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Introduction
The Dyer-Lashof algebra $R$ is an algebra of operations which act on the homology of infinite loop spaces. The algebra $\Lambda$ may be considered as an algebra of operations which act on the homotopy of simplical restricted Lie algebras. The purpose of this paper is to describe the relation between $R$ and $\Lambda$. As an application, we use this relation, together with the Adams spectral sequence, to obtain information about possible spherical classes in $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n}\right)$.

For each integer $i \geq 0$, there is a Kudo-Araki operation $Q^{i}$ which acts on the mod-2 homology of each infinite loop space. The Dyer-Lashof algebra $R$ is the free associative algebra over $Z_{2}$ generated by the $Q^{i}$, modulo the ideal of relations which hold in every infinite loop space. There are two types of relations: (1) $Q^{i}$ is 0 when applied to a homology class of dimension greater than $i$, and
(2) Adem-type relations which hold among iterates of the $Q$ 's. The structure of $R$ is known from the work of Araki-Kudo, Browder, Dyer-Lashof, Madsen, May, and Nishida. The properties of $R$ that we use are summarized in Section 1. In particular, certain iterates of the $Q$ 's (those which are called allowable of nonnegative excess) form a basis for the vector space $R$. Let $\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}$ be the component containing the constant map of the space $\lim _{n} \Omega^{n} S^{n}$. The mod-2 homology of $\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}$ is a polynomial algebra with generators in 1-1 correspondence with the allowable basis elements of positive excess of $R$.

The algebra $\Lambda$ is obtained (in [6]) as the homotopy of the free simplical restricted Lie algebra on one generator. $\Lambda$ is shown to be the free associative algebra generated by certain elements $\lambda_{i}$, as $i=0,1,2, \ldots$, modulo an ideal which turns out to be the same as the ideal of Adem relations for $R$. Not only is the algebraic structure of $R$ similar to that of $\Lambda$, but, as we shall show, the action of the Steerod algebra and higher operations on $\Lambda$ is related to the differential $\partial$ on $\Lambda$.

For each space $X$, the (unstable) Adams spectral sequence $\left\{E_{r}(X)\right\}$, $r=1,2, \ldots$, is a sequence of differential groups, which, roughly speaking, goes from the homology of $X$ to the homotopy of $X$. Here we use the methods of Bousfield and the author [5], (modifications of those of Massey-Peterson [12]), to obtain the Adams spectral sequence for $\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}$. The term $E_{1}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$, defined by means of $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ and $\Lambda$, is shown to be itself isomorphic to $\Lambda$. This isomorphism is not filtration preserving, nor differential respecting. The precise formulation of this isomorphism (Lemma (5.1)) is the basis of our calculations. We then show (in Sections 6 and 7) that, except for elements related
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either to the Hopf invariant, or to the Kervaire invariant, all of the elements of $E_{1}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ have nonzero differentials, and so cannot persist to $E_{\infty}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$. Thus the group of spherical classes in $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ can consist at most of the Hopf classes in dimensions $1,3,7$, and (possibly) the Kervaire classes in dimensions $2\left(2^{n}-1\right)$ This includes the result of Browder [9] that dimensions other than $2\left(2^{n}-1\right)$ cannot contain a framed manifold, for such a manifold would, by the Pontrjagin-Thom construction and [16], give rise to a spherical class in $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$.

All the vector spaces, modules, algebras, etc, are to be taken over the field $Z_{2}$. For a topological space $X, H_{*}(X)$ will denote the homology groups of $X$ with $Z_{2}$ Coefficients. Each space $X$ is to have a base point, and $\Omega X$ stands for the space of loops in $X$ starting and ending at the base point $\Omega X$ is an $H$-space, and $u \cdot v$ denotes the Pontrjagin product of $u$ and $v$ in $H_{*}(\Omega X)$.

The symbol $C(m, n)$ is the binomial coefficient $m!/ n!(m-n)$ ! reduced modulo 2 , with the usual conventions: $C(m, 0)=1$, and $C(m, n)=0$ if $m<n$ or $n<0$.

## 1. Homology operations

This section summarizes some of the results of [2], [8], [10], [11], [13], [14], [15], and establishes notation A space $X$ is called an infinite loop space if there is a sequence of spaces $\left\{X_{k}\right\}, k \geq 0$, with $X=X_{0}$ and $X_{k}=\Omega X_{k+1}$ for each $k \geq 0$ If $X$ is an infinite loop space, the Kudo-Araki operations

$$
Q^{i}: H_{q}(X) \rightarrow H_{q+i}(X)
$$

are defined for each integer $i \geq 0$. We let the operations act on the right in homology, and the index $i$ refers to the dimension increase. These operations have the following properties.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(u) Q^{i}=0 & \text { if } \operatorname{dim}(u)>i \\
(u) Q^{i}=u^{2} & \text { if } \operatorname{dim}(u)=i \tag{1.1}
\end{array}
$$

(1.2) (Suspension). Let $\sigma: H_{*}(\Omega X) \rightarrow H_{*+1}(X)$ be the homology suspension homomorphism Then $\sigma\left((u) Q^{i}\right)=(\sigma(u)) Q^{i}$.
(1.3) (Co-product). Let $\psi: H_{*}(X) \rightarrow H_{*}(X) \otimes H_{*}(X)$ be the coproduct (induced from the diagonal map $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X)$, with

$$
\psi(u)=\sum_{j} u_{j}^{\prime} \otimes u_{j}^{\prime \prime}
$$

Then

$$
\psi\left((u) Q^{i}\right)=\sum_{j, 0 \leq k \leq i}\left(u_{j}^{\prime}\right) Q^{k} \otimes\left(u_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right) Q^{i-k}
$$

(1.4) (Adem relations). If $j<2 i$, then

$$
(u) Q^{i} Q^{j}=\sum_{m \geq 0} C(m-i-1,2 m-j)(u) Q^{m} Q^{i+1-m} .
$$

(1.5) (Nishida relations). Let the Steenrod algebra act on the right in homology, dual to its left action in cohomology; then

$$
\begin{align*}
(u) Q^{i} S q^{j}= & \sum_{m \geq 0} C(j-i, i-2 m)(u) S q^{m} Q^{i-j+m} \\
\text { (Cartan formula). } & (u \cdot v) Q^{i}=\sum_{0 \leq k \leq i}\left((u) Q^{k}\right) \cdot\left((v) Q^{i-k}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The homology operations also satisfy formulas arising from the composition action of the space $G$ (the set of homotopy equivalence of the sphere with itself) on infinite loop spaces, but these will not be needed in this paper.

For each sequence of nonnegative integers, $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}\right)$, let $Q^{I}$ be the iterated operation $(\cdot) Q^{I}=(\cdot) Q^{i_{1}} \cdots Q^{i_{s}}$. Then define

$$
\begin{aligned}
l(I) & =\text { length of } I=s \\
\operatorname{deg}(I) & =\text { degree of } I=i_{1}+\cdots+i_{s} \\
e(I) & =\text { excess of } I=i_{s}-\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{s-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $e(I)=2 i_{s}-\operatorname{deg}(I)$. A sequence $I$ is called allowable if $2 i_{j} \geq i_{j+1}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots, s-1$.

The Dyer-Lashof algebra $R$ is defined to be the quotient $A / J$ where $A$ is the free associative, not commutative, algebra over $Z_{2}$ generated by $\left\{Q^{i}, i \geq 0\right\}$, and $J$ is the homogeneous ideal generated by the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q^{I} & \text { if excess }(I)<0 \\
Q^{i} Q^{j}-\sum_{m \geq 0} C(m-i-1,2 m-j) Q^{m} Q^{i+j-m} & \text { if } j>2 i
\end{array}
$$

That is, $J$ is the ideal of relations satisfied by iterated operations applied to a homology class in any infinite loop space. The calculation in [10] of $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ shows that no further relations hold in general. The relations imply that $R$ has a vector space basis $\left\{Q^{I}\right\}$, where $I$ varies over all allowable sequences of excess $\geq 0$.

## 2. The spaces $\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}$

Let $G_{*}(n)$ be the space of all continuous maps (of any degree, not necessarily basepoint preserving) of $S^{n-1}$ to itself, with the compact-open topology. The evaluation $\operatorname{map} \phi: G_{*}(n) \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ is defined by $\phi(f)=f(p)$, where $p$ is a fixed basepoint in $S^{n-1}$. Then $\phi$ is a fibration, and the fibre is $\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n}\right)_{*}$, the set of all basepoint preserving maps of $S^{n-1}$ to itself (of any degree). There are inclusions $G_{*}(n) \subset G_{*}(n+1)$ for all $n$, and $G_{*}$ is defined to be $\lim _{n} G_{*}(n)$. Similarly, $\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{*}=\lim _{n}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n}\right)_{*}$. The inclusion $\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{*} \rightarrow G_{*}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Each map $f$ of a sphere to itself has a degree, and for each integer $j$, let $G_{j}$ (or $\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{j}$ ) be the subspace of $G_{*}$ (respectively of $\left.\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{*}\right)$ of maps of degree $j$. Then each $G_{j}$ and each $\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{j}$ is a component of $G_{*}$ or of $\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{*}$
and they all have the same homotopy type. We denote the component $G_{1}$ by $S G$, and the component $\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{0}$ by $\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}$.

The space $\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{*}$ is an infinite loop space, taking as the $k$ th space $X_{k}=\lim _{n} \Omega^{n} S^{n+k}$. The homology class of a point in the component $\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{j}$ will be called [ $j$ ]. The class [0] is the unit for the Pontrjagin algebra $H_{*}\left(\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{*}\right)$. In general, multiplication by [ $j$ ] sends $H_{*}\left(\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{k}\right)$ isomorphically onto $H_{*}\left(\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{k+j}\right)$. For $i>0$, the operation $Q^{i}$ yields 0 when applied to [0], but not when applied to the other [ $j]$. The result of Dyer-Lashof ([10]) is that

$$
\left.H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right) \cong P\left([1] Q^{i_{1}} \cdot[-2]\right) Q^{i_{2}} \cdots Q^{i} q\right)
$$

where $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ varies over all allowable sequences of excess $\geq 1$, and $P(\cdots)$ stands for the polynomial algebra on the stated generators.

The space $S G$ has the same homotopy type as $\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}$, hence

$$
H_{*}(S G) \cong H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)
$$

even as coalgebras over the Steenrod algebra. With composition as multiplication, $H_{*}(S G)$ has a different ring structure and a different action by the DyerLashof algebra ([14], [11]), but we do not need these here.

## 3. Unstable $A$-coalgebras

As in [5], let $M A$ be the category of right $A$-modules, and $C A$ the category of right homology $A$-coalgebras, where $A$ is the mod-2 Steenrod algebra. That is, $M$ in $M A$ is to be a non-negatively graded vector space with a right $A$ action: for $x \in M_{n},(x) S q^{i} \in M_{n-1}$ with $(x) S q^{i}=0$ if $2 i>n . C$ in $C A$ is to be simultaneously an unstable right $A$-module and a connected, cocommutative coalgebra, where the structures are compatible as follows. The comultiplication of $C$ satisfies a Cartan formula and the square root map $\sqrt{ } \cdot$ of $C$ (the dual of the squaring map for algebras) satisfies

$$
\sqrt{ } \cdot=(\cdot) S q^{n}: C_{2 n} \rightarrow C_{n}
$$

For example, if $X$ is any connected space, $H_{*}(X)$ is in $C A$, and depends only on the homotopy type of $X$.

For each $M$ in $M A$ with $M_{0}=0$, let $U_{*}(M)$ in $C A$ be the free unstable right $A$-coalgebra generated by $M ; U_{*}(M)$ may be defined by a universal mapping property. If $M$ is of finite type, then $U_{*}(M)$ is dual to $U\left(M^{*}\right)$, the free unstable (left) $A$-algebra generated by $M^{*}$ (see [17; p. 29]).

Let $M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ be the vector space with basis the symbols $\left\{x_{0}(I)\right\}$, as $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ varies over all allowable sequences of excess $\geq 0$ and degree $>0$; put $\operatorname{dim} x_{0}(I)=$ degree $(I)$. The Dyer-Lashof algebra and the Steenrod algebra are to act on $M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ by the formulas (1.4) and (1.5). Specifically,

$$
x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) Q^{i}= \begin{cases}x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}, i\right), & i \geq \operatorname{deg}(I) \\ 0, & i<\operatorname{deg}(I)\end{cases}
$$

with the convention that the Adem relations are to hold. That is, if $2 i_{q}<i$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}, i\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{m} C\left(m-i_{q}-1,2 m-i_{q}\right)\left(x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q-1}, m, i+i_{q}-m\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) S q^{i}=\sum_{m} C\left(i-i_{q}, i_{q}-2 m\right) x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q-1}\right) S q^{m} Q^{i-i} q^{+m}
$$

This defines the action of the $S q^{i}$ on $M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ inductively by length; thereby $M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ is in $M A$, and $U_{*}\left(M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)\right)$ is in $C A$.

Proposition (3.1). As members of $C A, H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right) \cong U_{*}\left(M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)\right)$.
Proof. As asserted in Section 2, $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ is a polynomial algebras with generators

$$
\left\{\left([1] Q^{i_{1}} \cdot[-2]\right) Q^{i_{2}} \cdots Q^{i_{q}}\right\}
$$

where $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ varies over all allowable sequences of excess $\geq 1$. Thus $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ has a simple system of generators of the same form except that now the $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ vary over all allowable sequences of excess $\geq 0$. Let

$$
\alpha: H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right) \rightarrow M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)
$$

be the homomorphism defined by

$$
\alpha\left(\left([1] Q^{i_{1}} \cdot[-2]\right) Q^{i_{2}} \cdots Q^{i_{q}}\right)=x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)
$$

for the simple generators, and $\alpha(y)=0$ when $y$ is a product of two or more distinct simple generators. From the universality of $U_{*}(\cdot)$, we obtain a homomorphism

$$
\tilde{\alpha}: H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right) \rightarrow U_{*}\left(M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)\right)
$$

It follows from Madsen's calculations that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an isomorphism ([11, Proposition 4.13], see also [13]).

We also need to consider the homology of the various spaces $\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}$. For each $n \geq 1, k \geq 0$, let $M\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ be the vector space with basis $\left\{x_{k}(I)\right\}$, as $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ varies over all allowable sequences of excess $\geq k$, and with $i_{1}<n+k$. For $k=0$, we are considering the component $\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n}\right)_{0}$, so the empty sequence is to be excluded from $M\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n}\right)$. The Dyer-Lashof algebra and the Steenrod algebra act on $M\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ by the formulas (1.4) and (1.5), taking into account that $\operatorname{dim}\left(x_{k}\right)=k$, and that $\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}$ is only an $H^{n-1}$-space. In these cases, $H^{*}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ is not a polynomial algebra: for $k \geq 1$, it is an exterior algebra, while for $k=0$, it is a truncated polynomial algebra. A result of Araki-Kudo asserts that for $n \geq 1, k \geq 1, H_{*}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \cong P\left(x_{k} Q^{I}\right)$ as $I=$ $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ over all allowable sequences of excess $\geq k$ and with $i_{1}<n+k$. Again Madsen's calculations show the following ([11], [13]).

Proposition (3.2). As members of $C A, H_{*}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \cong U_{*}\left(M\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)\right)$.
We next consider the James map ([18; p. 21]), $h: \Omega S^{n+k+1} \rightarrow \Omega S^{2 n+2 k+1}$. The fiber of $h$ (localized at the prime 2) is $S^{n+k}$. Thus, after looping $n$ times, there is a fibration (at the prime 2 ):

$$
\Omega^{n} S^{n+k} \longrightarrow \Omega^{n+1} S^{n+k+1} \xrightarrow{\Omega^{n h}} \Omega^{n+1} S^{2 n+2 k+1} .
$$

This corresponds to a short exact sequence in $M A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow M\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \xrightarrow{t} M\left(\Omega^{n+1} S^{n+k+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\eta} M\left(\Omega^{n+1} S^{2 n+2 k+1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is the natural inclusion, and

$$
\eta\left(x_{k}(I)\right)= \begin{cases}x_{2 k+n}\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) & \text { if } I=\left(k+n, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

To verify that $\Omega^{n} h$ induces $\eta$ in homology, observe that $h$ is not a loop map, and that $h_{*}: H_{*}\left(\Omega S^{n+k+1}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(\Omega S^{2 n+2 k+1}\right)$ does not commute the homology operations. Instead (as in [18]),

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{*}\left(x_{n+k}\right) & =0 \\
h_{*}\left(x_{n+k} Q^{n+k} Q^{2(n+k)} \cdots Q^{2 q(n+k)}\right) & =x_{2(n+k)} Q^{2(n+k)} \cdots Q^{2 q(n+k)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Omega^{n} h$ is an $H^{n-1}$-map, and $\left(\Omega^{n} h\right)_{*}=\eta$ on $M\left(\Omega^{n+1} S^{n+k+1}\right)$.

## 4. The Unstable Adams spectral sequence

In [6], (see also [5]), there is constructed for each space $X$, a spectral sequence $\left\{E_{r}^{s, t}(X)\right\}, r=1,2, \ldots$, with the following properties.
(1) For a connected nilpotent space $X$, the $E_{r}(X)$ converge to $\pi_{*}(X)$ modulo the subgroup of elements of odd order. This convergence is valid when $X$ is an $H$-space, in particular, for the space $\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}$.
(2) $E_{2}^{s, t}(X) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{c}^{s, t}\left(Z_{2}, H_{*}(X)\right)$.
(3) The Hurewicz homomorphism (reduced mod 2) factors as the composite

$$
\pi_{t}(X) \rightarrow E_{\infty}^{0, t}(X) \subset \cdots \subset E_{2}^{0, t}(X) \subset H_{t}(X)
$$

We shall be dealing with spaces $X$ for which $H_{*}(X) \cong U_{*}(M)$. In this situation, a theorem of Massey and Peterson ([12]) asserts that

$$
E_{2}^{s, t}(X) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{M A}^{s, t}\left(Z_{2}, M\right)
$$

We retain the notation of [5], where it is further shown that Ext ${ }_{M A}^{s, t}\left(Z_{2}, M\right)$ may be calculated as the homology of a complex which we shall describe shortly.

First the algebra $\Lambda$ is defined to be the free associative, not commutative, algebra with unit, which has
(i) for each integer $i \geq 0$, a generator $\lambda_{i}$ of degree $i$;
(ii) for each pair of integers $i \geq 0, m \geq 0$, a relation

$$
\lambda_{i} \lambda_{2 i+1+m}=\sum_{j \geq 0} C(m-1-j, j) \lambda_{i+m-j} \lambda_{2 i+1+j} ;
$$

(iii) a differential $\partial, \partial\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\sum_{j \geq 1} C(i-j, j) \lambda_{i-j} \lambda_{j-1}$.

For each $M$ in $M A$, let ( $M \hat{\otimes} \Lambda, \delta$ ) be the chain complex as follows. $M \hat{\otimes} \Lambda$ is the subspace of $M \otimes \Lambda$ spanned by $x_{k} \otimes \lambda_{I}$ where $x_{k} \in M_{k}$ and $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right)$ is allowable with $i_{1}<k$ (or $I$ is empty). The differential $\delta$ on $M \hat{\otimes} \Lambda$ is defined by

$$
\delta\left(x \otimes \lambda_{I}\right)=x \otimes\left(\partial \lambda_{I}\right)+\sum_{j \geq 0}(x) S q^{j} \otimes \lambda_{j-1} \lambda_{I} .
$$

As the relations in $\Lambda$ are homogeneous,

$$
\Lambda=\oplus_{s \geq 0} \Lambda^{s}, \quad M \hat{\otimes} \Lambda=\oplus_{s \geq 0} M \hat{\otimes} \Lambda^{s} .
$$

The term $x_{k} \otimes \lambda_{I}$ is given bi-degree $(s, t)$, where $s=$ length $(I)$, and $t=$ $s+k+$ degree (I). Theorem (3.3) of [5] asserts that if $H_{*}(X) \cong U_{*}(M)$ then,

$$
E_{2}^{s, t}(X) \cong H^{s, t}(M \hat{\otimes} \Lambda) .
$$

In particular,

$$
E_{2}^{s, t}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \cong H^{s, t}\left(M\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda\right) .
$$

Passing to the homology of the sequence (3.3), we obtain for each $n \geq 0, k \geq 1$, a long exact sequence

$$
\begin{align*}
\cdots & \longrightarrow E_{2}^{s, t}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \xrightarrow{\iota_{*}} E_{2}^{s, t}\left(\Omega^{n+1} S^{n+k+1}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{n_{*}} E_{2}^{s, t}\left(\Omega^{n+1} S^{2 n+2 k+1}\right) \xrightarrow{o} E_{2}^{s+1, t}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

which is a form of the EHP sequence at the $E_{2}$-level.

## 5. The complex $M \hat{\otimes} \wedge$

Let $M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ be the vector space as defined in Section 3, and $\Lambda$ the algebra of Section 4 , with $\bar{\Lambda}$ the ideal of positive dimensional members of $\Lambda$. There is an isomorphism $\theta: M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda \cong \bar{\Lambda}$ defined by

$$
\theta\left(\left(x_{0}\right) I^{\prime} \otimes \lambda_{I^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\lambda_{I^{\prime}} \lambda_{I^{\prime \prime}} .
$$

To see that $\theta$ is an isomorphism, observe that for each allowable sequence $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right)$ there is a unique index $q$ for which the sequences $I^{\prime}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ and $I^{\prime \prime}=\left(i_{q+1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right)$ satisfy excess $\left(I^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$ and $i_{q+1}<\operatorname{degree}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$. We write $I=\left(I^{\prime} \mid I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to indicate this decomposition.
The isomorphism $\theta$ is not filtration preserving, as the filtration degree of $x_{0}\left(I^{\prime}\right) \oplus \lambda_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ is length ( $I^{\prime \prime}$ ), while the filtration degree of $\lambda_{I^{\prime}} \lambda_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ is length $\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Nor does $\theta$ commute with the differentials. However, the differentials $\delta$ of $M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda$ and $\partial$ of $\Lambda$ are related as follows.

Lemma (5.1). Let $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right)$ be allowable with $I=\left(I^{\prime} \mid I^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Suppose that

$$
\partial \lambda_{I}=\sum \alpha_{J} \lambda_{J}, \quad \alpha_{J} \in Z_{2}
$$

where the $J$ vary over allowable sequences of length $s+1$. Then

$$
\delta\left(\left(x_{0}\right) I^{\prime} \otimes \lambda_{I^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\sum^{*} \alpha_{J} x_{0}\left(J^{\prime}\right) \otimes \lambda_{J^{\prime \prime}}
$$

where the sum $\Sigma^{*}$ is taken over those allowable sequences $J=\left(J^{\prime} \mid J^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for which length $\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ length $\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$.

Proof. Consider first the special case where $I$ is allowable of excess $\geq 0$; that is, $I=I^{\prime}$ and $I^{\prime \prime}$ is empty. Suppose $\partial \lambda_{I}=\sum \alpha_{J} \lambda_{J}$. We shall show by induction on length $(I)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(x_{0}(I)\right)=\sum^{*} \alpha_{J} x_{0}\left(J^{\prime}\right) \otimes \lambda_{J^{\prime \prime}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum $\Sigma^{*}$ is taken for those $J=\left(J^{\prime} \mid J^{\prime \prime}\right)$, with length $\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$. Observe that this is equivalent to the assertion that for each positive integer $j$, $x_{0}(I) S q^{j}=\sum \alpha_{J} x_{0}\left(J^{\prime}\right)$ the sum taken for those $J=\left(J^{\prime} \mid J^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for which $J^{\prime \prime}=(j-1)$.

For length $(I)=1$, the sequence $I$ is merely (i). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(x_{0}(i)\right) & =\sum_{j \geq 1}\left(x_{0}(i)\right) S q^{j} \otimes \lambda_{j-1} \\
& =\sum_{j \geq 1} C(i-j, j) x_{0}(i-j) \otimes \lambda_{j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Nishida relations which define the action of the $S q^{j}$ on $M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$. As the expression for $\partial$ is given by (Section 4, (iii)), the formula (5.2) is valid for length 1.

Assume inductively that (5.2) is valid for lengths $<s$, and let $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right)$ be allowable of excess $\geq 0$, and of length $s$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(x_{0}(I)\right)= & \sum_{j \geq 1} x_{0}(I) S q^{j} \otimes \lambda_{j-1} \\
= & \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 0} C\left(i_{s}-j, j-2 m\right) x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}\right) S q^{m} Q^{i_{s}-j+m} \otimes \lambda_{j-1} \\
= & \sum_{j \geq 1} C\left(i_{s}-j, j\right) x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}, i_{s-j}\right) \otimes \lambda_{j-1} \\
& +\sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} C\left(i_{s}-j, j-2 m\right) x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}\right) S q^{m} Q^{i_{s}-j+m} \otimes \lambda_{j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose now that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial\left(\lambda_{i_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{i_{s-1}}\right) & =\sum \alpha_{K} \lambda_{K} \\
& =\sum_{K^{\prime \prime}=m-1} \alpha_{K} \lambda_{K^{\prime}} \lambda_{m-1}+\sum_{K^{\prime \prime} \neq m-1} \alpha_{K} \lambda_{K^{\prime}} \lambda_{K^{\prime \prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first sum is taken for those $K \neq\left(K^{\prime} \mid K^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with $K^{\prime \prime}=m-1$, and the second sum is taken for $K^{\prime \prime} \neq m-1$. The inductive assumption asserts that

$$
x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}\right) S q^{m}=\sum_{K^{\prime \prime}=m-1} \alpha_{K} x_{0}\left(K^{\prime}\right)
$$

The expression for $\delta\left(x_{0}(I)\right)$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(x_{0}(I)\right)= & \sum_{j \geq 1} C\left(i_{s}-j, j\right) x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}, i_{s-j}\right) \otimes \lambda_{j-1} \\
& +\sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{K^{\prime \prime}=m-1} C\left(i_{s}-j, j-2 m\right) \alpha_{K} x_{0}\left(K^{\prime}, i_{s-j+m}\right) \otimes \lambda_{j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial\left(\lambda_{I}\right) & =\lambda_{i_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{i_{s-1}}\left(\partial \lambda_{i_{s}}\right)+\partial\left(\lambda_{i_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{i_{s-1}}\right) \lambda_{i_{s}} \\
& =\sum_{j \geq 1} C\left(i_{s}-j, s_{j}\right) \lambda_{i_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{i_{s-1}} \lambda_{i_{s}-j} \lambda_{j-1} \\
& =\sum \alpha_{K} \lambda_{K^{\prime}}, \lambda_{K^{\prime \prime}} \lambda_{i_{s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We next show that to obtain the expression for $\delta\left(x_{0}(I)\right)$, we must delete from this sum those $K$ for which length $\left(K^{\prime \prime}\right)>1$, and that the expression for $\delta\left(x_{0}(I)\right)$ then becomes the sum $\Sigma^{*}$ of (5.2). For this, we make use of the following, which is easily established inductively by length.

Sublemma (5.3). Let $K=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}\right)$ be allowable, and $i>2 k_{s}$. Suppose that $\lambda_{K} \lambda_{i}=\sum \lambda_{J} \lambda_{J}$, J allowable, $\lambda_{J} \in Z_{2}$. Let $K=\left(K^{\prime} \mid K^{\prime \prime}\right)$, and each $J=$ $\left(J^{\prime} \mid J^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then for those $J$ with $l\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)<l\left(K^{\prime \prime}\right), \lambda_{J}$ must be 0 .

By means of this sublemma, the expression for $\partial\left(\lambda_{I}\right)$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial\left(\lambda_{I}\right)= & \sum_{j \geq 1} C\left(i_{s}-j, s_{j}\right) \lambda_{i_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{i_{s-1}} \lambda_{i_{s-j}} \lambda_{j-1} \\
& +\sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{K^{\prime \prime}=m-1} \alpha_{K} \lambda_{K^{\prime}} \lambda_{m-1} \lambda_{i_{s}}+\sum_{l\left(K^{\prime \prime}\right)>1} \alpha_{K} \lambda_{K^{\prime}} \lambda_{K^{\prime \prime}} \lambda_{i_{s}} \\
= & \sum_{j \geq 1} C\left(i_{s}-j, j\right) \lambda_{i_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{i_{s-1}} \lambda_{i_{s-j}} \lambda_{j-1} \\
& +\sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{K^{\prime \prime}=m-1} \sum_{j \geq 0} C\left(i_{s}-j, j-2 m\right) \alpha_{K} \lambda_{K} \lambda_{i_{s}-j+m} \lambda_{j-1}+\sum_{l\left(K^{\prime \prime}\right)>1} \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the formula (5.2) has been established for the special case when $l\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$. The general case follows easily by further use of the sublemma.

Continuation. Let $M\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ be the vector space as described in Section 3. Let $\Lambda(n+k)$ be the subspace of $\Lambda$ spanned by allowable $\lambda_{I}=\lambda_{i_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{i_{s}}$ with $i_{1}<n+k$. (Thus $\Lambda(n+k) \cong E_{1}\left(S^{n+k}\right)$ as in [4; (5.4)].) There is an isomorphism

$$
\theta: M\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda \cong \Lambda(n+k)
$$

where $\theta\left(x_{k}\left(I^{\prime}\right) \otimes \lambda_{I^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\lambda_{I^{\prime}} \lambda_{I^{\prime \prime}}$. As before, to see that $\theta$ is an isomorphism,
observe that for each allowable sequence $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right)$, there is a unique index $q$ for which the sequences

$$
I^{\prime}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad I^{\prime \prime}=\left(i_{q+1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right)
$$

satisfy excess $\left(I^{\prime}\right) \geq k$ and $i_{q+1}<k+\operatorname{deg}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$. We write $I=\left(\left.I^{\prime}\right|_{k} I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to stand for this decomposition.

Lemma (5.4). Let $I$ be allowable with $I=\left(\left.I^{\prime}\right|_{k} I^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Suppose that $\partial \lambda_{I}=$ $\sum \alpha_{J} \lambda_{J}$, J allowable, $\alpha_{J} \in Z_{2}$. Then in $M\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \hat{\otimes} \Lambda$,

$$
\delta\left(x_{k}\left(I^{\prime}\right) \otimes \lambda_{I^{\prime \prime}}\right)=\sum^{*} \alpha_{J} x_{k}\left(J^{\prime}\right) \otimes \lambda_{J^{\prime \prime}}
$$

where the sum $\Sigma^{*}$ is taken for those allowable sequences $J=\left(\left.J^{\prime}\right|_{k} J^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for which length $\left(J^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ length $\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)+1$.

The proof is similar to the proof of (5.1).

## 6. Calculations in $E_{2}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$

The results of Section 5 show that $E_{2}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ may be calculated as the homology of the complex $M\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda$. We shall use (5.1), (5.4), and the EHP sequence (4.2) inductively to calculate $E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$, and also to make some partial calculations of $E_{2}^{1, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ and $E_{2}^{2, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$.

To simplify notation, the term $x_{k}\left(Q^{I^{\prime}}\right) \otimes \lambda_{I^{\prime \prime}}$ will sometimes be written as $x_{k}\left(I^{\prime}\right) \otimes\left(I^{\prime \prime}\right)$, or $x_{k}(I)$, where $I=\left(\left.I^{\prime}\right|_{k} I^{\prime \prime}\right)$. For such a term, let the width be the length of $I^{\prime}$, and the filtration degree be the length of $I^{\prime \prime}$. As the differential preserves the width, the homology of the complex becomes tri-graded; we consider elements homogeneous in width, filtration, and dimension. The basis $\left\{x_{k}\left(I^{\prime}\right) \otimes I^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is ordered according to the sequences $I=\left(I^{\prime}, I^{\prime \prime}\right)$, lexicographically from the left. If

$$
x=\sum \alpha_{I} x_{k}\left(\left.I^{\prime}\right|_{k} I^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where the $I=\left(\left.I^{\prime}\right|_{k} I^{\prime \prime}\right)$ vary over allowable sequences (of a fixed length), and the $\alpha_{I} \in Z_{2}$, then the greatest term for which $\alpha_{I} \neq 0$ is called the leading term of $x$, and the other terms are called lower terms.

Facts about $\Lambda$. (6.1). Let $i$ and $j$ be nonnegative integers, with dyadic expansions $i=\sum_{v} a_{v} 2^{v}, j=\sum b_{v} 2^{v}$ respectively. The binomial coefficient $C(i, j)=$ $i!/ j!(i-j)!$ satisfies

$$
C(i, j) \equiv \prod_{v \geq 0} C\left(a_{v}, b_{v}\right) \quad \bmod 2
$$

and is nonzero $\bmod 2$ if and only if $a_{v} \geq b_{v}$ for all $v$.
For $i=\sum_{v \geq 0} a_{v} 2^{v}$, let $\rho(=\rho(i))$ be the least index $v$ for which $a_{v}=0$. Then $i=2^{\rho}-1+2^{\rho+1} N$. For any $j$, the binomial coefficient $C(i-j, j) \bmod 2$ can be nonzero only if $j \equiv-1 \bmod 2^{\rho(i)}$. Thus for the element $\lambda(i)=\lambda_{i}$ in $\Lambda$, its differential, given by (Section 4, (iii)), is a sum of terms of the form
( $i-2^{\rho} n, 2^{\rho} n-1$ ), and the leading term is $\left(i-2^{\rho}, 2^{\rho}-1\right)$, unless $i=2^{\rho}-1$, in which case $\partial(i)=0$.

The relations of (4.1) imply that if $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ is a sequence of nonnegative integers with $i_{j} \equiv-1 \bmod 2^{\theta}$, for each $j=1,2, \ldots, q$, then the allowable expression for $\partial\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ is a sum of terms ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{q}$ ) with also $m_{j} \equiv-1$ $\bmod 2^{\theta}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots, q$. Similarly, the allowable expression for $\partial\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ will be a sum of terms $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{q+1}\right)$, with $k_{j} \equiv-1 \bmod 2^{\theta}$, for each $j=1,2, \ldots, q+1$.

We notice that if $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ is an allowable sequence of excess $\geq 0$, then the allowable expression for $\partial(I)$ will be a sum of terms $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{q+1}\right)$, each of negative excess, and with excess $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{q}\right) \leq \operatorname{excess}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$.

Lemma (6.2). Let $I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ be an allowable sequence of excess $\geq 0$ which also satisfies $2 i_{j}-i_{j+1}<2^{\rho\left(i_{j}\right)}$ for each $j=1,2, \ldots, q-1$. Then the allowable expression for $\partial(I)$ is a sum of sequences $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{q}, k_{q+1}\right)$, each of which satisfies

$$
\operatorname{excess}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{q}\right) \leq \operatorname{excess}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)-2^{\rho\left(i_{q}\right)}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\left(\partial i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ is a sum of such sequences, and this will be done by induction on the length $q$. For $q=1$, it is true because the leading term of $\partial(i)$ is $\left(i-2^{\rho(i)}, 2^{\rho(i)}-1\right)$. Assume inductively the above statement for lengths $\leq q-1$, and let $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ satisfy the hypotheses. The hypotheses imply that $\rho\left(i_{1}\right) \geq \rho\left(i_{2}\right) \geq \cdots \geq \rho\left(i_{q}\right)$. The inductive assumption implies that the allowable expression for $\left(\partial i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q-1}\right)$ is a sum of sequences $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{q}\right)$, each of which satisfies excess $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{q-1}\right) \leq$ excess $\left(i_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.i_{q-1}\right)-2^{\rho\left(i_{q-1}\right)}$.

From this, it follows that

$$
2 m_{q-1}<2 i_{q-1}-2^{\rho\left(i_{q-1}\right)}-m_{q}
$$

and hence, using the hypothesis that $2 i_{q-1}-i_{q}<2^{\rho\left(i_{q-1}\right)}$, we find that

$$
2 m_{q-1}<i_{q}-m_{q}-1 .
$$

Let ( $m_{q}, i_{q}$ ) be expressed as a sum of allowable sequences of the form $\left(n_{q}, n_{q+1}\right)$. Then each $n_{q}$ must be of the form $i_{q}-m_{q}-1-2^{\rho\left(i_{q}\right)} t$, with $t \geq 0$. Thus the allowable expression for ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{q-1}, n_{q}, n_{q+1}$ ) is either 0 , or is a sum of sequences $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{q+1}\right)$, with $k_{q} \leq i_{q}-m_{q}-1-2^{\rho\left(i_{q}\right)}$. Hence excess $\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{q}\right) \leq \operatorname{excess}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)-2^{\rho\left(i_{q}\right)}$, and the lemma is proven.

Theorem (6.3). $A$ basis for $E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ consists of those $x_{k}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ which satisfy
(1) $k \leq i_{1}<n+k$,
(2) $0 \leq e(I)-k<2^{\rho\left(i_{q}\right)}$,
(3) $0 \leq 2 i_{j}-i_{j+1}<2^{\rho\left(i_{j}\right)}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, q-1$.

Proof. By induction on the width $q$. For $q=1$, we are dealing with elements of the form $x_{k}(i)$, with $k \leq i<n+k$. Then

$$
\delta\left(x_{k}(i)\right)=x_{k}\left(i-2^{\rho(i)}, 2^{\rho(i)}-1\right)+\text { lower terms. }
$$

Thus $x_{k}(i)$ is a cycle if and only if $i-2^{\rho(i)}<k$; that is, if and only if $i-k<2^{\rho(i)}$.

Assume inductively the theorem for widths $q-1$. Consider the EHP sequence (4.2), with $n$ decreased by 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n-1} S^{n+k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\iota_{*}} E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right) \\
& \xrightarrow{\eta_{*}} E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{2 n+2 k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} E_{2}^{1, *}\left(\Omega^{n-1} S^{n+k-1}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

The set of elements $x_{k}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ which satisfy (1), (2), (3) for $i_{1}<n+k-1$ form a basis of $E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n-1} S^{n+k-1}\right)$ inductively on $n-1$, and are mapped monomorphically by $i_{*}$. To this set we must adjoin a basis for $\eta_{*}^{-1}(\operatorname{ker} \partial)$. Let $x=x_{k+i}\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ be a basis element of $E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{2 i+1}\right)$, where $i=i_{1}=$ $n+k-1$. Then

$$
\partial x=x_{k}\left(\partial i, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)
$$

If $2 i-i_{2}<2^{\rho(i)}$, then Lemma (6.2) and the inductive assumption imply that each nonzero term in $\partial x$ has filtration $\geq 2$; hence $\partial x=0$ in $E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n-1} S^{n+k-1}\right)$. Take $\eta_{*}^{-1}(x)$ to be $x_{k}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right.$ ), which satisfies (1), (2), (3) as desired. On the other hand, as $x=x_{k+i}\left(i_{2}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ varies over the basis of $E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{i+1}\right)$, with $2 i-i_{2} \geq 2^{\rho(i)}$, the leading terms of $\partial x$, namely

$$
x_{k}\left(i-2^{\rho(i)}, i_{2}-2^{\rho(i)}, \ldots, i_{q}-2^{\rho(i)+q-2}\right) \otimes\left(2^{\rho(i)+q-1}-1\right)
$$

are nonzero and distinct, even as $n$ varies. Thus no sum of such $x$ can be in ker $\partial$, which shows that a basis of $E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ is as described.

Remark (6.4). If $x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ is a basis element of $E_{2}^{0, t}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n}\right)$ of dimension $t=i_{1}+\cdots+i_{q}$, there is a family of elements of the form

$$
x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}, t, 2 t, \ldots, 2^{m} t\right)
$$

each in $E_{0}^{0,2^{m+1}}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n}\right)$. Some typical generators of these families are $x_{0}(1)$ (the rest of the family is $\left.x_{0}(1,1), x_{0}(1,1,2), x_{0}(1,1,2,4), \ldots\right), x_{0}(3), x_{0}(7), \ldots$, $x_{0}\left(2^{\theta}-1\right), \ldots, x_{0}(3,5,9), x_{0}(7,9,17), x_{0}(7,11,19), x_{0}(5,9,17,33,65), \ldots$, $x_{0}(15,27,51,99,195), \ldots$

Proposition (6.5). Let $x=x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ be a basis element of $E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n}\right)$ which has none of the following forms:
(1) $x_{0}\left(2^{\theta}-1\right)$,
(2) $x_{0}\left(2^{\theta}-1,2^{\theta}-1\right)$,
(3) $x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$, where excess $=0$, and $i_{q}$ is even.

Then there is a nonzero class $y \in E_{2}^{2, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n}\right)$, with $d^{2} x=y$.

Proof. For $x=x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$, consider its ancestors in the EHP-sequence. Namely, for each $j=1,2, \ldots, q-1$, let $z^{(j)}=x_{k}\left(i_{j}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$, where $k=$ $i_{1}+\cdots+i_{q-1}$. We shall show inductively on the width $(q-j+1)$, that if $x$ has not one of the excluded forms, then $d^{2} z^{(j)}=y^{(j)}$ is a nonzero class in $E_{2,}^{2, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n}\right)$.

For width one, we are dealing with $z^{(q)}=x_{k}(i)$, where $i_{q}=i$ is of the form $2^{\rho}-1+2^{\rho+1} N$, and $k \leq i<k+n$. The element $y=x_{k} \otimes \partial(i)$ in $E_{1, k+i+1}^{2,1}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ is a $d^{1}$-cycle which is not a $d^{1}$-boundary because $E^{1, k+i+1}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ contains no terms of width zero (the candidate $x_{k} \otimes \lambda_{i}$ is not present as $k \leq i$; indeed, $x_{k} Q^{i}$ appears as the homology class under consideration). We consider the EHP-sequence

$$
\cdots \pi_{2 i}\left(\Omega S^{2 i+1}\right) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{2 i-1}\left(S^{i}\right) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{2 i-1}\left(\Omega S^{i+1}\right) \xrightarrow{H} \cdots .
$$

The Whitehead product $\left\langle x_{i}, x_{i}\right\rangle$ in $\pi_{2 i-1}\left(S^{i}\right)$ is represented by $x_{i} \otimes\left(\partial \lambda_{i}\right)$ in $E_{2}^{2, *}\left(S^{i}\right)$, as in [7, p. 198]. As the Whitehead product suspends to zero in $\pi_{2 i-1}\left(\Omega S^{i+1}\right)$, we must have

$$
d^{2}\left(x_{i} Q^{i}\right)=x_{i} \otimes\left(\partial \lambda_{i}\right)
$$

in $E_{2}^{*, *}\left(\Omega S^{i+1}\right)$. After looping $i-k$ times, we must also have

$$
d^{2}\left(x_{k} Q^{i}\right)=x_{k} \otimes\left(\partial \lambda_{i}\right)+\text { lower terms }
$$

which is nonzero in $E_{2}^{*, *}\left(\Omega^{i-k+1} S^{i+1}\right)$.
Assume inductively for widths $\leq q-j+1$, that if $z^{(j)}=x_{k}\left(i_{j}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ is a basis element of $E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$ not of the excluded forms, then

$$
d^{2} z^{(j)}=x_{k}\left(i_{j}, \ldots, i_{q-1}\right) \otimes\left(\partial i_{q}\right)+\text { lower terms }
$$

is a nonzero class $y^{(j)}$ in $E_{2}^{2, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k}\right)$. Let

$$
z^{(j-1)}=x_{k-i}\left(i, i_{j}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)
$$

be a basis element of $E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{2 i+1-k} S^{i+1}\right)$, with $\eta_{*}\left(z^{(j-1)}\right)=z^{(j)}$ in the homomorphism

$$
\eta_{*}: E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{2 i+1-k} S^{i+1}\right) \rightarrow E_{2}^{0, *}\left(\Omega^{2 i+1-k} S^{2 i+1}\right)
$$

As $\eta_{*}$ commutes with the differentials,

$$
d^{2} z^{(j-1)}=x_{k}\left(i, i_{j}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \otimes\left(\partial i_{q}\right)+\text { lower terms } \pi
$$

which is some nonzero element $y^{(j-1)}$ in $E_{2}^{2, *}\left(\Omega^{2 i+1-k} S^{i+1}\right)$. It is straightforward to verify that $y^{(j-1)}$ does not suspend to zero in any of the

$$
E_{2}^{2, *}\left(\Omega^{n} S^{n+k-i}\right)
$$

for $n \geq 2 i+1-k$, and the proposition is proved.

## 7. Spherical classes in $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$

From the discussion of Section 4, we see that $E_{\infty}^{0, t}(X)$ is isomorphic to the group of spherical classes in $H_{*}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)$. Recall our notation

$$
x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)=\left([1] Q^{i_{1}} \cdot[-2]\right) Q^{i_{2}} \cdots Q^{i_{q}}
$$

in $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$.
Theorem (7.1). The only possibilities for spherical classes in $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty} ; Z_{2}\right)$ are $x_{0}(1), x_{0}(3), x_{0}\left(2^{n}-1\right)$, and $x_{0}\left(2^{n}-1,2^{n}-1\right)$ for $n=1,2,3, \ldots$

The proof will be completed at the end of this section.
Remark. This recovers Browder's result [9] that dimensions other than $2\left(2^{n}-1\right)$ cannot contain a framed manifold of Kervaire invariant one, because such a manifold would, by the Pontrjagin-Thom construction, give rise to a spherical class in $H_{*}(S G) \cong H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$, which would be nonzero [16]. The classes $x_{0}\left(2^{n}-1,2^{n}-1\right)$ plus decomposables are spherical if and only if there is a manifold of Kervaire invariant one in dimension $2\left(2^{n}-1\right)$. This is the case in dimensions $2,6,14,30$, and 62 (Barrat-Mahowald). The remaining dimensions $2\left(2^{n}-1\right), n \geq 6$, are undecided.

Towers. An element $\alpha$ in $E_{r}^{s, t}(X)$ is said to generate a tower if the elements $\alpha \lambda_{0}^{n}$ are nonzero for all $n \geq 0$, and $\alpha$ is not of the form $\beta \lambda_{0}$. The set $\left\{\alpha \lambda_{0}^{n}, n \geq 0\right\}$ is called a tower.

Proposition (7.2). The only towers in $E_{2}^{s, t}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ occur in dimensions congruent to -1 or to 0 modulo 4.

Proof. We use the method of [3] to locate the towers in $\operatorname{Ext}_{M A}\left(Z_{2}, M\right)$, for $M=M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$. The tower detector is the complex

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{s}(M)= \begin{cases}M \otimes \lambda_{0}^{s}, & s=0,1 \\
M \otimes \lambda_{0}^{s} \oplus M_{2 k} \otimes \lambda_{2 k-1} \lambda_{0}^{s-1}, & s \geq 2 .\end{cases} \\
& \delta\left(x \otimes \lambda_{0}^{s}\right)= \begin{cases}x S q^{1} \otimes \lambda_{0}^{s+1}+x S q^{2 k} \otimes \lambda_{2 k-1} \lambda_{0}^{s} & \text { for } x \in M_{4 k}, s \geq 1 \\
x S q^{1} \otimes \lambda_{0}^{s+1} & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases} \\
& \delta\left(x \otimes \lambda_{2 k-1} \lambda_{0}^{s}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The allowable monomial basis of $\Lambda$ gives a projection of complexes

$$
\gamma: M \hat{\otimes} \Lambda \rightarrow T(M) .
$$

In [3], it is shown that $(\operatorname{ker} \gamma, \delta)$ is a chain complex whose homology has no towers, so the towers in $H^{*}(M \widehat{\otimes} \Lambda)$ correspond to those in $H^{*}(T(M))$.

To find the towers in $E_{2}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$, we consider $T\left(M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)\right)$. If $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ is allowable of excess $\geq 0$, and $i_{q}$ is odd, then $\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}\right)$ is also allowable and $\delta\left(x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}\right) \otimes \lambda_{0}^{s}\right)=x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right) \otimes \lambda_{0}^{s+1}+$ possibly another term.

Thus when $i_{q}$ is odd, neither $x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ nor $x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q+1}\right)$ generate towers. The remaining elements of filtration zero are the $x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ with excess 0 , and $i_{q}$ even, which must have dimension $\equiv 0 \bmod 4$. In filtration one, we have elements $y_{2 k} \otimes \lambda_{2 k-1}$ for $y_{2 k} \in M\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)_{2 k}$ which occur in dimensions $\equiv-1 \bmod 4$. In particular, the elements $x_{0}\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right)$ described in (6.3) generate towers if $i_{q-1}=i_{1}+\cdots+i_{q-2}$ and $2 i_{q-1}=i_{q}$, and not otherwise.

For each $n \geq 1$, the groups $E_{\infty}^{s, t}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$, with $s+t=n$, are finite, and only finitely many are nonzero; they are the quotients of a filtration of $\pi_{n}(S)$, the stable $n$-stem. As only a tower can kill another tower by a differential $d^{r}$, the towers of $E_{2}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ must be paired by the differentials. Thus, each tower generator $\alpha$ of dimension $4 k$ and filtration 0 , must have a differential $d^{r} \alpha=\beta \neq 0$. In particular, the elements

$$
x_{0}\left(2^{n}-1,2^{n}-1, \ldots, 2^{q}\left(2^{n}-1\right)\right)
$$

for $q \geq 1$, do not persist to $E_{\infty}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$. The elements $x_{0}\left(2^{n}-1\right), n \geq 4$ are shown not to be spherical by Adams [1]. After excluding the elements accounted for by (6.5), this leaves for possible spherical classes in $H_{*}\left(\Omega^{\infty} S^{\infty}\right)$ only the Hopf classes $x_{0}(1), x_{0}(3), x_{0}(7)$, and the classes $x_{0}\left(2^{n}-1,2^{n}-1\right)$, for $n=1,2, \ldots$
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