

INTERPOLATION SETS FOR LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS ON CURVES OF THE UNIT SPHERE

BY

CARME CASCANTE¹

Introduction and statement of results

Let B denote the unit ball in \mathbf{C}^n , and S its boundary. For $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $Lip_\alpha(B)$ will denote the space of holomorphic functions in B satisfying a Lipschitz condition of order α with respect to the Euclidean distance. For a closed set $I \subset \mathbf{R}$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\Lambda_\alpha(I)$ will denote the space of Lipschitz functions on I , and $\Lambda_1(I)$ the Zygmund class.

We also consider the Koranyi pseudodistance $d(z, w) = |1 - \bar{z}w|$, for $z, w \in S$, where

$$\bar{z}w = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{z}_i w_i.$$

This defines a pseudodistance only on S , but we will as well consider it when one of the two variables is on \bar{B} .

We will work with a simple (without intersections) periodic curve of class C^3 $\gamma: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow S$. With a suitable parametrization (arc-length plus a dilatation) we will suppose from now on that γ is 2π -periodic and that there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that for each t , $|\gamma'(t)|^2 = \lambda$. We will write $I = [-\pi, \pi]$ and $\Gamma = \gamma(I)$. We will not distinguish between $\gamma(t)$ and its corresponding parameter on I .

Related to γ we introduce the *index of transversality*, $T: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, given by

$$(1) \quad -iT(x) = \overline{\gamma'(x)} \gamma(x), \quad x \in I.$$

Complex-tangential curves (i.e. $\gamma'(t) \in P_{\gamma(t)}$ where $P_{\gamma(t)}$ is the complex-tangential space at $\gamma(t)$) correspond to $T = 0$ and transverse curves to $|T(x)| \geq M$. We introduce the set E of *complex-tangential* points of Γ , given by

$$(2) \quad E = \gamma(\{x \in I / T(x) = 0\}) = \{\zeta \in I / T_\zeta \Gamma \subset P_\zeta\}$$

where $T_\zeta \Gamma$ is the tangent space of Γ at ζ .

Received June 20, 1988.

¹This work has been supported in part by a grant from the C.I.C.Y.T.

As it is well known, complex-tangential directions are, in certain sense, twice as regular as the others. In fact (see [R] and [S1]), it can be proved that the restriction to E of each function in $Lip_\alpha(B)$, $\alpha < 1$ is in $\Lambda_{2\alpha}(E)$. Thus it is natural to consider the following definition.

DEFINITION. A closed set $E \subset S$ is an interpolation set for $Lip_\alpha(B)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$), if for any $f \in \Lambda_{2\alpha}(E)$, there exists $F \in Lip_\alpha(B)$ such that $F|_E = f$.

Now we can state our main result.

THEOREM A. *If E is the set of complex-tangential points of Γ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$ then there exists $S: \Lambda_{2\alpha}(E) \rightarrow Lip_\alpha(B)$ a linear operator, satisfying $Sf|_E = f$ for each $f \in \Lambda_{2\alpha}(E)$. In particular, E is an interpolation set for $Lip_\alpha(B)$.*

In case γ is of class C^∞ , we deduce from the proof of theorem A, that E is also a peak set for $A^\infty(B)$, the algebra of holomorphic functions in B , of class $C^\infty(\bar{B})$. This result is also a consequence of [F-H], where it has been proved that the peak sets and the local peak sets for $A^\infty(B)$ coincide, and from the fact (see [R]) that E is locally included in complex-tangential manifolds.

As an immediate corollary to theorem A, we obtain:

COROLLARY A. *For each $\alpha < 1$, $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$, $Lip_\alpha(B)|_E = \Lambda_{2\alpha}(E)$.*

These results have already been proved by [B-O] for complex-tangential curves. Our approach in proving them is completely different from the one used in [B-O], and permits for complex-tangential curves to obtain the extreme case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, which was not covered by the methods of [B-O]. More precisely, the following holds:

COROLLARY B. *If Γ is complex-tangential, there exists $S: \Lambda_1(\Gamma) \rightarrow Lip_{1/2}(B)$ a linear operator satisfying $Sf|_\Gamma = f$ for each $f \in \Lambda_1(\Gamma)$. In particular, E is an interpolation set for $Lip_{1/2}(B)$, and $Lip_{1/2}(B)|_\Gamma = \Lambda_1(\Gamma)$.*

The proof of theorem A is essentially different for $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ and we will use some of the techniques introduced in [N].

In case $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, the function $Sf \circ \gamma$, where $f \in \Lambda_{2\alpha}(E)$ is differentiable at each point of E (see [R]), and we obtain an explicit formula for the derivative, in terms of the index of transversality T already introduced.

Finally, Corollary B will be deduced from the proof of Theorem A, using real interpolation methods.

As final remarks on notation, we denote C all the constants, that may change from one occurrence to another, we write $x \leq y$ or $x = O(y)$ if there exists $M > 0$ such that $x \leq My$ and $x \approx y$ if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$.

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank J. Bruna for several remarks and helpful discussions and suggestions about this work.

1. Preliminary results. The case $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$

In this section we will give the principal tools we need, as well as the proof of Theorem A, when $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. We omit the proof of the following elementary lemma.

LEMMA 1. $|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)| \approx |x - y|$, provided $x \in I$, and $|x - y| \leq \pi$.

The next result gives an estimate of the Koranyi pseudodistance in a neighborhood of the curve Γ , in terms of a suitable projection.

LEMMA 2. There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that for each z in $U = \{z \in \bar{B} / d(z, \Gamma) < \varepsilon\}$ there exists a unique $\gamma(x_z) \in \Gamma$, $|x_z| \leq \pi$, with $\operatorname{Re} \gamma'(x_z) z = 0$, and such that

$$(3) \quad \left| 1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z \right| \approx \operatorname{Re} \left(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z \right) + \left| \operatorname{Im} \left(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z \right) + T(x_z)(x - x_z) \right| + |x - x_z|^2,$$

provided $|x - x_z| \leq \pi$. In particular,

$$\left| 1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z \right| \leq \left| 1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z \right| + |T(x_z)| |x - x_z| + |x - x_z|^2$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Given $z \in \bar{B}$, let $\gamma(x_z)$ be a point in Γ where the Euclidean distance from z to Γ is attained. Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \overline{\gamma'(x_z)} (z - \gamma(x_z)) = 0,$$

and in particular, $\operatorname{Re} \overline{\gamma'(x_z)} z = 0$. Taking z closed enough to Γ , we also obtain the uniqueness of $\gamma(x_z)$.

Using Taylor's development,

$$(4) \quad 1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z = 1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z - \overline{\gamma'(x_z)} z (x - x_z) - \overline{\gamma''(x_z)} z \frac{(x - x_z)^2}{2} + O(|x - x_z|^3).$$

Taking modules we get

(5)

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z| &\simeq \operatorname{Re}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z) - \operatorname{Re}(\overline{\gamma''(x_z)} z) \frac{(x - x_z)^2}{2} + O(|x - x_z|^3) \\ &+ \left| \operatorname{Im}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z) + T(x_z)(x - x_z) \right. \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\gamma'(x_z)}(z - \gamma(x_z)))(x - x_z) \\ &\quad \left. - \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\gamma''(x_z)} z) \frac{(x - x_z)^2}{2} + O(|x - x_z|^3) \right| \end{aligned}$$

This estimate and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\gamma(x_z)}(z - \gamma(x_z)))(x - x_z) \right| &= O(|z - \gamma(x_z)|^2 + |x - x_z|^2) \\ &= O(\operatorname{Re}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z) + |x - x_z|^2), \end{aligned}$$

give the upper estimate of (3).

By differentiating (1), there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ with $\sup_{d(z, \Gamma) \leq \varepsilon} -\operatorname{Re} \overline{\gamma''(x)} z > 0$. Hence

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z| &\geq \operatorname{Re}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z) + |x - x_z|^2 \\ &\quad - O(|x - x_z|^3) + s \left| \operatorname{Im}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z) + T(x_z)(x - x_z) \right| \\ &\quad - s \left| \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\gamma'(x_z)}(z - \gamma(x_z)))(x - x_z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \operatorname{Im}(\overline{\gamma''(x_z)} z) \frac{(x - x_z)^2}{2} + O(|x - x_z|^3) \right| \\ &\geq \operatorname{Re}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z) + |x - x_z|^2 \\ &\quad - O(|x - x_z|^3) + s \left| \operatorname{Im}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + T(x_z)(x - x_z) \right| - s \left(\frac{\|\gamma'\|^2}{2} |z - \gamma(x_z)|^2 + \frac{|x - x_z|^2}{2} + \frac{\|\gamma''\|_\infty}{2} |x - x_z|^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < s \leq 1$. Choosing s conveniently, we may thus obtain the estimate from below, whenever $|x - x_z| \leq \delta$ ($\delta > 0$ small enough). And this finishes the lemma, since in $|x - x_z| \geq \delta$ both quantities that appear in (3) do not vanish (see Lemma 1). \diamond

For $p > 0$, let h_p be as in [N] the holomorphic function in B given by

$$(7) \quad h_p(z) = \int_I \frac{dx}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z)^p}, \quad z \in \overline{B} \setminus \Gamma.$$

Then the following estimate of h_p holds:

LEMMA 3. *Let $p \in (0, 1)$. Then*

$$(8) \quad \operatorname{Re} h_p(z) \approx |h_p(z)| \approx \int_I \frac{dx}{|1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z|^p}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. If $x \in I$ and $z \in B$, then $\operatorname{Re}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z) > 0$. Hence

$$\operatorname{Re}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z)^p \approx |1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z|^p,$$

for each $p \in (0, 1)$. \diamond

We can now state a result concerning the boundary behavior of h_p .

PROPOSITION 1. *If $p \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, then*

$$(9) \quad |h_p(z)| \approx (r_z + T(x_z)^2)^{1/2-p}, \quad z \in \overline{B} \setminus E$$

where $r_z = |1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z|$.

Proof of Proposition 1. The estimate from below of (9) follows from Lemma (3) of Lemma 2, whereas the upper estimate is a consequence of Lemma 2 and the following technical result concerning real integrals (putting $a_z = \operatorname{Re}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z)$, $b_z = \operatorname{Im}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z)$, $T = T(x_z)$).

LEMMA 4. *Let $p \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $a \geq 0, b, T \in \mathbf{R}$, satisfying $a + |b| + T^2 > 0$. Then*

$$(10) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dx}{(a + |b + Tx| + x^2)^p} = O((a + |b| + T^2)^{1/2-p}).$$

Proof of Lemma 4. Denoting by $I_p(a, b, T)$ the integral of (10), it is immediate to verify that for each $\lambda > 0$, $I_p(\lambda a, \lambda b, \lambda^{1/2} T) = \lambda^{1/2-p} I_p(a, b, T)$. Hence, it suffices to prove that the function I_p is continuous on the compact

set

$$K = \{(a, b, T) / 0 \leq a \leq 1, b, T \in [-1, 1], a + |b| + |T|^2 = 1\}.$$

And this continuity is a consequence of the finiteness of the integral near zero ($p < 1$), and near ∞ ($p > \frac{1}{2}$). \diamond

Remark 1. Defining

$$(11) \quad S_p f(z) = \int_I K_p(x, z) f(x) dx, \quad f \in C(\Gamma),$$

where

$$K_p(x, z) = \frac{1}{h_p(z)} \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z)^p},$$

Lemma 3 and Proposition 1, give that $S_p f \in A(B)$ and interpolates f on E , so that E is an interpolation set for $A(B)$. This could be also deduced from [N], since the set of complex tangential points of Γ is locally included in complex-tangential manifolds of the unit sphere (see [R]).

We need to obtain estimates of the radial derivative Rh_p of the function h_p . However, the methods used until now, based on the projection already obtained in Lemma 2, do not permit to get the desired bounds (essentially because the exponent of $(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z)$ in Rh_p is greater than one). The following lemma gives a more accurate projection onto the curve.

LEMMA 5. *There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that for each z in $U = \{z \in \bar{B} / d(z, \Gamma) < \varepsilon\}$, there exists $\gamma(x_z^*) \in \Gamma$, $|x_z^*| \leq \pi$, and $m_z = m(z) \in \mathbf{R}$, such that*

$$(12) \quad |1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z| \approx m_z + |T(x_z^*)| |x - x_z^*| + |x - x_z^*|^2,$$

provided $|x - x_z| \leq \pi$, where

$$m_z \approx \inf_{x \in I} |1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z| \quad \text{and} \quad (m_z + T(x_z^*)^2) \approx (r_z + T(x_z)^2).$$

Proof of Lemma 5. In Lemma 2 we have obtained a point $\gamma(x_z) \in \Gamma$, such that

$$(13) \quad |1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z| \approx \operatorname{Re}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z) + |\operatorname{Im}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)} z) + T(x_z)(x - x_z)| + |x - x_z|^2$$

Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $x_z = 0$. We let

$$a_z = \operatorname{Re}(1 - \overline{\gamma(0)} z), \quad b_z = \operatorname{Im}(1 - \overline{\gamma(0)} z),$$

and distinguish two possibilities:

- (i) $T(0)^2 \leq Cr_z,$
- (ii) $T(0)^2 > Cr_z$

where $C > 0$ is a constant that will be fixed later. In case (i), it suffices to choose $x_z^* = 0, m_z = r_z$. Writing

$$|1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z| \leq m_z + |T(0)| |x| + x^2,$$

we get the upper estimate of (12). For the estimate from below, it suffices to choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq 1$ such that

$$(14) \quad \varepsilon(r_z + |T(0)| |x|) \leq k(x^2 + a_z + |b_z + T(0)x|),$$

since $a_z + |b_z + T(0)x| + x^2 \geq x^2$. And (14) will follow if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq 1$ with

$$(15) \quad kx^2 + (1 - \varepsilon)r_z \geq (1 + \varepsilon)|T(0)| |x|$$

If ε and η are chosen satisfying $(1 + \varepsilon)C = \eta(1 - \varepsilon)$, then from (i) we obtain

$$(1 + \varepsilon)|T(0)| |x| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\eta x^2 + (1 - \varepsilon)r_z,$$

estimate that gives (15) with $k = (1 + \varepsilon)\eta$. That finishes the case (i). For (ii), let x_z^* be a real number satisfying

$$(16) \quad b_z + T(0)x_z^* = 0$$

Choosing C sufficiently big, formula (16) gives that x_z^* is in I . From (16) we also get that

$$(17) \quad a_z + |b_z + T(0)x| + x^2 = a_z + |T(0)| |x - x_z^*| + x^2$$

If $g(x)$ is the function on the right hand side of (17), and $C \geq 2$, then $\min_{x \in I} g(x) = g(x_z^*)$. We then define

$$(18) \quad m_z = g(x_z^*) = a_z + x_z^{*2}.$$

Since $T(0)$ and $T(x_z^*)$ differs in a term which is $O(|x_z^*|)$, the estimate (12) will

follow once we have proved

$$\left| 1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z \right| \approx m_z + |T(0)| |x - x_z^*| + |x - x_z^*|^2,$$

which is a consequence (using (13) and (16)) of

$$(19) \quad a_z + |T(0)| |x - x_z^*| + x^2 \approx m_z + |T(0)| |x - x_z^*| + |x - x_z^*|^2.$$

The definition of m_z already gives the upper estimate of (19), whereas the estimate from below is also a consequence of the definition of m_z , since

$$m_z + |x - x_z^*|^2 \leq m_z + x^2 + x_z^{*2} \leq m_z + x^2 \leq a_z + |T(0)| |x - x_z^*| + x^2,$$

and that finishes the proof of (12).

From (12) we also deduce that $m_z \approx \inf_{x \in I} |1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z|$. For the second relation we write (notice that we are in the case $T(0)^2 > Cr_z$),

$$m_z + T(x_z^*)^2 \leq a_z + x_z^{*2} + T(0)^2 \leq a_z + \frac{r_z^2}{|T(0)|^2} + |T(0)|^2 \leq r_z + T(0)^2.$$

The converse estimate is proved in an analogous way. \diamond

Remark 2. From the last proof we obtain in particular, that if Γ is complex-tangential then Lemma 5 is valid with $x_z^* = x_z$, $m_z = r_z$.

Now we can state the result concerning the behavior of the radial derivatives of the function h_p .

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose $p \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then for $z \in U \setminus E$,*

$$(20) \quad Rh_p(z) = O\left((r_z + T(x_z)^2)^{-1/2-p}\right).$$

Proof of Proposition 2. We need a technical lemma concerning real integrals.

LEMMA 6. *Let $\alpha > 0$, $q > 0$, $m \geq 0$, $T \in \mathbf{R}$, with $m + T^2 \neq 0$. Then*

$$(21) \quad \int_0^\pi \frac{x^\alpha}{(m + |T|x + x^2)^q} dx$$

$$= \begin{cases} O\left(m^{\alpha-q+1}(T^2 + m)^{-(\alpha+1)/2}\right), & \text{if } \alpha < q - 1 & \text{(i)} \\ O\left((T^2 + m)^{(\alpha+1)/2-q}\right) & \text{if } q - 1 < \alpha < 2q - 1 & \text{(ii)} \end{cases}$$

Proof of Lemma. We will prove (i) first. If

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2m}{|T| + \sqrt{T^2 + 4m}},$$

we break the integral on the left hand side of (21) in two parts, corresponding to $x \leq \varepsilon$ and $x \geq \varepsilon$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\pi \frac{x^\alpha}{(m + |T|x + x^2)^q} dx \\ &= \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{x^\alpha}{(m + |T|x + x^2)^q} dx + \int_\varepsilon^\pi \frac{x^\alpha}{(m + |T|x + x^2)^q} dx \\ &= I + II. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\varepsilon \approx \frac{m}{(m + T^2)^{1/2}}$$

and in $x \leq \varepsilon$, $m + |T|x + x^2 \approx m$, I is bounded as follows:

$$(22) \quad I \leq \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{x^\alpha}{m^q} dx \approx m^{-q} \varepsilon^{\alpha+1} \approx m^{\alpha-q+1} (T^2 + m)^{\alpha+1/2},$$

which is an estimate like (i). In $x \geq \varepsilon$, $m + |T|x + x^2 \approx |T|x + x^2$. Hence, II is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad II & \leq \int_\varepsilon^\pi \frac{x^\alpha}{(|T|x + x^2)^q} dx \\ &= |T|^{\alpha-2q+1} \int_{\varepsilon/|T|}^{\pi/|T|} x^{\alpha-q} (1+x)^{-q} dx. \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate (23), we distinguish two possibilities

(a) $T^2 \leq 4m,$

(b) $T^2 > 4m.$

In case (a), $\varepsilon \simeq \sqrt{m}$, and in consequence (23) is bounded by

$$|T|^{\alpha-2q+1} \int_{\varepsilon/|T|}^{\pi/|T|} x^{\alpha-2q} dx = O(\varepsilon^{\alpha-2q+1}),$$

which also implies (i) (notice that in case (a), $T^2 + m \simeq m$). In case (b), $\varepsilon \simeq m/|T|$. Hence, (23) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &|T|^{\alpha-2q+1} \left\{ \int_{\varepsilon/|T|}^1 x^{\alpha-q} dx + \int_1^{\pi/|T|} x^{\alpha-2q} dx \right\} \\ &= |T|^{\alpha-2q+1} \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|T|} \right)^{\alpha-q+1} + O(1) \right) \simeq |T|^{-q} \varepsilon^{\alpha-q+1}, \end{aligned}$$

an estimate that also gives (i).

For (ii), it suffices to use a similar argument of the one done in Lemma 4 since the condition $q - 1 < \alpha < 1$ gives the finiteness of the integral of (21), near 0 and near ∞ . \diamond

Following with the proof of Proposition 2, from the definition of h_p we obtain

$$(24) \quad Rh_p(z) = p \int_I \frac{\overline{\gamma(x)}z}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)}z)^{p+1}} dx,$$

Applying (i) of Lemma 6 to $m = m_z$, $T = T(x_z^*)$, we obtain

$$(25) \quad |Rh_p(z)| = O\left(m_z^{-p} (m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{-1/2}\right),$$

and the problem is that in general m_z and $(m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)$ are not of the same type. That is why we distinguish between two possibilities

- (i) $T(x_z)^2 \leq Cr_z$,
- (ii) $T(x_z)^2 > Cr_z$

where $C > 0$ will be chosen later. In case (i), $r_z \simeq r_z + T(x_z)^2$, and since then $x_z^* = x_z$ and $m_z = r_z$ (see proof of Lemma 5), the estimate (25) gives (20). Hence it suffices to prove (20) in case (ii). Breaking the integral defining h_p in two parts,

$$h_p(z) = \int_{I_z} \frac{dx}{\left(1 - \frac{\gamma(x)}{z}\right)^p} + \int_{I \setminus I_z} \frac{dx}{\left(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)}z\right)^p} = I + II,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ will be fixed later, and $I_z = \{x/|x - x_z| \leq \varepsilon|T(x_z)|\}$, we will estimate both parts separately. If ε is sufficiently small and C is chosen conveniently, then in I_z ,

$$(26) \quad \overline{\gamma'(x) z} \approx |T(x_z)|$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\gamma'(x) z} &= \overline{\gamma'(x_z) \gamma(x_z)} + (\overline{\gamma'(x)} - \overline{\gamma'(x_z)})\gamma(x_z) + \overline{\gamma'(x)}(z - \gamma(x_z)) \\ &= -iT(x_z) + O(|x - x_z|) + O(r_z^{1/2}) \\ &= -iT(x_z) + \left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{C^{1/2}}\right)O(|T(x_z)|). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\overline{\gamma'(x) z} \neq 0$ in I_z . Integrating I by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I_z} \frac{dx}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x) z})^p} \\ &= \frac{1}{p-1} \left[\frac{1}{(\overline{\gamma'(x) z})(1 - \overline{\gamma(x) z})^{p-1}} \right]_{x_z - \varepsilon|T(x_z)|}^{x_z + \varepsilon|T(x_z)|} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p-1} \int_{I_z} \frac{\overline{\gamma''(x) z}}{(\overline{\gamma'(x) z})^2} \frac{dx}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x) z})^{p-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{p-1} \frac{1}{(\overline{\gamma'(x_z \pm \varepsilon|T(x_z)|}) z)(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z \pm \varepsilon|T(x_z)|}) z)^{p-1}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p-1} \int_{I_z} \frac{\overline{\gamma''(x) z}}{(\overline{\gamma'(x) z})^2} \frac{dx}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x) z})^{p-1}} \\ &= h_p^1(z) \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily checked that there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for every point rz , $r \geq r_0$, in the radius joining 0 and z , the pseudodistance from z to Γ is attained at $\gamma(x_z)$. Hence, it does make sense to take the radial derivative of

h_p^1 , and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 Rh_p^1(z) &= \frac{1}{p-1} \left[\mp \frac{1}{\left(\overline{\gamma'(x_z \pm \varepsilon|T(x_z))} z\right) \left(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z \pm \varepsilon|T(x_z))} z\right)^{p-1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \pm \frac{(p-1)\overline{\gamma(x_z \pm \varepsilon|T(x_z))} z}{\left(\overline{\gamma'(x_z \pm \varepsilon|T(x_z))} z\right) \left(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z \pm \varepsilon|T(x_z))} z\right)^p} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_{I_z} \frac{\overline{\gamma''(x)} z}{\left(\overline{\gamma'(x)} z\right)^2} \frac{dx}{\left(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z\right)^{p-1}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (p-1) \int_{I_z} \frac{\overline{\gamma''(x)} z}{\left(\overline{\gamma'(x)} z\right)^2} \frac{dx}{\left(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z\right)^p} \right] \\
 &= (1) + (2) + (3) + (4).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 and (26),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) &\leq \frac{\left(r_z + T(x_z)^2\right)^{1-p}}{|T(x_z)|} \simeq \left(r_z + T(x_z)^2\right)^{1/2-p}, \\
 (2) &\leq \frac{1}{|T(x_z)|^{2p+1}} \simeq \left(r_z + T(x_z)^2\right)^{-1/2-p}, \\
 (3), (4) &\leq \frac{1}{|T(x_z)|} \left(r_z + T(x_z)^2\right)^{1-p} \simeq \left(r_z + T(x_z)^2\right)^{1/2-p}, \\
 (5) &\leq \frac{1}{T(x_z)^2} \left(r_z + T(x_z)^2\right)^{-1/2-p},
 \end{aligned}$$

where in (4) we have also used Lemma 6. Differentiating II and applying Lemmas 2 and 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 RII &\leq \int_{\Gamma \setminus I_z} \frac{dx}{\left|1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z\right|^{p+1}} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{T(x_z)^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{dx}{\left|1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z\right|^p} \leq \left(r_z + T(x_z)^2\right)^{-1/2-p},
 \end{aligned}$$

an estimate which finishes the proof of the lemma. \diamond

Remark 3. If γ is of class C^∞ , the same kind of argument gives that

$$|R^k h_p(z)| = O\left((r_z + T(x_z)^2)^{1/2-p-k}\right), \quad k \geq 0$$

and R^k is the k^{th} -iterated radial derivative. Then the function $F_p = e^{-h_p}$ is in $A^\infty(B)$ and (see Lemma 3) satisfies $|F_p| < 1$ on $\bar{B} \setminus E$, $F_p = 1$ on E . That is, E is a (P) -set for $A^\infty(B)$. This is also (as we have already said at the introduction) a consequence of [F-H], where it has been proved that the peak sets and the local peak sets for $A^\infty(B)$ coincide.

Now we can prove the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, and $p \in (\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Then $S_p f \in Lip_\alpha(B)$ for each $f \in \Lambda_{2\alpha}(\Gamma)$ and if $\gamma(x_0) \in E$, $S_p f(\gamma(x_0)) = f(x_0)$. In particular, if $S^p = S_p \circ \mathcal{E}^{(0)}: \Lambda_{2\alpha}(E) \rightarrow Lip_\alpha(B)$, where $\mathcal{E}^{(0)}$ is the linear extension operator given by Whitney's extension theorem (see [S1]), then S^p is a linear operator that gives the interpolation.*

Proof of Theorem 1. If $p \in \Lambda_{2\alpha}(\Gamma)$, and $p \in (\alpha + \frac{1}{2}, 1)$, we will see

$$(27) \quad |RS_p f(z)| = O((1 - |z|)^{\alpha-1}),$$

a condition which implies that $S_p f$ lies in $Lip_\alpha(B)$ (see [R, page 107]). Since $\int_I K_p(x, z) dx = 1$ (see Remark 1), (27) is equivalent to

$$\left| \int_I (f(x) - f(x_z^*)) R_z K_p(x, z) dx \right| = O((1 - |z|)^{\alpha-1}),$$

provided $z \in U$, and x_z^* is as in Lemma 5. But

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I (f(x) - f(x_z^*)) R_z K_p(x, z) dx \\ &= R\left(\frac{1}{h_p(z)}\right) \int_I (f(x) - f(x_z^*)) \frac{1}{(1 - \gamma(x)z)^p} dx \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{h_p(z)} \int_I (f(x) - f(x_z^*)) R_z \left(\frac{1}{(1 - \gamma(x)z)^p}\right) dx = I + II, \end{aligned}$$

and it suffices to obtain estimates like (27) for I and II . Propositions 1, 2, and

Lemma 5 give

$$R\left(\frac{1}{h_p(z)}\right) = O\left((m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{p-3/2}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq (m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{p-3/2} \int_I \frac{|x - x_z^*|^{2\alpha}}{\left|1 - \frac{\gamma(x)}{z}\right|^p} dx \\ &\leq (m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{\alpha-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last estimate is a consequence of Lemma 5, and of (ii) of Lemma 6 (notice that $p - 1 < \alpha < 2p - 1$).

Applying Proposition 2 and (i) of Lemma 6, we get that II is bounded as follows

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq (m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{p-1/2} \int_I \frac{|x - x_z^*|^{2\alpha}}{\left|1 - \frac{\gamma(x)}{z}\right|^{p+1}} dx \\ &\leq (m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{p-1} m_z^{\alpha-p} \\ &\leq (1 - |z|)^{\alpha-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $m_z \geq 1 - |z|$ (see Lemma 5), this finishes the theorem. \diamond

2. The case $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$

In this section we will deal with functions in $\Lambda_{2\alpha}(E)$, $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. The techniques that we will use here are more involved than the ones used before. We will consider the functions h_p already introduced in last section, but now the parameter p needs to be greater than one. When $p < 1$, the estimate $\operatorname{Re} h_p(z) \approx |h_p(z)| \approx (r_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{1/2-p}$ (which implies in particular that $\operatorname{Re} h_p > 0$ on $\bar{B} \setminus E$) was essential for the proof of the interpolation results. However, if $p > 1$ this global result does not hold, and it is necessary to use a different argument based on the ideas of [N].

With the same notations used since now, we introduce the functions

$$(1) \quad F(z, \gamma(x)) = 1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z, \quad z \in \bar{B}, x \in I,$$

$$(2) \quad G(z, x_z, x) = F(z, \gamma(x_z)) + iA(z)(x - x_z) + B(z) \frac{(x - x_z)^2}{2},$$

$z \in U, x \in \mathbf{R}$,

where $A(z) = \overline{i\gamma'(x_z)}z$, $B(z) = -\overline{\gamma''(x_z)}z$. The following lemma holds.

LEMMA 1.

- (i) $|F(z, \gamma(x)) - G(z, x_z, x)| = O(|x - x_z|^3)$,
- (ii) $\operatorname{Re} G(z, x_z, x) \geq |x - x_z|^2$,
- (iii) $\exists \delta > 0$ such that if $|x - x_z| \leq \delta$, $|F(z, \gamma(x))| \geq \frac{1}{2}|G(z, x_z, x)|$, provided $z \in U$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Part (i) is a consequence of the definition of the functions F and G , since G is the second order Taylor's development of F .

Part (ii) is also a consequence of the definition of G , since $iA(z) = 0$ (see Lemma 1.2) and $\operatorname{Re} B(z)$ is bounded from below, provided z is closed enough to Γ .

Part (iii) follows from (i) and (ii). \diamond

We need the following result that can be deduced from [N, Theorem 8], using an argument of analytic continuation and induction on k . We state it without proof.

THEOREM 1 [N]. *Let $Z, B \in \mathbf{C}$, with $\operatorname{Re} Z > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} B > 0$, $A \in \mathbf{R}$, and $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{x^k}{(Z + 2iAx + Bx^2)^p} dx \\
 &= (-1)^k A^k i^k B^{-k-1/2} \left(Z + \frac{A^2}{B} \right)^{1/2-p} \\
 & \quad \times \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{[k/2]} \binom{k}{2j} A^{-2j} B^j i^{-2j} \left(Z + \frac{A^2}{B} \right)^j \delta_j(p) C(p-j) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

provided $p > (k + 1)/2$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_j(p) &= 1 \quad \text{if } j = 0, \\
 \delta_j(p) &= 2^{-j} \frac{(2j-1) \dots 1}{(p-1) \dots (p-j)} \quad \text{if } j \geq 1,
 \end{aligned}$$

and where $C(p-j)$, are constants only depending on p and j .

Remark 1. Define the functions

$$(4) \quad H_p(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{dx}{G(z, x_z, x)^p}, \quad p > \frac{1}{2},$$

$$(5) \quad G_p(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{(x - x_z)}{G(z, x_z, x)^p} dx, \quad p > 1,$$

$$(6) \quad J_p(z) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{(x - x_z)^2}{G(z, x_z, x)^p} dx, \quad p > \frac{3}{2};$$

then, in particular, the last theorem gives the following formulas:

$$(7) \quad H_p(z) = 2^p C(p) B(z)^{-1/2} \left(2F(z, \gamma(x_z)) + \frac{A(z)^2}{B(z)} \right)^{1/2-p},$$

$$(8) \quad G_p(z) = -i 2^p C(p) B(z)^{-3/2} A(z) \left(2F(z, \gamma(x_z)) + \frac{A(z)^2}{B(z)} \right)^{1/2-p},$$

$$(9) \quad J_p(z) = 2^p B(z)^{-5/2} \left(2F(z, \gamma(x_z)) + \frac{A(z)^2}{B(z)} \right)^{1/2-p} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{C(p-1)}{2(p-1)} B(z) \left(2F(z, \gamma(x_z)) + \frac{A(z)^2}{B(z)} \right) - C(p) A(z)^2 \right\}$$

Remark 2. With a more careful calculation done in the proof of [N, Theorem 8], also based in analytic continuation, it can be proved that defining H_p^*, G_p^*, J_p^* similarly to H_p, G_p, J_p respectively, interchanging x_z and x_z^* , and defining

$$A^*(z) = i\overline{\gamma'(x_z^*)}z, \quad B^*(z) = -\overline{\gamma''(x_z^*)}z,$$

the corresponding formulas (7), (8) and (9) for H_p^*, G_p^*, J_p^* remain valid.

We can now prove a result concerning the behavior of the function h_p near E .

PROPOSITION 1. Let $p \in (1, 3)$.

(i) Then there exists a neighborhood W of E in \mathbb{C}^n such that

$$(10) \quad h_p(z) = \left(2F(z, \gamma(x_z)) + \frac{A(z)^2}{B(z)} \right)^{1/2-p} \times \{2^p C(p) B(z)^{-1/2} + o(1)\}$$

for $z \in (W \cap \bar{B}) \setminus E$ and $d(z, E) \rightarrow 0$, where $C(p)$ is the constant given in (7).

(ii) In particular, from (i) we obtain

$$|h_p(z)| \approx (r_z + T(x_z)^2)^{1/2-p} \quad \text{if } z \in (W \cap \bar{B}) \setminus E.$$

(iii) Furthermore, if $p \in (1, 2)$, then

$$|Rh_p(z)| = O\left((r_z + T(x_z)^2)^{-1/2-p}\right) \quad \text{if } z \in (W \cap \bar{B}) \setminus E.$$

Proof of Proposition 1. We need the following Lemma

LEMMA 2. Let

$$Q(z) = \left(2F(z, \gamma(x_z)) + \frac{A(z)^2}{B(z)} \right).$$

Then

$$(11) \quad |Q(z)| \approx (r_z + T(x_z)^2),$$

for z close enough to E .

Proof of Lemma 2. The upper estimate follows from the fact that $A(z)$ and $T(x_z)$ differ in a term which is $O(r_z^{1/2})$, since then $r_z + A(z)^2 \approx r_z + T(x_z)^2$. The estimate from below of $|Q(z)|$ is deduced also using this fact and the fact that $\text{Re } B(z) = -\text{Re } \gamma''(x_z) z$ is greater than zero, if z is close enough to Γ . \diamond

Following the proof of the proposition, formulas (7) and (11) of Lemma 2 show that it suffices to prove that

$$(12) \quad |h_p(z) - H_p(z)| = o\left((r_z + T(x_z)^2)^{1/2-p}\right) \quad \text{if } d(z, E) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 |h_p(z) - H_p(z)| &\leq \int_{\{x \in I / |x - x_z| \leq \delta\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)}z)^p} - \frac{1}{G(z, x_z, x)^p} \right\} dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{I \setminus \{|x - x_z| \leq \delta\}} \frac{dx}{|1 - \overline{\gamma(x)}z|^p} + \int_{\{|x - x_z| \geq \delta\}} \frac{dx}{|G(z, x_z, x)|^p} \\
 &= I + II + III,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is as in Lemma 1. As usual we will see that each of the three integrals satisfies an estimate like (12). The two last integrals *II* and *III*, are bounded, since on one hand $|1 - \overline{\gamma(x)}z|$ is bounded from below in $I \setminus \{|x - x_z| \leq \delta\}$, and on the other hand $p > \frac{1}{2}$ (in fact $p > 1$). For the first summand, an argument like the one done in [U] gives

$$I \leq \int_{\{x \in I / |x - x_z| \leq \delta\}} \frac{|F(z, \gamma(x)) - G(z, x_z, x)|}{|s(x)F(z, \gamma(x)) + (1 - s(x))G(z, x_z, x)|^{p+1}} dx,$$

where $|s(x)| \leq 1$. Now, by (i) and (iii) of Lemma 1, this integral is bounded by

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{|x - x_z|^3}{|F(z, \gamma(x))|^{p+1}} dx,$$

which (see Lemma 1.2) is bounded by

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{|x - x_z|^3}{(a_z + |b_z + T(x_z)(x - x_z)| + (x - x_z)^2)^{p+1}} dx$$

(here $a_z = \text{Re}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)}z)$ $b_z = \text{Im}(1 - \overline{\gamma(x_z)}z)$). Finally, by Lemma 1.4, this integral is

$$O\left((r_z + T(x_z)^2)^{1-p}\right).$$

The estimate of (ii) is a consequence of (i) and (11) of Lemma 2. Finally, part (iii) is a consequence of (ii), since

$$(13) \quad Rh_p(z) = -p(h_p(z) - h_{p+1}(z)). \quad \diamond$$

Remark 3. Notice that in (i) we have proved that

$$(14) \quad |h_p(z) - H_p(z)| = O\left((r_z + T(x_z)^2)^{1-p}\right).$$

and that the same holds if we replace H_p by H_p^* .

Notice also that if $S_p f(z)$ is defined as in Remark 1.1, but only when $z \in W \cap B$, then $S_p f|_E = f$ for $f \in \Lambda_{2\alpha}(\Gamma)$, and $p > 1, \alpha > 1/2$.

We can now state a result concerning the local behavior of the operator S_p .

THEOREM 2. *Let $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $p \in (\frac{3}{2}, \alpha + 1)$. Then*

$$(15) \quad |RS_p f(z)| = O\left((1 - |z|)^{\alpha-1}\right) \quad \text{if } f \in \Lambda_{2\alpha}(\Gamma), z \in W \cap B.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. By (13),

$$(16) \quad RK_p(x, z) = \frac{ph_{p+1}(z)}{h_p(z)} \{K_p(x, z) - K_{p+1}(x, z)\}.$$

Hence, if $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1), p \in (\frac{3}{2}, \alpha + 1), f \in \Lambda_{2\alpha}(\Gamma), \alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, and z in $W \cap B$, equalities (13) and (16) give

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad RS_p f(z) &= \int_I (f(x) - f(x_z^*)) RK_p(x, z) \, dx \\ &= -pf'(x_z^*) \frac{h_{p+1}(z)}{h_p(z)} \int_I (x - x_z^*) \{K_p(x, z) - K_{p+1}(x, z)\} \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{h_{p+1}(z)}{h_p(z)} \int_I O(|x - x_z^*|^{2\alpha}) K_p(x, z) \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{h_{p+1}(z)}{h_p(z)} \int_I O(|x - x_z^*|^{2\alpha}) K_{p+1}(x, z) \, dx \\ &= I + II + III, \end{aligned}$$

and we will prove that each one of the summands satisfies an estimate like (15). The estimate of I will follow once we prove

$$(18) \quad \left| h_{p+1}(z) \int_I \frac{(x - x_z^*)}{(1 - \gamma(x)z)^p} \, dx - h_p(z) \int_I \frac{(x - x_z^*)}{(1 - \gamma(x)z)^{p+1}} \, dx \right| = O\left(|h_p(z)|^2\right)$$

Defining the function

$$(19) \quad g_p^*(z) = \int_I \frac{(x - x_z^*)}{(1 - \gamma(x)z)^p} dx,$$

Proposition 1.1 gives that (18) is equivalent to

$$(20) \quad |h_{p+1}(z)g_p^*(z) - h_p(z)g_{p+1}^*(z)| = O\left((m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{1-2p}\right).$$

Now a similar argument to the one done in part (i) of Proposition 1, gives that

$$(21) \quad g_p^*(z) = G_p^*(z) + O\left((m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{3/2-p}\right).$$

Putting together this formula and the corresponding one for h_p (see Proposition 1 and Remark 3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |h_{p+1}(z)g_p^*(z) - h_p(z)g_{p+1}^*(z)| \\ &= |H_{p+1}^*(z)G_p^*(z) - H_p^*(z)G_{p+1}^*(z)| + O\left((m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{1-2p}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is $O((m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{1-2p})$, since by formulas (7) and (8), and Remark 2,

$$H_{p+1}^*(z)G_p^*(z) - H_p^*(z)G_{p+1}^*(z) = 0.$$

For II , using the estimate of h_p given in Proposition 1, and Lemma 1.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} II &= O\left((m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{p-1/2}\right) \int_I \frac{|x - x_z^*|^{2\alpha}}{|1 - \gamma(x)z|^{p+1}} dx, \\ & \int_I \frac{|x - x_z^*|^{2\alpha}}{|1 - \gamma(x)z|^{p+1}} dx \\ & \leq \begin{cases} m_z^{2\alpha-p} (m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{-\alpha-1/2} & \text{if } 2\alpha < p \\ (m_z + T(x_z^*)^2)^{\alpha-1/2-p} & \text{if } p < 2\alpha < 2p + 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

estimates which in both cases ($p < \alpha + 1$) give $II = O((1 - |z|)^{\alpha-1})$.

The estimate of III follows from Proposition 1 and (ii) of Lemma 1.6. \diamond

In particular we have the following:

COROLLARY 2. *If $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $p \in (\frac{3}{2}, 1)$ there exists a W neighborhood of E in \mathbb{C}^n and a linear operator*

$$\tilde{S}^p: \Lambda_{2\alpha}(E) \rightarrow H(W \cap B) \cap C(W \cap \bar{B}) \cap \{h/|Rh(z)| = O((1 - |z|)^{\alpha-1})\}$$

with $\tilde{S}^p f(\gamma(x_0)) = f(x_0)$ for each $x_0 \in \gamma^{-1}(E)$.

Proof of Corollary 2. If $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, it suffices to consider the composition of the operators S_p , $p \in (\frac{3}{2}, \alpha + 1)$ with the linear extension operator E given by Whitney's theorem. \diamond

Remark 4. Notice that the regularity of the extension operators E , give that $\tilde{S}^p f$ is of class C^∞ in $W \cap (\bar{B} \setminus E)$.

Now we will see that we can extend these linear operators to the whole $Lip_\alpha(B)$, by solving a suitable $\bar{\partial}$ -equation.

THEOREM 3. (i) *If $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, and $p \in (\frac{3}{2}, 1)$ then there exists a linear operator*

$$S^p: \Lambda_{2\alpha}(E) \rightarrow Lip_\alpha(B)$$

such that $S^p f(\gamma(x_0)) = f(x_0)$, for each $x_0 \in \gamma^{-1}(E)$.

(ii) *Furthermore, $S^p f \circ \gamma$ is differentiable on $\gamma^{-1}(E)$ and for each $x_0 \in \gamma^{-1}(E)$,*

$$\frac{d}{dx} S^p f(\gamma(x_0)) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + iT'(x_0)} f'(x_0)$$

(recall that λ is given by the parametrization of γ).

Proof of Theorem 3. If $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, let $p \in (\frac{3}{2}, 1)$ and W be the corresponding neighborhood given in Proposition 1. We will also consider the operator \tilde{S}^p given in Corollary 2. Let V be another neighborhood of E in \mathbb{C}^n with $\bar{V} \subset W$ (without loss of generality we will suppose that both neighborhoods are simply connected). Let χ be a C^∞ function in \mathbb{C}^n , such that $\chi = 1$ on V , and $\text{supp } \chi \cap B \subset W \cap B$. Then the function $\chi S^p f$ is well defined in B and satisfies

$$\chi \tilde{S}^p f|_{V \cap \bar{B}} = \tilde{S}^p f|_{V \cap \bar{B}}.$$

At this point, we need the following result.

LEMMA 3. *There exists*

$$\psi \in C^\infty(\bar{B} \setminus E) \cap A^1(B)$$

with $\psi^{-1}(0) = E$, and $\nabla\psi|_E = 0$, where

$$\nabla\psi = \left(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z_n} \right).$$

Proof of Lemma 3. It suffices to consider the function $\psi = 1/h_q^k$, where $q < 1$ and $k \geq 1$ satisfy $(k + 2)/2k < q$. \diamond

Following the proof of the theorem, since (see Remark 3) $\tilde{S}^p f$ is of class C^∞ in $W \cap (\bar{B} \setminus E)$, and $\bar{\partial}\chi = 0$ on $V \cap \bar{B}$, we have that the $(0, 1)$ -form $\tilde{S}^p f(\bar{\partial}\chi/\psi)$ is of class C^∞ on \bar{B} . Hence (see [R, page 357] and [B]), there exists a linear operator U that solves the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation $\bar{\partial}u = \tilde{S}^p f(\bar{\partial}\chi/\psi)$, and such that the function $u = U(\tilde{S}^p f(\bar{\partial}\chi/\psi))$ is of class C^∞ on \bar{B} . Now, defining the holomorphic function in B by $v^p = \chi\tilde{S}^p f - \psi u$, it can immediate be verified that v^p is in $Lip_\alpha(B)$ (see [R, page 107]). Hence, it suffices to consider the linear operator given by $S^p f = v$.

For (ii), it is enough to prove (see [R, page 106] and (i)) that if $\gamma(x_0)$ is in E , $f \in \Lambda_{2\alpha}(\Gamma)$ and $p \in (\frac{3}{2}, \alpha + 1)$, then

$$(22) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1, r\gamma(x_0) \in W \cap B} R_{\nu(z)} S_p f(z) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + iT'(x_0)} f'(x_0),$$

where W is the neighborhood of E given in Proposition 1, $\nu(z) = r\gamma'(x_0)$, and for each $F \in H(B)$,

$$R_{\nu(z)} F(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_i}(z) \nu_i.$$

Using Taylor's development on f , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\nu(z)} S_p f(z) &= \int_I (f(x) - f(x_0)) R_{\nu(z)}(K_p(x, z)) dx \\ &\quad + \int_I O(|x - x_0|^{2\alpha}) R_{\nu(z)}(K_p(x, z)) dx \\ &= I + II, \end{aligned}$$

and we will see that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1, r\gamma(x_0) \in W \cap B} I = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + iT'(x_0)} f'(x_0),$$

whereas the limit of II is zero. Using the definition of $R_{\nu(z)}$, we obtain

$$I = \frac{pf'(x_0)}{h_p(z)^2} (XY - ZV),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X &= h_p(z), \\ Y &= \int_I \frac{(\nu(z)\overline{\gamma(x)})(x - x_0)}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)}z)^{p+1}} dx, \\ Z &= \int_I \frac{(\nu(z)\overline{\gamma(x)})}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)}z)^{p+1}} dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$V = g_p(z)$$

(notice that, shrinking W if necessary, Lemmas 1.2 and 1.5 give $x_0 = x_z = x_z^*$).

Defining

$$j_p(z) = \int_I \frac{(x - x_0)^2}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)}z)^p} dx, \quad p > \frac{3}{2},$$

and using Taylor's development, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= (\nu(z)\overline{\gamma(x_0)})g_{p+1}(x_0) + (\nu(z)\overline{\gamma'(x_0)})j_{p+1}(z) + O((1 - r)^{1/2-p}) \\ Z &= (\nu(z)\overline{\gamma(x_0)})h_{p+1}(z) + (\nu(z)\overline{\gamma'(x_0)})g_{p+1}(z) + O((1 - r)^{1/2-p}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (23) \quad XY - ZV &= (\nu(z)\overline{\gamma(x_0)})\{h_p(z)g_{p+1}(z) - g_p(z)h_{p+1}(z)\} \\ &\quad + (\nu(z)\overline{\gamma'(x_0)})\{h_p(z)j_{p+1}(z) - g_p(z)g_{p+1}(z)\} \\ &\quad + O((1 - r)^{3/2-2p}). \end{aligned}$$

By (20) and the fact that

$$\nu(z)\overline{\gamma(x_0)} = O((1-r)^{1/2}) \quad (\nu(z)\overline{\gamma(x_0)} = 0)$$

we see that the first summand of (23) is

$$O((1-r)^{1/2})O(|h_p(z)|^2) = o(|h_p(z)|^2).$$

In consequence (notice that $\nu(z)\overline{\gamma'(x_0)} = r\lambda$),

$$XY - ZV = r\lambda\{h_p(z)j_{p+1}(z) - g_p(z)g_{p+1}(z)\} + o(|h_p(z)|^2).$$

The same kind of argument as in Proposition 1 gives

$$j_{p+1}(z) = J_{p+1}(z) + O((1-r)^{1-p}).$$

Using (7), (8) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \{h_p(z)j_{p+1}(z) + g_p(z)g_{p+1}(z)\} \\ &= \frac{2^{2p}}{p}C(p)^2B(z)^{-2}\left(2F(z, \gamma(x_0)) + \frac{A(z)^2}{B(z)}\right)^{1-2p} \\ & \quad + O((1-r)^{3/2-2p}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, I is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{r\lambda f'(x_0)2^{2p}C(p)^2B(z)^{-2}\left(2F(z, \gamma(x_0)) + \frac{A(z)^2}{B(z)}\right)^{1-2p}}{2^{2p}C(p)^2B(z)^{-1}\left(2F(z, \gamma(x_0)) + \frac{A(z)^2}{B(z)}\right)^{1-2p} + O((1-r)^{3/2-2p})} \\ & \quad + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$, the definition of B , gives

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} I = \frac{\lambda}{-\gamma''(x_0)\gamma(x_0)} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + iT'(x_0)}.$$

Now we will see that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} II = 0$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} |II| &= \left| \int_I o(|x - x_0|^{2\alpha}) R_{\nu(z)}(K_p(x, z)) \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{|R_{\nu(z)}|}{|h_p(z)|^2} \int_I \frac{|x - x_0|^{2\alpha}}{|1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z|^p} \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{|h_p(z)|} \int_I \frac{|x - x_0|^{2\alpha} (\nu(z) \overline{\gamma(x)})}{|1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z|^{p+1}} \, dx \\ &= III + IV, \end{aligned}$$

and we will estimate each one separately. For IV , we will use Proposition 1, and the fact that

$$|\nu(z) \overline{\gamma(x)}| \leq |z - \gamma(x)| + |x - x_0| \leq |1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z|^{1/2}.$$

since $T(x_0) = 0$, to obtain

$$IV \leq (1 - r)^{p-1/2} \int_I \frac{|x - x_0|^{2\alpha}}{|1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z|^{p+1/2}} \, dx \leq (1 - r)^{\alpha-1/2},$$

where in the last estimate we have used (ii) of Lemma 1.6 (note that $2p - \frac{1}{2} < 2\alpha < 2p$). And this converges to zero, when $r \rightarrow 1$.

For the estimate of III , we will first obtain a bound of $R_{\nu(z)}$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\nu(z)} &= p \int_I \frac{(\nu(z) \overline{\gamma(x)})}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z)^{p+1}} \, dx \\ &= p(\nu(z) \overline{\gamma(x_0)}) \int_I \frac{dx}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z)^{p+1}} \\ &\quad + p(\nu(z) \overline{\gamma(x_0)}) \int_I \frac{(x - x_0)}{(1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z)^{p+1}} \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_I \frac{O(|x - x_0|^2)}{|1 - \overline{\gamma(x)} z|^{p+1}} \, dx \\ &= V + VI + VII. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T(x_0) = 0$, we have

$$V \leq |\nu(z) - \nu(\gamma(x_0))| |h_{p+1}(z)| \leq (1 - r)^{-p}.$$

For VI, formula (8) and an argument like the one used in Theorem 2, give

$$VI \leq |g_{p+1}(z)| \leq (1 - r)^{-p}.$$

And (ii) of Lemma 1.6 (note that $p < 2 < 2p + 1$), gives

$$VII \leq (1 - r)^{1/2-p}.$$

Hence $|R_{\nu(z)}| \leq (1 - r)^{-p}$, and

$$III \leq (1 - r)^{p-1} \int_I \frac{|x - x_0|^{2\alpha}}{|1 - \gamma(x)z|^{p+1}} dx \leq (1 - r)^{\alpha-1/2},$$

an expression that also converges to zero, when $r \rightarrow 1$. \diamond

Theorem 1.1 of the last section, and Theorem 3 finishes the proof of Theorem A stated in the introduction, and also give the corresponding Corollary A.

Now we will give the proof of Corollary B.

Proof of corollary B. Let $\alpha_0 < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha_1 > \frac{1}{2}$. Since Γ is complex-tangential, it is easy to check that the operators S^p , with $\frac{3}{2} < p < 2$ are also interpolation operators for $Lip_{\alpha_0}(B)$ (see Remark 1.2). In particular, there exists a linear interpolation operator S^p , which maps

$$\Lambda_{2\alpha_0}(\Gamma) \rightarrow Lip_{\alpha_0}(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_{2\alpha_1}(\Gamma) \rightarrow Lip_{\alpha_1}(B).$$

It follows from the real interpolation method and the fact that these Lipschitz spaces are Besov spaces (see [B-L, page 153]) that it maps $\Lambda_{2\alpha}(\Gamma) \rightarrow Lip_{\alpha}(B)$, for each $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ and it suffices to take $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. \diamond

REFERENCES

[B-L] J. BERGH and J. LÖFSTRÖM, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
 [B] J. BRUNA, *Nucleos de Cauchy en dominios estrictamente pseudoconvexos y operadores integrales que invierten la ecuacion $\bar{\partial}$* , Contribuciones matematicas en honor de Luis Vigil, Univ. de Zaragoza, 1984.
 [B-O] J. BRUNA and J.M. ORTEGA, *Interpolation by holomorphic functions smooth to the boundary in the unit ball of C^n* , Math. Ann., vol. 274 (1986), 527-575.

- [F-H] J.E. FORNAESS and B.S. HENRIKSEN, *Characterization of global peak sets for $A^\infty(D)$* , Math. Ann., vol. 259 (1982), pp. 125–130.
- [N] A. NAGEL, *Smooth zero sets and interpolation sets for some algebras of holomorphic functions on strictly pseudoconvex domains*, Duke Math. J., vol. 43 (1976), 323–347.
- [R] W. RUDIN, *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [S1] E. STEIN, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton, 1970.
- [S2] ———, *Singular integrals and estimates for the Cauchy-Riemann equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 79 (1973), pp. 440–445.
- [U] J. UBØE, *Smooth interpolation sets, A study on an article by A. Nagel*, preprint, 1985.

UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA
BARCELONA, SPAIN

