

WHITNEY'S EMBEDDING THEOREM FOR PSEUDO-HOLOMORPHIC DISCS

YAT-SEN WONG

ABSTRACT. We prove an analog of Whitney's embedding theorem for pseudo-holomorphic discs.

1. Introduction

Thom's transversality theorem and Whitney's approximation theorem are useful tools in analysis and geometry. They are proved by local perturbations and use cut-off functions to obtain a global result. Since there is no holomorphic cut-off functions in complex analytic category, we might need a global perturbation in order to prove the corresponding results. Kaliman and Zaidenberg [3] proved the jet transversality theorem for any holomorphic mapping from a Stein manifold to a complex manifold if the domain of the initial map is shrunk. Forstnerič [4] proved the a similar result without shrinking the domain, but the target space is required to be either subelliptic or satisfies the Oka property. In almost complex category, Sukhov and Tumanov [1] proved the Thom's transversality theorem and Whitney's immersion theorem for pseudo-holomorphic discs. In this paper, we show Whitney's embedding theorem holds for pseudo-holomorphic discs.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let (M, J) be a C^∞ -smooth almost complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M > 2$, fix $m \geq 0$ and let $f_0 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$ be a pseudo-holomorphic disc of class $C^m(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. Then there exists a pseudo-holomorphic embedding $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$ arbitrarily close to f_0 in $C^m(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$.*

2. Pseudo-holomorphic discs and Cauchy–Green integral

We first recall some basic results in several complex variables. Let \mathbb{D} be the unit disc in \mathbb{C} and let J_{st} be the standard almost complex structure of \mathbb{C}^n .

Received December 2, 2011; received in final form February 28, 2012.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32H02, 53C15.

Suppose (M, J) is an almost complex manifold, a smooth map $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$ is called a *pseudo-holomorphic disc* if

$$df \circ J_{\text{st}} = J \circ df.$$

Fix a positive integer k and a real number $0 < \alpha < 1$. We denote by $C^\alpha(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ the space of functions on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ satisfying the Hölder condition with Hölder index α . We denote

$$C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \{f \in C^k(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \mid \text{the } k\text{th partial derivatives of } f \text{ is in } C^\alpha(\overline{\mathbb{D}})\}.$$

The main tool that we will use in this paper is the Cauchy–Green integral

$$Tu(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{u(\omega) d\omega \wedge d\bar{\omega}}{\omega - \zeta}.$$

The following properties of the Cauchy–Green integral can be found in [2]:

COROLLARY 2.1.

- (1) Let $p > 2$. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{D})$, then $\partial_{\bar{z}} T f = f$ in the sense of distribution, where $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$.
- (2) Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $T : C^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow C^{k+1,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ is bounded.

3. Surjectivity of the jet map

Let B_1, B_2 be $n \times n$ matrix functions on \mathbb{D} of class $L^p(\mathbb{D})$. Consider the solution of the equation

$$(1) \quad u_{\bar{z}} = B_1 u + B_2 \bar{u}.$$

Fix $\tau \in \mathbb{D}$, we define an operator

$$Pu = u - T(B_1 u + B_2 \bar{u}) + T(B_1 u + B_2 \bar{u})(\tau).$$

By using a similar proof in Theorem 3.1 of [1], with changing the evaluation point from the origin of \mathbb{D} to τ , we have the following:

THEOREM 3.1. Let B_1, B_2 be $n \times n$ matrices in $L^p(\mathbb{D})$, $p > 2$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{D}$.

- (1) Let w_1, \dots, w_d form a basis of $\ker P$ over \mathbb{R} and let $r > 2p(p-2)^{-1}$, then there exists holomorphic polynomial vectors p_1, \dots, p_d with $p_1(\tau) = \dots = p_d(\tau) = 0$ such that the operator $\tilde{P} : L^r(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{D})$ defined by

$$\tilde{P}u = Pu + \sum_{j=1}^d (\text{Re}(u, w_j)) p_j$$

has trivial kernel. The polynomials p_j can be chosen to be arbitrarily small. (Here (\cdot, \cdot) means the inner product for $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n), v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$)

$$(u, v) = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{i}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} u_j \bar{v}_j d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta}.$$

(2) If $B_1, B_2 \in C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}), 0 < \alpha < 1$ (resp. $W^{k,p}(\mathbb{D})$) then \tilde{P} is an invertible bounded operator in $C^{k+1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ (resp. $W^{k+1,p}(\mathbb{D})$). The function $\phi = \tilde{P}u$ is holomorphic if and only if u satisfies equation (1).

Now we are going to prove the existence of solution of equation (1) with prescribed value at two given points.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let B_1, B_2 be $n \times n$ matrix functions on \mathbb{D} of class $C^{k-1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}), k \geq 1, 0 < \alpha < 1$. Then for all $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{D}, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, there exists a solution u of equation (1) such that $u \in C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ and*

$$u(\zeta_1) = a_1, \quad u(\zeta_2) = a_2.$$

Proof. By the Theorem 5.1 in [1], there exists $u_1 \in C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ such that $u_1(\zeta_1) = a_1$. Let $u(\zeta) = u_1(\zeta) + (\zeta - \zeta_1)w(\zeta)$ where w has to be determined. If we require $B_1u + B_2\bar{u} = u_{\bar{\zeta}}$ and $u(\zeta_2) = a_2$, then w has to satisfy

$$(2) \quad B_1(\zeta)w(\zeta) + B_2(\zeta)\frac{\zeta - \zeta_1}{\zeta - \zeta_1}\overline{w(\zeta)} = w_{\bar{\zeta}}(\zeta)$$

and

$$w(\zeta_2) = \frac{a_2 - u_1(\zeta_2)}{\zeta_2 - \zeta_1} \triangleq \phi \quad \text{which is a constant vector.}$$

Note that $\widehat{B_2(\zeta)} = B_2(\zeta)\frac{\zeta - \zeta_1}{\zeta - \zeta_1} \in L^p(\mathbb{D})$ for all $2 < p < \infty$, therefore we can apply Theorem 3.1 with $\tau = \zeta_2$ and $B_2 = \widehat{B_2}$. Set $w = \tilde{P}^{-1}(\phi)$, then we have $w \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{D})$ for all $2 < p < \infty$, hence $w \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$, therefore $\phi = \tilde{P}w(\zeta_2) = Pw(\zeta_2) = w(\zeta_2)$, and $\tilde{P}w = \phi$ implies $w_{\bar{\zeta}} = B_1w + \widehat{B_2}\bar{w}$.

It remains to show that u is in the class $C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$. We first choose $0 < r < 1$ so that \mathbb{D}_r , the disc centered at the origin with radius r , satisfying $\mathbb{D}_r \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $\zeta_1 \in \mathbb{D}_r$. Note that the coefficient matrices $B_1, \widehat{B_2}$ is in $C^{k-1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r)$ and it is proved that $w \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$, so we can apply bootstrapping to the equation $\tilde{P}w = \phi$ to conclude that w is in $C^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r)$.

Note that the function $v(\zeta) = (\zeta - \zeta_1)w(\zeta)$ satisfies equation (1), then we have

$$(3) \quad v(z) = T(B_1v + B_2\bar{v})(z) + \psi(z)$$

for some vector $\psi(z)$ holomorphic on \mathbb{D} and in $C^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$. We claim that $\psi \in C^{k,\alpha}(\partial\mathbb{D})$: write $T(B_1v + B_2\bar{v})(z)$ as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{D}_r} \frac{B_1v + B_2\bar{v}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r} \frac{B_1v + B_2\bar{v}}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \wedge d\bar{\zeta},$$

for which we understand it as an integration applied to each entry of the vector function. Now the first term is holomorphic on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ since the function $\frac{1}{\zeta - z}$ is holomorphic in z on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ whenever ζ is in \mathbb{D}_r , hence it is in $C^{k,\alpha}(\partial\mathbb{D})$. The

second term is in $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r)$ since $v \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r)$ and $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{k-1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r)$. Combining with the fact that $v \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_r)$, we have $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\partial\mathbb{D})$.

Now ψ is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} and in $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\partial\mathbb{D})$, hence by the regularity of Laplace operator we have $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ (see, for example, [5]). By using $v \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha(\mathbb{D})$, we can apply bootstrapping to the equation

$$v = T(B_1v + B_2\bar{v}) + \psi$$

to conclude that v , and hence u , is in fact in $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$. □

4. Approximation by embedding pseudo-holomorphic discs

Given $f_0 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$ a pseudo-holomorphic disc of class $C^m(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, we first use Theorem 1.1 in [1] to approximate f_0 by a $C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ pseudo-holomorphic immersion $f_1 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$, so that f_1 is close to f_0 in $C^m(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$.

For $0 < r < 1$, the function $z \mapsto f_{2,r}(z) = f_1(rz)$ is arbitrarily close to f_1 in $C^m(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ as r approaches 1: By Nash’s embedding theorem, we can assume $M = \mathbb{C}^N$ for some N sufficiently large, then the result can be easily proved by the fact that the i -derivative $f^{(i)}$ is uniformly continuous on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

For all $\varepsilon > 0$, choose r_0 so that f_{2,r_0} is ε -close to f_1 in $C^m(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, for simplicity denote f_{2,r_0} by f_2 . Note that f_2 is an immersed pseudo-holomorphic disc.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let*

$$R = \inf \{ |c_1 - c_2| : c_1, c_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}, c_1 \neq c_2, f_2(c_1) = f_2(c_2) \},$$

then $R > 0$.

Proof. Suppose $R = 0$, then there exists two sequences c_1^n, c_2^n in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that $|c_1^n - c_2^n| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which means $c_j^n \rightarrow c_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ for each $j = 1, 2$. Note that $r_0c_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ and f_1 is an immersion at r_0c_0 implies f_1 is injective on some neighborhood $N \subset \mathbb{D}$ of r_0c_0 . However for n large enough, all $r_0c_1^n, r_0c_2^n$ belong to N and we have $f_1(r_0c_1^n) = f_2(c_1^n) = f_2(c_2^n) = f_1(r_0c_2^n)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $R > 0$. □

Define

$$U = \left\{ (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}} : |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| < \frac{R}{2} \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{V}^{k,\alpha}$ be the space of all solutions of equation (1) in the class $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$. For any function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, let $E_{\zeta_1, \zeta_2} f = Ef(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ be the 0-jet of $f \times f$ at $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in X$ defined by

$$E_{\zeta_1, \zeta_2} f = (\zeta_1, f(\zeta_1), \zeta_2, f(\zeta_2)).$$

By using the compactness argument as in Proposition 5.2 of [1], we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.2. *For $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{k-1, \alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ ($k \geq 1, 0 < \alpha < 1$), there exists a subspace $V \subset \mathcal{V}^{k, \alpha}$ with $\dim V < \infty$, such that for all $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in (\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U$, the mapping $u \mapsto (u(\zeta_1), u(\zeta_2))$ is surjective from V onto \mathbb{C}^{2n} .*

Before proving Theorem 1.1, we need some results from [1]. Assume for the moment that $f_2 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$ is a pseudo-holomorphic disc of class $\mathcal{C}^{k, \alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ where k is large and $0 < \alpha < 1$, the particular values of k and α are unimportant. For every point $p \in M$ there exists a chart $\psi : U \subset M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $p \in U, \psi(p) = 0$, and for the push-forward $\psi_* J = d\psi \circ J \circ d\psi^{-1}$ we have $\psi_* J(0) = J_{st}$. It is proved in [1] that it is possible to choose such chart ψ^ζ for each $p = f_2(\zeta)$ and $\psi^\zeta \in \mathcal{C}^{k, \alpha}$ -smoothly depends on $\zeta \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Furthermore, a map $f : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M$ close to f_2 is in $\mathcal{C}^{k, \alpha}$ if and only if the map $\zeta \mapsto g(\zeta) \triangleq \psi^{r\zeta}(f(\zeta)) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies an equation of the form

$$(4) \quad g_{\bar{\zeta}} = A(\zeta, g)\bar{g}_{\bar{\zeta}} + b(\zeta, g),$$

where b is some smooth matrix function and $A(\zeta, \cdot)$ is the complex matrix of $\psi^{r\zeta} J$.

Let g_0 be a solution of (4) in $\mathcal{C}^{k, \alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ and put $A_0(\zeta) = A(\zeta, g_0(\zeta))$. For any other solution g of (4) in the same class, put $h = g - A_0\bar{g}$, so h and g is in one-to-one correspondence. By a direct computation equation, (4) can be written as

$$(5) \quad h_{\bar{\zeta}} = K_0\bar{h}_{\bar{\zeta}} + K_1h + K_2\bar{h} + q$$

for some matrix functions K_0, K_1, K_2, q . Put $h_0 = g_0 - A_0\bar{g}_0$. Equation (5) is equivalent to

$$h = T_0(K_0\bar{h}_{\bar{\zeta}} + K_1h + K_2\bar{h} + q) + \phi + \phi_0,$$

where ϕ is holomorphic, ϕ_0 is a fixed holomorphic function such that (5) holds with $h = h_0$ and $\phi = 0$.

Consider the C^∞ map

$$h \mapsto F_0(h) = \phi = h - T_0(K_0\bar{h}_{\bar{\zeta}} + K_1h + K_2\bar{h} + q) - \phi_0,$$

and let $P = F'_0(h_0)$. By Theorem 3.1, we can modify F_0 to get a new function $F : h \mapsto F(h)$ where

$$F(h) = h - T_0(K_0\bar{h}_{\bar{\zeta}} + K_1h + K_2\bar{h} + q) - \phi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^d \operatorname{Re}(w_j, h - h_0)p_j.$$

Note that the Fréchet derivative of F at h_0 has the form

$$F'(h_0)u = u - T_0(B_1u + B_2\bar{u}) + \sum_{j=1}^d \operatorname{Re}(w_j, u)p_j, \quad B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{k-1, \alpha}(\mathbb{D}).$$

In fact $F'(h_0)$ is an isomorphism, hence by the inverse function theorem F gives a one-to-one correspondence between all solutions of (5) close to h_0 and all holomorphic functions ϕ close to 0 in $\mathcal{C}^{k, \alpha}(\mathbb{D})$.

As a conclusion we have a one-to-one correspondence $f \leftrightarrow h$ between pseudo-holomorphic disc f close to f_2 and solutions h of the equation (5) close to h_0 .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $J_1 = \{0\text{-jet of } f \times f : (\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U \rightarrow M \times M \mid f \text{ is } J\text{-holo}\}$, $J_2 = \{0\text{-jet of } h \times h : (\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n} \mid h \text{ satisfies (5)}\}$

The correspondence $f \leftrightarrow h$ gives rise to a diffeomorphism

$$\Psi : W \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$$

defined in the neighborhood $W \supset Ef_2((\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U)$ in J_1 , $\widetilde{W} = \Psi(W)$ in J_2 . Let $\Delta = \{(\zeta_1, x, \zeta_2, x) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times M \times \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times M \mid (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in (\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U, x \in M\}$ be a subset of J_1 , then Ef is transversal to Δ if and only if the corresponding Eh is transversal to $\widetilde{\Delta} = \Psi(\Delta)$. (Here, we only consider the case $\Delta \cap W \neq \emptyset$ and denote $\Delta \cap W$ by Δ again, otherwise $Ef \pitchfork \Delta$ for small perturbation of f_2 .)

Let u_1, \dots, u_N be the basis of $V \subset \mathcal{V}^{k,\alpha}$, the space of all solutions of $u_{\bar{\zeta}} = B_1 u + B_2 \bar{u}$ in the class $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. Let $\phi_j = F'(h_0)(u_j)$ and $\phi_s = \sum_l s_l \phi_l$ for $s = (s_1, \dots, s_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : ((\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U) \times \mathbb{R}^N &\rightarrow J_2, \\ (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, s) &\rightarrow EF^{-1}(\phi_s)(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \end{aligned}$$

is defined for small $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$. By Proposition 4.2, the mapping is a submersion for $s = 0, (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in (\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U$, by shrinking the domain of s in \mathbb{R}^N , we see that Φ is a submersion. Hence by parametric transversality, there is $s \in \mathbb{R}^N$ arbitrarily close to 0 such that $Eh = {}^{(2)}jF^{-1}(\phi_s)$ is transversal to $\widetilde{\Delta}$, hence the corresponding Ef is transversal to Δ .

Now Ef is transversal to Δ implies $Ef((\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$. To see this, first observe that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}((\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U) = 4$, so

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} Ef((\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U) = 4, \quad \dim_{\mathbb{R}}((\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U) \times M = 4 + 2n$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times M \times \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times M) = 4 + 4n.$$

Therefore, if $Ef((\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U) \cap \Delta$ is nonempty, it will imply $4 + 4 + 2n \geq 4 + 4n$ which gives $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n \leq 2$, a contradiction.

Finally, we need to check that f is injective on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, suppose there exists $\zeta_1 \neq \zeta_2$ in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ with $f(\zeta_1) = f(\zeta_2)$, then $Ef((\overline{\mathbb{D}} \times \overline{\mathbb{D}}) \setminus U) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$ implies $(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in U$, which means $|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2| < \frac{R}{2}$, but this contradicts the definition of R . Hence, the immersed pseudo-holomorphic disc f is injective. Because $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is compact, f is in fact an embedding. Therefore, we have found an embedded pseudo-holomorphic disc $f \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ arbitrarily close to f_2 and hence to f_0 in $C^m(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete. \square

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Prof. Tumanov for formulating this problem and providing useful suggestions.

This paper was written in honor of Prof. John D'Angelo on the occasion of his 60th birthday. The author wishes John good health, happiness and great success in his research for years to come.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Sukhov and A. Tumanov, *Deformations and transversality of pseudo-holomorphic discs*, J. Anal. Math. **116** (2012), 1–16. [MR 2892615](#)
- [2] I. N. Vekua, *Generalized analytic functions*, Pergamon, London, 1962. [MR 0150320](#)
- [3] S. Kaliman and M. Zaidenberg, *A transversality theorem for holomorphic mappings and stability of Eisenman–Kobayashi measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 661–672. [MR 1321580](#)
- [4] F. Forstnerič, *Holomorphic flexibility properties of complex manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **128** (2006), 239–270. [MR 2197073](#)
- [5] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition. [MR 1814364](#)

YAT-SEN WONG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, 1409 WEST GREEN STREET, URBANA, IL 61801, USA

E-mail address: sfxcwys@gmail.com