

# NOTE ON A CRITERION OF SCHEERER

BY

PETER HILTON, GUIDO MISLIN, AND JOSEPH ROITBERG

## 1. Introduction

In [4] Scheerer considered principal  $G$ -bundles over  $S^n$

$$(1.1) \quad G \rightarrow E_\alpha \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} S^n,$$

classified by  $\alpha \in \pi_{n-1}(G)$ , and proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Suppose the diagram*

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^{n-1} \times G & \xrightarrow{\mu(\alpha \times 1)} & G \\ \downarrow 1 \times k & & \downarrow k \\ S^{n-1} \times G & \xrightarrow{\mu(k\alpha \times 1)} & G \end{array}$$

is (homotopy) commutative, where  $k : G \rightarrow G$  is the  $k^{\text{th}}$  power map and  $\mu : G \times G \rightarrow G$  is the multiplication. Then

$$(1.3) \quad k\alpha_0 \circ f_\alpha = 0$$

where  $\alpha_0 : S^n \rightarrow B_G$  is adjoint to  $\alpha$ .

Now consider the pull-back diagram

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{E} & \longrightarrow & E_{k\alpha} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{k\alpha} \\ E_\alpha & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & S^n \end{array}$$

Then, of course, (1.3) guarantees that

$$(1.5) \quad \bar{E} = E_\alpha \times G,$$

so that Theorem 1.1 is highly relevant to the study of non-cancellation phenomena<sup>1</sup> in [1], [2], [3], [4]. Indeed in [2] it is shown that the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 above is equivalent, in the case  $G = S^3$ , to the key condition

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} k(k-1)\omega \circ \Sigma^3\alpha = 0 \in \pi_{n+2}(S^3)$$

Received February 3, 1972.

<sup>1</sup> A different, but related, approach to non-cancellation phenomena is due to A. Sieradski.

appearing in Theorem 2.4 of [3], where  $\omega \in \pi_6(S^3)$  is the Blakers-Massey element generating  $\pi_6(S^3)$ .

In this note we give a different proof of Theorem 1.1, which leads to a new insight into the nature of the relation (1.3); we are also able to generalize the theorem. Roughly speaking, we show that, in the presence of the homotopy commutative square (1.2), we may replace a  $k$ -fold ‘rolling’ of the base  $S^n$  by a  $k$ -fold ‘rolling’ of the fibre in the opposite direction. More precisely, instead of the diagram

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G & \xlongequal{\quad} & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_{k\alpha} & \longrightarrow & E_\alpha \\ \downarrow f_{k\alpha} & & \downarrow f_\alpha \\ S^n & \xrightarrow{k} & S^n \end{array}$$

we may construct a diagram

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{k} & G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_\alpha & \longrightarrow & E_{k\alpha} \\ \downarrow f_\alpha & & \downarrow f_{k\alpha} \\ S^n & \xlongequal{\quad} & S^n \end{array}$$

It is, of course, trivial to deduce (1.3) from the diagram (1.8). Thus our aim in this note is to show that the Scheerer condition (1.2) guarantees the existence of a diagram (1.8). Our argument rests on a technical lemma whose statement and proof occupy Section 2. In Section 3 we state our main theorem, which is a corollary of the lemma, and offer some commentary.

The authors are indebted to Paul Olum for very helpful discussions in connection with the technical lemma.

### 2. A technical lemma

We work in the category  $T_0$  of based spaces and based maps; homotopies will also be supposed to be based. We have cofibrations in this category and we represent a cofibration by

$$a \twoheadrightarrow c.$$

We also have push-outs in this category and the following proposition is well known.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *If*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{\phi} & b \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ c & \xrightarrow{\psi} & d \end{array}$$

*is a push-out in  $T_0$  and if  $\mu$  is a cofibration, so is  $\nu$ .*

Moreover, every morphism  $\phi : a \rightarrow b$  factorizes canonically, via the (based) mapping cylinder, as

$$a \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} \bar{b} \xrightarrow{\rho} b,$$

where  $\bar{\phi}$  is a cofibration and  $\rho$  is a homotopy equivalence. Now suppose given a push-out square

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{\phi} & b \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ c & \xrightarrow{\psi} & d \end{array} .$$

We may then construct the diagram

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} a & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \bar{b} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & b \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\nu} & & \downarrow \nu \\ c & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & \bar{d} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & d \end{array} ,$$

factorizing (2.1), where the top row is the canonical factorization of  $\phi$  and the left-hand square is again a push-out, so that  $\sigma$  is determined by the push-out property. Again we quote a well-known proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *In the diagram (2.2),  $\sigma$  is a homotopy equivalence.*

We note that it is very easy to see that  $\sigma$  is a homology equivalence. However, with the help of the standard homotopy inverse,  $\bar{\rho}$ , to  $\rho$ , one may construct an explicit homotopy inverse,  $\bar{\sigma}$ , to  $\sigma$ .

With this preparation we announce the technical lemma. We consider

the diagram (2.1) augmented by a map  $\theta : d \rightarrow e$  such that  $\theta\nu = 0$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{\phi} & b \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ c & \xrightarrow{\psi} & d \\ & & \searrow \theta \\ & & e \end{array} \quad \theta\nu = 0.$$

Further, we consider a commutative diagram

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} a' & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & b' \\ \mu' \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu' \\ c' & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & d' \\ & & \searrow \theta' \\ & & e' \end{array} \quad \theta'\nu' = 0.$$

We suppose given maps

$$\alpha : a \rightarrow a', \quad \beta : b \rightarrow b', \quad \gamma : c \rightarrow c', \quad \varepsilon : e \rightarrow e',$$

such that

$$(2.5) \quad \beta\phi \simeq \phi'\alpha, \quad \gamma\mu = \mu'\alpha, \quad \varepsilon\theta\psi = \theta'\psi'\gamma'.$$

LEMMA 2.3. *Under these circumstances, there exists  $\delta : d \rightarrow d'$  such that*

$$\delta\nu \simeq \nu'\beta, \quad \varepsilon\theta \simeq \theta'\delta.$$

*Proof.* Using (2.2), replace (2.3) by

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \bar{b} \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\nu} \\ c & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \bar{d} \\ & & \searrow \bar{\theta} \\ & & e \end{array}$$

where  $\bar{\theta} = \theta\sigma$ , and set  $\bar{\beta} = \beta\rho : \bar{b} \rightarrow b'$ . Then  $\bar{\theta}\bar{\nu} = 0$  and

$$(2.7) \quad \bar{\beta}\bar{\phi} = \beta\phi \simeq \phi'\alpha, \quad \gamma\mu = \mu'\alpha, \quad \varepsilon\bar{\theta}\bar{\psi} = \varepsilon\theta\psi = \theta'\psi'\gamma.$$

Since  $\bar{\phi}$  is a cofibration,  $\bar{\beta} \simeq \bar{\bar{\beta}}$  with  $\bar{\bar{\beta}}\bar{\phi} = \phi'\alpha$ . Since the square in (2.6) is a push-out, there exists  $\bar{\delta} : \bar{d} \rightarrow d'$ , characterized by

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{\delta}\bar{v} = v'\bar{\bar{\beta}}, \quad \bar{\delta}\bar{\psi} = \psi'\gamma.$$

We claim that

$$(2.9) \quad \theta'\bar{\delta} = \varepsilon\bar{\theta}.$$

To prove this, it is sufficient to show that

$$\theta'v'\bar{\bar{\beta}} = \varepsilon\bar{\theta}\bar{v}, \quad \theta'\psi'\gamma = \varepsilon\bar{\theta}\bar{\psi},$$

(see (2.8)). But the first relation in (2.10) follows since each side is zero, and the second is part of (2.7). Thus (2.9) holds. Now set  $\delta = \bar{\delta}\bar{\sigma}$ , where  $\bar{\sigma}$  is a homotopy inverse to  $\sigma$ . Then, with  $\bar{p}$  homotopy inverse to  $\rho$ ,

$$\delta v = \bar{\delta}\bar{\sigma}v \simeq \bar{\delta}\bar{v}\bar{p} = v'\bar{\bar{\beta}}\bar{p} \simeq v'\beta\bar{p} \simeq v'\beta, \quad \theta'\delta = \theta'\bar{\delta}\bar{\sigma} = \varepsilon\bar{\theta}\bar{\sigma} \simeq \varepsilon\theta.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

*Remark.* The lemma clearly admits a dual formulation, in the sense of Eckmann-Hilton.

### 3. The main theorem

Let

$$F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{f} \Sigma A$$

be a  $G$ -bundle classified by  $u : A \rightarrow G$  and let

$$\tau : G \times F \rightarrow F$$

be the action of  $G$  on  $F$ . Similarly let

$$F' \xrightarrow{i'} E' \xrightarrow{f'} \Sigma A'$$

be a  $G'$ -bundle classified by  $u' : A' \rightarrow G'$  with action

$$\tau' : G' \times F' \rightarrow F'.$$

Let  $\kappa : F \rightarrow F', \lambda : A \rightarrow A'$  be maps.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $\kappa\tau(u \times 1) \simeq \tau'(u'\lambda \times \kappa) : A \times F \rightarrow F'$ , there is a map  $\delta : E \rightarrow E'$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & F' \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow i' \\ E & \xrightarrow{\delta} & E' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ \Sigma A & \xrightarrow{\Sigma \lambda} & \Sigma A' \end{array}$$

*homotopy commutes.*

*Proof.* Consider the maps

$$\tau(u \times 1) : A \times F \rightarrow F, \quad j \times 1 : A \times F \rightarrow CA \times F.$$

Then  $E$  is the push-out of these two maps, thus

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times F & \xrightarrow{\tau(u \times 1)} & F \\ \downarrow j \times 1 & & \downarrow i \\ CA \times F & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E \end{array} .$$

Moreover the fibre projection  $f : E \rightarrow \Sigma A$  is characterized by the conditions  $fi = 0, f\psi = p$ , where  $p : CA \times F \rightarrow \Sigma A$  first projects onto  $CA$  and then passes to the quotient  $CA/A = \Sigma A$ . We also remark that  $j \times 1$  is a cofibration. Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times F & \xrightarrow{\tau(u \times 1)} & F \\ \downarrow j \times 1 & & \downarrow i \\ CA \times F & \xrightarrow{\psi} & E \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \searrow 0 \\ \searrow f \\ \searrow p \end{array} \Sigma A$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' \times F' & \xrightarrow{\tau'(u' \times 1)} & F' \\ \downarrow j' \times 1 & & \downarrow i' \\ CA' \times F' & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & E' \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \searrow 0 \\ \searrow f' \\ \searrow p' \end{array} \Sigma A'$$

We are thus in a position to apply the technical lemma of Section 2, with  $\alpha = \lambda \times \kappa, \beta = \kappa, \delta = C\lambda \times \kappa, \varepsilon = \Sigma\lambda$ . The first condition in (2.5) is then precisely the hypothesis of the theorem, and the other two conditions are automatically satisfied. Thus we find  $\delta : E \rightarrow E'$  with  $\delta i \simeq i' \kappa, f' \delta \simeq (\Sigma\lambda)f$ .

*Remark.* With the fibration  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow \Sigma A$  is associated a map  $v : A \rightarrow F$  in the usual way; in fact,  $v = \tau u_1$ , where  $u_1$  embeds  $G$  in  $G \times F$ . Similarly we associate  $v' : A' \rightarrow F'$  with  $F' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow \Sigma A'$ . Then we note that the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 implies the homotopy commutativity of the square

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & A' \\ \downarrow v & & \downarrow v' \\ F & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & F' \end{array} .$$

Let us consider, in particular, principal bundles over  $\Sigma A$ , with  $\lambda = 1$ . Thus  $F = G, F' = G', \tau = \mu$ , the multiplication on  $G, \tau' = \mu'$ , the multiplication on  $G'$ . Then we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Suppose given principal bundles*

$$G \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{f} \Sigma A, \quad G' \xrightarrow{i'} E' \xrightarrow{f'} \Sigma A,$$

*classified by  $u : A \rightarrow G, u' : A \rightarrow G'$  respectively, and a map  $\kappa : G \rightarrow G'$ . If*

$$\kappa \mu(u \times 1) \simeq \mu'(u' \times \kappa),$$

*there is a map  $\delta : E \rightarrow E'$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & G' \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow i' \\ E & \xrightarrow{\delta} & E' \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f' \\ \Sigma A & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Sigma A \end{array}$$

*homotopy commutes. Consequently  $u'_0 f \simeq 0$ , where  $u'_0 : \Sigma A \rightarrow B_{G'}$  is adjoint to  $u'$ .*

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *If the hypothesis of Corollary 3.2 holds, then  $\kappa u \simeq u'$ . Conversely, if  $\kappa u \simeq u'$  and  $\kappa : G \rightarrow G'$  is an H-map, then the hypothesis of Corollary 3.2 holds.*

*Proof.* The first statement is a special case of the Remark following the

proof of Theorem 3.1 (see (3.1)). Conversely, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times G & \xrightarrow{1 \times \kappa} & A \times G' \\
 \downarrow u \times 1 & & \downarrow u' \times 1 \\
 G \times G & \xrightarrow{\kappa \times \kappa} & G' \times G' \\
 \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu' \\
 G & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & G'
 \end{array}$$

The homotopy-commutativity of the top square is the condition  $\kappa u \simeq u'$ , and that of the bottom square is the condition that  $\kappa$  be an  $H$ -map. The homotopy commutativity of the composite square is the hypothesis of Corollary 3.2.

Now the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 is just the hypothesis of Corollary 3.2 in the case  $A = S^{n-1}$ ,  $G = G'$ ,  $\kappa = k^{\text{th}}$  power map,  $u = \alpha$ ,  $u' = ku = k\alpha$ . (Note that the hypothesis of Corollary 3.2 implies, in this case,  $u' = ku$ .) Thus the conclusion we wish to draw—the existence of (1.8) and hence the truth of (1.3)—is a special case of Corollary 3.2. Proposition 3.3 is relevant in drawing explicit attention to the fact that (1.3) holds if  $k : G \rightarrow G$  is an  $H$ -map (as observed by Scheerer).

*Remark 1.* Since, if  $G = S^3$ , the Scheerer condition is equivalent to (1.6), we have, in that case, a complete grasp of the values of  $k$  for which the Scheerer condition holds, modulo knowledge of homotopy groups of spheres. Thus, in particular, if we take  $n = 7$ ,  $\alpha = m\omega$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq 6$ , we find that the appropriate values of  $k$  are the following

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ll}
 k \text{ arbitrary} & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\
 k \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{3} & \text{if } m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}.
 \end{array}$$

Thus, for the values of  $k$  listed in (3.3), we have maps

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 S^3 & \xrightarrow{k} & S^3 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 E_{m\omega} & \longrightarrow & E_{km\omega} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 S^7 & \equiv & S^7
 \end{array}$$



in addition to the maps

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S^3 & \xlongequal{\quad} & S^3 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_{km\omega} & \longrightarrow & E_{m\omega} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^7 & \xrightarrow{k} & S^7 \end{array}$$

which we have for all values of  $m, k$ . It is possible that the maps (3.4), perhaps in conjunction with the maps (3.5), could yield further information, or a new insight into existing information, on the manifolds  $E_{m\omega}$ . For example, one gets immediate information on the attaching map for the top cell. A similar program is in principle possible for the total spaces of arbitrary sphere bundles over spheres.

*Remark 2.* Corollary 3.2 shows that, under the given hypothesis, the principal bundles  $G \rightarrow E \rightarrow \Sigma A$ ,  $G' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow \Sigma A$  are  $p$ -fibre-homotopy-equivalent for any prime  $p$  for which  $\kappa_p$  is a homotopy equivalence (assuming  $p$ -fibre homotopy-equivalence makes sense for these bundles). Thus if we suppose  $A$  connected and assume  $u : A \rightarrow G$  to be of finite order  $n$ ; and if we further suppose that  $G = G'$  is 1-connected and  $\kappa_p : G_p \rightarrow G_p$  belongs to  $H(G_p)$  if  $p \mid n$ , then the hypothesis of Corollary 3.2 implies that the bundles belong to the same genus in the sense of [2] and hence, by [1, Theorem 3.4],  $E \times G \cong E' \times G$ . The same result would follow directly from arguments in [2], but here we have the additional information that there is a map  $\delta : E \rightarrow E'$  yielding a localized equivalence  $\delta_p$  for  $p \mid n$ .

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. PETER HILTON, GUIDO MISLIN AND JOSEPH ROITBERG, *Sphere bundles over spheres and non-cancellation phenomena*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., vol. 6 (1972), pp. 15–23; see also *Lecture notes in mathematics*, vol. 249, Springer (1971), pp. 34–46.
2. ———, *H-spaces of rank two and non-cancellation phenomena*, *Inventiones Math.*, vol. 16 (1972),
3. PETER HILTON AND JOSEPH ROITBERG, *On principal  $S^3$ -bundles over spheres*, *Ann. of Math.*, vol. 90 (1969), pp. 91–107.
4. H. SCHEERER, *On principal bundles over spheres*, *Indag. Math.*, vol. 32 (1970), pp. 353–355.