

A SCHAUDER BASIS FOR $L_1(0, \infty)$ CONSISTING OF NON-NEGATIVE FUNCTIONS

WILLIAM B. JOHNSON AND GIDEON SCHECHTMAN

ABSTRACT. We construct a Schauder basis for L_1 consisting of non-negative functions and investigate unconditionally basic and quasibasic sequences of non-negative functions in L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$.

1. Introduction

In [5], Powell and Spaeth investigate non-negative sequences of functions in L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, that satisfy some kind of basis condition, with a view to determining whether such a sequence can span all of L_p . They prove, for example, that there is no unconditional basis or even unconditional quasibasis (frame) for L_p consisting of non-negative functions. On the other hand, they prove that there are non-negative quasibases and non-negative M -bases for L_p . The most important question left open by their investigation is whether there is a (Schauder) basis for L_p consisting of non-negative functions. In Section 2, we show that there is basis for L_1 consisting of non-negative functions.

In Section 3, we discuss the structure of unconditionally basic non-negative normalized sequences in L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. The main result is that such a sequence is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . We also prove that the closed span in L_p of any unconditional quasibasic sequence embeds isomorphically into ℓ_p .

We use standard Banach space theory, as can be found in [4] or [1]. Let us just mention that L_p is $L_p(0, \infty)$, but in as much as this space is isometrically isomorphic under an order preserving operator to $L_p(\mu)$ for any separable purely non-atomic measure μ , our choice of $L(0, \infty)$ rather than, for example,

Received February 25, 2015; received in final form September 10, 2015.

William B. Johnson was supported in part by NSF DMS-1301604 and the U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation.

Gideon Schechtman was supported in part by the U.S.-Israel Binational Science Foundation. Participant, NSF Workshop in Analysis and Probability, Texas A&M University.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 46B03, 46B15, 46E30.

$L_p(0, 1)$, is a matter of convenience. Again as a matter of convenience, in the last part of Section 3, we revert to using $L_p(0, 1)$ as a model for L_p .

2. A Schauder basis for $L_1(0, \infty)$ consisting of non-negative functions

For $j = 1, 2, \dots$ let $\{h_{n,i}^j\}_{n=0,i=1}^{\infty 2^n}$ be the mean zero L_1 normalized Haar functions on the interval $(j - 1, j)$. That is, for $n = 0, 1, \dots, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$,

$$h_{n,i}^j(t) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & j - 1 + \frac{2i-2}{2^{n+1}} < t < j - 1 + \frac{2i-1}{2^{n+1}}, \\ -2^n, & j - 1 + \frac{2i-1}{2^{n+1}} < t < j - 1 + \frac{2i}{2^{n+1}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The system $\{h_{n,i}^j\}_{n=0,i=1,j=1}^{\infty 2^n}$, in any order which preserves the lexicographic order of $\{h_{n,i}^j\}_{n=0,i=1}^{\infty 2^n}$ for each j , constitutes a basis for the subspace of $L_1(0, \infty)$ consisting of all functions whose restriction to each interval $(j - 1, j)$ have mean zero. To simplify notation, for each j we shall denote by $\{h_i^j\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ the system $\{h_{n,i}^j\}_{n=0,i=1}^{\infty 2^n}$ in its lexicographic order. We shall also denote by $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ the union of the systems $\{h_i^j\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, j = 1, 2, \dots$, in any order that respects the individual orders of each of the $\{h_i^j\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

Let π be any permutation of the natural numbers and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let F_i be the two dimensional space spanned by $2\mathbf{1}_{(\pi(i)-1, \pi(i))} + |h_i|$ and h_i .

PROPOSITION 1. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ is an FDD of $\overline{\text{span}}^{L_1} \{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$.

Proof. The assertion will follow from the following inequality, which holds for all scalars $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i| + \frac{1}{8} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i h_i \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i (2\mathbf{1}_{(\pi(i)-1, \pi(i))} + |h_i|) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i h_i \right\| \\ & \leq 3 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i h_i \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

The right inequality in (1) follows easily from the triangle inequality. As for the left inequality, notice that the conditional expectation projection onto the closed span of $\{\mathbf{1}_{(i-1, i)}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is of norm one and the complementary projection, onto the closed span of $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, is of norm 2. It follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i (2\mathbf{1}_{(\pi(i)-1, \pi(i))}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i h_i \right\| \geq \max \left\{ 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |a_i|, \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i h_i \right\| \right\}.$$

Since $\|\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i |h_i|\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty |a_i|$, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i (2\mathbf{1}_{(\pi(i)-1, \pi(i))} + |h_i|) + \sum_{i=1}^\infty b_i h_i \right\| \geq \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^\infty |a_i|, \frac{1}{4} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^\infty b_i h_i \right\| \right\}$$

from which the left-hand side inequality in (1) follows easily. □

PROPOSITION 2. *Let π be any permutation of the natural numbers and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let F_i be the two dimensional space spanned by $2\mathbf{1}_{(\pi(i)-1, \pi(i))} + |h_i|$ and h_i . Then $\overline{\text{span}}^{L_1} \{F_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ admits a basis consisting of non-negative functions.*

Proof. In view of Proposition 1, it is enough to show that each F_i has a two term basis consisting of non-negative functions and with uniform basis constant. Put $x_i = 2\mathbf{1}_{(\pi(i)-1, \pi(i))} + |h_i| + h_i$ and $y_i = 2\mathbf{1}_{(\pi(i)-1, \pi(i))} + |h_i| - h_i$. Then clearly $x_i, y_i \geq 0$ everywhere and $\|x_i\| = \|y_i\| = 3$. We now distinguish two cases: If $\mathbf{1}_{(\pi(i)-1, \pi(i))}$ is disjoint from the support of h_i then, for all scalars a, b ,

$$\|ax_i + by_i\| \geq \|a(|h_i| + h_i) + b(|h_i| - h_i)\| = |a| + |b|.$$

If the support of h_i is included in $(\pi(i) - 1, \pi(i))$, let 2^{-s} be the size of that support, $s \geq 0$. Then for all scalars a, b ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|ax_i + by_i\| &\geq \|a(|h_i| + h_i) + b(|h_i| - h_i) + 2(a+b)\mathbf{1}_{\text{supp}(h_i)}\| \\ &= 2^{-s-1}(|(2^{s+1} + 2)a + 2b| + |(2^{s+1} + 2)b + 2a|) \\ &\geq \max\{|a|, |b|\}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

THEOREM 1. $L_1(0, \infty)$, and consequently any separable L_1 space, admits a Schauder basis consisting of non-negative functions.

Proof. When choosing the order on $\{h_i\}$ we can and shall assume that $h_1 = h_{0,1}^1$; that is, the first mean zero Haar function on the interval $(0, 1)$. Let π be any permutation of \mathbb{N} such that $\pi(1) = 1$ and for $i > 1$, if $h_i = h_{n,k}^j$ for some n, k , and j then $\pi(i) > j$. It follows that except for $i = 1$ the support of h_i is disjoint from the interval $(\pi(i) - 1, \pi(i))$. It is easy to see that such a permutation exists. We shall show that under these assumptions $\sum_{i=1}^\infty F_i$ spans $L_1(0, \infty)$ and, in view of Proposition 2, this will prove the theorem for $L_1(0, \infty)$. First, since $\pi(1) = 1$ we get that $3\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)} = 2\mathbf{1}_{(\pi(1)-1, \pi(1))} + |h_1| \in F_1$, and since all the mean zero Haar functions on $(0, 1)$ are clearly in $\sum_{i=1}^\infty F_i$, we get that $L_1(0, 1) \subset \sum_{i=1}^\infty F_i$.

Assume by induction that $L_1(0, j) \subset \sum_{i=1}^\infty F_i$. Let l be such that $\pi(l) = j + 1$. By our assumption on π , the support of h_l is included in $(0, j)$, and so by the induction hypothesis, $|h_l| \in \sum_{i=1}^\infty F_i$. Since also $2\mathbf{1}_{(j, j+1)} + |h_l| \in \sum_{i=1}^\infty F_i$ we get that $\mathbf{1}_{(j, j+1)} \in \sum_{i=1}^\infty F_i$. Since the mean zero Haar functions on $(j, j + 1)$ are also in $\sum_{i=1}^\infty F_i$ we conclude that $L_1(0, j + 1) \subset \sum_{i=1}^\infty F_i$.

This finishes the proof for $L_1(0, \infty)$. Since every separable L_1 space is order isometric to one of the spaces ℓ_1^k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, $\ell_1, L_1(0, \infty)$, $L_1(0, \infty) \oplus_1 \ell_1^k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, or $L_1(0, \infty) \oplus_1 \ell_1$, and since the discrete L_1 spaces ℓ_1^k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and ℓ_1 clearly have non-negative bases, we get the conclusion for any separable L_1 space. \square

3. Unconditional non-negative sequences in L_p

Here we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a normalized unconditionally basic sequence of non-negative functions in L_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p .*

Proof. First, we give a sketch of the proof, which should be enough for experts in Banach space theory. By unconditionality, we have for all coefficients a_n that $\|\sum_n a_n x_n\|_p$ is equivalent to the square function $\|(\sum_n |a_n|^2 x_n^2)^{1/2}\|_p$, and, by nonnegativity of x_n , is also equivalent to $\|\sum_n |a_n| x_n\|_p$. Thus by trivial interpolation when $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and by extrapolation when $2 < p < \infty$, we see that $\|\sum_n a_n x_n\|_p$ is equivalent to $\|(\sum_n |a_n|^p x_n^p)^{1/p}\|_p = (\sum_n |a_n|^p)^{1/p}$.

We now give a formal argument for the benefit of readers who are not familiar with the background we assumed when giving the sketch. Let K be the unconditional constant of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad K^{-1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n \right\|_p &\leq B_p \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 x_n^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \\
 &\leq B_p \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| x_n \right\|_p \\
 &\leq B_p K \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n \right\|_p,
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is obtained by integrating against the Rademacher functions (see, e.g., [4, Theorem 2.b.3]). The constant B_p is Khintchine's constant, so $B_p = 1$ for $p \leq 2$ and B_p is of order \sqrt{p} for $p > 2$. If $1 \leq p \leq 2$, we get from (2)

$$(3) \quad K^{-1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n \right\|_p \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^p x_n^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_p \leq K \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n \right\|_p.$$

Since $\|(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^p x_n^p)^{1/p}\|_p = (\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^p)^{1/p}$, this completes the proof when $1 \leq p \leq 2$. When $2 < p < \infty$, we need to extrapolate rather than do (trivial)

interpolation. Write $1/2 = \theta/1 + (1 - \theta)/p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad (KB_p)^{-1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n \right\|_p &\leq \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 x_n^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \\
 &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| x_n \right\|_p^\theta \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^p x_n^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_p^{1-\theta} \\
 &\leq K \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n \right\|_p^\theta \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^p \right)^{(1-\theta)/p}, \quad \text{so that} \\
 (K^2 B_p)^{(-1)/(1-\theta)} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n \right\|_p &\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq K \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n \right\|_p. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

As stated, Theorem 2 gives no information when $p = 2$ because every normalized unconditionally basic sequence in a Hilbert space is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . However, if we extrapolate slightly differently in the above argument (writing $1/2 = \theta/1 + (1 - \theta)/\infty$) we see that, no matter what p is, $\|\sum_{n=1}^N a_n x_n\|_p$ is also equivalent to $\|\max_n |a_n| x_n\|_p$. From this one can deduce, for example, that only finitely many Rademachers can be in the closed span of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$; in particular, $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ cannot be a basis for L_p even when $p = 2$. However, the proof given in [5] that a normalized unconditionally basic sequence of non-negative functions $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in L_p cannot span L_p actually shows that only finitely many Rademachers can be in the closed span of $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. This is improved in our last result, which shows that the closed span of an unconditionally non-negative quasibasic sequence in $L_p(0, 1)$ cannot contain any strongly embedded infinite dimensional subspace (a subspace X of $L_p(0, 1)$ is said to be strongly embedded if the $L_p(0, 1)$ norm is equivalent to the $L_r(0, 1)$ norm on X for some – or, equivalently, for all – $r < p$; see e.g. [1, p. 151]). The main work for proving this is contained in Lemma 1.

Before stating Lemma 1, we recall that a quasibasis for a Banach space X is a sequence $\{f_n, g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $X \times X^*$ such that for each x in X the series $\sum_n \langle g_n, x \rangle f_n$ converges to x . (In [5], a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X is called a quasibasis for X provided there exists such a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. Since the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is typically not unique, we prefer to specify it up front.) The quasibasis $\{f_n, g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is said to be unconditional provided that for each x in X the series $\sum_n \langle g_n, x \rangle f_n$ converges unconditionally to x . One then gets from the uniform boundedness principle (see, e.g., [5, Lemma 3.2]) that there is a constant K so that for all x and all scalars a_n with $|a_n| \leq 1$, we have $\|\sum_n a_n \langle g_n, x \rangle f_n\| \leq K \|x\|$. A sequence $\{f_n, g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $X \times X^*$ is said to be [unconditionally] quasibasic provided $\{f_n, h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an [unconditional]

quasisbasis for the closed span $[f_n]$ of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, where h_n is the restriction of g_n to $[f_n]$.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $\{f_n, g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an unconditionally quasibasic sequence in $L_p(0, 1)$, $1 < p < \infty$, with each f_n non-negative. If $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a normalized weakly null sequence in the closed linear span $[f_n]$ of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, then $\|y_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. If the conclusion is false, we get a normalized weakly null sequence $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $[f_n]$ and a $c > 0$ so that for all n we have $\|y_n\|_1 > c$.

By passing to a subsequence of $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, we can assume that there are integers $0 = m_1 < m_2 < \dots$ so that for each n ,

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{m_n} |\langle g_k, y_n \rangle| \|f_k\|_p < 2^{-n-3} c \quad \text{and} \\ & \left\| \sum_{k=m_{n+1}+1}^\infty |\langle g_k, y_n \rangle| f_k \right\|_p < 2^{-n-3} c. \end{aligned}$$

Effecting the first inequality in (5) is no problem because $y_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly, but the second inequality perhaps requires a comment. If y_n satisfies the first inequality in (5), from the unconditional convergence of the expansion of y_n and the nonnegativity of all f_k we get that $\|\sum_{k=N}^\infty |\langle g_k, y_n \rangle| f_k\|_p \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which allows us to select m_{n+1} to satisfy the second inequality in (5).

Since $\|y_n\|_1 > c$, from (5) we also have for every n that

$$(6) \quad \left\| \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} |\langle g_k, y_n \rangle| f_k \right\|_1 \geq \left\| \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} \langle g_k, y_n \rangle f_k \right\|_1 \geq c/2.$$

Since L_p has an unconditional basis, by passing to a further subsequence we can assume that $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is unconditionally basic with, say, constant K_p . Set $s = p \wedge 2$. Then L_p has type s (see [1, Theorem 6.2.14]), so for some constant K'_p we have for every N the inequality

$$(7) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \right\|_p \leq K'_p N^{1/s}.$$

On the other hand, letting $\delta_k = \text{sign}\langle g_k, y_n \rangle$ when $m_n + 1 \leq k \leq m_{n+1}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & K K_p \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N y_n \right\|_p \\ & \geq K_p \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^\infty \delta_k \langle g_k, y_n \rangle f_k \right\|_p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} |\langle g_k, y_n \rangle| f_k \right\|_p - \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k \notin [m_n+1, m_{n+1}]} \delta_k \langle g_k, y_n \rangle f_k \right\|_p \\
 &\geq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} |\langle g_k, y_n \rangle| f_k \right\|_1 - \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k \notin [m_n+1, m_{n+1}]} |\langle g_k, y_n \rangle| f_k \right\|_p \\
 &\geq \sum_{n=1}^N \left\| \sum_{k=m_n+1}^{m_{n+1}} |\langle g_k, y_n \rangle| f_k \right\|_1 \\
 &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_n} |\langle g_k, y_n \rangle| \|f_k\|_p + \left\| \sum_{k=m_{n+1}+1}^{\infty} |\langle g_k, y_n \rangle| f_n \right\|_p \right) \\
 &\geq Nc/2 - c/4 \quad \text{by (6) and (5)}
 \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts (7). □

THEOREM 3. *Let $\{f_n, g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an unconditional quasibasic sequence in $L_p(0, 1)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, with each f_n non-negative. Then the closed span $[f_n]$ of $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ embeds isomorphically into ℓ_p .*

Proof. The case $p = 1$ is especially easy: Assume, as we may, that $\|f_n\|_1 = 1$. There is a constant K so that for each y in $[f_n]$

$$(9) \quad \|y\|_1 \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty |\langle g_n, y \rangle| f_n \right\|_1 \leq K \|y\|_1,$$

hence the mapping $y \mapsto \{\langle g_k, y \rangle\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is an isomorphism from $[f_n]$ into ℓ_1 .

So in the sequel assume that $p > 1$. From Lemma 1 and standard arguments (see, e.g., [1, Theorem 6.4.7]), we have that every normalized weakly null sequence in $[f_n]$ has a subsequence that is an arbitrarily small perturbation of a disjoint sequence and hence the subsequence is $1 + \epsilon$ -equivalent to the unit vector basis for ℓ_p . This implies that $[f_n]$ embeds isomorphically into ℓ_p (see [3] for the case $p > 2$ and [2, Theorems III.9, III.1, and III.2] for the case $p < 2$). □

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Albiac and N. J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach space theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 233, Springer, New York, 2006. MR 2192298
- [2] W. B. Johnson, *On quotients of L_p which are quotients of ℓ_p* , *Compos. Math.* **34** (1977), no. 1, 69–89. MR 0454595
- [3] W. B. Johnson and E. Odell, *Subspaces of L_p which embed into ℓ_p* , *Compos. Math.* **28** (1974), 37–49. MR 0352938
- [4] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces. I. Sequence spaces*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, vol. 92, Springer, Berlin, 1977. MR 0500056
- [5] A. M. Powell and A. H. Spaeth, *Nonnegativity constraints for structured complete systems*, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*; DOI:10.1090/tran/6562.

WILLIAM B. JOHNSON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843, USA

E-mail address: johnson@math.tamu.edu

GIDEON SCHECHTMAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, REHOVOT, ISRAEL

E-mail address: gideon@weizmann.ac.il