CONTINUATION OF CR-AUTOMORPHISMS OF LEVI DEGENERATE HYPERQUADRICS TO THE PROJECTIVE SPACE

A. V. ISAEV AND I. G. KOSSOVSKIY

ABSTRACT. We show that every CR-automorphism of the closure of a Levi degenerate hyperquadric in the projective space extends to a holomorphic automorphism of the projective space.

1. Introduction

Let $\langle z, z \rangle$ be a Hermitian form on \mathbb{C}^n , where $z := (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, and let $Q_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ be the quadric in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} associated to $\langle z, z \rangle$ as follows:

$$Q_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} := \{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \operatorname{Im} w = \langle z, z \rangle \}.$$

Consider the closure $\overline{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ of $Q_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . Clearly, we have

$$\overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} = \bigg\{ (\boldsymbol{\zeta}:\mathbf{z}:\mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}: \, \frac{1}{2i} (\mathbf{w}\overline{\boldsymbol{\zeta}} - \boldsymbol{\zeta}\overline{\mathbf{w}}) = \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \bigg\},$$

where $(\zeta : \mathbf{z} : \mathbf{w})$ are homogeneous coordinates in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , the space \mathbb{C}^{n+1} is given in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} by $\zeta \neq 0$ with $z = \mathbf{z}/\zeta$, $w = \mathbf{w}/\zeta$, and the hyperplane at infinity by $\zeta = 0$. If the form $\langle z, z \rangle$ is nondegenerate, then $\overline{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ is a nonsingular Levi nondegenerate hypersurface, and it is well known that the following continuation phenomena hold for CR-automorphisms of $\overline{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$: (i) every local C^1 -smooth CR-automorphism of $\overline{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ extends to a global CR-automorphism of $\overline{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ [continuation along the hypersurface]; (ii) every global CR-automorphism of $\overline{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ extends to an automorphism of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} [continuation away from the hypersurface] (see [Po], [A], [Tan]). We note that continuation phenomena of both kinds for CR-automorphisms and, more generally, CR-isomorphisms

©2011 University of Illinois

Received July 23, 2009; received in final form October 16, 2009.

The research is supported by the Australian Research Council.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 32F25, 32C16.

of CR-manifolds other than $\overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ were observed by many authors (see e.g., [Pi1], [VEK], [NS], [Ka], [LS] and references therein).

Let us suppose now that $\langle z, z \rangle$ is degenerate. Assuming without loss of generality that $\langle z, z \rangle$ is written as

$$\langle z, z \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{m} |z_j|^2 - \sum_{j=m+1}^{k} |z_j|^2,$$

where $m \ge 0$, $m \le k \le 2m$ and k < n, we see that the singular set $S_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ of $\overline{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ is

$$S_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} = \{(0:\mathbf{z}:0) \in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1}: \mathbf{z}_1 = \cdots = \mathbf{z}_k = 0\},\$$

and that the regular part $\tilde{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} := \overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} \setminus S_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ of $\overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ is everywhere Levi degenerate. The collection of local CR-automorphisms of $\tilde{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ includes all maps of the form

$$z_j \mapsto z_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$z_j \mapsto f_j(z), \quad j = k+1, \dots, n,$$

$$w \mapsto w,$$

where f_j for j = k + 1, ..., n are any functions holomorphic near the origin in \mathbb{C}^n , such that the matrix $(\partial f_j / \partial z_l(0))_{j,l=k+1,...,n}$ is nondegenerate. Thus, the first continuation phenomenon does not have any reasonable analogue in the Levi degenerate case.

Interestingly, as we will see below, the second continuation phenomenon still holds in this case, provided one uses the right definition of (global) CR-automorphism of $\overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$. Let $f:\overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} \to \overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ be a bijective map. For f to be called a CR-automorphism of $\overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ and is a CR-automorphism of $\tilde{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ in the regular part $\hat{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ and is a CR-automorphism of $\tilde{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ in the usual sense. It turns out (see Section 2) that this condition is sufficient for the second continuation phenomenon to hold if k > m, that is, if the Levi form of $\tilde{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ has eigenvalues of opposite signs at every point. Also, it is not hard to observe (see e.g., Example 1.1 below) that for the case k = m a condition on the behavior of f to be a homeomorphism of $\overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ (cf. the definition of CR-function on a singular quadratic cone given in [CS]). However, as the following example shows, this condition is not sufficient for f to extend to an automorphism of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} .

EXAMPLE 1.1. Let k = m. There exists an automorphism Φ of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} that transforms $\overline{Q}_{(...)}$ into the hypersurface

$$\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} := \{ (\zeta : \mathbf{z} : \mathbf{w}) \in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} : |\zeta|^2 - |\mathbf{w}|^2 = \langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z} \rangle \}.$$

Clearly, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ is the closure in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} of the nonsingular hypersurface

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}:=\{(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^{n+1}:\,\langle z,z\rangle+|w|^2=1\},$$

which is the product of \mathbb{C}^{n-m} and the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} . Under the map Φ , the regular part $\tilde{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ is transformed into $\mathcal{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$, and the singular part $S_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ into

$$\mathcal{S}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} := \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} \cap \{\zeta = 0\}.$$

We now define a map $f: \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} \to \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ as follows: f fixes every point of $\mathcal{S}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$, and on the finite part $\mathcal{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ it is the restriction of the automorphism of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} given by the formulas

$$z_j \mapsto z_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$z_j \mapsto e^w z_j, \quad j = m + 1, \dots, n,$$

$$w \mapsto w.$$

For $m + 1 \leq l \leq n$, let U_l be the subset of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} where $\mathbf{z}_l \neq 0$, and let $\rho^l := \zeta/\mathbf{z}_l, \tau_j^l := \mathbf{z}_j/\mathbf{z}_l, \sigma^l := \mathbf{w}/\mathbf{z}_l$, with $j \neq l$, be coordinates in U_l . In these coordinates, on the intersection $\mathcal{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \cap U_l$ the map f is given by the formulas

$$\begin{split} \rho^{l} &\mapsto \rho^{l} e^{-\sigma^{l}/\rho^{l}}, \\ \tau^{l}_{j} &\mapsto \tau^{l}_{j} e^{-\sigma^{l}/\rho^{l}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m, \\ \tau^{l}_{j} &\mapsto \tau^{l}_{j}, \quad j = m + 1, \dots, n, \ j \neq l, \\ \sigma^{l} &\mapsto \sigma^{l} e^{-\sigma^{l}/\rho^{l}}. \end{split}$$

Letting $\rho^l \to 0$ we see that f is continuous on all of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ (hence, f is a homeomorphism), but does not extend holomorphically to a neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$.

The above example motivates imposing more restrictive conditions on the behavior of f on the set $S_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$. Our definition of CR-automorphism of $\overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ is therefore as follows.

DEFINITION 1.2. A map $f: \overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle} \to \overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ is called a CR-automorphism of $\overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ if f is bijective and satisfies the conditions: (a) f preserves $\tilde{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$, and the restriction of f to $\tilde{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ is a C^1 -smooth CR-automorphism of $\tilde{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$; (b) if k = m, then both f and f^{-1} holomorphically extend to a neighborhood of $S_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$.

In this short note, the following result is obtained.

THEOREM 1.3. Every CR-automorphism of $\overline{Q}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$ extends to a holomorphic automorphism of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} .

The proof of Theorem 1.3 given in Section 2 is inspired by some of the arguments utilized in [NS]. These arguments can also be applied to yield the second continuation phenomenon for generic quadratic cones (see Remark 2.1).

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Suppose first that k > m. Let f be a CR-automorphism of $\overline{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. Since the Levi form of $\tilde{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ has eigenvalues of opposite signs at every point, f extends to a biholomorphic map f_1 defined in a neighborhood U of $\tilde{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. By a result of [F], [Tak] (see also [U]), the envelope of holomorphy of U is either a Stein domain over \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} or coincides with \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . However, $\tilde{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ contains the copy of \mathbb{CP}^1 given in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= \mathbf{w}, \\ \mathbf{z}_1 &= \mathbf{z}_k, \\ \mathbf{z}_j &= 0 \quad \text{for } j = 2, \dots, k-1 \text{ and } j = k+1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, U cannot lie in a Stein manifold, and thus the envelope of holomorphy of U is \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} .

Next, every locally biholomorphic map from an open subset V of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} onto an open subset of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} extends to a locally biholomorphic map from the envelope of holomorphy of V onto an open subset of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} (see [Ke], [I], [NS]). Therefore, f_1 extends to a locally biholomorphic map f_2 from \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} into \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . The compactness and simple connectedness of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} now imply that f_2 is a holomorphic automorphism of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} , as required.

We now suppose that k = m and use the notation introduced in Example 1.1. Choose an automorphism Φ of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} as specified in the example, and let $g := \Phi \circ f \circ \Phi^{-1}$. The restriction of g to $\mathcal{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ is a C^1 -smooth CR-automorphism of $\mathcal{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$.

Assume first that $m \geq 1$. In this case every component of each of the maps, g and g^{-1} admits a one-sided holomorphic extension from $\mathcal{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ to an open set $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and hence to the domain

$$\mathcal{B}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} := \{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \langle z, z \rangle + |w|^2 < 1 \}$$

(the envelope of holomorphy of \mathcal{U}), which is the product of \mathbb{C}^{n-m} and the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} . Since every nonconstant C^1 -smooth CR-map from an open subset of the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} into the sphere extends to a holomorphic automorphism of the unit ball (see e.g., [Pi2]), it follows that the extension of g is a holomorphic automorphism of $\mathcal{B}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. By assumption, g also extends to a biholomorphic map defined in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. It then follows that g extends to a biholomorphic map g_1 defined on the union U of $\mathcal{B}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ and a neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. Observe that U contains the copy of \mathbb{CP}^1 given in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} by the equations

$$\mathbf{z}_j = 0$$
 for $j = 1, ..., n - 1$,
 $\mathbf{w} = 0$.

It follows that U cannot lie in a Stein manifold, and thus by [F], [Tak] the envelope of holomorphy of U is \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . Arguing as earlier, we see that g_1 extends to a holomorphic automorphism of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} .

Suppose now that m = 0. Clearly, the restriction of g to $\mathcal{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ has the form

$$w \mapsto \psi(w), \qquad z \mapsto \Psi(z, w),$$

where ψ is a C^1 -smooth diffeomorphism of the unit circle $S^1 := \{|w| = 1\}$, and for every $w \in S^1$, the map $\Psi(z, w)$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{C}^n . Each component of $\Psi(z, w)$ is given by a power series in z whose coefficients are C^1 -smooth functions on S^1 . Since g holomorphically extends to a neighborhood of $\mathcal{S}_{\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle}$, for every $w \in S^1$ the map $\Psi(z, w)$ extends to an automorphism of \mathbb{CP}^n . Hence, $\Psi(z, w)$ is an affine map with respect to z whose coefficients are C^1 -smooth functions on S^1 , i.e., for $j = 1, \ldots, n$ the jth component $\Psi_j(z, w)$ of $\Psi(z, w)$ has the form

$$\Psi_{j}(z,w) = \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{jl}(w) z_{j} + b_{j}(w),$$

where all the functions $a_{il}(w)$, $b_i(w)$ are C^1 -smooth on S^1 .

Since g holomorphically extends to a neighborhood of $S_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$, it is holomorphic on a set of the form

$$\{(z,w)\in\mathbb{C}^{n+1}: |z|>R, 1-\varepsilon<|w|<1+\varepsilon\}$$

for some $R, \varepsilon > 0$. Hence, each of the functions $\psi(w), a_{jl}(w), b_j(w)$ holomorphically extends to a neighborhood of S^1 , and therefore g holomorphically extends to a neighborhood of $\mathcal{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .

Thus, g continues to a biholomorphic map g_1 defined in a neighborhood U of $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ contains the copy of \mathbb{CP}^n given in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} by the equation $\zeta = \mathbf{w}$, it follows that U cannot lie in a Stein manifold, and thus by [F], [Tak] the envelope of holomorphy of U is \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} . Arguing as above, we see that g_1 extends to a holomorphic automorphism of \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} .

The proof is complete.

REMARK 2.1. Let $\rho(z, w)$ be a real-valued homogeneous polynomial of degree two in $\operatorname{Re} z_j$, $\operatorname{Im} z_j$, $\operatorname{Re} w$, $\operatorname{Im} w$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$. The associated quadratic cone C_{ρ} is defined to be the zero set of ρ . If ρ is a Hermitian form on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , then the closure \overline{C}_{ρ} of C_{ρ} in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} is projectively equivalent to a hypersurface $\overline{Q}_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ for which the corresponding form $\langle z, z \rangle$ is degenerate. If, on the other hand, ρ is a generic non-Hermitian polynomial, then the singular set of \overline{C}_{ρ} at infinity in \mathbb{CP}^{n+1} contains a compact complex variety. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1.3 given above yields the second continuation phenomenon for (appropriately defined) CR-automorphisms of \overline{C}_{ρ} as well. For a study of CR-functions on irreducible quadratic cones and a complete classification of such cones in \mathbb{C}^2 , we refer the reader to [CS] and references therein. Acknowledgment. We acknowledge that the proof for the case k > m was suggested to us by S. Nemirovskii.

References

- [A] H. Alexander, Holomorphic mappings from the ball and polydisc, Math. Ann. 209 (1974), 249–256. MR 0352531
- [CS] D. Chakrabarti and R. Shafikov, Holomorphic extension of CR functions from quadratic cones, Math. Ann. 341 (2008), 543–573. MR 2399158
- [F] R. Fujita, Domaines sans point critique intérieur sur l'espace projectif complexe, J. Math. Soc. Japan 15 (1963), 443–473. MR 0159034
- S. Ivashkovich, Extension of locally biholomorphic mappings of domains into complex projective space, Math. USSR Izv. 22 (1984), 181–189.
- [Ka] W. Kaup, On the local equivalence of homogeneous CR-manifolds, Arch. Math. 84 (2005), 276–281. MR 2134142
- [Ke] H. Kerner, Über die Fortsetzung holomorpher Abbildungen, Arch. Math. (Basel) 11 (1960), 44–49. MR 0117758
- [LS] M. Landucci and A. Spiro, On the localization principle for the automorphisms of pseudoellipsoids, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 1339–1345. MR 2465657
- [NS] S. Nemirovskii and R. Shafikov, Uniformization of strictly pseudoconvex domains. I, Izv. Math. 69 (2005), 1189–1202. MR 2190090
- S. I. Pinchuk, On holomorphic mappings of real analytic hypersurfaces, Math. USSR Sb. 34 (1978), 503–519. MR 0496595
- [Pi2] S. I. Pinchuk, Holomorphic mappings in Cⁿ and the problem of holomorphic equivalence, several complex variables. III. Geometric function theory, Encycl. Math. Sci. 9 (1989), 173–199.
- [Po] H. Poincaré, Les fonctions analytiques de deux variables et la représentation conforme, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 23 (1907), 185–220.
- [Tak] A. Takeuchi, Domaines pseudoconvexes infinis et la métrique riemannienne dans un espace projectif, J. Math. Soc. Japan 16 (1964), 159–181. MR 0173789
- [Tan] N. Tanaka, On the pseudo-conformal geometry of hypersurfaces of the space of n complex variables, J. Math. Soc. Japan 14 (1962), 397–429. MR 0145555
- T. Ueda, Pseudoconvex domains over Grassmann manifolds, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 20 (1980), 391–394. MR 0582173
- [VEK] A. G. Vitushkin, V. V. Ezhov and N. G. Kruzhilin, Extension of holomorphic mappings along real-analytic hypersurfaces, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 167 (1986), 63– 102.
- A. V. ISAEV, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, CANBERRA, ACT 0200, AUSTRALIA

E-mail address: alexander.isaev@anu.edu.au

I. G. Kossovskiy, Department of Mathematics, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

E-mail address: ilya.kossovskiy@anu.edu.au