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0. Let $R$ be a noetherian integral domain with field of quotients $K$. An $R$-lattice is a finitely generated torsion free $R$-module. An $R$-order is an $R$-algebra $\Lambda$ which is an $R$-lattice. For an $R$-order $\Lambda$, a $\Lambda$-lattice is a left $\Lambda$-module which is an $R$ lattice. Let lat $\Lambda$ denote the category of $\Lambda$-lattices.

Let $e$ be a central idempotent of the $K$-algebra $\tilde{\Lambda}:=K \otimes_{R} \Lambda$, so that $e \Lambda$ is an $R$-order in the $K$-algebra $e \tilde{\Lambda}$. The category lat $e \Lambda$ can be viewed as a full subcategory of lat $\Lambda$ via the ring homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow e \Lambda,(\lambda \mapsto e \lambda)$.
0.0. A purpose of this paper is to investigate the quotient category $\mathscr{C}:=$ lat $\Lambda /$ late $e \Lambda$. By definition, $\mathscr{C}$ has the same objects as lat $\Lambda$, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, Y) / I(X, Y)$, where $I(X, Y)$ is the totality of $\Lambda$-morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ which factor through some object of lat ê. By 2.1.1, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y)=(1-e)$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, Y)$ holds.

Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathscr{C}$ formed by $X \in \mathscr{C}$ satisfying the following condition (*).
(*) There exist a projective $\Lambda$-lattice $P, e \Lambda$-lattice $\Omega$ and an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega \rightarrow P \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ in lat $\Lambda$.
0.1. Theorem (Proof in 2.5). Assume that $\mathscr{P}$ has an additive generator $Q$ (i.e. any object in $\mathscr{P}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $Q^{n}=Q \oplus \cdots \oplus Q$ for some $n$ ). Put $\Gamma:=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(Q, Q), F X:=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(Q, X)$ for $X \in \operatorname{lat} \Lambda$. Then $\Gamma$ is an $R$-order and $F$ induces a categorical equivalence from $\mathscr{C}=$ lat $\Lambda /$ lat $e \Lambda$ to lat $\Gamma$.
0.2. Assume that $R$ is a complete discrete valuation ring. Then lat $\Lambda$ is a Krull-Schmidt category, and any $X \in$ lat $\Lambda$ has a projective cover $0 \rightarrow$ $\Omega(X) \rightarrow P(X) \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$. In this case, the above $\mathscr{P}$ can be described as $\{X \in \mathscr{C} \mid \Omega(X) \in$ lat $e \boldsymbol{e}\}$.

Let ind $\Lambda$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable $\Lambda$-lattices and put

$$
\mathscr{Q}:=\{X \in \operatorname{ind} \Lambda-\operatorname{ind} e \Lambda \mid \Omega(X) \in \text { lat } e \Lambda\} .
$$

If $\mathscr{2}$ is a finite set, by the additivity of projective cover, $\mathscr{P}$ has an additive generator $Q=\bigoplus_{X \in \mathscr{Z}} X$.
0.2.0. Further assume that $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a semi-simple $K$-algebra. Then lat $\Lambda$ has almost split sequences and the Auslander translation $\tau$. Since $K \otimes_{R} \Omega(X) \simeq$ $K \otimes_{R} \tau X$ as $\tilde{\Lambda}$-module, the above 2 can be described as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{Q} & =\{X \in \operatorname{ind} \Lambda-\operatorname{ind} e \Lambda \mid \tau X \in \operatorname{ind} e \Lambda \cup\{0\}\} \\
& =\left(\tau^{-1}(\operatorname{ind} e \Lambda) \cup \operatorname{proj} \Lambda\right)-\operatorname{ind} e \Lambda .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\operatorname{proj} \Lambda$ is the set of projective lattices in ind $\Lambda$. Hence we have a simple sufficient condition for the validity of 0.1 .
0.2.1. If ind $e \Lambda$ is finite, then $\mathscr{P}$ of 0.1 has an additive generator.
0.2.2. Recall that an $R$-order $\Delta$ is called an Auslander order (resp. generalized Auslander order) if it satisfies the following conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) (resp. (ii) and (iii)):
(i) $\tilde{\Delta}$ is semi-simple.
(ii) gl.dim $\Delta \leq 2$.
(iii) For a minimal projective resolution $0 \rightarrow P_{1} \rightarrow P_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\Delta, R) \rightarrow 0$, $P_{0}$ is an injective lattice.

By [AR], an $R$-order $\Delta$ is an Auslander order if and only if there exists an $R$ order $\Lambda$ such that lat $\Lambda$ has an additive generator $L$ (i.e. $\Lambda$ is of finite representation type) and $\Delta \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(L, L)$ as $R$-algebra.
0.2.3. Corollary of 0.1 . Let $\Delta$ be an Auslander order and $\varepsilon$ be a central idempotent of $\tilde{\Delta}=K \otimes_{R} \Delta$. Then $\varepsilon \Delta$ is an Auslander order.

Proof. Since $\Lambda$ is of finite representation type, $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is necessarily semi-simple. Hence $\tilde{\Delta}$ is Morita equivalent with $\tilde{\Lambda}$, and a central idempotent of $\tilde{\Delta}$ can be naturally identified with a central idempotent of $\tilde{\Lambda}$. By this identification, put $e:=$ $1-\varepsilon \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. Then $\varepsilon \Delta=(1-e) \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(L, L)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(L, L)$ with $\mathscr{C}=$ lat $\Lambda /$ late $\Lambda$.

By 0.1 , there is an $R$-order $\Gamma$ such that $\mathscr{C} \simeq$ lat $\Gamma$. Since $L$ is an additive generator of lat $\Lambda, L$ is also an additive generator of $\mathscr{C}$, hence of lat $\Gamma$, and we have $\varepsilon \Delta=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{E}}(L, L) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(L, L)$, showing that $\varepsilon \Delta$ is an Auslander order.
0.3. Assume that $R$ is a complete discrete valuation ring and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a semisimple $K$-algebra. Let $e$ be a central idempotent of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ such that $\mathscr{2}:=$ $\{X \in \operatorname{ind} \Lambda-\operatorname{ind} e \Lambda \mid \tau X=\operatorname{ind} e \Lambda \cup\{0\}\}$ is a finite set, say ind $e \Lambda$ is a finite set.

Let $\Gamma$ be an $R$-order associated to $(\Lambda, e)$ by Theorem 0.1 , lat $\Gamma \simeq$ lat $\Lambda /$ late $\Lambda$.
Then the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\mathfrak{M}(\Gamma)$ of $\Gamma$ can be described from $\mathfrak{A l}(\Lambda)$ by a very simple way (Proposition 3.1).

If ind $e \Lambda$ is small, then $\mathfrak{U}(\Gamma)$ is not much different from $\mathfrak{Q}(\Lambda)$. For example, $\mathfrak{A}(\Lambda)$ of some Bäckström order $\Lambda$ in a non-connected $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is very similar to $\mathfrak{A}(\Gamma)$ of some tiled order $\Gamma$ in a simple $\tilde{\Gamma}$. This is, in fact, the first motivation of this study-to explain the reason why apparently very different orders have similar Auslander-Reiten quivers.

Several examples of such $(\Lambda, e, \Gamma)$ 's will be given in $\S 3$.
0.4. Let $R$ be a complete discrete valuation ring. For $R$-orders $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$, according to [DK], we say that $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is an over ring (resp. over order) of $\Lambda$ if there is an $R$-algebra homomorphism $\phi: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^{\prime}$ such that $\tilde{\phi}: \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ is surjective (resp. bijective). If $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is an over ring of $\Lambda$, we can naturally consider as lat $\Lambda^{\prime} \subseteq$ lat $\Lambda$, ind $\Lambda^{\prime} \subseteq$ ind $\Lambda$.

Therefore it is a basic problem to characterize a subcategory $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{C}:=$ ind $\Lambda$ (or equivalently a subset $\mathscr{S}$ of ind $\Lambda$ ) which has the form $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=$ ind $\Lambda^{\prime}$ (resp. $\mathscr{S}=$ ind $\Lambda$ - ind $\Lambda^{\prime}$ ) by some over ring $\Lambda^{\prime}$. We call the problem as Rejection Lemma since it is a (wide) generalization of the Rejection Lemma of Drozd-Kirichenko ([DK]), which gives a solution when $\mathscr{S}$ is a singleton set.
0.4.1. Assume that $\tilde{\Lambda}=K \otimes_{R} \Lambda$ is semi-simple. Then general cases can be reduced to the following two fundamental cases.
(a0) $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is an over order of $\Lambda$.
(a1) $\Lambda^{\prime}=e \Lambda$ by some central idempotent $e$ of $\tilde{\Lambda}$.
Rejection Lemma for the case (a0) is given in our previous paper [I], where $\mathscr{S}$ is called a rejectable subset if it has the form $\mathscr{S}=\operatorname{ind} \Lambda-\operatorname{ind} \Lambda^{\prime}$.

Restricting to the case where $\Lambda$ is of finite representation type, a similar Rejection Lemma for the case (al) will be given in Theorem 4.2, in terms of $\mathfrak{A}(\Lambda)$ and some numerical invariants.

In the final subsection 4.3, a few remarks on related topics, in particular a relation to a result of $[R V]$, will be stated without proof.

1. In this section, let $\mathscr{C}$ denote an arbitrary additive category, and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y)$ will be denoted by $\mathscr{C}(X, Y)$. For $f \in \mathscr{C}(X, Y)$ and $g \in \mathscr{C}(Y, Z)$, we write their composition as $f g \in \mathscr{C}(X, Z)$.
1.0. Recall that $g$ is a cokernel of $f$ if the following sequence of abelian groups is exact for any $T$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{C}(Z, T) \xrightarrow{g} \mathscr{C}(Y, T) \xrightarrow{f .} \mathscr{C}(X, T)
$$

Dually, $f$ is a kernel of $g$ if the following is exact:

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{C}(T, X) \xrightarrow{\cdot f} \mathscr{C}(T, Y) \xrightarrow{\cdot g} \mathscr{C}(T, Z)
$$

As is well known and easily seen, cokernel (resp. kernel) is unique up to isomorphism if exists, so that it is not too confusing to write $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\operatorname{cok} f} \operatorname{Cok} f$, indicating that $\operatorname{Cok} f$ is an object and $\operatorname{cok} f$ is a morphism which is a cokernel of $f$.
1.1. (i) An object $P \in \mathscr{C}$ will be called quasi-projective (in $\mathscr{C}$ ) if for any $f$ and $\alpha$, there is $\alpha^{\prime}$ which makes the following diagram commutative:


By definition, zero object in $\mathscr{C}$ is quasi-projective. Obviously, a direct sum $P=\bigoplus X$ is quasi-projective if and only if each summand $X$ is quasi-projective.
(ii) A morphism $g: P \rightarrow X$ will be called a $Q$-covering of $X$ if $P$ is a direct summand of $Q^{n}$ for some $n \geq 0$ and moreover $g$ is a cokernel of some $h: Y \rightarrow P$.
1.2. In the rest of this section assume that $\mathscr{C}$ is a pre-abelian category (i.e. any morphism $f$ has a kernel and cokernel). Fix a quasi-projective object $Q$ of $\mathscr{C}$ and put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma:=\mathscr{C}(Q, Q), \quad F X:=\mathscr{C}(Q, X) \text { for } X \in \mathscr{C}, \\
& F f: F X \rightarrow F Y(\phi \mapsto \phi f) \quad \text { for } f \in \mathscr{C}(X, Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $\Gamma$ is a ring, $F X \in \operatorname{Mod} \Gamma:=$ (the category of left $\Gamma$-modules) and $F: \mathscr{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod} \Gamma$ is a functor.
1.3. For $f \in \mathscr{C}\left(P^{\prime}, P\right)$, put $g:=\operatorname{cok} f$ and $a:=\operatorname{ker} g$. Since $f g=0$, there exists a unique $g^{\prime}$ which makes the following diagram commutative:


Since $Q$ is quasi-projective, we have

$$
0 \longrightarrow F X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{F a} F P \xrightarrow{F g} F X \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { (exact). }
$$

Since $\operatorname{Cok} F g^{\prime}=F X^{\prime} / \operatorname{Im} F g^{\prime} \simeq \operatorname{Im} F a / \operatorname{Im} F f \subseteq F P / \operatorname{Im} F f=\operatorname{Cok} F f$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cok} F g^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Cok} F f \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that the following conditions (2) and (3) are equivalent:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Cok} F f \simeq F X  \tag{2}\\
& \operatorname{Cok} F g^{\prime}=0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

1.4. Lemma. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a pre-abelian category, $Q$ be a quasi-projective object of $\mathscr{C}$ and assume that any object $X$ of $\mathscr{C}$ admits a $Q$-covering. Then the functor $F: \mathscr{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod} \Gamma$ is fully faithful.
1.4.1. Any object $X$ of $\mathscr{C}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Cok} f$ for some $f: P^{\prime} \rightarrow P, P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are isomorphic to direct summands of $Q^{n}$ for some $n \geq 0$.

Proof. By assumption, there is a $Q$-covering $g: P \rightarrow X, g=\operatorname{cok} h, h: X^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $P$. Take a $Q$-covering $g^{\prime}: P^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ and put $f:=g^{\prime} h$, then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}(X, T) \xrightarrow{g .} \mathscr{C}(P, T) \xrightarrow{h \cdot} \mathscr{C}\left(X^{\prime}, T\right) \quad \text { (exact) } \\
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}\left(X^{\prime}, T\right) \xrightarrow{g^{\prime} .} \mathscr{C}\left(P^{\prime}, T\right) \quad \text { (exact). }
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence we have

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}(X, T) \xrightarrow{g .} \mathscr{C}(P, T) \xrightarrow{f .} \mathscr{C}\left(P^{\prime}, T\right) \quad \text { (exact). }
$$

Namely $g=\operatorname{cok} f$.
1.4.2. By 1.4.1, for given $X \in \mathscr{C}$, we can take $f: P^{\prime} \rightarrow P$ such that $X \simeq$ $\operatorname{Cok} f, P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are isomorphic to direct summands of $Q^{n}$ for some $n \geq 0$. Put $g=\operatorname{cok} f$.
(i) Firstly we assume that both of $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are direct summands of $Q$.

We shall show that any $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(F X, F Y)$ has the form $\alpha(\phi)=\phi t(\phi \in F X=$ $\mathscr{C}(Q, X))$ by the unique $t \in \mathscr{C}(X, Y)$. Then we have $\mathscr{C}(X, Y) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(F X, F Y)$.

Let $p: Q \rightarrow P, p^{\prime}: Q \rightarrow P^{\prime}($ resp. $i: P \rightarrow Q)$ be splitting epimorphisms (resp. monomorphism) such that $i p=1$. Since $f g=0,0=p^{\prime} f g \in F X$ and $p^{\prime} f i \in \Gamma$, we have $0=\alpha\left(p^{\prime} f g\right)=\alpha\left(p^{\prime} f i p g\right)=p^{\prime} f i \alpha(p g)$, so that $f i \alpha(p g)=0$. Since $g=\operatorname{cok} f$, there is some $t: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $i \alpha(p g)=g t$. For any $\phi \in F X=\mathscr{C}(Q, X)$, since $Q$ is quasi-projective, there is some $q: Q \rightarrow P$ such that $\phi=q g$. Then $\alpha(\phi)=$ $\alpha(q g)=\alpha(q i p g)=q i \alpha(p g)=q g t=\phi t$.

If $\phi t=0$ for any $\phi$, taking $\phi=p g$, we have $p g t=0$, so that $g t=0$ and $t=0$ since $g$ is a cokernel.
(ii) In general, $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ are direct summands of $Q^{\prime}=Q^{n}$ by some $n$. Consider $\Gamma^{\prime}:=\mathscr{C}\left(Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right) \simeq M_{n}(\Gamma)$ and $F^{\prime} X:=\mathscr{C}\left(Q^{\prime}, X\right) \simeq(F X)^{n}$. By the same reasoning as (i), we have $\mathscr{C}(X, Y) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left(F^{\prime} X, F^{\prime} Y\right)$. While the latter is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(F X, F Y)$.
2. Let $R, K, \Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}=K \otimes_{R} \Lambda$ and $e$ be as in $\S 0$. For $X \in$ lat $\Lambda$, put

$$
\tilde{X}:=K \otimes_{R} X \simeq K \otimes_{R}\left(\Lambda \otimes_{A} X\right) \simeq\left(K \otimes_{R} \Lambda\right) \otimes_{A} X \simeq \tilde{\Lambda} \otimes_{A} X .
$$

By the canonical injection $x \mapsto 1 \otimes x$, we identify as $X \subset \tilde{X}$, and put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{X}^{1}:=e \tilde{X}, \quad \tilde{X}^{2}:=(1-e) \tilde{X}, \quad X^{1}:=e X, \quad X^{2}:=(1-e) X, \\
& X_{1}:=\tilde{X}^{1} \cap X, \quad X_{2}:=\tilde{X}^{2} \cap X .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $e \Lambda=\Lambda^{1},(1-e) \Lambda=\Lambda^{2}, \tilde{\Lambda}=\tilde{\Lambda}^{1} \oplus \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}, \Lambda^{i}$ is an $R$-order of $\tilde{\Lambda}^{i}$, $\tilde{X}^{i} \in \bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{i}$ and $X^{i}, X_{i} \in$ lat $\Lambda^{i}$.
2.0. We have the following commutative diagram (4) of $\Lambda$-modules for $(i, j)=(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$ :

where horizontal arrow is the inclusion and each row is exact, and splits except perhaps the third one.
2.0.1. The correspondence $X \mapsto \tilde{X}=K \otimes_{R} X$ induces functors:

$$
\text { lat } \Lambda \rightarrow \bmod \tilde{\Lambda} \simeq \bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{1} \oplus \bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2} \rightarrow \bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{i}
$$

For $f: X \rightarrow Y, \tilde{f}=1 \otimes f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is the unique extension of $\tilde{f}$, then $\tilde{f}$ uniquely splits into the direct sum $\tilde{f}=f^{1} \oplus f^{2}, f^{i}: \tilde{X}^{i} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}^{i}$. One may identify $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y)$ as a subset $\{\phi \mid X \phi \subseteq Y\}$ of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\tilde{A}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$.
2.1. As in $\S 0$, let $\mathscr{C}$ be the quotient category lat $\Lambda /$ lat $\Lambda^{1}$. By definition, $\mathscr{C}$ has the same objects as lat $\Lambda$, and

$$
\mathscr{C}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y) / I(X, Y)
$$

where $I(X, Y)$ is the submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, Y)$ consisting of all morphisms which factor through some object of lat $\Lambda^{1}$.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in lat $\Lambda$, and $\bar{f}$ be its image in $\mathscr{C}(X, Y)$.
2.1.1. $\bar{f}=0$ in $\mathscr{C}$ if and only if $f^{2}=0$ in $\bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram (5) of exact sequences of abelian groups:


Moreover, $\quad \mathscr{C}(X, Y)=(1-e) \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y), \quad \tilde{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\tilde{A}^{2}}\left(\tilde{X}^{2}, \tilde{Y}^{2}\right)$, $\mathscr{C}(X, Y)$ is an $R$-lattice and $\mathscr{C}(X, X)$ is an $R$-order (or zero).

Proof. Obviously inclusion $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ induces $I(X, Y) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\tilde{A}^{\prime}}\left(\tilde{X}^{1}, \tilde{Y}^{1}\right)$. This shows that $\bar{f}=0$ implies $f^{2}=0$. Conversely if $f^{2}=0$, $Y \supset X f=X \tilde{f}=X\left(f^{1} \oplus f^{2}\right) \subset \tilde{Y}^{1}$, so that $X f \subset Y_{1}$, and $f$ factors through $Y_{1} \in$ lat $\Lambda^{1}$.

This implies $I(X, Y)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, Y) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{\tilde{\Lambda}^{1}}\left(\tilde{X}^{1}, \tilde{Y}^{1}\right)$ and exactness of (5). In particular, we obtain $\mathscr{C}(X, Y)=(1-e) \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, Y)$ and $\tilde{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\tilde{\Lambda}^{2}}$ ( $\tilde{X}^{2}, \tilde{Y}^{2}$ ).

We have to show that $\mathscr{C}(X, Y)$ is a finitely generated $R$-module. Take an exact sequence of $R$-modules $R^{n} \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(X, Y) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(X, Y) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(R^{n}, Y\right)=Y^{n}$. Since $R$ is noetherian, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(X, Y)$ is finitely generated, hence $\mathscr{C}(X, Y)$ is also finitely generated.
2.1.2. (i) $\bar{f}$ is an epimorphism (resp. monomorphism) in $\mathscr{C}$ if and only if $f^{2}$ is an epimorphism (resp. monomorphism) in $\bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}$.
(ii) If $\bar{f}$ is an isomorphism in $\mathscr{C}$, then so is $f^{2}$ in $\bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}$.

Proof. By definition, $\bar{f}$ is epic (resp. monic) in $\mathscr{C}$ iff the following (*) is satisfied for any $a: Y \rightarrow T$ (resp. $a: T \rightarrow Y$ ).
(*) $\bar{f} \bar{a}=0($ resp. $\bar{a} \bar{f}=0) \Rightarrow \bar{a}=0$
While, by 2.1.1, $\bar{f} \bar{a}=0 \Leftrightarrow f^{2} a^{2}=0$, and $\bar{a}=0 \Leftrightarrow a^{2}=0$. Hence $\bar{f}$ is epic iff $f^{2}$ is epic.
(ii) If $\bar{f}$ is an isomorphism, $\bar{f}$ is epic and monic. $\quad \mathrm{By}(\mathrm{i}), f^{2}$ is epic and monic, so that $f^{2}$ is an isomorphism.
2.2. $\mathscr{C}$ is pre-abelian. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in lat $\Lambda$, and $\tilde{f}=$ $f^{1} \oplus f^{2}$. Let $g^{(2)}: \tilde{Y}^{2} \rightarrow V$ (resp. $h^{(2)}: W \rightarrow \tilde{X}^{2}$ ) be a cokernel (resp. kernel) of $f^{2}$ in $\bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}$.
2.2.1. Put $\gamma:=1 \oplus g^{(2)}: \tilde{Y}=\tilde{Y}^{1} \oplus \tilde{Y}^{2} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}^{1} \oplus V, \quad Z:=Y \gamma \in$ lat $\Lambda, \quad g:=$ $\left.\gamma\right|_{Y}: Y \rightarrow Z$. Then we have
(i) $g$ is onto. (ii) $g^{1}$ is bijective. (iii) $g^{2}$ is a cokernel of $f^{2}$ in $\bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}$.
(iv) $\bar{g}$ is a cokernel of $\bar{f}$ in $\mathscr{C}$.

Proof. (i) (ii) (iii) Immediate from definition since $g^{1}=1, g^{2}=g^{(2)}=\operatorname{cok} f^{2}$. (iv) Since $g^{2}$ is epic, so is $\bar{g}$ by 2.1.2.

Let $a: Y \rightarrow T$ be a morphism in lat $\Lambda$ such that $\bar{f} \bar{a}=0$. By 2.1.1, $f^{2} a^{2}=0$, and there is $b^{(2)}: V \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{2}$ such that $a^{2}=g^{(2)} b^{(2)}$. Put $\beta:=a^{1} \oplus b^{(2)}: \tilde{Z}=$ $\tilde{Y}^{\prime} \oplus V \rightarrow \tilde{T}^{1} \oplus \tilde{T}^{2}=\tilde{T}$. Then $\quad \gamma \beta=\left(1 \oplus g^{(2)}\right)\left(a^{1} \oplus b^{(2)}\right)=a^{1} \oplus a^{2}=\tilde{a}, \quad$ and $Z \beta=(Y \gamma) \beta=Y \tilde{a}=Y a \subseteq T$. Hence there is $b:=\left.\beta\right|_{Z}: Z \rightarrow T$ satisfies $g b=a$.
2.2.2. Put $\gamma:=1 \oplus h^{(2)}: \tilde{X}^{1} \oplus W \rightarrow \tilde{X}^{1} \oplus \tilde{X}^{2}=\tilde{X}, Z:=$ (inverse image of $X$ by $\gamma$ ), and $h:=\left.\gamma\right|_{Z}: Z \rightarrow X$. Then we have
(i) $h$ is one-to-one. (ii) $h^{1}$ is bijective. (iii) $h^{2}$ is a kernel of $f^{2}$ in $\bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}$.
(iv) $\bar{h}$ is a kernel of $\bar{f}$ in $\mathscr{C}$.

Proof. Similar to 2.2.1.
2.3. Recall that $\mathscr{P}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathscr{C}$ formed by $W \in \mathscr{C}$ which has an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega \xrightarrow{i} P \xrightarrow{p} W \rightarrow 0$ in lat $\Lambda$ such that $P$ is projective and $\Omega \in$ late $\Lambda$. Assume that $\mathscr{P}$ has an additive generator $Q$ (i.e. any object in $\mathscr{P}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of $Q^{n}$ for some $n$ ).
2.3.1. Quasi-projectivity of $\boldsymbol{Q}$. If $W \in \mathscr{P}$, then $W$ is quasi-projective (1.1) in $\mathscr{C}$. In particular, $Q$ is quasi-projective in $\mathscr{C}$.

Proof. Given $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z, \bar{g}=\operatorname{cok} \bar{f}$ and $a: W \rightarrow Z$, we shall construct $a^{\prime}: W \rightarrow Y$ such that $\bar{a}=\bar{a}^{\prime} \bar{g}$.


By 2.2.1, we may assume that $g$ is onto and $g^{1}$ is bijective.
Since $P$ is $\Lambda$-projective and $g$ is onto, there is $b: P \rightarrow Y$ such that $p a=b g$. Since $i b g=i p a=0$, we have $(i b)^{1} g^{1}=(i b g)^{1}=0$. Since $g^{1}$ is bijective, we have $(i b)^{1}=0$, while $(i b)^{2}=0$ since $\Omega \in$ lat $\Lambda^{1}$. Consequently, $i b=0$, and since $p=$ cok $i$ in lat $\Lambda$, there is $a^{\prime}: W \rightarrow Y$ such that $b=p a^{\prime}$. Since $p$ is onto, we have $a=a^{\prime} g$ as wanted.
2.3.2. Existence of $Q$-covering. For $X \in$ lat $\Lambda$, take an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{f} X \rightarrow 0$ such that $P$ is $\Lambda$-projective. Let $p^{\prime}: P \rightarrow W:=P / \Omega_{1}$ be the canonical projection and $p: W \rightarrow X$ be the unique map such that $f=p^{\prime} p$.

Then $\bar{p}: W \rightarrow X$ is a $Q$-covering of $X$ in $\mathscr{C}$.
Proof. Let $i_{1}: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Omega$ be the inclusion, and we have the following commutative diagram in $\bmod \Lambda$ :


By snake Lemma, we have $\operatorname{cok} i_{1} \simeq \operatorname{ker} p$, while $\operatorname{cok} i_{1} \simeq \Omega^{2}$ by 2.0 , i.e. $0 \rightarrow$ $\Omega^{2} \xrightarrow{i} W \xrightarrow{p} X \rightarrow 0$. This implies, first of all, that $W$ is a $\Lambda$-lattice. Moreover, since $\Omega_{1} \in$ lat $\Lambda^{1}, W \in \mathscr{P}$.

We shall show that $\bar{p}=\operatorname{cok} \bar{i}$ in $\mathscr{C}$, which will complete the proof. Since $p$ is epic in lat $\Lambda, p^{2}: \tilde{W}^{2} \rightarrow \tilde{X}^{2}$ is epic in $\bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}$, hence $\bar{p}: W \rightarrow X$ is epic in $\mathscr{C}$ by (i) 2.1.2.

Let $a: W \rightarrow T$ be a $\Lambda$-morphism such that $\bar{i} \bar{a}=0$. Then $(i a)^{2}=0$ by 2.1.1 and $(i a)^{1}=0$ by $\Omega^{2} \in \operatorname{lat} \Lambda^{2}$, so that $i a=0$. Since $p=\operatorname{cok} i$ in lat $\Lambda$, there is $b: X \rightarrow T$ such that $a=p b$, and $\bar{a}=\bar{p} \bar{b}$ as required.
2.4. Assume that $\bar{g}=\operatorname{cok} \bar{f}, \bar{a}=\operatorname{ker} \bar{g}$ and $\bar{g}^{\prime} \bar{a}=\bar{f}$.


Then the cokernel object of $\mathscr{C}\left(Q, P^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\cdot \bar{g}^{\prime}} \mathscr{C}\left(Q, X^{\prime}\right)$ is a torsion $R$-module.
Proof. By 2.2.1 and 2.2.2, we can assume $g^{2}=\operatorname{cok} f^{2}, a^{2}=\operatorname{ker} g^{2}$ and $\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2} a^{2}=f^{2}$ in $\bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}$. This obviously implies that $\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ is epic in $\bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2}$.

Take an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Omega(Q) \rightarrow P(Q) \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ such that $P(Q)$ is projective in lat $\Lambda$ and $\Omega(Q) \in$ lat $\Lambda^{1}$. Then $\tilde{Q}_{--^{\prime}}^{2}=\widetilde{P(Q)^{2}}$, hence $\tilde{Q}^{2}$ is projective in $\bmod \tilde{\Lambda}^{2} . \quad$ By 2.1.1, $\widetilde{\operatorname{Cok}}\left(\cdot \cdot^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Cok}\left(\tilde{\mathscr{G}}\left(Q, P^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{-\tilde{g}^{\prime}} \tilde{\mathscr{G}}\left(Q, X^{\prime}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Cok}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\tilde{A}^{2}}\left(\tilde{Q}^{2}, \widetilde{P}^{\prime 2}\right)\right.$ $\left.\xrightarrow{\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\tilde{A}^{2}}\left(\tilde{Q}^{2}, \widetilde{X^{\prime 2}}\right)\right)=0$. This shows $\operatorname{Cok}\left(\cdot \bar{g}^{\prime}\right)$ is torsion.
2.5. Proof of Theorem 0.1. Let $\mathscr{C}$ be the quotiont category lat $\Lambda /$ lat $\Lambda^{1}, Q$ be an additive generator of $\mathscr{P}, F X=\mathscr{C}(Q, X)$ for $X \in \mathscr{C}, \Gamma=\mathscr{C}(Q, Q)$ as in $\S 0$.
2.5.1. (i) $\mathscr{C}$ is a pre-abelian category by $2.2, Q$ is quasi-projective and any $X \in \mathscr{C}$ admits a $Q$-covering by 2.3.

By Lemma 1.4 , the functor $F: \mathscr{C} \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod} \Gamma$ is fully faithful.
(ii) $\Gamma=\mathscr{C}(Q, Q)$ is an $R$-order and $F X=\mathscr{C}(Q, X)$ is a left $\Gamma$-lattice for any $X \in \mathscr{C}$ by 2.1.1. Thus in fact $F$ is a functor from $\mathscr{C}$ to lat $\Gamma$. Therefore it remains to see the following.
(*) Any $\underline{L} \in$ lat $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $F X$ for some $X \in \mathscr{C}$.
2.5.2. For a given $\underline{L} \in$ lat $\Gamma$, take a $\Gamma$-projective resolution

$$
\Gamma^{n} \xrightarrow{\underline{f}} \Gamma^{m} \longrightarrow \underline{L} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

By 1.4, there exist $\bar{f}: Q^{n} \rightarrow Q^{m}$ such that $\underline{f}=F \bar{f}$. Put $X=\operatorname{Cok} \bar{f}$, $\bar{g}=\operatorname{cok} \bar{f}, \bar{a}=\operatorname{ker} \bar{g}$ and $\bar{g}^{\prime} \bar{a}=\bar{f}$.


Since $\operatorname{Cok} F \bar{f} \simeq \underline{L}$ is $R$-torsion free, $\operatorname{Cok} F \bar{g}^{\prime}$ is also $R$-torsion free by (1) 1.3. While $\operatorname{Cok} F \bar{g}^{\prime}$ is $R$-torsion by 2.4, we get $\operatorname{Cok} F \bar{g}^{\prime}=0$. Equivalence of 1.3 (2) and (3) shows $\underline{L}=\operatorname{Cok} F \bar{f} \simeq F X$, establishing (*).
3. Let $R$ be a complete discrete valuation ring, $(\Lambda, \Gamma)$ be as in 0.1 and - : lat $\Lambda \rightarrow$ lat $\Gamma$ be the natural full functor.

For $X \in$ ind $\Lambda$, let $\tau X \xrightarrow{v} \theta X \xrightarrow{\mu} X$ be the complex of the sink map to $X$ (i.e. $\mu$ is the sink map to $X$ and $v$ is the kernel of $\mu$ ) in lat $\Lambda$.
3.1. Proposition. We can obtain $\mathfrak{M}(\Gamma)$ from $\mathfrak{M}(\Lambda)$ by the following.

Remove all vertices in ind $(e \Lambda)$ and all arrows which start or end in $\operatorname{ind}(e \Lambda)$. If $\tau X \in \operatorname{ind}(e \Lambda)$ (resp $\tau^{-1} X \in \operatorname{ind}(e \Lambda)$ ), we regard $X$ is a projective (resp. injective) vertex in $\mathfrak{A}(\Gamma)$.

Proof. We only have to show that for any $X \in \operatorname{ind} \Lambda-$ ind $e \Lambda$, the complex of the sink map to $\bar{X}$ in lat $\Gamma$ is given by

$$
\overline{\tau X} \xrightarrow{\overline{\overline{ }}} \overline{\theta X} \xrightarrow{\bar{\mu}} \bar{X} .
$$

(i) We will show $\operatorname{rad}_{\Gamma}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z})=\overline{\operatorname{rad}_{A}(Y, Z)}$ for any $Y, Z$.

We may assume $Y, Z \in$ ind $\Lambda$. If $Y$ (resp. $Z$ ) is contained in ind $e \Lambda$, then this is obvious since $\bar{Y}=0$ (resp. $\bar{Z}=0$ ). If $Y, Z \notin \operatorname{ind} e \Lambda, \operatorname{rad}_{A}(Y, Z) \supseteq I(Y, Z)$
where $I(Y, Z)$ is as in 0.0 . Hence preimages in lat $\Lambda$ of any isomorphism in lat $\Gamma$ are isomorphisms, this shows $\operatorname{rad}_{\Gamma}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) \supseteq \overline{\operatorname{rad}_{A}(Y, Z)}$. On the other hand, the image of any isomorphism in lat $\Lambda$ is obviously an isomorphism in lat $\Gamma$, this shows $\operatorname{rad}_{\Gamma}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}) \subseteq \overline{\operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(Y, Z)}$.
(ii) From (i), $\bar{\mu}$ is right almost split.
(iii) We will show that the following is exact:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(, \overline{\tau X}) \xrightarrow{\stackrel{\bar{x}}{ }} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(, \overline{\theta X}) \xrightarrow{\cdot \bar{\mu}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(, \bar{X})
$$

Obviously this is a complex. Assume $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(Y, \theta X)$ satisfies $\alpha \mu \in$ $I(Y, X)$. Then there exists $S \in$ late $e, \beta: Y \rightarrow S, \gamma: S \rightarrow X$ such that $\alpha \mu=\beta \gamma$. Then since $X \in \operatorname{ind} \Lambda$-inde $\Lambda$ and $S \in$ late $e, \gamma \in \operatorname{rad}_{\Lambda}(S, X)$, so there exists $\gamma^{\prime}: S \rightarrow \theta X$ such that $\gamma=\gamma^{\prime} \mu$.


Since $\left(\alpha-\beta \gamma^{\prime}\right) \mu=\beta \gamma-\beta \gamma^{\prime} \mu=0$, there exists $\gamma^{\prime \prime}: Y \rightarrow \tau X$ such that $\alpha-\beta \gamma^{\prime}=$ $\gamma^{\prime \prime} \nu$. This shows $\bar{\alpha}=\bar{\gamma}^{\prime \prime} \bar{v}$.
(iv) To show the right minimality of $\bar{\mu}$, assume $\bar{g} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\overline{\theta X}, \overline{\theta X})$ satisfies $\overline{g \mu}=\bar{\mu}$. Then (iii) shows there exists $\bar{g}^{\prime}$ such that $\bar{g}-1=\bar{g}^{\prime} \bar{v}$. Since $\bar{v} \in$ $\operatorname{rad}_{\Gamma}(\overline{\tau X}, \overline{\theta X}), \bar{g}$ is an automorphism of $\overline{\theta X}$. By (ii), $\overline{\theta X} \xrightarrow{\mu} \bar{X}$ is the sink map.
(v) We will show that $\bar{v}$ is the kernel of $\bar{\mu}$.

If $\overline{\tau X}=0$, this is trivial by (iii).
Assume $\overline{\tau X} \neq 0$, so $v$ is the source map. $\bar{X} \neq 0$ and (ii) show $\overline{\theta X} \neq 0$. Hence $\bar{v} \neq 0$ since $v$ is irreducible and $\tau X, \theta X \notin$ lat $e \Lambda . \quad$ Let $\bar{K} \xrightarrow{\bar{k}} \overline{\theta X}$ be the kernel of $\bar{\mu}$. $\bar{v} \neq 0$ shows $\bar{K} \neq 0$. (iii) and the definition of kernel show that there exist $\bar{f}$ and $\bar{g}$ such that $\bar{k}=\bar{f} \bar{v}$ and $\bar{v}=\bar{g} \bar{k}$. Hence $\bar{k}=\bar{f} \bar{g} \bar{k}$, so $\bar{f} \bar{g}=1_{\bar{k}}$. Since $\bar{K} \neq 0$ and $\overline{\tau X}$ is indecomposable, $\bar{f}$ is an isomorphism, hence $\bar{v}$ is the kernel of $\bar{\mu}$.
3.2. Examples. For a several pairs of $(\Lambda, e)$, we shall exhibit what $\Gamma$ is and how $\mathfrak{H}(\Gamma)$ differs from $\mathfrak{Y}(\Lambda)$.

In examples, $\mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ always denote a maximal order in some division $K$ algebra and $\wp$ or $\wp_{i}$ denote the radical of $\mathcal{O}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{i}$.
(1) For $n>0$, let $\Lambda=\left\{(s, t) \in \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O} \mid s \equiv t\left(\bmod \wp^{n}\right)\right\}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}(\Lambda)$ is the following, where $P$ is projective injective and $\tau L=M, \tau M=L$, and for any other vertices, $\tau$ is the identity. There are $n+2$ verices.


Let $e=(1,0)$. Then $\Gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\ \wp^{n} & \mathcal{O}\end{array}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{A}(\Gamma)$ is the following, where $L$ is projective injective and removed vertex from $\mathfrak{H}(\Lambda)$ is $M$.

(2) For $n>0$, let $\Lambda=\left\{\left.\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}s & t \\ u & v\end{array}\right)(w)\right) \in\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\ \wp^{n} & \mathcal{O}\end{array}\right) \oplus(\mathcal{O}) \right\rvert\, v \equiv w(\bmod \wp)\right\}$.

Then $\mathfrak{A}(\Lambda)$ is the following, where thin arrows indicate $\tau$, the $L$ at the left end is identified with the $L$ at the right end. There are $2 n+2$ vertices.

(i) Let $e=\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)(1)\right)$. Then $\Gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\ \wp^{n} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\ \wp^{n} & \wp & \mathcal{O}\end{array}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{A}(\Gamma)$ is the following, where removed vertex from $\mathfrak{A}(\Lambda)$ is $L$.

(ii) Let $e=\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)(0)\right)$. Then $\Gamma$ is a basic hereditary order of matrix size $n+1$ and $\mathfrak{A}(\Gamma)$ is the following.

(3) For even $n>0$, let $\Lambda=\left\{\left.\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}s & t \\ u & v\end{array}\right)(w)\right) \in\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\ \wp^{n} & \mathcal{O}\end{array}\right) \oplus(\mathcal{O}) \right\rvert\, v \equiv\right.$ $\left.w\left(\bmod \wp^{2}\right)\right\}$. Let $Q$ be the following translation quiver, where $\tau$ is the left shift. Then $\mathfrak{A l}(\Lambda)=Q /\langle\phi\rangle$, where $\phi$ is the composition of 'the reflection by the central dotted line' and $\tau^{(n+1) / 2}$. There are $\left(n^{2}+3 n+4\right) / 2$ vertices. (For simplicity, let $n=8$.)

(i) Let $e=\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)(1)\right)$. Then $\Gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\ \wp^{n} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\ \wp^{n} & \wp^{2} & \mathcal{O}\end{array}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{A l}(\Gamma)$ is the following.

(ii) Let $e=\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)(0)\right)$. Then

$$
\Gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \cdots & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\
\wp & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \cdots & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\
\wp^{2} & \wp & \mathcal{O} & \cdots & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \boldsymbol{0} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\wp^{2} & \wp^{2} & \wp^{2} & \cdots & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\
\wp^{2} & \wp^{2} & \wp^{2} & \cdots & \wp & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\
\wp^{2} & \wp^{2} & \wp^{2} & \cdots & \wp^{2} & \wp & \mathcal{O}
\end{array}\right)
$$

(matrix size is $n+1$ ) and $\mathfrak{A}(\Gamma)$ is the following.

(4) For $i=1,2,3,4$, let $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ be a copy of $\mathcal{O}$ and $\wp_{i}$ be the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{i}$. Let $\Lambda=\mathcal{O}_{1} \stackrel{1}{-\mathcal{O}_{2}} \stackrel{1}{-\mathcal{O}_{3}} \stackrel{1}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{4}:=\left\{(s, t, u, v) \in \mathcal{O}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{4} \mid s \equiv t \equiv u \equiv\right.$ $v(\bmod \wp)\}, e=(1,1,1,0) \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. It is well known that $\sharp$ ind $\Lambda=\infty$.

By 0.2 .0 , we can take $\mathscr{Q}=\tau^{-1}($ ind $e \Lambda) \cup \operatorname{proj} \Lambda, Q=\oplus_{i=1}^{9} L_{i}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=\mathcal{O}_{2}-\mathcal{O}_{3}-\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}_{4}}, \quad L_{2}=\mathcal{O}_{1}-\mathcal{O}_{3}-\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}_{4}}, \quad L_{3}=\mathcal{O}_{1}-\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}_{2}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{O}_{4}} \\
& L_{4}=\mathcal{O}_{3}-\mathcal{O}_{4}, \quad L_{5}=\mathcal{O}_{1}-\mathcal{O}_{4}, \quad L_{6}=\mathcal{O}_{2} \stackrel{1}{-\mathcal{O}_{4}} \\
& L_{7}=\left\{(x, y, z, a, b) \in \mathcal{O}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{4} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{4} \mid x \equiv a, y \equiv b, x+y+z \equiv 0\right\} \\
& L_{8}=\mathcal{O}_{4}, \quad L_{9}=\mathcal{O}_{1} \stackrel{1}{-} \mathcal{O}_{2} \stackrel{1}{-} \mathcal{O}_{3} \xrightarrow[1]{-} \mathcal{O}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we get
where $a, a^{\prime}, b, b^{\prime}, c, c^{\prime}$ are elements of $\mathcal{O}_{4}$ such that $a+a^{\prime} \equiv 0, b \equiv b^{\prime}, c \equiv$ $c^{\prime}\left(\bmod \wp_{4}\right)$.
4. Let $R$ be a complete discrete valuation ring and $\Lambda$ be an $R$-order in a semisimple $K$-algebra $\tilde{\Lambda}=K \otimes_{R} \Lambda$. In this section, we consider a full subcategory $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{C}=$ lat $\Lambda$, which is closed under isomorphism, direct sum and direct summand. In other words, such $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ bijectively corresponds to a subset $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ of ind $\Lambda$ by $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\operatorname{add} \mathscr{S}^{\prime}, \mathscr{S}^{\prime}=\mathscr{C}^{\prime} \cap$ ind $\Lambda$.

Let $\underline{\varepsilon}=\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ be the complete set of orthogonal irreducible central idempotents of $\tilde{\Lambda}$, so that $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is the ring direct sum $\tilde{\Lambda}=\oplus \varepsilon_{i} \tilde{\Lambda}$ with $\varepsilon_{i} \tilde{\Lambda}$ simple.

Put $\quad \underline{\varepsilon}^{\prime}:=\left\{\varepsilon \in \underline{\varepsilon} \mid \varepsilon \mathscr{S}^{\prime} \neq\{0\}\right\}$ and $e:=\sum_{\varepsilon \in \underline{\varepsilon}^{\prime}} \varepsilon$. Then $\mathscr{C}^{\prime} \subseteq$ late $e, \quad \mathscr{S}^{\prime} \subseteq$ ind $e \Lambda$. It is straightforward to observe:
(A) $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=$ late $e \Lambda \Leftrightarrow \mathscr{S}^{\prime}=$ ind $e \Lambda \Leftrightarrow$ For $X \in \operatorname{ind} \Lambda,\left(X \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow(1-e) X=0\right)$.
(B) If $\mathscr{C}^{\prime} \neq$ lat $e \Lambda$ and $X \in \operatorname{ind} e \Lambda-\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{C} / \mathscr{G}^{\prime}}(X, X)$ is a non-zero $R$-torsion module.
4.1. To apply a result of [I], we sometimes identify the category $\mathscr{C}=$ lat $\Lambda$ with the set of non-isomorphic objects of lat $\Lambda$, so that lat $\Lambda \supset$ ind $\Lambda$. By KrullSchmidt Theorem, we consider lat $\Lambda$ as a free monoid $\mathbf{N}$ ind $\Lambda$ generated by the set ind $\Lambda$, embedded in its quotient group $\mathbf{Z}$ ind $\Lambda$, lat $\Lambda=\mathbf{N}$ ind $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{Z}$ ind $\Lambda$.

Any map $\xi:$ ind $\Lambda \rightarrow A$ (resp. any monoid homomorphism $\xi:$ lat $\Lambda=$ $\mathbf{N}$ ind $\Lambda \rightarrow A$ ) into an abelian group $A$ uniquely extends to a group homomorphism $\xi: \mathbf{Z}$ ind $\Lambda \rightarrow A$.

By this convention, the complex of source map $X \rightarrow \theta^{-} X \rightarrow \tau^{-} X$ from $X \in$ ind $\Lambda$ determines $\mathbf{Z}$-endomorphisms $\theta^{-}, \tau^{-}$of $\mathbf{Z}$ ind $\Lambda$ and $\phi^{-}:=1-\theta^{-}+\tau^{-} \epsilon$ $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathrm{Z}$ ind $\Lambda)$.

The map of rational length $\tilde{l}:=\left(L \mapsto \operatorname{length}_{\tilde{L}}(\tilde{L})\right)$, the action of central idempotent $\quad \varepsilon:=(L \mapsto \varepsilon L) \quad$ determine $\quad \mathbf{Z}$-homomorphisms $\quad \tilde{l}: \mathbf{Z}$ ind $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$, $\varepsilon: \mathbf{Z}$ ind $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ ind $\varepsilon \Lambda$.
4.1.1. Lemma. Assume that ind $\Lambda$ is a finite set. Let $l:$ lat $\Lambda=\mathbf{N}$ ind $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ be a monoid homomorphism such that $l \circ \phi^{-}=0$.

Then $l(L)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \tilde{l}\left(\varepsilon_{i} L\right)$ for any $L \in$ lat $\Lambda$, by some $a_{i} \in \mathbf{N}$.

Proof. Let $\Omega$ be a maximal order containing $\Lambda$. Then $\Omega=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} \Omega$, Ind $\varepsilon_{i} \Omega=\left\{Y_{i}\right\}$ with $\tilde{l}\left(Y_{i}\right)=1$.

Hence $\Omega L=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} Y_{i}$ by some $c_{i} \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\widetilde{\varepsilon_{i} L}=\widetilde{\varepsilon_{i} \Omega L}=c_{i} \tilde{Y}_{i}$ and $\tilde{l}\left(\varepsilon_{i} L\right)=c_{i}$.
Since ind $\Lambda$ is finite, ind $\Lambda-\operatorname{ind} \Omega$ is a rejectable subset and by Theorem 5.4 of [I], there are some $b_{X} \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
\Omega L=L-\sum_{X \in \operatorname{ind} \Lambda-\mathrm{ind} \Omega} b_{X} \phi^{-} X
$$

Since $l \circ \phi^{-}=0$ by the assumption, we have $l(L)=l(\Omega L)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} l\left(Y_{i}\right)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{l}\left(\varepsilon_{i} L\right) a_{i}$ with $a_{i}:=l\left(Y_{i}\right) \in \mathbf{N}$.
4.2. Theorem. Let $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\mathbf{N} \mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ be a full subcategory of $\mathscr{C}=\operatorname{lat} \Lambda=\mathbf{N}$ ind $\Lambda$.

Assume that ind $\Lambda$ is a finite set. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.
(i) There exists a central idempotent e of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ such that $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=$ late $e \Lambda$.
(ii) There exists an $R$-order $\Gamma$ such that $\mathscr{C} / \mathscr{C}^{\prime} \simeq$ lat $\Gamma$.
(iii) There exists a map $l:$ ind $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ such that 1) $l \circ \phi^{-}=0$ and 2) $l(X)=$ $0 \Leftrightarrow X \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) : By Theorem 0.1.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): If $\mathscr{C} / \mathscr{C}^{\prime} \simeq$ lat $\Gamma, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{G} / \mathscr{G}^{\prime}}(X, X)$ is torsion free for any $X \in$ ind $\Lambda-\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$. Then by $(\mathrm{B}), \mathscr{C}^{\prime}=$ late $e \Lambda$.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) : Put $l:=\tilde{l} \circ(1-e):$ lat $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$. Then obviously $l$ has the required property.
(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): By 4.1.1, $l(L)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \tilde{l}\left(\varepsilon_{i} L\right)$ by some $a_{i} \in \mathbf{N}$. Put $e:=$ $\sum_{a_{i}=0} \varepsilon_{i}$, then for $X \in \operatorname{ind} \Lambda, X \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime} \Leftrightarrow l(X)=0 \Leftrightarrow(1-e) X=0$, hence $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}=$ inde $e \Lambda$ by (A).
4.3. Remarks. Rejection Lemma is also studied, explicitly or implicitly, in some cases other than (a0) or (al) of 0.4.1:
(b0) $R$ is a commutative artin ring. $\Lambda$ is an artin algebra over $R$. $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a quotient algebra of $\Lambda . \mathscr{C}=\bmod \Lambda\left(\operatorname{resp} . \mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\bmod \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is the category of finitely generated $\Lambda$-modules (resp. $\Lambda^{\prime}$-modules) ([I]).
(b1) $R$ is a 2-dimensional integrally closed complete noetherian domain. $\Lambda$ is a tame $R$-order (i.e. $\Lambda$ is a reflexive $R$-module and moreover $R_{\wp} \otimes_{R} \Lambda$ is a hereditary $R_{\wp}$-order at any height one prime ideal $\wp$ of $R$ ). $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a tame over order of $\Lambda . \mathscr{C}=\operatorname{ref} \Lambda\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\operatorname{ref} \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ is the category of finitely generated $\Lambda$ modules (resp. $\Lambda^{\prime}$-modules) which are reflexive as $R$-modules ([RV]).

In these examples, one finds strong similarity in the relation of $\mathscr{C}$ with $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}$. As a matter of fact, one can construct an abstract theory of Rejection Lemma which specializes to each of the above cases.
4.3.1. In the cases (a0) and (b0) (where $\mathscr{C} / \mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ is of dimension zero), $\mathscr{C} / \mathscr{C}{ }^{\prime}$ is not exactly a category of modules, although it is quite similar to a category of modules.

While in (a1), where $\mathscr{C} / \mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ is one-dimensional, as was shown in 4.2, $\mathscr{C} / \mathscr{C}^{\prime}(\simeq \operatorname{lat} \Gamma)$ is a lattice category.

We can find a similar phenomenon also in the case (bl), which will be recorded in 4.3.2, without proof. To state the result, it is more convenient to consider an additive category as a ring in a usual way, then describe the corresponding condition in terms of generalized Auslander orders (0.2.2). Note also that the equivalence of (i) and (iii) in 4.3.2 is already proved for a general tame order $\Lambda$ in [RV] Theorem 3.9.
4.3.2. Proposition. Let $k$ be a field, $R=k[[x, y]], \Lambda$ be a tame $R$-order, $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\mathbf{N} \mathscr{S}^{\prime}$ be a full subcategory of $\mathscr{C}=\operatorname{ref} \Lambda=\mathbf{N}$ ind $\Lambda$, where ind $\Lambda$ is the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in ref $\Lambda$. Assume that ind $\Lambda$ is a finite set. Then the following three conditions are equivalent.
(i) There exists a tame over order $\Lambda^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda$ such that $\mathscr{C}^{\prime}=\operatorname{ref} \Lambda^{\prime}$.
(ii) There exists a complete discrete valuation ring $R^{\prime}$ such that $\mathscr{C} / \mathscr{C}^{\prime}$ is a generalized Auslander order over $R^{\prime}$.
(iii) There exists a map $l:$ ind $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ such that 1) $l \circ \phi^{-}=0$ and 2) $l(X)=$ $0 \Leftrightarrow X \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}$.
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