

Almost transversality theorem in the classical dynamical system 1

By

Kiyoshi ASANO

1. Problem and main result

The purpose of this paper is to show that the Lagrange plane moving along the Hamilton flow is almost transversal to the base space, if the Hamiltonian satisfies a non-degeneracy condition.

Let Ω be a domain in R^n , $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \times R^n$ be the phase space on Ω (i.e., the cotangent bundle $T^*(\Omega)$) and $H(t, x, \xi)$ be a smooth function defined on $R \times \tilde{\Omega}$. We assume

$$[H.1] \quad \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta H(t, x, \xi) \in C^1(R \times \tilde{\Omega}) \quad \text{for } |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq 2,$$

We consider the Hamilton flow defined by H , i.e. the characteristic curve defined by the differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dX}{dt} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi}(t, x, \Xi) & (1.1)_s \quad X|_{t=s} &= x \in \Omega, \\ \frac{d\Xi}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(t, X, \Xi), & \Xi|_{t=s} &= \xi \in R^n. \end{aligned}$$

The solution $(X(t), \Xi(t))$ of the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.1)_s exists uniquely in a maximal time interval $I_0 = I_0(s, x, \xi)$, which is described as

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} X(t) &= X(t, x, \xi) = X(t, s, x, \xi) = X, \\ \Xi(t) &= \Xi(t, x, \xi) = \Xi(t, s, x, \xi) = \Xi, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(1.2)' \quad (X(t), \Xi(t)) = S(t, s)(x, \xi).$$

The mapping $S(t, s)$ is a local diffeomorphism in $\tilde{\Omega}$ and satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad S(t, s)S(s, r) = S(t, r) \quad (\text{transitive law}),$$

$S(s, s) = I = \text{identity}$.

Taking a cotangent vector $z = (y, \eta) \in R_x^n \times R_\xi^n = T_{(x, \xi)}^*(\tilde{\Omega})$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} X^1(t)z &= H_{\xi x}(t, X, \Xi)X^1(t)z + H_{\xi \xi}(t, x, \Xi)\Xi^1(t)z, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \Xi^1(t)z &= -H_{xx}(t, X, \Xi)X^1(t)z - H_{x\xi}(t, x, \Xi)\Xi^1(t)z, \\ (1.4)_s \quad (X^1(t)z, \Xi^1(t)z)|_{t=s} &= z. \end{aligned}$$

Here $(X^1(t), \Xi^1(t))$ is a bundle map from $T_{(x, \xi)}^*(\tilde{\Omega})$ into $T_{(x, \xi)}^*(\tilde{\Omega})$ induced from a differentiable map $S(t, s)$, and

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{\xi x} &= (\partial^2 H / \partial \xi_i \partial x_j), & H_{x\xi} &= (\partial^2 H / \partial x_i \partial \xi_j), \\ H_{\xi \xi} &= (\partial^2 H / \partial \xi_i \partial \xi_j), & H_{xx} &= (\partial^2 H / \partial x_i \partial x_j) \in C^1(R \times \tilde{\Omega}). \end{aligned}$$

If we take a standard (orthogonal) coordinate system $(x, \xi) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ in $\Omega \times R^n = \tilde{\Omega}$, then we can express the linear mappings $X^1(t)$ and $\Xi^1(t)$ as

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} X^1(t)z &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{\partial X}{\partial x_i}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j \frac{\partial X}{\partial \xi_j}(t) \\ \Xi^1(t)z &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial x_i}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j \frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial \xi_j}(t), \quad z = {}^t(y, \eta) \in R^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows $X^1(t)$ and $\Xi^1(t)$ are considered as the mappings from $T_{(x, \xi)}^*(\tilde{\Omega})$ to R^n . Sometimes we use a simpler expression

$$(1.5)' \quad Z^1(t, x, \xi) = {}^t(X^1(t)z, \Xi^1(t)z),$$

$$(1.4)' \quad \frac{d}{dt} Z^1 = H^2(t, x, \xi)Z^1, \quad H^2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\xi x} & H_{\xi \xi} \\ -H_{xx} & -H_{x\xi} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As is well known, the differential equation (1.4) is called the variational equation associated with (1.1), and has a unique solution $Z^1(t, x, \xi)z$ in I_0 for each $z = {}^t(y, \eta) \in R^{2n}$. Linear independence of $\{z_j\} \subset R^{2n} = T_{(x, \xi)}^*(\tilde{\Omega})$ implies the linear independence of $\{Z^1(t, x, \xi)z_j\}$. In this paper, however, we are concerned with the rank of $\{X^1(t, x, \xi)z_j\}$.

We introduce a symplectic form (skew-symmetric non-degenerate inner product) $[\ , \]$ of R^{2n} . Denote by $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ the usual inner product in R^n , and put

$$(1.7) \quad [w, z] = \langle v, \zeta \rangle - \langle y, \eta \rangle \quad \text{for } w = {}^t(v, \eta), \quad z = {}^t(y, \zeta) \in R^{2n}.$$

For convenience we define two projections p and q from R^{2n} to R^n by

$$(1.8) \quad pz=y, \quad qz=\zeta \quad \text{for } z={}^t(y, \zeta).$$

With these notations we write

$$(1.9) \quad pZ^1(t)=X^1(t), \quad qZ^1(t)=\Xi^1(t).$$

The form [,] is invariant along the Hamilton flow, i.e.

$$(1.10) \quad \frac{d}{dt} [Z^1(t)w, Z^1(t)z] = \frac{d}{dt} \{ \langle X^1(t)v, \Xi^1(t)\zeta \rangle - \langle X^1(t)y, \Xi^1(t)\eta \rangle \} = 0.$$

We call a linear subspace L of R^{2n} a *null plane*, if $[w, z]=0$ for each $w, z \in L$. An n -dimensional null plane of R^{2n} is called a *Lagrange plane*. (1.10) means that $Z^1(t, x, \xi)$ maps a null plane L of $T_{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$ onto a null plane $Z^1(t, x, \xi)L$ of $T_{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\xi})}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$. The following Lemma is useful in later discussions.

Lemma 1.1. *Let L be a Lagrange plane of R^{2n} , and $\dim pL=k, 0 \leq k \leq n$. Then, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of R^n such that $\{z_i={}^t(e_i, \mu_i e_i); 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{z_j={}^t(0, e_j); k+1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is a basis of L and $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ is a basis of pL .*

Proof. Let $\{w_i={}^t(v_i, \eta_i); 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a basis of L . We can assume that $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is an orthonormal set, $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq k$, and $v_{k+1} = \dots = v_n = 0$. The symplectic relation:

$$[w_i, w_j] = \langle v_i, \eta_j \rangle - \langle v_j, \eta_i \rangle = 0$$

implies that $\eta_j, k+1 \leq j \leq n$, is orthogonal to $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$, that is, $\eta_j \perp pL$ for $k+1 \leq j \leq n$. Applying a suitable transformation to $\{w_i; 1 \leq i \leq n\}$, we can assume that $\{\eta_j; k+1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is an orthonormal set and $\{\eta_i; 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ is orthogonal to $\eta_j, k+1 \leq j \leq n$.

Taking an orthogonal matrix Q , we make a new basis $\{z_i={}^t(y_i, \zeta_i); 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{z_j={}^t(0, \eta_j); k+1 \leq j \leq n\}$ of L by the transformation

$$(1.11) \quad (y_1, \dots, y_k) = (v_1, \dots, v_k)Q, \\ (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k) = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k)Q.$$

Then we have a matrix-equalities

$$(1.12) \quad (\langle y_i, \zeta_j \rangle) = {}^t Q (\langle v_i, \eta_j \rangle) Q = {}^t Q (\langle \langle v_j, \eta_i \rangle \rangle) Q = (\langle \langle y_j, \zeta_i \rangle \rangle),$$

$$(1.13) \quad (\langle y_i, y_j \rangle) = {}^t Q (\langle v_i, v_j \rangle) Q = {}^t Q (\delta_{ij}) Q = E_k.$$

A suitable orthogonal matrix Q diagonalizes the symmetric matrix $(\langle v_i, \eta_j \rangle)$, i.e.

$$(1.14) \quad \langle y_i, \zeta_j \rangle = \mu_i \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq k.$$

(1.13) means that $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ is an orthonormal set, and (1.11) means that $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k\}$ is orthogonal to $\{\eta_{k+1}, \dots, \eta_n\} \subset (pL)^\perp$. Hence $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k\} \subset pL$ which is spanned by $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$. This fact and (1.14) prove

$$(1.15) \quad \zeta_i = \mu_i y_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Remark. We call the basis $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ the standard basis of L .

Take a complementary pair $\{L, M\}$ of Lagrange planes such that

$$(1.16) \quad L \oplus M = R^{2n} \quad (\text{orthogonal direct sum}).$$

Then we re-coordinate $\tilde{\Omega} \times R^n$ by

$$(1.17) \quad \Omega \times R^n \ni {}^t(x, \xi) = w + \eta \equiv {}^t(w, \eta) \in L \oplus M.$$

We define a family of the moving Lagrange planes by

$$(1.18) \quad M(t, w, \eta) = Z^1(t, w, \eta)M \equiv Z^1(t, x, \xi)M.$$

$M(t, w, \eta)$ may be defined only locally. We put

$$(1.19) \quad m(t, w, \eta) \equiv \dim X^1(t, w, \eta) = \dim pZ^1(t, w, \eta)M.$$

Then we have the following theorem describing the bifurcation of singularities where $X^1(t, w, \eta)$ is not transversal to Ω .

Theorem 1. Assume that $H(t, x, \xi)$ satisfies $[H, 1]$ and

$$[H.2] \quad H_{\xi\xi}(t, x, \xi) > 0 \quad (\text{positive definite}) \quad \text{for } (t, x, \xi) \in R \times \tilde{\Omega}.$$

Take a pair of Lagrange planes $\{L, M\}$ satisfying (1.16), let M be the initial Lagrange plane at $(s, x, \xi) = (s, w, \eta) \in \tilde{\Omega}$, and define by (1.18) the Lagrange planes $M(t, x, \xi) \equiv M(t, w, \eta)$ moving along the Hamilton flow $(X(t, x, \xi), \Xi(t, x, \xi))$ starting from (s, x, ξ) .

Assume

$$[D.1] \quad m(t_0, w_0, \eta_0) = m < n, \quad (w_0, \eta_0) \equiv (x_0, \xi_0) \in \tilde{\Omega}, \quad t_0 \in I_0(s, x_0, \xi_0).$$

If $(X_0, \Xi_0) = (X(t_0, x_0, \xi_0), \Xi(t_0, x_0, \xi_0))$ is not a stationary point of the equation (1.1) near $t = t_0$, then: there exist neighbourhoods U_0 of (x_0, ξ_0) and $V_0 = [t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta] \times U_0$ of (t_0, x_0, ξ_0) , and a family of functions $\{\psi_j(x, \xi) = \psi_j(w, \eta); m+1 \leq j \leq n\} \subset \text{Lip}(U_0)$ such that

$$[D.2] \quad \begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \psi_j(x_0, \xi_0) = 0, \quad m+1 \leq j \leq n, \\ (2) \quad & (t, x, \xi) = (t, w, \eta) \in V_0 \text{ satisfies } m(t, x, \xi) < n \text{ if and only if} \\ & t = t_0 + \psi_j(x, \xi) \text{ for some } j, \quad m+1 \leq j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{Lip}(U_0)$ is the set of Lipschitz continuous functions defined on U_0 .

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We note that the stationary solution $(X, \Xi) \equiv (x, \xi)$ may appear only for the discrete set of $\xi \in T_x^*(\Omega)$, if $x \in \Omega$ is fixed. Under the conditions stated in Theorem 1, we have the following Lemma 2.1 which is a trivial version of Lemma 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume $m(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) = \dim X^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) = m \leq n$. Then: there exist a basis $\{\eta_1^0, \dots, \eta_n^0\}$ of M and an orthogonal basis $\{e_1^0, \dots, e_n^0\}$ of $R^n = T_{X_0}^*(\Omega)$, $X_0 = X(t_0, x_0, \xi_0)$, such that*

$$(2.1) \quad (1) \quad e_i^0 = X^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) \eta_i^0 = p Z^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) \eta_i^0,$$

$$\Xi^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) \eta_i^0 = q Z^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) \eta_i^0 = \mu_i^0 e_i^0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

$$\{e_1^0, \dots, e_m^0\} \text{ is a basis of } X^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) M,$$

$$(2) \quad e_j^0 = \Xi^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) \eta_j^0,$$

$$X^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) \eta_j^0 = 0, \quad m+1 \leq j \leq n.$$

In what follows we assume $L = T_x^*(\Omega)$ and $M = T_\xi^*(R^n)$ for simplicity, and identify the point $(y, \eta) \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ with the element of $T_{(t, x, \xi)}^*(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$.

Suggested by Lemma 2.1, we define two linear mappings $K(t, x, \xi)$ and $J(t, x, \xi)$ acting in R^n . (1) If $m(t, x, \xi) = n$, we put

$$(2.2) \quad K(t, x, \xi) = \Xi^1(t, x, \xi) X^1(t, x, \xi)^{-1}, \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$K(t, x, \xi) X^1(t, x, \xi) \eta = \Xi^1(t, x, \xi) \eta, \quad \eta \in M.$$

The symplectic relation

$$\langle X^1 \eta, \Xi^1 \zeta \rangle = \langle X^1 \zeta, \Xi^1 \eta \rangle, \quad \eta, \zeta \in M = R^n,$$

shows that $K(t, x, \xi)$ is a symmetric operator in R^n .

(2) If $m(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) < n$, we put with a suitable real number λ

$$(2.3) \quad A(t_0, x_0, \xi_0, \lambda) = \lambda X^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) - \Xi^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0),$$

$$J(t_0, x_0, \xi_0, \lambda) = X^1(t_0, x_0, \xi_0) A(t_0, x_0, \xi_0, \lambda)^{-1}.$$

More precisely, we take bases $\{\eta_1^0, \dots, \eta_n^0\}$ of M and $\{e_1^0, \dots, e_n^0\}$ of R^n specified in Lemma 2.1, and observe

$$(2.4) \quad A(t_0, x_0, \xi_0, \lambda) \eta_i^0 = \begin{cases} (\lambda - \mu_i) e_i^0, & 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ -e_i^0, & m < i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If we choose $\lambda \in D(\sigma) = \{\lambda \in R; \sigma \leq |\lambda| \leq \bar{\sigma}, \max |\mu_i^0| < \sigma \leq \bar{\sigma}/4\}$, the continuity of $A(t, x, \xi, \lambda)$ implies that there exists a neighbourhood V_0 of (t_0, x_0, ξ_0) such that there holds

$$(2.5) \quad A(t, x, \xi, \lambda)M = R^n \quad \text{for } (t, x, \xi) \in V_0, \quad \lambda \in D(\sigma).$$

Hence the mapping $J(t, x, \xi, \lambda)$ is well defined for $(t, x, \xi, \lambda) \in V_0 \times D(2\sigma)$ i.e.

$$(2.3)' \quad J(t, x, \xi, \lambda)\{\lambda X^1(t, x, \xi) - \mathcal{E}^1(t, x, \xi)\}\eta = \mathcal{E}^1(t, x, \xi)\eta, \quad \eta \in M.$$

If $m(t, x, \xi) = n$, the definition (2.3) (or (2.3)') reduces to

$$(2.6) \quad J(t, x, \xi, \lambda) = \{\lambda - K(t, x, \xi)\}^{-1}.$$

The linear mapping $J(t, x, \xi, \lambda)$ is also symmetric.

We note

$$(2.7) \quad m(t, x, \xi) = m \iff \text{rank } J(t, x, \xi, \lambda) = m.$$

For abbreviation we write

$$\begin{aligned} z &= (x, \xi), \quad z_0 = (x_0, \xi_0), \quad \tilde{z} = (t, x, \xi), \quad \tilde{z}_0 = (t_0, x_0, \xi_0), \\ A &= A(\tilde{z}, \lambda), \quad A_0 = A(\tilde{z}_0, \lambda), \quad X^1 = X^1(\tilde{z}), \quad X_0^1 = X^1(\tilde{z}_0) \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Since A and A_0 are invertible on M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad A^{-1} - A_0^{-1} &= A_0^{-1}BA^{-1} = A^{-1}BA_0^{-1}, \\ B &= B(t, x, \xi, \lambda) = A_0 - A \\ &= -\lambda\{X^1(t, z) - X^1(t_0, z_0)\} + \{\mathcal{E}^1(t, z) - \mathcal{E}^1(t_0, z_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose a sufficiently small neighbourhood V_0 , the operator norm $\|A_0^{-1}B\|$ is also small, e.g. $\|A_0^{-1}B\| \leq 1/2$ for $(\tilde{z}, \lambda) \in V_0 \times D(2\sigma)$. Hence we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad (1 - A_0^{-1}B)^{-1} = 1 + A_0^{-1}B + (A_0^{-1}B)^2 + \dots,$$

$$(2.10) \quad A^{-1} = (1 - A_0^{-1}B)^{-1}A_0 = A_0^{-1} + A_0^{-1}BA_0^{-1} + A_0^{-1}BCBA_0^{-1},$$

$$C = (1 - A_0^{-1}B)^{-1}A_0^{-1}.$$

From (1.4) it follows

$$(2.11) \quad X^1 - X_0^1 = (t - t_0)\{H_{\xi x}^0 X_0^1 - H_{\xi \xi}^0 \mathcal{E}_0^1\} + Y(t, z),$$

$$Y(\tilde{z}_0) = \frac{\partial Y}{\partial t}(\tilde{z}_0) = 0, \quad H_{\xi x}^0 = H_{\xi x}(\tilde{z}_0), \quad H_{\xi \xi}^0 = H_{\xi \xi}(\tilde{z}_0) \quad \text{etc.}$$

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{E}^1 - \mathcal{E}_0^1 = -(t - t_0)\{H_{xx}^0 X_0^1 - H_{x\xi}^0 \mathcal{E}_0^1\} + \theta(t, z),$$

$$\theta(\tilde{z}_0) = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}(\tilde{z}_0) = 0.$$

We also note

$$(2.13) \quad J_0 e_i^0 = X_0^{-1} A_0^{-1} e_i^0 = \begin{cases} (\lambda - \mu_i)^{-1} e_i^0, & 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ 0, & m < i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.14) \quad L_0 e_i^0 \equiv \Xi_0^{-1} A_0^{-1} e_i^0 = \begin{cases} \mu_i^0 (\lambda - \mu_i^0)^{-1} e_i^0, & 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ e_i^0, & m < i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Let P_0 and Q_0 be the orthogonal projections from R^n to the subspaces spanned by $\{e_1^0, \dots, e_m^0\}$ and $\{e_{m+1}^0, \dots, e_n^0\}$, respectively. Then we have the trivial equalities:

$$(2.15) \quad L_0 Q_0 = -Q_0, \quad P_0 - \lambda J_0 = -L_0 P_0.$$

Combining (2.8)–(2.15) with (2.3)' and putting $\tau = t - t_0$, we obtain

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{aligned} J &= X^1 A^{-1} \\ &= J_0 + \tau \{ -L_0 P_0 H_{\xi\xi}^0 J_0 - J_0 H_{x\xi}^0 L_0 P_0 - J_0 H_{xx}^0 L_0 P_0 - L_0 P_0 H_{\xi\xi}^0 L_0 P_0 \} \\ &\quad + \tau \{ Q_0 H_{\xi x}^0 J_0 + Q_0 H_{\xi\xi}^0 L_0 P_0 \} + \tau \{ J_0 H_{x\xi}^0 Q_0 + L_0 P_0 H_{\xi\xi}^0 Q_0 \} \\ &\quad - \tau Q_0 H_{\xi\xi}^0 Q_0 + \mathcal{J}, \\ \mathcal{J}(\tilde{z}_0) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial t}(\tilde{z}_0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Introducing a new linear mapping G_0 , we rewrite (2.16) as

$$(2.16)' \quad J = J_0 + \tau G_0 - \tau D_0 - \mathcal{J}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$(2.17) \quad G_0 \text{ is independent of } \tilde{z} \text{ and } Q_0 G_0 Q_0 = 0,$$

$$D_0 = Q_0 H_{\xi\xi}^0 Q_0 = Q_0 D_0 = D_0 Q_0 > 0 \text{ on } Q_0 R^n.$$

Note that the linear mappings J_0 , G_0 , D_0 and \mathcal{J} are symmetric in R^n .

Temporality we consider these mappings acting in C^n . Define the orthogonal projections $P(\tilde{z})$ and $Q(\tilde{z})$ by

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} Q(\tilde{z}) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} (\mu - J(\tilde{z}, \lambda))^{-1} d\mu, \quad (Q(\tilde{z}_0) = Q_0), \\ P(\tilde{z}) &= 1 - Q(\tilde{z}), \quad (P(\tilde{z}_0) = P_0), \end{aligned}$$

where Γ is a circle $\{\mu \in C; |\mu| = \varepsilon > 0\}$ containing 0 and excluding $\{(\lambda - \mu_i^0)^{-1}; 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. If we take a sufficiently small neighbourhood V_0 , $Q(\tilde{z})$ and $P(\tilde{z})$

are in $C^1(V_0)$ and orthogonal projections in R^n . Moreover we have

$$(2.19) \quad J(\tilde{z}, \lambda)Q(\tilde{z}) = Q(\tilde{z})J(\tilde{z}, \lambda), \quad J(\tilde{z}, \lambda)P(\tilde{z}) = P(\tilde{z})J(\tilde{z}, \lambda),$$

$$(2) \quad \text{rank } J(\tilde{z}, \lambda) = \text{rank } J(\tilde{z}, \lambda)Q(\tilde{z}) + \text{rank } J(\tilde{z}, \lambda)P(\tilde{z}),$$

$$\text{rank } J(\tilde{z}, \lambda)P(\tilde{z}) = m \quad \text{for } \tilde{z} \in V_0, \lambda \in D(\sigma).$$

$$(2.20) \quad (1) \quad J(\tilde{z}, \lambda)Q(\tilde{z}) = -\tau D(\tilde{z}) + E(\tilde{z}),$$

$$(2) \quad D(\tilde{z}) = Q(\tilde{z})D_0Q(\tilde{z}) > 0 \quad \text{on } Q(\tilde{z})R^n,$$

$$(3) \quad D(\tilde{z}), E(\tilde{z}) = Q(\tilde{z})\mathcal{J}(\tilde{z})Q(\tilde{z}) \in C^1(V_0), \quad E(\tilde{z}_0) = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial t}(\tilde{z}_0) = 0,$$

$$(2.21) \quad (1) \quad \text{rank } J(\tilde{z}, \lambda)Q(\tilde{z}) = \text{rank}((-\tau + F(\tilde{z}))|_{Q(\tilde{z})R^n}), \quad \tilde{z} \in V_0,$$

$$(2) \quad F(\tilde{z}) = D(\tilde{z})^{-1/2}E(\tilde{z})D(\tilde{z})^{-1/2} \in C^1(V_0),$$

$$F(\tilde{z}_0) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(\tilde{z}_0) = 0.$$

Since $F(\tilde{z})$ is symmetric in $Q(\tilde{z})R^n$, we apply the min-max principle to estimate the variance of the eigenvalues $\{\tau_j(\tilde{z}) = \tau_j(\tau, z); m+1 \leq j \leq n\}$ of $F(\tilde{z})$ in $Q(\tilde{z})R^n$. Then we have

$$(2.22) \quad (1) \quad \tau_j(\tilde{z}) \in \text{Lip}(V_0), \quad \tau_j(\tilde{z}_0) = 0, \quad m+1 \leq j \leq n,$$

$$(2) \quad |\tau_j(\tau, z) - \tau_j(\tau', z)| \leq |\tau - \tau'|/2 \quad \text{for } \tau, \tau' \in [-\delta, \delta], \quad z \in U_0,$$

if we choose $\delta > 0$ and a neighbourhood U_0 of z_0 sufficiently small.

By virtue of (2.22) (2), we can apply the classical implicit function theorem in order to solve the equations

$$(2.23) \quad \tau = \tau_j(\tau, z), \quad m+1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Taking smaller $\delta > 0$ and U_0 (if necessary), we can prove that the equation (2.23) has a unique solution: $\tau = \psi_j(z)$, for each $z \in U_0$, such that $\psi_j(z) \in \text{Lip}(U_0)$, $\psi_j(z_0) = 0$, $m+1 \leq j \leq n$. Conversely, if $(\tau, z) \in [-\delta, \delta] \times U_0$ and $\tau = \tau_j(\tau, z)$ for some j , $m < j \leq n$, then it follows $\tau = \psi_j(z)$. This completes the proof.

Institute of Mathematics
Yoshida College
Kyoto University

References

- [Arnold, V.I.] Mathematical methods of classical Mechanics, Springer New York, Heiderberg, Berlin, 1980.
[Milnor, J.] Morse Theory, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1963.