k,i'}) / \sqrt{d_{k,i}d_{k,i'}} \\ &= ((t_{k,j'} - t_{k,j} - d_{k,i} + \sqrt{d_{k,i}d_{k,i'}} \wedge \sqrt{d_{k,i}d_{k,i'}} \vee (\sqrt{d_{k,i}d_{k,i'}} - d_{k,i'})) / \sqrt{d_{k,i}d_{k,i'}} \\ &\geq 1 \wedge \{ (t_{k,j'} - t_{k,j} - \sqrt{d_{k,i}(d_{k,i} - d_{k,i'})}) / (\sqrt{d_{k,i}} + \sqrt{d_{k,i'}}) \vee \sqrt{d_{k,i'}}(d_{k,i} - d_{k,i'}) / (\sqrt{d_{k,i}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{d_{k,i'}}) \} / \sqrt{d_{k,i}d_{k,i'}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(4.8) \quad 1 - r \geq 1 \wedge \{ (j' - j) - (i - i') \vee (i - i') \} \delta_k M / (2d_k).$$

Case 3. If $t_{k,j'} < t_{k,j}$ and $|I_{i,j} \cap I_{i',j'}| > 0$, then

$$(4.9) \quad 0 \leq j - j' \leq i_k^*, \text{ and}$$

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 - r &= (\sqrt{d_{k,i}d_{k,i'}} - ((t_{k,j'} + d_{k,i'} - t_{k,j}) \vee 0) \wedge d_{k,i}) / \sqrt{d_{k,i}d_{k,i'}} \\ &\geq 1 \wedge \{ (j - j') - (i' - i) \vee (i' - i) \} \delta_k M / (2d_k). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 1, Lemma 3, (iii), and (4.6)-(4.10) that for fixed (i, j, k)

$$\sum_{(i,j) \neq (i',j')} P(B_{i,j,k} \cap B_{i',j',k}) = \sum_{|I_{i,j,k} \cap I_{i',j',k}|=0} + \sum_{|I_{i,j,k} \cap I_{i',j',k}|>0} \equiv I + II,$$

$$I \leq P(B_{i,j,k}) i_k j_k \phi(x_k)$$

$$\leq P(B_{i,j,k}) \left(\frac{b_{k-1}}{M\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \left(\frac{d_k - d_{k-1}}{M\delta_k} \vee 1 \right) \phi(x_k)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon P(B_{i,j,k}) / 2 \text{ for } k \geq k_\varepsilon \text{ (independent of } M \geq 1 \text{ by Lemma 1, (ii)) and}$$

$$II \leq cP(B_{i,j,k}) \sum_{j'=0}^{i_k^*} \sum_{i'=-k}^k \exp \{ - \{ 1 \wedge ((j' - i') \vee i') \} \delta_k M / (8d_k) \} x_k^2 \}$$

$$\leq cP(B_{i,j,k}) \sum_{j'=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i'=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \{ - ((j' - i') \vee i') M / 32 \}$$

$$\leq cP(B_{i,j,k}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 4ne^{-nM/32} \leq \varepsilon P(B_{i,j,k}) / 2$$

(here we have chosen a sufficiently large $M = M_\varepsilon$ such that the last inequality holds). Taking account of Lemma 1, (4.1) and (4.5) we have obtained (4.4).

Step 2. If $t_{k',1} \geq T_k$, then obviously $|I_{i,j,k} \cap I_{i',j',k'}| = 0$ and

$$(4.11) \quad P(B_k \cap B_{k'}) = P(B_k)P(B_{k'}).$$

Step 3. If $t_{k',1} < T_k$, $\tau_{k'} \leq k' - 3$ and $k + 4 \log k \leq k'$, then there exists c_ε such that

$$(4.12) \quad P(B_k \cap B_{k'}) \leq c_\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)^{-2} P(B_k)P(B_{k'}) \text{ holds, where } \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} c_\varepsilon = 1.$$

Proof. Set $r' = E[X_{i,j,k} X_{i',j',k'}]$. Then we have

$$(4.13) \quad r' = |I_{i,j,k} \cap I_{i',j',k'}| / \sqrt{d_{k,i} d_{k',i'}} \leq \sqrt{d_k / d_{k'-1}}.$$

By definition, $\tau_{k'} \leq k' - 3$ implies that

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{k'-2} &\geq T_{\tau_{k'}+1} - d_{\tau_{k'}+1} \geq (T_{k'-1} - d_{k'-1})/2 \text{ and} \\ d_{k'-1} &\geq T_{k'-1} - 2T_{k'-2} = (1 - 2e^{-1})T_{k'-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1, (4.13) and (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r' x_k x_{k'} &\leq 9 \sqrt{d_k / d_{k'-1}} \gamma_k^2 \leq c e^{-(k'-k)/2} \log k' \\ (f(x) = e^{-x} \log x \text{ is decreasing at the neighborhood of } +\infty) \\ &\leq c \log k e^{-\log k} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \longrightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This means that by Lemma 3, (ii) and (4.4) there exists k_ε such that for all $k \geq k_\varepsilon$, $t_{k',1} < T_k$, $\tau_{k'} \leq k - 3$ and $k' \geq k + 4 \log k$, we have.

$$\begin{aligned} P(B_k \cap B_{k'}) &= \sum_{i,j} \sum_{i',j'} P(B_{i,j,k} \cap B_{i',j',k'}) \\ &\leq c_\varepsilon \sum_{i,j} \sum_{i',j'} P(B_{i,j,k}) P(B_{i',j',k'}) \\ &\leq c_\varepsilon (1 - \varepsilon)^{-2} P(B_k) P(B_{k'}). \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. If $t_{k',1} < T_k$, $\tau_{k'} \leq k' - 3$ and $k + 5 \leq k' \leq k + 4 \log k$, then

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{k'} P(B_k \cap B_{k'}) \leq c p(B_k).$$

Proof. By (4.13) and (4.14)

$$(4.15) \quad 1 - r' \geq 1 - \sqrt{d_k / d_{k'-1}} \geq 1 - \sqrt{T_k / T_{k'-2}} (1 - e^{-1})^{-1/2} = 1 - e^{-1} (1 - e^{-1})^{-1/2} \geq c > 0.$$

$$(4.16) \quad j_{k'} \leq c(T_{k'-1} / d_{k'-1}) \gamma_k^2 \leq c \log k \text{ and}$$

$$(4.17) \quad i_{k'} \leq c \gamma_k^2 \leq c \log k.$$

It follows from Lemma 3(iii), (4.4) and (4.15)-(4.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.18) \quad \sum_{k'} P(B_k \cap B_{k'}) &\leq \sum_{i,j} \sum_{i',j',k'} P(B_{i,j,k} \cap B_{i',j',k'}) \\
&\leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} P(B_k) \sum_{k'} (\log k)^2 e^{-c \log k} \\
&\leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} (\log k)^3 e^{-c \log k} P(B_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 5. If $t_{k',1} < T_k$, $\tau_{k'} = k' - 2$ (by definition $\tau_{k'} \leq k' - 2$) and $k + 4 \log k \leq k'$, then there exists c_ε such that

$$(4.19) \quad P(B_k \cap B_{k'}) \leq c_\varepsilon (1 - \varepsilon)^{-2} P(B_k) P(B_{k'}) \text{ holds, where } \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} c_\varepsilon = 1.$$

Proof. By the assumption, $t_{k',1} = T_{\tau_{k'}} - d_{\tau_{k'}} = T_{k'-2} - d_{k'-2} < T_k$ means

$$(4.20) \quad (1 - e^{-1}) T_{k'-2} < d_{k'-2} \leq d_{k'-1}.$$

From Lemma 1, (4.13) and (4.20), we have

$$r' x_k x_{k'} \leq 9 \sqrt{T_k/d_{k'-1}} \gamma_k^2 \leq c e^{-(k'-k)/2} \log k' \leq c (\log k)/k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Therefore by just the same way as Step 3, we can obtain (4.19).

Step 6. If $t_{k',1} < T_k$, $\tau_{k'} = k' - 2$ and $k + 4 \leq k' \leq k + 2 \log k$, then

$$(4.21) \quad \sum_{k'} P(B_k \cap B_{k'}) \leq c P(B_k).$$

Proof. By (4.13) and (4.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.22) \quad 1 - r' &\geq 1 - \sqrt{d_k/d_{k'-1}} \geq 1 - \sqrt{T_k/T_{k'-2}} (1 - e^{-1})^{-1/2} \\
&= 1 - e^{-1} (1 - e^{-1})^{-1/2} \geq c > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$$(4.23) \quad j_{k'} \leq c (T_{k'-1}/d_{k'-1}) \gamma_k^2 \leq c \log k \text{ and}$$

$$(4.24) \quad i_{k'} \leq c \gamma_k^2 \leq c \log k.$$

It follows from Lemma 3(iii), (4.4) and (4.22)–(4.24) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.25) \quad \sum_{k'} P(B_k \cap B_{k'}) &\leq \sum_{i,j} \sum_{i',j',k'} P(B_{i,j,k} \cap B_{i',j',k'}) \\
&\leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} P(B_k) \sum_{k'} (\log k)^2 e^{-c \log k} \\
&\leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{-1} (\log k)^3 e^{-c \log k} P(B_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have arrived at the final step for the proof of Theorem 2.

Step 7. Assume that $I_L(g) = +\infty$. Then one of

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_L(g(T_{5k+i})) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$$

is divergent. Taking account of all steps from 1 to 6 and applying Lemma 2 for the divergent sub-sequence, we can obtain (4.3).

The proofs of Theorem 3 and 4 are just the same line as those of Theorem 1 and 2 using lemmas corresponding to Lemma 3 and 4. Lemma 3 for d -dimensional case is well known ([6] Lemma 2.8–2.10), so we only mention the following lemma corresponding to Lemma 4.

Let $Z = (X, Y)$ be a two dimensional centered Gaussian random variable with $E[X^2] = E[Y^2] = 1$ and $E[XY] = r$. Set $\varepsilon^2 = E[(X - Y)^2] = 2 - 2r$. $\mathfrak{X}_d = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$, $\mathfrak{Y}_d = (Y_1, \dots, Y_d)$, where (X_i, Y_i) are independent copies of Z . Denote by $\| \cdot \|$ the usual d -dimensional Euclidean norm. Set $\phi_d(x) = P(\| \mathfrak{X}_d \| \geq x)$ and $I(x, y; \varepsilon) = P(\| \mathfrak{X}_d \| \geq x + \varepsilon y, \| \mathfrak{Y}_d \| \leq x)$.

Lemma 7. *There exist positive absolute constants A_d and B_d only depending on d such that*

$$I(x, y; \varepsilon) \leq A_d e^{-y^{2/4}} \phi_d(x)$$

holds for all $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$, $y \geq B_d$ and $x > 0$.

Using Lemma 7 we can obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 8. *Let $\{X(t); t \in T\}$ be a centered separable Gaussian process with the pseudo-metric $d_x(s, t) \equiv (E[(X(s) - X(t))^2])^{1/2}$ satisfying the following conditions;*

- (i) (T, d_x) is a compact space,
- (ii) $0 < \bar{\sigma} \leq (E[X^2(t)])^{1/2} \leq \bar{\sigma} < +\infty$ for $\forall t \in T$.

Set $\mathfrak{X}_d(t) = (X_1(t), \dots, X_d(t))$, where $\{X_i(t)\}$ $i = 1, \dots, d$ are independent copies of $\{X(t)\}$. Then for $0 < \varepsilon_j \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$, $0 < \lambda_{j+1}$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $0 < x$,

$$P(\sup_{t \in T} \| \mathfrak{X}_d(t) \| \geq \bar{\sigma}(x + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_j \lambda_{j+1}) \leq (N_x(T, \bar{\sigma}\varepsilon_0) + A_d \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} N_x(T, \bar{\sigma}\varepsilon_j) e^{-\lambda_j^{2/4}}) \phi_d(x),$$

where $N_x(T, \varepsilon)$ is the minimal number of balls $B(t, \varepsilon) \equiv \{s \in T; d_x(s, t) \leq \varepsilon\}$ whose union covers T .

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
YOSHIDA COLLEGE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] S. M. Berman and N. Kôno, The maximum of a Gaussian process with nonconstant variance: A sharp bound for the distribution tail, *Ann. prob.*, **17** (1989), 632–650.
- [2] K. L. Chung, P. Erdős and T. Sirao, On the Lipschitz's condition for Brownian motion, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **11**, (1959), 263–274.
- [3] M. Csörgö, Gaussian processes, strong approximations; An interplay, *Aspects Statistiques et Aspects Physiques des Processus Gaussiens*, Editions du C.N.R.S. **307** (1081), 131–229.
- [4] M. Cröggö and P. Révész, How big are the increments of a Wiener process? *Ann. Prob.*, **7** (1979), 731–737.

- [5] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, On a law of large numbers, *J. Analyse Math.*, **23** (1970), 103–111.
- [6] N. C. Jain and S. J. Taylor, Local asymptotic laws for Brownian motion, *Ann. Prob.*, (1973), 527–549.
- [7] N. Kôno, An inequality of two dimensional normal distribution, pre-print (1988).
- [8] P. Révész, On the increments of Wiener and related processes, *Ann. Prob.*, **10** (1982), 613–622.
- [9] T. Sirao, On the continuity of Brownian motion with a multidimensional parameter, *Nagaya Math. J.*, **16** (1960), 135–156.
- [10] K. Grill, On the increments of the Wiener process, to appear in the *Annals of Probability*.