

## On the Bers conjecture for Fuchsian groups of the second kind

Dedicated to Professor Tatsuo Fuji'i'e on his sixtieth birthday

By

Toshiyuki SUGAWA

### §1. Introduction

Suppose that  $D$  is a simply connected domain of hyperbolic type in the Riemann sphere  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then the Poincaré metric  $\rho_D$  in  $D$  is defined by

$$\rho_D(z) = \frac{|g'(z)|}{1 - |g(z)|^2}, \quad z \in D,$$

where  $g$  is any conformal mapping of  $D$  onto the unit disk  $\mathcal{A} = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ .  $B_2(D)$  will denote the Banach space consisting of all holomorphic functions  $\varphi$  in  $D$  such that the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_D = \sup_{z \in D} |\varphi(z)| \rho_D(z)^{-2}$$

is finite.

If  $f$  is a locally univalent meromorphic function, the Schwarzian derivative of  $f$  is given by

$$S_f = \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'}\right)^2.$$

We set after Flinn [6]

$$S = \{S_f : f \text{ is conformal in } \mathcal{A}\},$$

$$J = \{S_f \in S : f(\mathcal{A}) \text{ is a Jordan domain}\},$$

$$T = \{S_f \in S : f(\mathcal{A}) \text{ is a quasidisk}\}.$$

$T$  is called the universal Teichmüller space. It is known that  $T \subset J \subset S \subset B_2(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $T$  is open,  $S$  is closed and  $T = \text{Int } S$  (see [7], [9]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a Fuchsian group acting on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $B_2(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma)$  denote the closed subspace of  $B_2(\mathcal{A})$ :

$$\{\varphi \in B_2(\mathcal{A}) : (\varphi \circ \gamma) \cdot (\gamma')^2 = \varphi \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

Further we set  $S(\Gamma) = S \cap B_2(\Delta, \Gamma)$ ,  $J(\Gamma) = J \cap B_2(\Delta, \Gamma)$ ,  $T(\Gamma) = T \cap B_2(\Delta, \Gamma)$ . Then  $T(\Gamma)$  coincides with the Bers embedding of the Teichmüller space of  $\Gamma$  (see [3]).

Bers conjectured that  $S = \bar{T}$  i.e.,  $S(1) = \overline{T(1)}$  in [2]. Generalizing this conjecture, by *the Bers conjecture for  $\Gamma$*  we shall mean that  $S(\Gamma) = \overline{T(\Gamma)}$ .

In [8], Gehring showed that the Bers conjecture is false i.e.,  $S \setminus \bar{T} \neq \phi$ , in fact essentially he showed that  $S \setminus \bar{J} \neq \phi$ . Moreover Flinn proved that  $J \setminus \bar{T} \neq \phi$  in [6] (see the next section for the details). Our main purpose in this paper is to show the following

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a Fuchsian group of the second kind. Then  $S(\Gamma) \setminus \bar{J} \neq \phi$  and  $J(\Gamma) \setminus \bar{T} \neq \phi$ .*

**Corollary.** *When  $\Gamma$  is of the second kind, the Bers conjecture for  $\Gamma$  is false. In other words,  $S(\Gamma) \not\cong \overline{T(\Gamma)}$ .*

On the other hand, the author does not know whether the Bers conjecture for Fuchsian groups of the first kind is true or not.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we introduce simply connected domains which are constructed by Gehring and Flinn and quote two results from Flinn [6] for later use. Gehring's (or Flinn's) domain is essentially obtained by removing a spiral (respectively, countable sequence of closed Jordan regions approximating a spiral) from a disk so that the boundary of the domain is adequately irregular. For a given Fuchsian group  $\Gamma$  of the second kind, in §3 we construct a  $\Gamma$ -invariant simply connected domain  $\Delta'$  in  $\Delta$  which contains the Gehring's or Flinn's domain adjacent to a free side of the Dirichlet fundamental region of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $F: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'$  be a Riemann mapping function of  $\Delta'$ , then  $S_F \in S(G)$  where  $G = F^{-1}\Gamma F$  is a Fuchsian group. While it turns out later that  $S_F \notin \bar{J}$  (respectively,  $S_F \notin \bar{T}$ ) and that  $G$  is qc(= quasiconformally) equivalent to  $\Gamma$  (Lemma 4, Corollary), these facts need not imply that  $S(\Gamma) \setminus \bar{J} \neq \phi$  (respectively,  $J(\Gamma) \setminus \bar{T} \neq \phi$ ). Now we consider to deform  $\Delta'$  by an appropriate qc mapping so that the Schwarzian derivative as above belongs to  $S(\Gamma)$ . In §4, such a deformation is presented and we state a slightly general result (Theorem 2) which contains Theorem 1. §5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.

## §2. Gehring's and Flinn's construction

Fix  $a \in \left(0, \frac{1}{8\pi}\right)$  and set a closed Jordan arc

$$\gamma_a = \{ \pm ie^{(-a+it)t} : t \in [0, \infty) \} \cup \{0\}.$$

**Theorem A** (Gehring [8]). *Let  $F: \Delta \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \gamma_a$  be a Riemann mapping function of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \gamma_a$ , then  $S_F \in S \setminus \bar{J}$ .*

We set

$$A = \{x + iy: y > 1\} \cup \{x + iy: x > -4, y > -1\}$$

and  $D_1 = A \setminus \gamma_a$ . As we shall find later, the following theorem also holds.

**Theorem A'.** *Let  $F: \Delta \rightarrow D_1$  be a Riemann mapping function of  $D_1$ , then  $S_F \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \bar{J}$ .*

For each positive integer  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , we set  $\sigma_m = \left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)^m$ ,  $\tau_m = e^{-2\pi am}$ ,  $E_m = R_m \cup P_m$  where

$$P_m = \{e^{i\sigma} z: z \in \gamma_a, -\sigma_m \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_m\} \cup \{z: |z| \leq \tau_m\},$$

$$R_m = \{x + iy: |x| \leq \sin \sigma_m, -1 \leq y \leq -\cos \sigma_m\} \setminus \Delta.$$

Then each  $E_m$  is a closed Jordan region,  $E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \dots$  and  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m = \gamma_a$ . Let  $V$  denote the translation  $V(z) = z + 8$  and set  $D_2 = A \setminus \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} V^m(E_m)$ . One can easily see that  $D_2$  is a Jordan domain in  $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$ . The next theorem is essentially due to Flinn.

**Theorem B** (Flinn [6, Theorem 2]). *Let  $F: \Delta \rightarrow D_2$  be a Riemann mapping function of  $D_2$ , then  $S_F \in J \setminus \bar{T}$ .*

Theorems A' and B are direct conclusions of the following Lemmas 1 and 2, respectively. Let  $\alpha_1 = \{z = e^{(-a+i)t}: t \in (0, \infty)\}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \{z: -z \in \alpha_1\}$ . Then  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are logarithmic spirals in  $D_2$  which converge onto the point 0 from opposite sides of  $\gamma_a$ .

**Lemma 1** (cf. Flinn [6, Lemma 2]). *There exists a constant  $\delta_1 > 0$  with the following property. If  $f$  is conformal in  $D_1$  with  $\|S_f\|_{D_1} \leq \delta_1$ , then*

$$\lim_{\alpha_1 \ni z \rightarrow 0} f(z) = \lim_{\alpha_2 \ni z \rightarrow 0} f(z).$$

*In particular,  $f(D_1)$  is not a Jordan domain.*

Let  $\beta$  be the subarc  $\{x + iy \in \partial D_1: -4 < x < \infty\}$  of  $\partial D_1$ . We note that if  $f: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  is a conformal mapping of a Jordan domain  $R_1$  onto another Jordan domain  $R_2$ , then  $f$  is uniquely extended to a homeomorphism  $\hat{f}: \overline{R_1} \rightarrow \overline{R_2}$ .

**Lemma 2** (cf. Flinn [6, Proof of Theorem 2]). *There exists a constant  $\delta_2 > 0$  with the following property. If  $f$  is conformal in  $D_2$  with  $\|S_f\|_{D_2} \leq \delta_2$  and if  $f(D_2)$  is a Jordan domain, then  $\hat{f}(\beta)$  is not a quasia-rc.*

**Remark.** In Theorems A' and B, we can replace the domain  $A$  by a half plane  $\{x + iy: y > -1\}$ .

### §3. Construction of group invariant domains

Let  $\Gamma$  be an arbitrary Fuchsian group of the second kind acting on the unit disk  $\mathcal{A}$ . In this section we construct  $\Gamma$ -invariant simply connected domains which have the same property as the Gehring's or Flinn's domain. Since  $\Gamma$  is of the second kind,  $\Omega(\Gamma) \cap \partial\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$  where  $\Omega(\Gamma)$  is the region of discontinuity of  $\Gamma$  in  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Now we pick a sufficiently small disk  $Y$  in  $\Omega(\Gamma)$  whose boundary is orthogonal to  $\partial\mathcal{A}$  so that no two distinct points of  $Y$  are  $\Gamma$ -equivalent. Let  $\sigma$  be a Möbius transformation such that  $\sigma(Y) = \mathcal{A}$  and that  $\sigma(Y^+) = \mathcal{A}^+$  where  $Y^+ = Y \cap \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^+ = \{z \in \mathcal{A} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$ . Fix  $r_0, r_1 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $r_1 < r_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_r = \{z \in \mathcal{A} : |z| < r\}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_r^+ = \mathcal{A}_r \cap \mathcal{A}^+$  and  $Y_r^+ = \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_r^+)$  for  $r \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $M(\mathcal{A})$  be the space of Beltrami coefficients supported in  $\mathcal{A}$ :  $\{\mu \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A}) : \|\mu\|_\infty < 1\}$ . For  $\mu \in M(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $w^\mu$  will denote the normalized  $\mu$ -conformal selfmapping of  $\mathcal{A}$  which fixes three points  $1, i, -1 \in \partial\mathcal{A}$ . We set

$$M_{Y_{r_0}^+}(\mathcal{A})_k = \{\mu \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A}) : \mu = 0 \text{ on } Y_{r_0}^+ \text{ and } \|\mu\|_\infty < k\},$$

for  $k \in (0, 1]$ . Notice that  $w^\mu$  is conformal in  $Y_{r_0}^+$  for  $\mu \in M_{Y_{r_0}^+}(\mathcal{A})_k$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\delta_0 = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$  where  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  are as in Lemmas 1 and 2, respectively, then there exists a constant  $k \in (0, 1]$  such that for any  $\mu \in M_{Y_{r_0}^+}(\mathcal{A})_k$  the following is valid:*

$$\|S_{w^\mu}\|_{Y_{r_1}^+} \leq \frac{\delta_0}{2}.$$

*Proof.* We can extend  $w^\mu$  to a qc homeomorphism of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  by the rule

$$w^\mu(z) = 1/\overline{w^\mu(1/\bar{z})}.$$

It is well known that  $w^\mu(z)$  converges to  $z$  uniformly on each compact set in  $\mathbb{C}$  as  $\|\mu\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  (see [1], for example). Since  $w^\mu$  is conformal in  $Y_{r_0} = \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{r_0})$  for  $\mu \in M_{Y_{r_0}^+}(\mathcal{A})_1$  and  $Y_{r_1}^+$  is relatively compact in  $Y_{r_0}$ ,  $S_{w^\mu}$  converges to 0 uniformly on  $Y_{r_1}^+$  as  $\|\mu\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ , in particular  $\|S_{w^\mu}\|_{Y_{r_1}^+}$  converges to 0 as  $\|\mu\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

For each  $\varepsilon \in (0, r_1)$  we choose a  $\tau = \tau_\varepsilon \in \text{Möb}$  such that  $\tau(\hat{\mathbf{R}}) = \{x - i : x \in \mathbf{R}\} \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $\tau(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^+) \supset \mathcal{A}$ .

**Construction 1** (Gehring type).

We set  $\mathcal{A}'_1 = \mathcal{A}'_1(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{A} \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma((\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1}(\gamma_a))$ .

**Construction 2** (Flinn type).

We set  $\mathcal{A}'_2 = \mathcal{A}'_2(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{A} \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma((\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1}(\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty V^m(E_m)))$ .

We note that  $(\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1}(\gamma_a) \subset Y^+$ , that  $(\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1}(\bigcup_{m=1}^\infty V^m(E_m)) \subset Y^+$  and that  $(\gamma(Y^+))_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a disjoint family. Therefore  $\mathcal{A}'_j$  is a  $\Gamma$ -invariant simply connected

domain contained in  $\Delta$  for  $j = 1, 2$ ; furthermore  $\Delta'_2$  is a Jordan domain. If we let  $F_j: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'_j$  be a Riemann mapping function and set  $G_j = F_j^{-1} \Gamma F_j$  which is a subgroup of Möb acting discontinuously on  $\Delta$  i.e., a Fuchsian group acting on  $\Delta$ , then  $S_{F_1} \in \mathcal{S}(G_1)$  and  $S_{F_2} \in \mathcal{J}(G_2)$ .

Now we state a lemma which guarantees that  $G_j$  is qc equivalent to  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $k \in (0, 1]$  be as in Lemma 3. For sufficiently small  $\varepsilon \in (0, r_1)$ , there exists a qc mapping  $h_j$  of  $\Delta'_j = \Delta'_j(\varepsilon)$  onto  $\Delta$  with the following properties for  $j = 1, 2$ .*

- (1)  $\|\mu(h_j)\|_\infty < k$  where  $\mu(h_j)$  is the Beltrami coefficient of  $h_j$ ,
- (2)  $h_j$  is conformal in  $\Delta'_j \cap Y_{r_0}^+$ ,
- (3)  $h_j \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ h_j$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Because the qc mapping  $f_j = h_j \circ F_j: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  deforms  $G_j$  into  $\Gamma$ , we have the following

**Corollary.**  *$G_j$  is qc equivalent to  $\Gamma$ .*

Lemma 4 is obtained in an obvious way by the following

**Lemma 5.** *For sufficiently small  $\varepsilon \in (0, r_1)$ , there exists a qc mapping  $H_j: \sigma(Y'_j) \rightarrow \Delta^+$  with the following properties for  $j = 1, 2$ .*

- (1)  $\|\mu(H_j)\|_\infty < k$  where  $\mu(H_j)$  is the Beltrami coefficient of  $H_j$ ,
- (2)  $H_j$  is conformal in  $\sigma(Y'_j) \cap \Delta_{r_0}^+$ ,
- (3)  $H_j = \text{identity on } \partial\Delta^+ \setminus [-1, 1]$ ,

where  $Y'_j = Y'_j(\varepsilon) = Y \cap \Delta'_j(\varepsilon)$ .

*Proof of lemma 5.* Let  $H_{(\varepsilon)}: \sigma(Y'_j(\varepsilon)) \cap \Delta_{r_0}^+ \rightarrow \Delta_{r_0}^+$  be the conformal mapping which fixes three points  $r_0, r_0 i, -r_0$ . Noting that  $\Delta_{r_0}^+ \setminus \overline{\Delta_\varepsilon^+} \subset \sigma(Y'_j(\varepsilon))$ , we find that  $H_{(\varepsilon)}|_{\Delta_{r_0}^+ \setminus \overline{\Delta_\varepsilon^+}}$  can be extended to a conformal mapping  $\widetilde{H_{(\varepsilon)}}$  in  $\{z: \varepsilon < |z| < r_0^2/\varepsilon\}$  by the reflection principle. Let  $\Theta_\varepsilon: (0, \pi) \rightarrow (0, \pi)$  be the mapping defined by the rule  $r_0 e^{i\Theta_\varepsilon(\theta)} = \widetilde{H_{(\varepsilon)}}(r_0 e^{i\theta})$  for all  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ , then  $\Theta_\varepsilon$  is a smooth mapping such that

$$\Theta'_\varepsilon(\theta) = |H'_{(\varepsilon)}(r_0 e^{i\theta})|.$$

Now we set

$$H_{(\varepsilon)}(re^{i\theta}) = re^{i(t\theta + (1-t)\Theta_\varepsilon(\theta))} \quad \text{for } r \in [r_0, 1], \theta \in (0, \pi),$$

where  $r = t + (1-t)r_0$ , then this extended  $H_{(\varepsilon)}$  has the properties (2) and (3). On the other hand, clearly  $\widetilde{H_{(\varepsilon)}}(z)$  converges to  $z$  uniformly on each compact set in  $\mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , hence  $\Theta_\varepsilon(\theta), \Theta'_\varepsilon(\theta)$  uniformly converges to  $\theta, 1$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , respectively. Therefore the explicit expression

$$|\mu(H_{(\varepsilon)})(re^{i\theta})| = \begin{cases} \left| \frac{(r - r_0)(\Theta'_\varepsilon(\theta) - 1) - (\Theta_\varepsilon(\theta) - \theta)ri}{2(1 - r_0) + (r - r_0)(\Theta'_\varepsilon(\theta) - 1) + (\Theta_\varepsilon(\theta) - \theta)ri} \right|, & r \in (r_0, 1], \\ 0, & r \in (0, r_0) \end{cases}$$

shows that  $\|\mu(H_{(\varepsilon)})\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , so for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon \in (0, r_1)$ ,  $H_j = H_{(\varepsilon)}: \sigma(Y_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^+$  has the properties (1), (2) and (3).  $\square$

Henceforth we fix an  $\varepsilon \in (0, r_1)$  for which Lemma 4 holds.

#### §4. Deformations by partially conformal qc mappings

In this section we present a method to construct the family of group-invariant domains which includes desired one.

Let  $M(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma)$  be the space of Beltrami coefficients for  $\Gamma$  with support in  $\mathcal{A}$  i.e., the subset of  $L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  consisting of all  $\mu \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$  and

$$(\mu \circ \gamma) \cdot \overline{\gamma'} / \gamma' = \mu \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Set  $\mathcal{D}_j^\mu = w^\mu(\mathcal{A}'_j)$  for  $\mu \in M(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma)$ . If  $\Gamma^\mu$  denotes the Fuchsian group  $w^\mu \Gamma (w^\mu)^{-1}$  acting on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_j^\mu$  is a  $\Gamma^\mu$ -invariant simply connected domain whose boundary is homeomorphic to the  $\mathcal{A}'_j$ 's. We take a Riemann mapping function  $F_j^\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_j^\mu$  and set  $G_j^\mu = (F_j^\mu)^{-1} \Gamma^\mu F_j^\mu$  and  $\varphi_j^\mu = S_{F_j^\mu}$ . Since  $\Gamma^\mu$  acts discontinuously on  $\mathcal{D}_j^\mu$ ,  $G_j^\mu$  acts also discontinuously on  $\mathcal{A}$ , hence  $G_j^\mu$  is a Fuchsian group. And clearly  $\varphi_1^\mu \in S(G_1^\mu)$  and  $\varphi_2^\mu \in J(G_2^\mu)$ . Because the logarithmic spiral  $\gamma_a$  is a quasiarc, the general qc mapping  $w^\mu$  may unfasten the removed spirals, thus we must restrict Beltrami coefficients  $\mu$  to be considered on a certain class of  $M(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma)$ . In this article we only consider

$$\begin{aligned} M_{Y_{r_0^+}}(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma)_k &= M_{Y_{r_0^+}}(\mathcal{A})_k \cap M(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma) \\ &= \{\mu \in M(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma): \mu = 0 \text{ on } Y_{r_0^+} \text{ and } \|\mu\|_\infty < k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $w^\mu$  is conformal in  $Y_{r_0^+}$  for  $\mu \in M_{Y_{r_0^+}}(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma)_k$ , it is expected that the spirals are but slightly deformed by  $w^\mu$ . In fact, we have the following result for this class which is proved in the rest of this paper.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k$  be as in Lemma 3. For  $\mu \in M_{Y_{r_0^+}}(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma)_k$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^\mu &\in S(G_1^\mu) \setminus \overline{J}, \\ \varphi_2^\mu &\in J(G_2^\mu) \setminus \overline{T}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 and Lemma 4 prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $h_j$  be as in Lemma 4. We set

$$\mu_j = \begin{cases} \mu(h_j), & \text{on } \mathcal{A}'_j, \\ 0, & \text{on } \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}'_j, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu(h_j)$  is the Beltrami coefficient of  $h_j$  and set  $\mathcal{D}'_j = w^{\mu_j}(\mathcal{A}'_j)$ . Notice that  $\mu_j \in M_{Y_{r_0^+}}(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma)_k$ . Since  $h_j$  and  $w^{\mu_j}|_{\mathcal{A}'_j}$  have the same Beltrami coefficient,  $w^{\mu_j} \circ h_j^{-1}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_j$  is a Riemann mapping function of  $\mathcal{D}'_j$ . Therefore we can take  $w^{\mu_j} \circ h_j^{-1}$  as  $F_j^{\mu_j}$ . By definition,  $G_j^{\mu_j} = h_j \circ (w^{\mu_j})^{-1} \Gamma^{\mu_j} w^{\mu_j} \circ h_j^{-1} = h_j \Gamma h_j^{-1} = \Gamma$ . By virtue of

Theorem 2,  $\varphi_1^{\mu^1} \in S(\Gamma) \setminus J$ ,  $\varphi_2^{\mu^2} \in J(\Gamma) \setminus T$ , hence Theorem 1 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark.** The family  $(\varphi_j^\mu)_{\mu \in M_{Y_{r_0}^+(\Delta, \Gamma)_k}}$  is ample in a certain sense. We now explain this in the following. We recall that  $F_j: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta'_j$  is a Riemann mapping function of  $\Delta'_j$  and  $G_j = F_j^{-1} \Gamma F_j$  is a Fuchsian group acting on  $\Delta$ . In this paragraph we assume that  $\Gamma$  is non-elementary and choose  $F_j$  so that  $1, i, -1 \in \mathcal{A}(G_j) = \hat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \Omega(G_j)$ . Let  $F_j^*: M(\Delta, \Gamma) \rightarrow M(\Delta, G_j)$  be the pullback of Beltrami coefficients by  $F_j$ , namely  $F_j^*(\mu)$  is the Beltrami coefficient of the qc mapping  $w^\mu \circ F_j$  for  $\mu \in M(\Delta, \Gamma)$ . Since  $w^{F_j^*(\mu)}$  and  $w^\mu \circ F_j$  have the same Beltrami coefficient, we can choose  $w^\mu \circ F_j \circ (w^{F_j^*(\mu)})^{-1}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_j^\mu$  as the Riemann mapping function  $F_j^\mu$ , then we have  $G_j^\mu = w^{F_j^*(\mu)} G_j (w^{F_j^*(\mu)})^{-1}$ .

Generally, for  $v \in M(\Delta, G_j)$  the group isomorphism  $g \mapsto w^v g (w^v)^{-1}$  ( $g \in G_j$ ) determines an element of the reduced Teichmüller space  $T^\#(G_j)$  of  $G_j$  (see, for example, Earle [4], [5], Nag [10]). Let this point in  $T^\#(G_j)$  be denoted by  $\Phi^\#(v)$ . It turns out that  $\Phi^\#(M_K(\Delta, G_j)_k)$  is a neighborhood of  $\Phi^\#(0)$  in  $T^\#(G_j)$  for any  $k \in (0, 1]$  and any measurable set  $K \subset \Delta$  such that  $p(K)$  is relatively compact in the double  $\Omega(G_j)/G_j$  where  $p: \Omega(G_j) \rightarrow \Omega(G_j)/G_j$  is the canonical projection. (For example, combine [11, Corollary 2] with [4]. This fact was pointed out to the author by H. Ohtake.)

On the other hand  $F_j^*(M_{Y_{r_0}^+(\Delta, \Gamma)_k}) = M_{F_j^{-1}(Y_{r_0}^+(\Delta, \Gamma)_k)}$  and  $p(F_j^{-1}(Y_{r_0}^+(\Delta, \Gamma)_k))$  is relatively compact in  $\Omega(G_j)/G_j$ , hence  $\Phi^\#(F_j^*(M_{Y_{r_0}^+(\Delta, \Gamma)_k}))$  is a neighborhood of  $\Phi^\#(0)$ . In other words, qc deformations  $G_j \rightarrow G_j^\mu$  ( $g \mapsto w^{F_j^*(\mu)} g (w^{F_j^*(\mu)})^{-1}$ ) for  $\mu \in M_{Y_{r_0}^+(\Delta, \Gamma)_k}$  cover a neighborhood of the identity mapping  $G_j \rightarrow G_j$  in  $T^\#(G_j)$ .

The above proof of Theorem 1 shows virtually that there exists an isomorphism  $G_j \rightarrow \Gamma$  which belongs to  $\Phi^\#(F_j^*(M_{Y_{r_0}^+(\Delta, \Gamma)_k}))$ .

## §5. Proof of Theorem 2

*Proof of the first part:*  $\varphi_1^\mu \notin \bar{J}$ . By the same argument in [6] or [8], it is sufficient to prove the following

**Claim 1.** *There exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  with the following property. If  $f$  is conformal in  $\mathcal{D}_1^\mu$  with  $\|S_f\|_{\mathcal{D}_1^\mu} \leq \delta$ , then  $f(\mathcal{D}_1^\mu)$  is not a Jordan domain.*

*Proof of Claim 1.* We set  $\delta = \delta_1/2$  where  $\delta_1$  is as in Lemma 1. Suppose that  $f$  is conformal in  $\mathcal{D}_1^\mu$  with  $\|S_f\|_{\mathcal{D}_1^\mu} \leq \delta$ . Set  $g = f \circ w^\mu \circ (\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1}|_{D_1}$ ,  $\beta_j = w^\mu \circ (\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1}(\alpha_j)$  for  $j = 1, 2$  and  $w_0 = w^\mu \circ (\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1}(0)$ . Since

$$\|S_g\|_{D_1} = \|S_{f \circ w^\mu}\|_{(\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1}(D_1)} \leq \|S_f\|_{\mathcal{D}_1^\mu} + \|S_{w^\mu}\|_{Y_{r_0}^+} \leq \delta_1,$$

Lemma 1 implies that

$$\lim_{\beta_1 \ni w \rightarrow w_0} f(w) = \lim_{\beta_2 \ni w \rightarrow w_0} f(w).$$

Thus  $f(\mathcal{D}_1^\mu)$  is not a Jordan domain.  $\square$

*Proof of the second part:*  $\varphi_2^\mu \notin \bar{T}$ . Similarly it is sufficient to prove the

following

**Claim 2.** *There exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  with the following property. If  $f$  is conformal in  $\mathcal{D}_2^\mu$  with  $\|S_f\|_{\mathcal{D}_2^\mu} \leq \delta$ , then  $f(\mathcal{D}_2^\mu)$  is not a quasidisk.*

*Proof of Claim 2.* We set  $\delta = \delta_2/2$  where  $\delta_2$  is as in Lemma 2. Suppose that  $f$  is conformal in  $\mathcal{D}_2^\mu$  with  $\|S_f\|_{\mathcal{D}_2^\mu} \leq \delta$ . Further suppose that  $f(\mathcal{D}_2^\mu)$  is a Jordan domain. We shall show that  $\partial f(\mathcal{D}_2^\mu)$  is *not* a quasicircle. Set  $g = f \circ w^\mu \circ (\tau \circ \sigma)^{-1}|_{D_2}$ . Since  $\|S_g\|_{D_2} \leq \|S_f\|_{\mathcal{D}_2^\mu} + \|S_{w^\mu}\|_{Y_{r_1}^\pm} \leq \delta_2$  and  $g(D_2)$  is a Jordan domain, Lemma 2 produces that  $\tilde{g}(\beta)$  is not a quasiarc. Hence  $\partial f(\mathcal{D}_2^\mu)$  is not a quasicircle because  $\tilde{g}(\beta) \subset \partial f(\mathcal{D}_2^\mu)$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank Prof. Masahiko Taniguchi for giving helpful suggestions, which greatly simplified his previous argument. He also thanks Prof. Hiromi Ohtake for valuable informations.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

### References

- [ 1 ] L. V. Ahlfors and L. Bers, Riemann's mapping theorem for variable metrics, *Ann. of Math.*, **72** (1960), 385–404.
- [ 2 ] L. Bers, On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on kleinian groups I, *Ann. of Math.*, **91** (1970), 570–600.
- [ 3 ] A. Douady and C. J. Earle, Conformally natural extension of homeomorphism of the circle, *Acta Math.*, **157** (1986), 23–48.
- [ 4 ] C. J. Earle, Teichmüller spaces of groups of the second kind, *Acta Math.*, **112** (1964), 91–97.
- [ 5 ] C. J. Earle, Reduced Teichmüller spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126** (1967), 54–63.
- [ 6 ] B. B. Flinn, Jordan domains and the universal Teichmüller space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **282** (1984), 603–610.
- [ 7 ] F. W. Gehring, Univalent functions and the universal Teichmüller space, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **52** (1977), 561–572.
- [ 8 ] F. W. Gehring, Spirals and the universal Teichmüller space, *Acta Math.*, **141** (1978), 99–113.
- [ 9 ] O. Lehto, *Univalent Functions and Teichmüller Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [ 10 ] S. Nag, *The Complex Analytic Theory of Teichmüller Spaces*, Wiley, 1988.
- [ 11 ] H. Ohtake, On the deformation of Fuchsian groups by quasiconformal mappings with partially vanishing Beltrami coefficients, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **29** (1989), 69–90.