

ON PRIMITIVE PERMUTATION GROUPS WHOSE STABILIZER OF A POINT INDUCES $L_2(q)$ ON A SUBORBIT

BY
ULRICH DEMPWOLFF

1. Introduction

In the following we consider primitive permutation groups G acting on a finite set Ω . If $\alpha \in \Omega$ then G_α has a suborbit $\Delta(\alpha)$ such that the group $G_\alpha^{\Delta(\alpha)}$ induced on $\Delta(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $L_2(q)$ and $|\Delta(\alpha)| = q + 1$, where $q \geq 4$ and $q = p^n$, p a prime. We state:

THEOREM. *Suppose G satisfies the above conditions then either*

- (a) $G_\alpha \simeq L_2(q)$ or
- (b) $p > 2$ and $G_\alpha \simeq L_2(q) \times Y$ where Y is isomorphic to the normalizer of a S_p -subgroup in $L_2(q)$.

The proof of the theorem will follow to a great extent the pattern of the work of C. C. Sims [9]. In this way we get bounds for $|G_\alpha|$ and structural informations of G_α . Then we use results about irreducible $F_p[L_2(q)]$ -modules. In the case $p = 2$ also "2-local arguments" will enter. The notation is standard (see [4] and [14]).

2. Preliminary lemmas

In this section we collect some—mostly known—results, which will be used repeatedly.

PROPOSITION 2.1 (Walter, also see [1]). *Let G be a finite group having abelian S_2 -subgroups. Then G possesses a normal subgroup H of odd index, such that*

$$H/O(H) \simeq X_0 \times X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$$

where X_0 is an abelian 2-group and X_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) are finite simple groups isomorphic to $L_2(q)$, q suitable, or of type "Janko-Ree" (for the definition of type "Janko-Ree" see [1]).

PROPOSITION 2.2 (Gilman, Gorenstein [2]). *Let G be a finite simple group and $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$. Suppose $\text{cl}(S) = 2$. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

$$L_2(q), q \equiv 7, 9 \pmod{16}, A_7, \text{Sz}(2^n), U_3(2^n), L_3(2^n), \text{ or } \text{PSp}(4, 2^n).$$

PROPOSITION 2.3 (Goldschmidt [3]). *Let G be a finite group and $1 \neq A \subseteq S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$, A abelian. Suppose that for all $a \in A^\#$ always $a^g \in S$ implies $a^g \in A$. Then if $\bar{K} = \langle A^G \rangle / O(\langle A^G \rangle)$ we have:*

(i) \bar{K} is a central product of an abelian 2-group and quasisimple groups X such that either $X/Z(X)$ has abelian S_2 -subgroups or $X/Z(X)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Sz}(2^n)$ or $U_3(2^n)$.

(ii) $\bar{A} = O_2(\bar{K})\Omega_1(\bar{T})$ for some $A \subseteq T \in \text{Syl}_2(K)$.

LEMMA 2.4 (Thompson [13; 5.38]). *Let G be a finite group and $S \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$. Suppose $S^* \subset S$, $|S : S^*| = 2$ and $t \in S - S^*$ is an involution, which is not conjugate to any element in S^* . Then G has a normal subgroup G^* of index 2.*

LEMMA 2.5 (Gilman, Gorenstein [2; (2.66)]). *Let V be a $2n$ -dimensional F_2 -vector space and $SL(2, 2^n) \simeq X \subseteq GL(V)$ such that V is an irreducible X -space. Assume further $[S, V] = C_V(S)$, $\dim C_V(S) = n$ for $S \in \text{Syl}_2(X)$. Then V is a standard module of X . (Here standard module M of $SL(2, q)$ means a 2-dimensional F_q -vector space such that $SL(2, q)$ acts on M as $SL(M)$).*

LEMMA 2.6. *Let V be a $2n$ -dimensional F_p -vector space and $X \simeq SL(2, p^n)$ be represented irreducibly on V and $p^n \geq 4$. Suppose $S \in \text{Syl}_p(X)$ and $[S, V] = C_V(S)$, $\dim C_V(S) = n$. Then X is faithful on V .*

Proof. Since $SL(2, 2^n) \simeq L_2(2^n)$, we may assume that p is odd.

In X there is an element x of order 4 such that $\langle x, S \rangle = X$ and $x \in N_X(K)$, where K is a p -complement of S in $N_X(S)$.

Set $V_0 = C_V(S)$ and $V_1 = V_0^x$. Suppose that X is not faithful. Then x^2 induces the identity on V and so $V_0 \cap V_1$ is centralized by $X = \langle x, S \rangle$. Hence $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$. According to this decomposition we can find a basis of V such that x corresponds to the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the elements in S to matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ A & I \end{pmatrix}$$

where I is the n -dimensional identity matrix and A is a suitable $(n \times n)$ -matrix. There is further $s \in S$ with $|xs| = 3$ (for instance if

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then $|xs| = 3$ in $SL(2, p^n)$). Since x and s are described by matrices as above the matrix corresponding to $(xs)^3$ has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^3 + 2A & A^2 + I \\ A^2 + I & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $A = I$ and $A^2 + I = I + I = 2I = 0$ follows, contradicting $\text{Char } F_p \neq 2$.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let S be a S_2 -subgroup of type $L_3(q)$, q even. Let K be a subgroup of odd order in $\text{Aut}(S)$ such that the semidirect product $K \cdot S$ contains U the normalizer of a S_2 -subgroup in a split extension of the standard module V of order q^2 by $SL(2, q)$. Suppose that t is an involution in $\text{Aut}(S)$ normalizing K and interchanging the two elementary abelian subgroups of order q^2 in S . Set $T = S\langle t \rangle$ and take an involution $x \in T - S$. We have two cases.*

(i) $Z(T) = Z(S)$ and $W = [x, S]$ is homocyclic of exponent 4 and order q^2 . $Z(S) = \Omega_1(W)$ and $C_T(x) = Z(S)\langle x \rangle$.

(ii) $Z(T) \neq Z(S)$. Then $|C_S(x)| = |[S, x]| = q\sqrt{q}$. $Z(T) = C_{Z(S)}(x)$ has order \sqrt{q} .

In both cases all involutions in $T - S$ are conjugate under S .

Proof. Consider S/Z as pairs (b, c) with $b, c \in F_q$ and $Z = Z(S)$ we identify with elements $a \in F_q$. The effect of squaring is described by $(b, c)^2 = bc$ and the commutator map by $[(b, c), (e, f)] = bf + ce$. Now $(b, c)^t = (c^{\alpha_1}, b^{\alpha_2})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (c^{\alpha_1}, b^{\alpha_2}) + (e^{\alpha_1}, f^{\alpha_2}) &= ((b, c) + (f, e))^t \\ &= (b + f, c + e)^t \\ &= ((c + e)^{\alpha_1}, (b + f)^{\alpha_2}). \end{aligned}$$

So α_1, α_2 , and α_3 are F_2 -homomorphisms, where $a^t = a^{\alpha_3}$. $t^2 = 1$ gives $\alpha_3^2 = 1$ and $\alpha_1\alpha_2 = 1$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} (be)^{\alpha_3} &= (be)^t \\ &= [(b, 0), (0, e)]^t \\ &= [(0, b^{\alpha_2}), (e^{\alpha_1}, 0)] \\ &= b^{\alpha_2}e^{\alpha_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose first, that t centralizes Z . Then $\alpha_3 = 1$.

Suppose now, that t does not centralize Z . K induces a cyclic group of order $q - 1$ on Z permuting transitively the elements in $Z^\#$. If we replace t if necessary by a suitable conjugate in $K\langle t \rangle$ we see by the structure of $GL(n, 2)$, $q = 2^n$, that α_3 acts as an involutory field automorphism on $Z = F_q$. Thus $1^{\alpha_3} = 1$ and so $1^{\alpha_2}(e^{\alpha_1} + g^{\alpha_1}) = e^{\alpha_3} + g^{\alpha_3}$. Since $\alpha_2^{-1} = \alpha_1$ it follows that $a^{\alpha_3} = 1^{\alpha_1-1}a^{\alpha_1}$ for all $a \in F_q$ and $1 \leq i \leq 2$.

In the case $Z = Z(T)$ we have that $C_K(t)$ induces a cyclic group of order $q - 1$ on Z acting transitively on Z . Since $|[S, t]Z/Z| = q$ it follows immediately that $[t, S]$ is homocyclic of exponent 4 and order q^2 being inverted by t . So every element in $t[S, t]$ is an involution and all involutions in $T - S$ are conjugate in S .

If $Z \neq Z(T)$ and $a \in [t, S]$ then exactly \sqrt{q} elements in taZ are involutions. Hence there are $q\sqrt{q} = |S; C_S(t)|$ involutions in $T - S$ and all of them are conjugate in S .

LEMMA 2.8. *Let p be a prime number and fix $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$. Consider the set \mathfrak{X} of subgroups X of P that satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) X is a tame Sylow intersection with P (for notation see [4]).
- (2) $C_P(X) \subseteq X$.
- (3) $X \in \text{Syl}_p(O_{p',p}(N_G(X)))$.
- (4) $X = P$ or $N_G(X)/X$ is p -isolated.

Form the set \mathfrak{X} of all pairs (X, N) with $X \in \mathfrak{X}$ and

$$N = N_G(X) \quad \text{if } X = C_P(\Omega_1(Z(X)))$$

and

$$N = N_G(X) \cap C_G(\Omega_1(Z(X))) \quad \text{if } X \subset C_P(\Omega_1(Z(X))).$$

If $x, y \in P$ and $x \sim y$ in G , then there exist $(X_i, N_i) \in \mathfrak{X}$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) and elements $x_i \in X_i$, $n_i \in N_i$ such that $x = x_1$, $x_i^{n_i} = x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$, and $x_m^{n_m} = y$.

For the proof see [11].

3. s -arcs

This section corresponds closely to Section 5 of [9]. Thus we have a graph whose set of points is Ω and α is connected with β if and only if $\beta \in \Delta(\alpha)$.

LEMMA 3.1. (i) $G_\alpha^{\Delta(\alpha)} \simeq G_\alpha^{\Delta'(\alpha)}$.

(ii) If r is a prime number dividing $q + 1$ then r does not divide $|G_{\alpha, \beta}|$ for $\beta \in \Delta(\alpha)$, except $r = 2$ and $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

(iii) If $\beta \in \Delta(\alpha)$ then $|G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\Delta(\alpha)}| = |G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\Delta(\beta)}|$.

Proof. (i) is true because of [6; 3.2].

(ii) follows by (i) and the proof of [8; Theorem 3].

(iii) $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a subgroup of index $q + 1$ in G_α and G_β . We have $G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)} \subseteq G_{\alpha, \beta}$. Suppose $G_{\beta, \Delta(\beta)} \not\subseteq G_{\alpha, \beta}$. Then

$$|G_\beta^{\Delta(\beta)} : G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\Delta(\beta)}| < q + 1$$

and this index divides $q + 1$. Hence by the structure of $L_2(q) \simeq G_\beta^{\Delta(\beta)}$, we have $L_2(q) \simeq G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\Delta(\beta)}$. Take now a prime r such that r divides $q + 1$ but not divides $|G_{\alpha, \beta}|$. Such a prime always exists, because for $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we have $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ and $q + 1 \geq 5$ together with (ii) then provides us with the existence of such an r . So r divides $|G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\Delta(\beta)}|$ and also $|G_{\alpha, \beta}|$, a contradiction.

DEFINITION. For $\beta \in \Delta(\alpha)$ define $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ as the orbit of length q of $G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\Delta(\beta)}$ and set

$$\Gamma'(\beta, \gamma) = \{\alpha \mid \beta \in \Delta(\alpha), \gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)\} \quad \text{for } \gamma \in \Delta(\beta).$$

Set $O = \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mid \beta \in \Delta(\alpha), \gamma \in \Gamma(\alpha, \beta)\}$.

LEMMA 3.2. If $\gamma \in \Delta(\beta)$, then $\Gamma'(\beta, \gamma)$ is an orbit of $G_{\beta, \gamma}^{\Delta(\beta)}$ and $|\Gamma'(\beta, \gamma)| = q$.

Proof. With 3.1 repeat the proof of [9; 5.6].

DEFINITION. Call a sequence X of points $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_s$ in Ω an s -arc if $(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}) \in \mathcal{O}$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-2$. An s -arc $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s, \beta$ is called a successor of X and an s -arc $\gamma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}$ is called a predecessor of X . Suppose X and Y are s -arcs and there is a sequence $X = X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k = Y$ such that X_i is a predecessor or a successor of X_{i+1} for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then we say that X is equivalent to Y ($X \sim Y$).

LEMMA 3.3. (i) *The number of s -arcs is $|\Omega|(q+1)q^{s-1}$.*

(ii) *$X \sim Y$ for all s -arcs X and Y .*

Proof. It is obvious that the proofs of [9; 5.7–5.10] can be adapted to our situation.

4. The order of an S_p -subgroup of G_α

LEMMA 4.1. *If G is transitive on the s -arcs but not transitive on the $(s+1)$ -arcs, then $|G_\alpha|_p = q^{s-1}$.*

Proof. Let H be the stabilizer of the s -arc $X; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_s$. Since G is transitive on \mathcal{O} we have $s \geq 2$. Clearly, $|G_{\alpha_0}: H| = (q+1)q^{s-1}$ by 3.3. Since $|G_{\alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s}|_\pi = |H|_\pi$, where $\pi = \pi(G_{\alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s}) - \{p\}$, it follows that $H^{\Delta(\alpha_s)}$ induces an orbit at least of length $q-1$ (or two orbits of length $(q-1)/2$) on $\Gamma(\alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s)$. If p divides $H^{\Delta(\alpha_s)}$, then H would act transitively on $\Gamma(\alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s)$, since nontrivial elements of order p in $H^{\Delta(\alpha_s)}$ would act fixed-point-free. Hence G would be transitive on the $(s+1)$ -arcs, a contradiction. So p does not divide $|H^{\Delta(\alpha_s)}|$. If $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$, then Q stabilizes all predecessors and all successors of X . 3.3 (ii) implies $Q = 1$.

LEMMA 4.2. *$O_{p'}(G_{\alpha, \beta}) = 1$ for $\beta \in \Delta(\alpha)$.*

Proof. First

$$O_{p'}(G_{\alpha, \beta})G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}/G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)} \cong O_{p'}(G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\Delta(\alpha)}) = 1.$$

Hence $O_{p'}(G_{\alpha, \beta}) \subseteq G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}$ and similarly $O_{p'}(G_{\alpha, \beta}) \subseteq G_{\beta, \Delta'(\beta)}$, as $\alpha \in \Delta'(\beta)$. Therefore

$$O_{p'}(G_{\alpha, \beta}) = O_{p'}(G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}) = O_{p'}(G_{\beta, \Delta'(\beta)}) \quad \text{and} \quad O_{p'}(G_{\alpha, \beta}) \triangleleft \langle G_\alpha, G_\beta \rangle = G.$$

So $O_{p'}(G_{\alpha, \beta}) = 1$.

LEMMA 4.3. *Take $\beta \in \Delta(\alpha)$. $G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)} \cap G_{\beta, \Delta'(\beta)}$ is a p -group and $|G_{\alpha, \beta}|_{p'}$ divides $((q-1)/d)^2$ where $d = 1$ if q is even and $d = 2$ if q is odd. $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ is solvable. If K is a p' -Hall subgroup of $G_{\alpha, \beta}$ then*

$$Z_{(q-1)/d} \subseteq K \subseteq Z_{(q-1)/d} \times Z_{(q-1)/d}$$

(Z_r denotes the cyclic group of order r).

Proof. $G_{\alpha, \Delta'(\alpha)}G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}/G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{\alpha}^{\Delta(\alpha)}$. So if $G_{\alpha, \Delta'(\alpha)} \not\subseteq G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}$, then we have a prime r dividing $q + 1$ and $|G_{\alpha, \Delta'(\alpha)}|$ and not dividing $|G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}|$ by 3.1 (ii). This contradicts $|G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}| = |G_{\alpha, \Delta'(\alpha)}|$ (see 3.1 (i)). So $G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)} = G_{\alpha, \Delta'(\alpha)}$ and by [6; 4.5] and 4.2 we have that $N = G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)} \cap G_{\beta, \Delta'(\beta)}$ is a p -group. Since $G_{\beta, \Delta'(\beta)}/N$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $G_{\alpha, \beta}^{\Delta(\alpha)}$ we have that $|G_{\alpha, \beta}|_{p'}$ divides $((q - 1)/d)^2$.

Suppose $k, h \in K$. Set $t = [k, h]$. Then $t \in N$ and hence $t = 1$. Clearly, $U = K \cap G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}$ is faithful on $\Delta'(\beta)$ and so $U \subseteq Z_{(q-1)/d}$. Let $x \in K$ be an element inducing a cyclic group of order $(q - 1)/d$ on $\Delta(\alpha)$. Then $y = x^{(q-1)/d} \in U$. If $y \neq 1$ then x would induce on $\Delta'(\beta)$ a group of order $>(q - 1)/d$, a contradiction.

LEMMA 4.4. *For each s -arc $X; \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_s$ there is a successor $Y; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s+1}$ such that the group K fixing X is also fixing Y . There is an element $g \in G$ with $Y^g = X, \alpha_i^g = \alpha_{i-1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s + 1$) and $g \in N_G(K)$.*

Proof. Let K be the stabilizer of X . Then by 3.3, K is a p' -Hall group of G_{α_0, α_1} and $G_{\alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s}$, respectively. So K induces one orbit of length $q - 1$ if q is even or two orbits of length $(q - 1)/2$ if q is odd on $\Gamma(\alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s)$ and K fixes exactly one element $\alpha_{s+1} \in \Gamma(\alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s)$. Since $K = G_{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_s}$, we also have $K = G_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s+1}}$. Choose $g \in G$ with $X = Y^g$, then all assertions follow.

LEMMA 4.5. *Choose $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{s+1}, K$ and $g \in N_G(K)$ as in 4.4. Denote by H the stabilizer of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ and take $Q \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$. Denote further by H_i the stabilizer of $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{s-i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then*

- (i) Q is elementary abelian of order q .
- (ii) $|H_{i+1} : H_i| = q$ for $1 \leq i < s - 1$.
- (iii) $H_i = \langle K, Q_1, \dots, Q_i \rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, where for each integer r we set $Q_r = g^{-r}Qg^r$.
- (iv) $P_i = O_p(H_i) = \langle Q_1, \dots, Q_i \rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ and $P_{i-1} \triangleleft P_i$.
- (v) $G = \langle H, g \rangle$.
- (vi) $Z_{(q-1)/d} \subseteq K \subseteq Z_{(q-1)/d} \times Z_{(q-1)/d}$ where $d = 1$ if q is even and $d = 2$ if q is odd.

Proof. Since G is transitive on the s -arcs it follows that H_i is transitive on the s -arcs beginning with $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{s-i}$. As the number of s -arcs beginning with $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{s-i}$ is q^i , we have $|H_i| = q^i|K_i|$.

By the structure of $L_2(q)$ we have that Q is elementary abelian of order q . Now $H_i \cong \langle K, Q_1, \dots, Q_i \rangle$ and Q_i acts regularly on $\Gamma(\alpha_{s-i-1}, \alpha_{s-i})$. Hence $Q_i \cap H_{i-1} = 1, |H_i| = |Q_i| |H_{i-1}|$ and $H_i = \langle K, Q_1, \dots, Q_i \rangle$ for $1 \leq i < s$. H_{s-1} is maximal in H_s and $Q_s \not\subseteq H_{s-1}$, so $H_s = \langle K, Q_1, \dots, Q_s \rangle$. Since H_s is maximal in G and $Q_{s+1} \not\subseteq H_s$ we have $G = \langle H_s, Q_{s+1} \rangle = \langle H, g \rangle$.

Clearly $N_K(Q)QK_0/K_0$ is represented on $K_0 = K_{\Gamma(\alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s)}$ which is cyclic by 4.3. Hence K_0 centralizes Q and $Q \triangleleft H$. (vi) follows by 4.3. Since $g \in N_G(K)$

then K normalizes every Q_i . Suppose, we have already shown that $P_i = O_p(H_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k < s - 1$. Certainly $N_{Q_{k+1}}(P_k)$ is K -invariant and $\neq 1$. So Q_{k+1} normalizes P_k and $P_k \triangleleft P_{k+1}$ follows.

DEFINITION. We set $L_i = gH_i g^{-1}$ and $R_i = gP_i g^{-1}$ for all integers i .

LEMMA 4.6. $R_i = \langle Q_0, \dots, Q_{i-1} \rangle$ for $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$, $R_{i+1} \cap P_{i+1} = P_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq s - 2$ and $P_i \triangleleft R_{i+1}$. Also $L_{i+1} \cap H_{i+1} = H_i$.

Proof. Clearly, $P_i \subseteq R_{i+1} \cap P_{i+1}$. If $P_i \subset R_{i+1} \cap P_{i+1}$, then there is a $1 \neq y \in Q_{i+1} \cap R_{i+1} \cap P_{i+1}$ and $Q_{i+1} = \langle y^K \rangle \subseteq R_{i+1}$. It follows that $R_{i+1} \cap P_{i+1} = P_{i+1}$ and H_{i+1} is g -invariant. So $H_{i+1} \triangleleft G = \langle H, g \rangle$ by 4.5, a contradiction. Since $1 \neq N_{Q_0}(P_i)$ is K -invariant, we have that Q_0 normalizes P_i and $P_i \triangleleft R_{i+1}$.

LEMMA 4.7. Suppose $k \leq j$ and $|k - j| \leq s - 2$. Then

$$[Q_k, Q_j] \subseteq \langle Q_{k+1}, \dots, Q_{j-1} \rangle.$$

If $s \geq 3$, then P_2 is abelian.

Proof. By 4.6, $[Q_0, Q_i] \subseteq P_i \cap R_i = P_{i-1}$ for $i \leq s - 2$. Conjugate the above expression with a suitable power of g and the assertion follows.

LEMMA 4.8. If $2_i \geq s + 2$, then P_i is nonabelian.

Proof. Choose i as above and assume P_i is abelian. Then $[Q_j, Q_k] = 1$ for $|j - k| \leq i - 1$. So $[Q_t, Q_i] = 1$ for $1 \leq t \leq s + 1$, since

$$|t - i| \leq \text{Max}(i - 1, s - i + 1) = i - 1.$$

Therefore

$$Q_i \triangleleft G = \langle Q_1, \dots, Q_{s+1}, K \rangle,$$

a contradiction.

LEMMA 4.9. If $1 \leq i \leq s - 1$ then an element $x \in P_i$ can be written as $x = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_i$ where $y_r \in Q_r$ for $1 \leq r \leq i$ is uniquely determined. If P_i is nonabelian, then $i \geq (2s + 1)/3$.

Proof. The first assertion is obvious since $|P_{i+1}| = |P_i| |Q_{i+1}|$.

Without loss we may assume that $s \geq 3$. Choose now $2 < i < s$, such that P_{i-1} is abelian but P_i is not abelian. Hence

$$(+) \quad [Q_j, Q_k] = 1 \quad \text{whenever } |j - k| \leq i - 2.$$

Since P_i and every Q_j is K -invariant, for every $x_1 \in Q_1^\#$ there is a $x_i \in Q_i^\#$ with $1 \neq [x_1, x_i]$. By 4.7,

$$(++) \quad 1 \neq [x_1, x_i] = x_m \cdots x_n$$

where $2 \leq m \leq n \leq i - 1$, $x_m \neq 1 \neq x_n$ and $x_t \in Q_t$ is uniquely determined for $m \leq t \leq n$. We want to show

- (1) $i + m \geq s + 1$
- (2) $2i - n \geq s$.

Granted both facts it follows that $s + 1 - i \leq m \leq n \leq 2i - s$ or $i \geq (2s + 1)/3$.

Proof of (1). We copy the proof of [9; 2.6]. Set $k = i + m - 1$ and suppose (1) is false. So $k \leq s - 1$. Since $|k - m| = i - 1$ and $x_m \neq 1$ there is an $x_k \in Q_k^\#$ with $[x_m, x_k] \neq 1$. Set $w = [x_1, x_k]$. Then $w \in \langle Q_2, \dots, Q_{k-1} \rangle$ by Lemma 4.7 since $k \leq s - 1$. By (+), $[w, Q_j] = 1$ for $m \leq j \leq i$. So w commutes with x_i and $[x_1, x_i]$. Finally x_k commutes with Q_j for $m < j \leq i$. We conjugate (+ +) with x_k . For the left-hand side we get

$$x_k^{-1}[x_1, x_i]x_k = [x_1 w, x_i] = w^{-1}[x_1, x_i]w[w, x_i] = [x_1, x_i] = x_m \cdots x_n.$$

For the right-hand side we get

$$x_k^{-1}(x_m \cdots x_n)x_k = (x_k^{-1}x_mx_k)x_{m-1} \cdots x_n = x_m[x_m, x_k]x_{m-1} \cdots x_n.$$

Thus $[x_m, x_k] = 1$, a contradiction.

Proof of (2). As in the proof of (1) we can adapt our situation to the proof of [9; 2.6].

LEMMA 4.10. $s \leq 7$ and $s \neq 6$.

Proof. Take t minimal with $2t \geq s + 2$. Then P_t is not abelian by 4.8. By 4.9, $3t \geq 2s + 1$. Suppose $s \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$; then $t = (s + 2)/2$ and $3s + 6 \geq 4s + 2$ or $s \leq 4$. If $s \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then $t = (s + 3)/2$ and $3s + 9 \geq 4s + 2$ or $s \leq 7$.

5. The structure of G_α

We use the notation of Section 4 and set $\alpha = \alpha_0$.

LEMMA 5.1. (i) If $s = 2$, then $G_\alpha \simeq L_2(q)$.

(ii) If $s = 3$, then $G_\alpha \simeq L_2(q) \times Y$, where Y is isomorphic to a S_p -normalizer in $L_2(q)$.

Proof. If $s = 2$, then $Syl_p(G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}) = \{1\}$ and 4.2 implies the assertion.

Suppose now $s = 3$. Then $\langle Q_1, Q_2 \rangle$ and $\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ are S_p -subgroups of G_α , whose intersection is Q_2 . Hence $O_p(G_\alpha) = Q_2$. Clearly, $C_{G_\alpha}(Q_2)$ covers $G_\alpha^{\Delta(\alpha)}$ and so $G_\alpha = G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)} \cdot C_{G_\alpha}(Q_2)$. Let R be a p' -Hall subgroup of $G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}$ contained in K . Then R is represented faithful on Q_2 by 4.2 and hence $R \simeq K/C_K(Q_2) \simeq Z_{(q-1)/d}$ where $d = 1$ if q is even and $d = 2$ if q is odd. Also

$[R, C_{G_\alpha}(Q_2)] \subseteq C_{G_\alpha}(Q_2) \cap G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)} = Q_2$. By a theorem of Gaschütz $C_{G_\alpha}(Q_2)$ splits over Q_2 and $C_{G_\alpha}(Q_2) = Q_2 \times X$, where $X \simeq L_2(q)$. Moreover $[X, R] \subseteq Q_2 \cap X = 1$. Hence $G_\alpha \simeq L_2(q) \times Y$.

LEMMA 5.2. *If $s = 4$ then $p = 2$. If $P = O_2(G_\alpha)$, then P is elementary abelian of order q^2 and $C_G(P) = P$. G_α/P is isomorphic to a subgroup of $GL(2, q)$ containing $SL(2, q)$ and acting on P as on the standard module. G_α splits over P .*

Proof. Since the two S_p -subgroups $\langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ and $\langle Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 \rangle$ contain $\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ and $|G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}|_p = q^2$, we have $P = O_p(G) = \langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$. By a theorem of Gaschütz G_α splits over P . Further by 4.8, P_3 is nonabelian. Since P_3 is K -invariant we have $[Q_1, Q_3] = Q_2$. Since $O_{p'}(G_\alpha) = 1$, and $C_{G_\alpha}(P) \subseteq G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}$ we have $C_G(P) = P$. Let X/P denote the smallest member of the derived series of G_α/P . By 4.5, $G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}/P \subseteq Z(G_\alpha/P)$ and so X/P is either isomorphic to $L_2(q)$ or $SL(2, q)$. Assume q is odd and $X/P \simeq SL(2, q)$, then $KP/P \cap X/P$ contains a four-group by 4.5 in contradiction to the structure of $SL(2, q)$. Hence $X/P \simeq L_2(q)$ and $KP/P \cap X/P$ is cyclic of order $(q - 1)/d$ (where $d = 1$ if q is even and $d = 2$ if q is odd) acting on the subgroups of order p of Q_2 or Q_3 transitively. So P is an irreducible X/P -module in contradiction to 2.6 if q is odd. So q is even and $G_\alpha/P \simeq SL(2, q) \times Z$, $Z \subseteq Z_{q-1}$. Since $X/P \simeq SL(2, q)$ we have by 2.5 that P may be regarded as the standard $SL(2, q) = X/P$ -module.

Let L/P denote $Z(G_\alpha/P)$, then L/P permutes all subgroups of order q in P which represent one-dimensional subspaces in respect to the action of X/P on P . Since there are $q + 1$ of them and $|L/P|$ divides $q - 1$ it follows that L/P leaves invariant all these one-dimensional subspaces. Now it is easy to see that G_α/P is isomorphic to a subgroup of $GL(2, q)$ containing $SL(2, q)$ and P may be regarded as the standard module of G_α/P .

LEMMA 5.3. *If $s = 5$, then $p = 2$. $P = O_2(G_\alpha)$ is elementary abelian of order q^3 . $K \simeq Z_{q-1} \times Z_{q-1}$ and $G_\alpha/P \simeq GL(2, q)$. $Q_3 \triangleleft G_\alpha$ and $C_G(Q_3)/P \simeq SL(2, q)$. P/Q_3 may be regarded as the standard module for $GL(2, q) \simeq G_\alpha/P$ and G_α splits over P . P is an indecomposable G_α/P -module (i.e., there is no $T \subset P$, $T \triangleleft G_\alpha$ with $T \times Q_3 = P$).*

Proof. As usual $P = O_p(G_\alpha) = \langle Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 \rangle$. Suppose P_3 is not abelian. Then $[Q_2, Q_4] = Q_3$ and $Q_3 \triangleleft G_\alpha$. But $[Q_1, Q_3] = Q_2$, a contradiction.

So P_3 is abelian and $Q_3 \triangleleft G_\alpha$, since $Q_3 \subseteq \langle Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \rangle \cap \langle Q_3, Q_4, Q_5 \rangle$. Also $C_G(Q_3)$ covers $G_\alpha^{\Delta(\alpha)}$ as $C_G(Q_3)$ contains a S_p -subgroup of G_α . By 4.8, P_4 is not abelian and as $O_{p'}(G_\alpha) = 1$, it follows that $C_G(P) = P$. Since K induces on Q_3 a cyclic group of order $(q - 1)/d$, we have

$$|G_\alpha: C_G(Q_3)| = (q - 1)/d \quad \text{and} \quad K = Z_{(q-1)/d} \times Z_{(q-1)/d},$$

where $d = 1$ if q is even and $d = 2$ if q is odd (see 4.5).

Hence $C_G(Q_3)/P \simeq L_2(q)$. Clearly, $[Q_1, Q_4] \subseteq \langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$. We have neither $[Q_1, Q_4] = Q_3$ nor $[Q_1, Q_4] = Q_2$ (which implies $[Q_2, Q_5] = Q_3$), since $C_{G_\alpha}(P/Q_3) \subseteq G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}$. Since Q_1 and Q_4 are K -invariant, we have for $x_i \in Q_i^\#$ ($i = 1, 4$), $[x_1, x_4] = x_2x_3$ always, with $x_j \in Q_j^\#$ (for $j = 2, 3$). We have

$$C_{P/Q_3}(Q_1) = Q_2Q_3/Q_3 = [Q_1, P]Q_3/Q_3$$

and as in the proof of 5.2, P/Q_3 is an irreducible $C_{G_\alpha}(Q_3)/P \simeq L_2(q)$ -module. As before q is even and P/Q_3 is the standard module for $C_{G_\alpha}(Q_3)/P \simeq SL(2, q)$ by 2.5 and 2.6.

Set $L = C_K(P/Q_3) \cap G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)}$ and assume $L \neq 1$. Clearly, $L \subseteq C_K(Q_2, Q_4)$ and so with $g \in G$ chosen as in 4.4 and 4.5, $L^g \subseteq C_K(Q_3, Q_5)$. Since $C_K(Q_3)$ acts fixed-pointfree on Q_5P/Q_3 (as P/Q_3 is the standard module for $SL(2, q) \simeq C_{G_\alpha}(Q_3)/P$), we have $L^g = 1$ and so $L = 1$. Now the assertion follows as in the proof of 5.2.

LEMMA 5.4. *The case $s = 7$ does not occur.*

Proof. As usual $P = O_p(G) = \langle Q_2, \dots, Q_6 \rangle$. By 4.9, $[Q_i, Q_j] = 1$ whenever $|i - j| \leq 3$. Also the proof of 4.9 shows us that $[Q_1, Q_5] \subseteq Q_3$. Since P_5 is not abelian by 4.8, we have $[Q_1, Q_5] = Q_3$, $[Q_2, Q_6] = Q_4$ and $[Q_3, Q_7] = Q_5$. Hence Q_4 and $T = \langle Q_3, Q_4, Q_5 \rangle$ are normal subgroups of G_α . So $C_{G_\alpha}(Q_4)$ covers $G_\alpha^{\Delta(\alpha)}$ and as in the proof of 5.3 we have

$$K = Z_{(q-1)/d} \times Z_{(q-1)/d},$$

where $d = 1$ if q is even and $d = 2$ if q is odd. Also $C_{G_\alpha}(T/Q_4) \cap C_{G_\alpha}(Q_4) = P$ and so $C_{G_\alpha}(Q_4)/P \simeq L_2(q)$ acts faithfully on T/Q_4 . Since

$$Q_1P/P \in \text{Syl}_p(C_{G_\alpha}(Q_4)/P) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{T/Q_4}(Q_1P/P) = Q_3Q_4/Q_4$$

we have by 2.5 and 2.6 that q is even and T/Q_4 is the standard module for $C_G(Q_4)/P$.

Further $[Q_1, Q_6] \subseteq \langle Q_2, \dots, Q_5 \rangle$ and $[Q_2, Q_7] \subseteq \langle Q_3, \dots, Q_6 \rangle$. Take $x_1 \in Q_1^\#, x_6 \in Q_6^\#$. Then there are $x_i \in Q_i$ ($2 \leq i \leq 5$) with $[x_1, x_6] = x_2 \dots x_5$ and

$$1 = x_1x_6^2x_1 = (x_1x_6x_1)^2 = (x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6)^2 = (x_2x_6)^2.$$

Since T/Q_4 is the standard module for $C_G(Q_4)/P$, we have for $y_1 \in Q_1^\#$ that $C_{Q_5}(y_1) = 1$. Hence $x_2 = 1$. So $[Q_1, Q_6] \subseteq \langle Q_3, Q_4, Q_5 \rangle$ and similarly $[Q_1, Q_6] \subseteq \langle Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 \rangle$. So finally $[Q_1, Q_6] \subseteq \langle Q_3, Q_4 \rangle$ and $[Q_2, Q_7] \subseteq \langle Q_4, Q_5 \rangle$.

Now we claim that $\Delta(\alpha_0)$ is self-paired (notation as in Section 4 and $\alpha = \alpha_0$). $N = G_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1} = N_{G_{\alpha_0}}(P_6) = N_{G_{\alpha_1}}(P_6)$. Since N^g is also a S_2 -normalizer in G_{α_0} there is a $h \in G_{\alpha_0}$ with $N^k = N$ and $k = gh \in N_G(P_6) - N_{G_{\alpha_0}}(P_6)$. Now $N_G(Q_4) = G_{\alpha_0}$ and $P'_6 = \langle Q_3, Q_4 \rangle$. Since $N = P_6K$ we can use a Frattini-argument and find a $k \in N_G(K) \cap N_G(P_6) - N_{G_{\alpha_0}}(P_6)$. So $Q_4^k \neq Q_4$. Since

Q_3 and Q_4 are the only K -invariant subgroups in P'_6 of order q we have $Q_4^k = Q_3$ and $Q_3^k = Q_4$. Hence $k^2 \in N_G(P_6) \cap G_{\alpha_0} = N$. So $|\langle k \rangle N| = 2|N|$ and we may assume that $\Delta(\alpha_0)$ is self-paired (see [9; 5.16]).

Set $\alpha_{-1} = \alpha_0^g$. Then $\alpha_1, \alpha_{-1} \in \Delta(\alpha_0)$, since $\Delta(\alpha_0)$ is self-paired. Q_1 does not fix $\beta \in \Delta(\alpha_0) - \{\alpha_1\}$ as otherwise Q_1 would fix the 7-arc $\beta, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_6$. Hence Q_1 acts regularly on $\Delta(\alpha_0) - \{\alpha_1\}$. By definition Q_7 does not fix α_1 but does fix α_{-1} . So we can find $x_1 \in Q_1$ and $x_7 \in Q_7$ with $\alpha_{x_1}^1 = \beta$ and $\beta^{x_7} = \alpha_1$. Set $h = gx_1x_7$ and $\alpha_0^h = \alpha_1$ and $\alpha_1^h = \alpha_0$ follows. So $h^2 \in N$.

Now

$$h^{-1}y_1h = x_7x_1g^{-1}y_1gx_1x_7 = x_7x_1y_2x_1x_7 = y_2[x_7, y_2] \in \langle Q_2, Q_4, Q_5 \rangle$$

where $y_i \in Q_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$. In the same way

$$h^{-1}y_2h \in \langle Q_3, Q_4, Q_5 \rangle, h^{-1}y_4h \in \langle Q_3, Q_5 \rangle, \text{ and } h^{-1}y_5h \in \langle Q_3, Q_4, Q_5, Q_6 \rangle$$

where $y_i \in Q_i$ for $i = 2, 4, 5$. Hence $h^{-2}y_1h^2 \in \langle Q_2, Q_3, Q_4, Q_6 \rangle = P$. But $h^2 \in P_6K$ and so $h^{-2}y_1h^2 \in P_6 - P$ for $y_1 \in Q_1^\#$, a contradiction.

6. The case $p = 2$

In this section we will show that in the case $p = 2$ we have $G_{\alpha, \Delta(\alpha)} = 1$, or equivalently $s \leq 2$. Always we will use the notation of Section 4 and 5.

LEMMA 6.1. $s \neq 3$.

Proof. By 5.1 (ii) we have $G_\alpha = X \times Y$ where $X \simeq SL(2, q)$ and $Y = N_{SL(2, q)}(F)$ with $F \in Syl_2(SL(2, q))$. Now $G_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1} = (E \times F)K$ where $F \in Syl_2(Y)$ and $E \in Syl_2(X)$. Set $S = EF$. Take $x \in G$ with $\alpha_0^x = \alpha_1$. Then $(SK)^x \subseteq G_{\alpha_1}$ and there is an $h \in G_{\alpha_1}$ with $(SK)^{xh} = SK$. Set $y = xh$, then $\alpha_0^y = \alpha_1$ and $y \in N_G(SK) - G_{\alpha_0}$. Since E and F are the only minimal normal subgroups of SK and $G_{\alpha_0} = N_G(F)$, we have $E^y = F$ and $F^y = E$. Since $y^2 \in N_G(F) \cap N_G(SK) = SK$, we may choose—by using a Frattini argument— y as an involution in $N_G(K)$. Now $S^\#$ splits in the two $\langle y \rangle K$ -orbits $F^\# \cup E^\#$ and $(F^\#)(E^\#)$.

Assume first that $S^* = \langle y \rangle S \in Syl_2(N_G(S))$. Since S char S^* it follows that $S^* \in Syl_2(G)$. Let X be a minimal normal subgroup of G . If $X \cap G_\alpha = 1$, then $|X|$ is odd as $|X|_2 \leq 2$. But then $G_\alpha X = G$ and $|G|_2 < |S^*|$, a contradiction. Hence $X \cap G_\alpha \neq 1$ and so $S \subseteq X$. Even $S^* \subseteq X$ as G_α and so G can not contain a subgroup of index 2. Hence X is simple, in contradiction to 2.2. So if $T \in Syl_2(N_G(S))$, then $S^* \subseteq T$ implies $S^* \subset T$. Then T does not normalize $E^\# \cup F^\#$ and all elements in $S^\#$ are conjugate under $N_G(S)$. Let $E = E_1 \supset E_2 \supset \dots \supset E_n \supset 1$ be an arbitrary sequence of hyperplanes.

Suppose we have already shown by induction that $S \in Syl_2(N_G(E_{i-1}))$. Certainly, $N_G(E_{i-1}) \cap N_G(E_i)$ is the preimage of $C_{N_G(E_i)/E_i}(E_{i-1}/E_i)$.

Assume first that $S/E_i \notin Syl_2(N_G(E_i)/E_i)$. Since

$$S/E_i \in Syl_2((N_G(E_{i-1}) \cap N_G(E_i))/E_i)$$

for all subgroups $E_i \subset E_{i-1} \subseteq E$ with $|E_{i-1} : E_i| = 2$, it follows that E/E_i contains only noncentral involutions of $N_G(E_i)/E_i$. Take

$$t \in (N_G(E_i) \cap N_G(S)) - S \quad \text{with } t^2 \in S.$$

Then $(E/E_i)^t \cap E/E_i = 1$. If $(E/E_i)^t \cap FE_i/E_i \neq 1$ then the involutions in FE_i/E_i are conjugate under $N_{N_G(S)}(E_i)/E_i$ to involutions in E/E_i . Hence

$$FE_i/E_i \cap (FE_i/E_i)^t = 1$$

which is not true since $|FE_i/E_i| = q > \sqrt{|S/E_i|}$. Therefore the involutions in FE_i/E_i are central and the map

$$(E/E_i)^\# \ni eE_i \rightarrow e^t FE_i$$

is a bijection of $(E/E_i)^\#$ onto $(S/FE_i)^\#$. So all involutions in $S/E_i - FE_i/E_i$ are conjugate to an involution in E/E_i . Also t normalizes FE_i/E_i and thus fixes every coset eFE_i/E_i where $e \in E$. Denote by T a S_2 -subgroup in

$$N_G(S) \cap C_G(S/FE_i) \cap N_G(E_i)$$

and set $K_0 = C_K(E)$. Then TK_0 induces a Frobenius group of order $q(q - 1)$ on the coset eFE_i/E_i for $e \in E - E_i$ (see also [12; lemma 2]). The map $T \ni t \rightarrow [e, t] \in E_i$ for $e \in E_i$ is a K_0 -homomorphism of T/S into $[T, E_i]/[E_i, T, T]$. So $E_i \subseteq Z(T)$. Set $T_0 = [T, K_0]E_i$; then $T_0/E_i \cap E/E_i = 1$ and $T_0E = T$. Also T_0/E_i is abelian since T_0/FE_i and FE_i/E_i are K_0 -isomorphic. If

$$[FE_i, T_0] = 1,$$

then $|N_G(F)|_2 > q^2$, a contradiction.

So we can find a hyperplane $E^* \subset E_i$ such that T_0/E^* is not abelian. If $K_0 = \langle k \rangle$, then k has on FE_i/E_i and T_0/FE_i the eigenvalues $\{\lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{2^n-1}\}$ where λ is a primitive $(q - 1)$ th root of unit. Since the commutator map is a nontrivial, bilinear, and K_0 -admissible map from T_0/E_i onto the trivial K_0 -module E_i/E^* we have

$$\{\lambda, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^{2^n-1}\} = \{\lambda^{-1}, \lambda^{-2}, \dots, \lambda^{-2^{n-1}}\}.$$

Therefore $q - 1 = 2^n - 1$ must divide $2^k + 2^l$ for some $0 \leq k, l \leq n - 1$. It follows that $n = 2, k = 1$, and $l = 0$. (For these arguments also compare with [5].)

So if $n > 2$, we have by induction that $S \in \text{Syl}_2(C_G(e))$ where $e \in E^\#$, contradicting the fact that all elements in $S^\#$ are conjugate and that $S \notin \text{Syl}_2(G)$.

So we are in the case $n = 2$ with $E_2 = E_i$, and $2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$ divides $|N_G(S)/S|$. Suppose first $2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \neq |N_G(S)/S|$. Then

$$|N_G(S)/S| \geq 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$$

and as S possesses exactly 35 subgroups of order 4 we have a contradiction to

$$|N_G(S) : (N_G(S) \cap N_G(E))| \geq 2^3 \cdot 5 = 40.$$

So $|N_G(S)/S| = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$. Suppose every minimal normal subgroup of $N_G(S)/S$ is nonsolvable, then $N_G(S)/S$ is isomorphic to A_5 extended by an automorphism of order 3 which is impossible. The structure of A_8 implies that $N_G(S)/S \simeq A_5 \times Z_3 \simeq GL(2, 4)$ where S is the standard module for $N_G(S)/S$.

Now K normalizes a $T \in Syl_2(N_G(S))$ by the structure of $GL(2, 4)$. But then either F or E is KT -invariant, a contradiction.

LEMMA 6.2. $s \neq 4$.

Proof. Suppose $s = 4$. $N = G_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}$ is the normalizer of a S_2 -subgroup in G_{α_0} and G_{α_1} . Set $S = O_2(N) \in Syl_2(N)$ and S contains exactly two elementary abelian subgroups—say E and F —of order q^2 . One of them—say E —is equal to $O_2(G_{\alpha_0})$. If $\alpha_0^g = \alpha_1$ then there is a $h \in G_{\alpha_1}$ with $z = gh \in N_G(N)$. So $z \in N_G(S)$ and since $z \notin N_G(E) = G_{\alpha_0}$ we have $E^z = F$ and $F^z = E$. As $N_G(E) \subseteq G_{\alpha_0}$ we have $N_G(S) = \langle t \rangle N$ where t interchanges E and F . We can even choose $t \in N_G(K)$ and it follows $|t| = 2$. Since all involutions in S lie in $E \cup F$ and as $C_{(E \cup F)}(x) \subseteq Z = Z(S)$ for $x \in T - S$, $|x| = 2$ it follows that S char T where $T = S\langle t \rangle$. We conclude $T \in Syl_2(G)$.

Set $W = T'$, then W is of exponent 4 and $\Omega_1(W) = Z$. Every element in $T - W$ induces a nontrivial automorphism on W . So $|C_G(W)W: W|$ is odd. Further $C_G(W)W \subseteq M \subseteq N_G(W)$ where $M = C_G(W/Z)$ and M contains T . We apply 2.7. Thus we have either $M_1 = C_M(Z)$ has S as a S_2 -subgroup, or W is homocyclic of exponent 4 and t inverts W . In the second case $[C_K(t), T] = S$ and the cosets tW and fW with $f \in F$ are never conjugate in G . Let R be a 2-complement of the preimage of $O(M_1/W)$. In any case R stabilizes the chain $1 \subset Z \subset W$ and so $R \subseteq C_G(W)$. By 2.1 we have

$$O^{2,2'}(M_1/WR) \quad \text{or} \quad O^{2'}(M_1/WR) = V_0 \times V_1 \times \cdots \times V_m,$$

where V_0 is an elementary abelian 2-group and V_1, \dots, V_m are nonabelian simple. Since $(T \cap M_1)/W$ induces nontrivial automorphisms on W but centralizes W/Z and Z we have SR/WR char M_1/WR . The Frattini argument gives us

$$N_G(W) = O(C_G(W))(N_G(S) \cap N_G(W)).$$

Set $U = Z \cdot O(C_G(Z))$. Clearly, $S \subseteq C_G(Z)$. Let X/U be a minimal normal subgroup of $N_G(Z)/U$ lying in $C_G(Z)/U$.

Suppose first that X/U is semisimple and not abelian. Since WU/U and SU/U are the only $K\langle t \rangle$ -invariant, nontrivial subgroups of SU/U we have to distinguish the three cases $W \in Syl_2(X)$, $S \in Syl_2(X)$, and $T \in Syl_2(X)$.

Assume first $W \in Syl_2(X)$, then $X/U \simeq SL(2, q)$ by 2.1 and $N_G(W) \cap C_G(Z)$ contains a group L inducing a cyclic group of order $q - 1$ on W/Z and acting transitively on $(W/Z)^\#$, a contradiction.

Suppose now $S \in Syl_2(X)$. Then $X/U \simeq SL(2, q) \times SL(2, q)$ by 2.1. This implies $N_G(E) \supset G_{\alpha_0}$ since $N_X(E) \not\subseteq G_{\alpha_0}$, a contradiction.

If, finally, $T \in \text{Syl}_2(X)$, then X/U is simple and by 2.2 we reach a contradiction. So in any case X/U is an elementary abelian 2-group and by the above $N_G(Z) = O(C_G(Z))N_G(S)$ follows.

Let Z_i be any subgroup of Z such that either $Z(T) \subseteq Z_i$ or $Z_i \subseteq Z(T)$, and $|Z_i| = 2^i$. We want to show by induction that $N_G(Z_i) = O(C_G(Z_i))(N_G(S) \cap N_G(Z_i))$. Take $z \in Z - Z_i$, if $Z_i \subset Z(T)$ then choose $z \in Z(T) - Z_i$. Set $Z_{i+1} = \langle Z_i, z \rangle$; then $N_G(Z_i) \cap N_G(Z_{i+1})$ is the preimage of $C_{N_G(Z_i)/Z_i}(zZ_i)$. In particular if x is an involution in $T - Z_i$ we have by induction, that

$$C_{T/Z_i}(xZ_i, zZ_i) \in \text{Syl}_2(C_{N_G(Z_i)/Z_i}(xZ_i, zZ_i)).$$

Case 1. Suppose first that $Z(T) = Z(S)$. If $x \in S - Z$ and if $T_{x,z}$ is the preimage of $C_{T/Z_i}(xZ_i, zZ_i)$ then $Z = T'_{x,z}$ and $x \sim z$ in $N_G(Z_i)$ if x is an involution. If $x \in T - S$ is an involution then $T'_{x,z} \cap Z(T_{x,z}) = Z$ by 2.7 and again $x \sim z$ in $N_G(Z_i)$. Therefore Z/Z_i is strongly closed in T/Z_i with respect to $N_G(Z_i)/Z_i$. 2.3 implies that $R = \langle Z^{N_G(Z_i)} \rangle \subseteq C_G(Z_i)$ and $R/O(R)$ is known. If $R \neq O(R)Z$, it follows that

$$|(C_G(Z_i) \cap N_G(Z)): C_G(Z)| > 1$$

in contradiction to the structure of $N_G(Z)$. The induction goes through in this case.

Case 2. Assume now $Z \neq Z(T)$ and use the information of 2.7. Again if $x \in S - Z$ is an involution we have $z \sim x$ in $N_G(Z_i)$ as in Case 1. Suppose now that $x \in T - S$ is an involution; then $x \sim z$ in $N_G(Z_i)$ for $i > n/2$ as $C_{Z_i}(x) \neq Z_i$. If $i \leq n/2$ then $Z(T/Z_i)$ has a preimage which is a group $Z^* = Z_{i+(n/2)}$, and $z \in Z^*$. If $x \in T - S$ is an involution and $T_{x,z}$ is the preimage of $C_{T/Z_i}(xZ_i, zZ_i)$, then the preimage of $Z(T_{x,z}/Z_i)$ is $\langle Z^*, x \rangle$ but $x \notin \langle \langle Z^*, x \rangle \rangle$. So $x \sim z$ in $N_G(Z_i)$. The weak closure of $\langle zZ_i \rangle$ in

$$(N_G(Z_{i+1}) \cap N_G(Z_i))/Z_i$$

lies in Z/Z_i . Hence by a theorem of Shult (see [3; corollary 3]) we have as before

$$N_G(Z_i) = O(C_G(Z_i))(N_G(S) \cap N_G(Z_i)).$$

Every involution in $S - Z$ is conjugate in G to $z \in Z^\#$. We claim $z \sim t \in T - S$. Assume the contrary.

Case 1. $Z(T) = Z$. Then $Y = C_T(z, t) = \langle z, t \rangle \in \text{Syl}_2(C_G(z, t))$ by the above. Also $C_K(t)$ acts transitively on $Z^\#$ and $t(Z^\#)$. As $t \sim tz$ in W we have that the elements in $Z^\#$ as well as in tZ are all conjugate in $X = N_G(Y)$. Now t has at least q conjugates under $C_X(z)$. Since for z there is $K^* \sim C_K(t)$ in X with $K^* \subseteq C_X(z)$ and $Y = \langle z \rangle \times Y_1$ where $Y_1^\#$ and $zY_1 - \{z\}$ are K^* -orbits. It follows that all involutions in $Y - \langle z \rangle$ are conjugate under $C_X(z)$. Hence 2 divides $|C_X(z): C_X(z, z_1)|$ where $z_1 \in Z - \langle z \rangle$. Take $R \in \text{Syl}_2(C_X(z))$ with $[t, T] \subseteq R$ and $Q \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$ with $R \subseteq G$. Then

$$Z(Q) \subseteq Y \subseteq \langle t \rangle [t, T] \quad \text{and} \quad Z(Q) \subseteq Z([t, T] \langle t \rangle) = Z.$$

Hence $Z(Q) = Z$, contradicting the fact that 2 divides $|C_X(z): C_X(z, z_1)|$.

Case 2. Assume $Z(T) \neq Z$. Then $z \in C_T(x, t)'$ but $t \notin C_T(x, z)'$ and so $t \sim z$ in G .

By 2.4, G contains a subgroup of index 2. Since the maximal subgroup G_α does not contain a subgroup of index 2, we reach the final contradiction

$$|G : G_\alpha| = |\Omega| = 2 \geq |\Delta(\alpha)| = q + 1 \geq 5.$$

LEMMA 6.3. $s \neq 5$.

Proof. $P = O_2(G_\alpha) = \langle Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 \rangle$ and $G_\alpha = N_G(Q_3)$ and $C_G(Q_3)$ covers $G_\alpha^{\Delta(\alpha)}$. Since $[Q_1, Q_4] \neq 1$ we have that $C_G(Q_3)/P$ is faithfully represented on P/Q_3 . The map $x_4 \rightarrow [x_1, x_4]$ where $x_1 \in Q_1^\#$ and $x_4 \in Q_4$ is faithful from Q_4 into $\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ and a $C_K(Q_1)$ -homomorphism.

Take $k \in G$ with $\alpha_0^k = \alpha_1$ then there is a $x \in G_{\alpha_1}$ such that for $N_{G_\alpha}(P_4) = KP_4 = G_{\alpha_0, \alpha_1}$ we have $(KP_4)^h = KP_4$ where $h = kx$. Since P_4 contains exactly two elementary abelian groups of order q^3 where one of them is P , we have $h^2 \in KP_4$. As in the proof of 6.2, $T = \langle t \rangle P_4 \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$, where t is an involution in $N_G(K) \cap N_G(P_4)$ interchanging P and Q the elementary abelian subgroups of order q^3 in P_4 . Since

$$K = C_K(Q_2) \times C_K(Q_3) = C_K(Q_1) \times C_K(Q_4)$$

and as t interchanges Q_2 and Q_3 we have that $Q'_1 = Q_4$ and $K_0 = C_K(t)$ is a cyclic group of order $q - 1$. One computes that $|C_{P_4}(t)| = q^2$. Moreover there are at most q cosets $tw\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ with $w \in P_4$ which contain involutions and each of these cosets contains at most q involutions. Hence there are q^2 involutions in $T - P_4$ and all of them are conjugate under P_4 .

$P_4 \in \text{Syl}_2(C_G(\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle))$ and by the structure of $N_G(P_4)$ we know—using Burnside's theorem—that

$$N_G(\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle) = O(C_G(\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle))N_G(P_4).$$

Set $Z = Z(T) \subseteq \langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$. Denote by Z_i any subgroup of $\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ with $Z \subseteq Z_i$ and $|Z_i| = 2^i q$. We want to show by induction that

$$N_G(Z_i) = O(C_G(Z_i))(N_G(P_4) \cap N_G(Z_i)).$$

$P_4 \in \text{Syl}_2(C_G(Z_i))$ and $T \in \text{Syl}_2(N_G(Z_i))$ if $i > 0$. On the other hand if x is an involution in $P_4 - \langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ and $z \in \langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle - Z_i$ then by the hypothesis of the induction

$$C_{P_4/Z_i}(xZ_i, zZ_i) \in \text{Syl}_2(C_{C_G(Z_i)/Z_i}(xZ_i, zZ_i)).$$

If $T_{x,z}$ is the preimage of this group we have $\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle = T'_{x,z} \cdot Z_i$ and so $x \sim z$ in $N_G(Z_i)$. Hence $\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ is strongly closed in P_4 with respect to $C_G(Z_i)$. The structure of $N_G(\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle)$ and 2.3 now implies

$$\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle O(C_G(Z_i)) \cong N_G(Z_i)$$

and the assertion follows.

Finally consider the case $Z_0 = Z$. $z \in \langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle - Z$ is not conjugate to the involution $x \in T - P_4$ since the preimage $T_{x,z}$ of $C_{T/Z}(xZ, zZ)$ has $\langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ as the only elementary abelian subgroup of index 2. If $z \in \langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle - Z$ would be conjugate in $N_G(Z)$ to $x \in P_4 - \langle Q_2, Q_3 \rangle$ then all involutions in P_4/Z would be conjugate in $N_G(Z)/Z$. Hence $N_G(Z)/Z$ and so $C_G(Z)/Z$ has a subgroup of index 2 with S_2 -subgroup P_4/Z as the proof of 2.4 shows. This group has class 2 and is of type $L_3(q)$. So if $X/O(C_G(Z))Z$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $N_G(Z)/O(C_G(Z))Z$ contained in $C_G(Z)/O(C_G(Z))Z$ and is non-solvable then $X/O(C_G(Z))Z \simeq L_3(q)$ by 2.2 and we get a contradiction to the structure of $N_G(P_4)$. So as usual

$$N_G(Z) = O(C_G(Z))(N_G(P_4) \cap N_G(Z))$$

follows.

Assume an involution $t \in T - P_4$ is conjugate in G to $x \in P_4$. By 2.8 there is a subgroup $X \subseteq T$, $t, x \in X$ satisfying conditions (1)–(4) of 2.8 (here T corresponds to P in 2.8) such that $x \sim t$ in N where

$$N = N_G(X) \quad \text{if } X = C_T(\Omega_1(Z(X)))$$

or

$$N = N_G(X) \cap C_G(\Omega_1(Z(X))) \quad \text{if } X \subset C_T(\Omega_1(Z(X))).$$

Clearly, $Z \langle t \rangle \subseteq X$ by 2.8 (2). Moreover $Z = Z(X)$ or $\Omega_1(Z(X)) = \langle t \rangle Z$, because $C_T(t) = \langle t \rangle U$, where U is homocyclic of order q^2 and $\Omega_1(U) = Z$. If $X = C_T(\Omega_1(Z(X)))$ then in any case $Z \text{ char } X$ and $x \sim t$ in $N_G(Z)$ which is impossible. If $X \subset C_T(\Omega_1(Z(X)))$ then $N \subseteq N_G(X)$ and we get the same contradiction.

2.4 implies that G has a subgroup of index 2 and we get the usual contradiction.

Remark. The permutation groups with a suborbit of length 3 have been determined by Sims [9] and Wong [15]. The permutation groups with a suborbit of length 4 have been determined by Sims [10] and Quirin [7].

REFERENCES

1. H. BENDER, *On groups with abelian Sylow 2-subgroups*, Math. Zeitschrift, vol. 117 (1970), pp. 164–176.
2. R. GILMAN AND D. GORENSTEIN, *Finite groups with Sylow 2-subgroups of class 2*, to appear.
3. D. GOLDSCHMIDT, *2-fusion in finite groups*, Ann. Math., vol. 99 (1974), pp. 70–117.
4. D. GORENSTEIN, *Finite groups*, Harper and Row, New York, 1968.
5. G. HIGMAN, *Suzuki 2-groups*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 7 (1963), pp. 79–96.
6. W. KNAPP, *On the point stabilizer of a primitive permutation group*, Math. Zeitschrift, vol. 133 (1973), pp. 137–168.
7. W. L. QUIRIN, *Primitive permutation groups with small orbits*, Math. Zeitschrift, vol. 122 (1971), pp. 267–274.
8. H. L. RIETZ, *On primitive groups of odd order*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 26 (1904), pp. 1–30.
9. C. C. SIMS, *Graphs and finite permutation groups*, Math. Zeitschrift, vol. 95 (1967), pp. 76–86.

10. ———, *Graphs and finite permutation groups II*, Math. Zeitschrift, vol. 103 (1968), pp. 276–281.
11. R. SOLOMON, *Finite groups with a Sylow 2-subgroup of type A_{12}* , J. Algebra, vol. 28 (1974), pp. 346–378.
12. F. L. SMITH, *A general characterization of the Janko simple group J_2* , Arch. Math., vol. 25 (1974), pp. 17–22.
13. J. G. THOMPSON, *Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 74 (1968), pp. 383–437.
14. H. WIELANDT, *Finite permutation groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
15. W. J. WONG, *Determination of a class of permutation groups*, Math. Zeitschrift, vol. 99 (1967), pp. 235–246.

UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG
HEIDELBERG, GERMANY