

## A NEW CHARACTERIZATION OF DIRICHLET TYPE SPACES AND APPLICATIONS

---

RICHARD ROCHBERG<sup>1</sup> AND ZHIJIAN WU

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be the unit disk of the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $dA(z) = 1/\pi dx dy$  be the normalized Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{D}$ . For  $\alpha < 1$ , let

$$dA_\alpha(z) = (2 - 2\alpha)(1 - |z|^2)^{1-2\alpha} dA(z).$$

The Sobolev space  $L^{2,\alpha}$  is the Hilbert space of functions  $u: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , for which the norm

$$\|u\| = \left( \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} u dA_\alpha(z) \right|^2 + \int_{\Delta} (|\partial u / \partial z|^2 + |\partial u / \partial \bar{z}|^2) dA_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/2}$$

is finite. The space  $D_\alpha$  is the subspace of all analytic functions in  $L^{2,\alpha}$ . This scale of spaces includes the Dirichlet type spaces ( $\alpha > 0$ ), the Hardy space ( $\alpha = 0$ ) and the Bergman spaces ( $\alpha < 0$ ). (The Hardy and Bergman spaces are usually described differently, however see Lemma 3 of Section 3.) Let

$$\dot{D}_\alpha = \{g \in D_\alpha : g(0) = 0\}$$

and let

$$\dot{P} = \{g \text{ is a polynomial on } \mathbf{D} : g(0) = 0\}.$$

Clearly  $\dot{P}$  is dense in  $\dot{D}_\alpha$ . Let  $P_\alpha$  denote the orthogonal projection from  $L^{2,\alpha}$  onto  $\dot{D}_\alpha$ . For a function  $f \in L^{2,\alpha}$  it is possible to define the (small) Hankel operator with symbol  $f$ ,  $h_f^{(\alpha)}$ , on  $\dot{P}$  by (see also [W1])

$$h_f^{(\alpha)} = \overline{P_\alpha(f\bar{g})}.$$

---

Received May 7, 1991; revised February 14, 1992.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 31C25, 33A15, 45P05, 47B35.

<sup>1</sup>This work supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

© 1993 by the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois  
Manufactured in the United States of America

When we say  $h_f^{(\alpha)}$  is bounded, we mean there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|h_f^{(\alpha)}(g)\|_\alpha \leq C\|g\|_\alpha, \quad \forall g \in \dot{P}.$$

If we use the normalized monomials as a basis for  $\dot{D}_\alpha$  and their conjugates as a basis for  $\overline{\dot{D}_\alpha}$ , then the matrix of  $h_f^{(\alpha)}$  is

$$\left( \overline{f_{k+j}} \frac{j\sqrt{\beta_{k+j,\alpha}}}{(k+j)\sqrt{\beta_{k,\alpha}}\sqrt{\beta_{j,\alpha}}} \right)_{k,j \geq 1} \sim \left( \overline{f_{k+j}} \frac{k^{-\alpha}j^{1-\alpha}}{(k+j)^{1-2\alpha}} \right).$$

Here

$$\beta_{n,\alpha} = \frac{n^2}{2-2\alpha} B(n, 2-2\alpha) \approx n^{2\alpha}$$

( $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the classical Beta function) and  $\{f_n\}$  are the Taylor coefficients of the analytic part of the symbol  $f$ :

$$P_\alpha(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty f_n z^n.$$

For  $\alpha < 1$ , define the space  $W_\alpha$  to be the space of all analytic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbf{D}$  for which

$$\|f\|_{W_\alpha} = \sup_{\|g\|_\alpha \leq 1} \left( \int_{\mathbf{D}} |g(z)|^2 |f'(z)|^2 dA_\alpha(z) \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Clearly  $W_\alpha \subseteq D_\alpha$ . And it is easy to see that  $W_\alpha = B$  (Bloch space) if  $\alpha < 0$ ;  $W_0 = BMO$  and  $W_\alpha = D_\alpha$  if  $\alpha > 1/2$ . (See [W1] and [W3] for more about  $W_\alpha$ .)

There are many equivalent norm characterizations of  $D_\alpha$ . The one that we are going to present here can be viewed as a generalization of one of the results in [AFP, Proposition 3.6] (see also [AFJP]).

The question of characterizing the symbol functions on  $\mathbf{D}$  for which the Hankel operators on the Dirichlet type space  $D_\alpha$  are bounded was raised in [W1]. The space  $W_\alpha$  is related to the boundedness of the Hankel operators (See [Ax], [P], [RS], [AFP] and [J] for  $\alpha \leq 0$ ; [W2] for  $\alpha > 1/2$ ). Our decomposition theorem for  $W_\alpha$  (Theorem 3 below) includes theorems similar to those proved in [R] and [RS] for the Bloch space ( $= W_\alpha, \alpha < 0$ ) and the space BMO ( $= W_0$ ).

Throughout this paper, we will use the symbol  $C$  to denote a positive constant which may vary at each occurrence, but will not depend on any

function or measure that we deal with. We also use the symbol  $\approx$  to mean comparable.

Our main results are:

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $g$  is an analytic function on  $\mathbf{D}$ ,  $\alpha \leq 1/2$ ,  $\sigma, \tau > -1$  and  $\min(\sigma, \tau) + 2\alpha > -1$ . Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(z) - g(w)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{3+\sigma+\tau+2\alpha}} (1 - |z|^2)^\sigma (1 - |w|^2)^\tau dA(z) dA(w) \approx \int_{\mathbf{D}} |g'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{1-2\alpha} dA(z).$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Assume  $f$  is analytic on  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\alpha \leq 1/2$ , then  $h_f^{(\alpha)}$  is bounded if and only if  $f \in W_\alpha$ .*

For any fixed  $z$  in  $\mathbf{D}$ ,  $\delta_z$  is the point measure on  $\mathbf{D}$  defined by

$$\delta_z(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w = z; \\ 0 & \text{if } w \neq z. \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\alpha \leq 1/2$  and  $b > 1/2$  if  $\alpha = 1/2$ ,  $b > 1$  if  $\alpha < 1/2$ . There exists a  $d_0 > 0$ , so that for  $0 < d < d_0$  and any  $d$ -lattice  $\{z_j\}_0^\infty$  in  $\mathbf{D}$ , we have:*

(a) *If  $f \in W_\alpha$  then*

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j \frac{(1 - |z_j|^2)^{b-1/2+\alpha}}{(1 - \bar{z}_j z)^b}$$

with

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^2 \delta_{z_j} \right\|_\alpha \leq C \|f\|_{W_\alpha}^2.$$

(b) *If  $\{\lambda_j\}_0^\infty$  satisfies*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^2 \delta_{z_j} \right\|_\alpha < \infty,$$

then  $f$ , defined by (1.1), converges in  $D_\alpha$  with

$$\|f\|_{W_\alpha}^2 \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^2 \delta_{z_j} \right\|_\alpha.$$

(The  $d$ -lattice and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$  will be defined in Section 2.)

For  $\alpha = 1/2$  and  $\sigma = \tau$ , Theorem 1 is proved in [AFP, Proposition 3.6] (see also [AFJP]) with “=” Notice that (see [AFJP]) we can't prove Theorem 1 by using the identity

$$|f(z) - f(w)|^2 = |f(z)|^2 - f(z)\overline{f(w)} - \overline{f(z)}f(w) + |f(w)|^2$$

and then integrating each term; that will simply give  $\infty - \infty - \infty + \infty$ . We should be very careful when we use Fubini's theorem. Theorem 2 is also true for  $\alpha > 1/2$  (see [W2] or [W4]). Theorem 3 has its root in [CR], [R] and [RS]. Proofs for Theorem 2 (or Theorem 3) for the case of  $\alpha \leq 0$  can be found, for example, in [P], [R] and [W4] (or [CR], [R] and [RS]). The difficulties, for the case of  $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$ , are that the reproducing kernel of the space  $D_\alpha$ , unlike the other case, can't give us sufficient information (see for example [RW] and [W4]) and, unlike the 0-Carleson measure, the  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure can't be characterized by a single box (see [G], [A], [S] and [J]). Our method, however, works for all  $\alpha \leq 1/2$ .

In Section 2 we will give the background and the preliminaries needed for the rest part of this paper. In Section 3, we will prove Theorem 1. In Section 4, we will apply Theorem 1 to get Theorem 2 and 3. Finally we will end this paper with some questions.

We would like to thank the referee for his many very helpful comments.

## 2. Background and preliminaries

For  $\beta > -1$  and  $0 < p < \infty$ , let

$$d\mu_\beta(z) = (1 + \beta)(1 - |z|^2)^\beta dA(z).$$

The Bergman space  $A^{p,\beta}$  is the space of all analytic functions in  $L^p(d\mu_\beta)$ .  $L^2(d\mu_\beta)$  and  $A^{2,\beta}(= D_{-(1+\beta)/2})$  are Hilbert spaces. The orthogonal projection from  $L^2(d\mu_\beta)$  to  $A^{2,\beta}$  is (see [Z])

$$u \rightarrow \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{u(z)}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^{\beta+2}} d\mu_\beta(z).$$

In particular if  $u \in A^{2,\beta}$ , then

$$u(w) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{u(z)}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^{\beta+2}} d\mu_\beta(z).$$

This formula is sometimes called the reproducing formula of  $A^{2,\beta}$ .

Denote by  $K_\alpha(z, w)$  the reproducing kernel of the space  $\dot{D}_\alpha$ . We know  $K_\alpha(\cdot, w) \in \dot{D}_\alpha$  and the orthogonal projection  $P_\alpha: L^{2,\alpha} \rightarrow \dot{D}_\alpha$  is (see also [W2])

$$(2.1) \quad P_\alpha(u)(w) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}}(z) \overline{\frac{\partial K_\alpha}{\partial z}(z, w)} dA_\alpha(z).$$

It has the property

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}(P_\alpha(u))(w) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}}(z)}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^{3-2\alpha}} dA_\alpha(z), \quad u \in L^{2,\alpha}.$$

The Bloch space  $B$  and the space BMO, on  $\mathbf{D}$ , are defined respectively to be the functions  $f$  which are analytic in  $\mathbf{D}$  and satisfy (see [G] or [Z])

$$\|f\|_B = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \{ |f'(z)|(1 - |z|^2) \} < \infty;$$

$$\|f\|_{BMO} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - |w|^2)}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^2} |f'(w)|^2 dA(w) \right\} < \infty.$$

Let  $w \in \mathbf{D}$ , let  $\phi_w$  be the function defined by  $\phi_w(z) = (w - z)/(1 - \bar{w}z)$ . We know  $\phi_w: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  is an analytic, 1-1, and onto map. The hyperbolic distance on  $\mathbf{D}$ , which is Moebius invariant, is defined by

$$d(z, w) = \log \frac{1 + |\phi_w(z)|}{1 - |\phi_w(z)|}.$$

A sequence  $\{z_j\}_0^\infty$  in  $\mathbf{D}$  is called a  $d$ -lattice, (see [R]), if every point of  $\mathbf{D}$  is within hyperbolic distance  $5d$  of some  $z_j$  and no two points of this sequence are within hyperbolic distance  $d/5$  of each other.

A nonnegative measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{D}$  is called an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure if

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} |g(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq C \|g\|_\alpha^2, \quad \forall g \in D_\alpha.$$

The best constant  $C$ , denoted by  $\|\mu\|_\alpha$ , is said to be the  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure norm of  $\mu$ .

0-Carleson measures are just the classical Carleson measures (see [G]). There are many equivalent characterizations on  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure (see [A], [KS], [S] and [J]). In this paper, however, we don't need them. The above definition seems easier to work with in our proofs. The space  $W_\alpha$  can also be defined as the space of all analytic functions  $f$  on  $\mathbf{D}$  for which the measure  $|f'(z)|^2 dA_\alpha(z)$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure.

The following results can be found in [R, Theorems 2.2, 2.10] (see also [CR] and [RS]).

**THEOREM A.** *Suppose  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < \beta$  and  $b > (1 + \beta)/p + \max(1, 1/p)$ . There is a positive number  $d_0$  such that for any  $0 < d < d_0$  and any  $d$ -lattice  $\{z_j\}_0^\infty$ , there is a  $C = C(\beta, p, b, d)$  so that:*

(a) *If  $f \in A^{p,\beta}$  then*

$$(2.3) \quad f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j \frac{(1 - |z_j|^2)^{b-(2+\beta)/p}}{(1 - \bar{z}_j z)^b},$$

with

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^p \leq C \|f\|_{A^{p,\beta}}^p.$$

(b) *Conversely, if  $\{\lambda_j\}_0^\infty$  satisfies  $\sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$ , then  $f$ , defined by (2.3), converges in  $A^{p,\beta}$  with*

$$\|f\|_{A^{p,\beta}}^p \leq C \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^p.$$

**THEOREM B.** *Suppose  $b > 1$ . There is a positive  $d_0$  such that for any  $d$ ,  $0 < d < d_0$ , and any  $d$ -lattice  $\{z_j\}_0^\infty$ , there is a  $C > 0$  so that:*

(a) *If  $f \in B$  (or  $BMO$ ), then*

$$(2.4) \quad f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j \frac{(1 - |z_j|^2)^b}{(1 - \bar{z}_j z)^b},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{j \geq 0} \{|\lambda_j|\} &\leq C \|f\|_B \\ \left( \text{or } \left\| \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^2 (1 - |z_j|^2) \delta_{z_j} \right\|_0 \right) &\leq C \|f\|_{BMO}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) *Conversely, if  $\{\lambda_j\}_0^\infty$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{j \geq 0} \{|\lambda_j|\} &< \infty \\ \left( \text{or } \left\| \sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j|^2 (1 - |z_j|^2) \delta_{z_j} \right\|_0 \right) &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then  $f$ , defined by (2.4), converges in the weak\* topology in  $B$  (or  $BMO$ ) with

$$\|f\|_B \leq C \sup_{j \geq 0} \{|\lambda_j|\}$$

$$\left( \text{or } \|f\|_{BMO} \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 (1 - |z_j|^2) \delta_{z_j} \right\|_0 \right).$$

*Remark.* The assumption on (b) of Theorem A in [R] is  $b > (2 + \beta)\max(1, 1/p)$ . It is easy to check that we can change to the above assumption (for the detail see [W1]). The original form of Theorem B in [R] also contains the results for Besov spaces.

The ideas of the proofs of Theorem A and B in [CR], [R] and [RS], which we also need here, are to start with the reproducing formula

$$f(w) = (b - 1) \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{f(z)}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^b} (1 - |z|^2)^{b-2} dA(z), \quad b > 1,$$

and then to approximate this integral by a Riemann sum

$$(Af)(w) = C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(z_j) |D_j| \frac{(1 - |z_j|^2)^{b-2}}{(1 - \bar{z}_j w)^b}.$$

Here  $\{D_j\}_0^{\infty}$  is a proper disjoint cover of  $\mathbf{D}$ , and  $|D_j| = \int_{D_j} dA(z)$  is the normalized area of  $D_j$ .

The key steps using these ideas are summarized as the following lemmas (see [CR, pp. 22–25] or [R] and [RS]):

LEMMA A. (1) If  $\beta > -1$  and  $b > 1 + (1 + \beta)/2$ , then the operator

$$(Tf)(w) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{f(z)}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^b} (1 - |z|^2)^{b-2} dA(z)$$

is bounded on  $L^2(d\mu_{\beta}(z))$ .

(2) If  $b > 2$ , then the operator  $T$  is bounded on the space

$$\left\{ u: \left\| |u(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2) dA(z) \right\|_0 < \infty \right\}.$$

LEMMA B. Let  $\{z_j\}_0^{\infty}$  be a  $d$ -lattice in  $\mathbf{D}$ , then there exists a disjoint decomposition  $\{D_j\}_0^{\infty}$  of  $\mathbf{D}$ , i.e.,  $\cup_{j=0}^{\infty} D_j = \mathbf{D}$ , such that  $|D_j| \approx (1 - |z_j|^2)^2$ ,  $z_j \in D_j$  and

$$|f(w) - (Af)(w)| \leq Cd(Tf)(w).$$

### 3. A characterization of $D_\alpha$

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1 which generalizes a result in [AFP], which says ( $\beta > -1$ )

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{4+2\beta}} d\mu_\beta(z) d\mu_\beta(w) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z).$$

Notice that  $1/(1 - \bar{z}w)^{2+\beta}$  is the Bergman reproducing kernel of  $\mathbf{D}$  with respect to the measure  $d\mu_\beta(z)$ . If we consider any “good” plane domain and the corresponding Bergman kernel with respect to a more general nonnegative measure  $d\nu(z)$ , then a similar formula is still true (see [AFJP]).

We need some lemmas for proving Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. For  $x, y > 0$ , the Gamma and Beta function are defined as

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad B(x, y) = \int_0^1 r^{x-1} (1-r)^{y-1} dr.$$

For fixed  $x$  and  $y$ , we have for any natural numbers  $j$  and  $k$

$$(3.1) \quad \Gamma(j+x)/\Gamma(j+y) \approx (j+1)^{x-y}, \quad B(k, x) \approx k^{-x};$$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} B(j+1+x, y) - B(j+k+1+x, y) \\ \approx (j+1)^{-y} - (k+j+1)^{-y}. \end{aligned}$$

Here “ $\approx$ ” is independent of  $j$  and  $k$ .

*Proof.* (3.1) can be found in [T, section 1.87]. For (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(j+1+x, y) - B(j+k+1+x, y) &= \int_0^1 (r^{j+x} - r^{j+k+x})(1-r)^{y-1} dr \\ &= \int_0^1 r^{j+x} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} r^n \right) (1-r)^y dr \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} B(n+j+x+1, y+1) \\ &\approx \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} (n+j+1)^{-y-1} \\ &\approx \int_0^k (t+j+1)^{-y-1} dt \\ &\approx (j+1)^{-y} - (k+j+1)^{-y}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □



LEMMA 2. For  $\alpha \leq 1/2, \sigma + 2\alpha > -1$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty t^{\sigma+2\alpha}(1+t)^{\alpha-\sigma-3/2}((1+t)^{1/2-\alpha} - t^{1/2-\alpha}) dt < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Obvious. □

LEMMA 3. If  $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_j z^j \in D_\alpha$ , then  $\|f\|_\alpha^2 \approx \sum_{j=0}^\infty (j+1)^{2\alpha} |a_j|^2$

*Proof.* Obvious. □

Before proving Theorem 1, notice that if  $\sigma \neq \tau$ , say,  $\sigma \geq \tau$ , then by the fact that  $(1 - |z|), (1 - |w|) \leq |1 - \bar{z}w|$ , for  $z, w \in \mathbf{D}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\sigma (1 - |w|^2)^\sigma}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{3+2\sigma+2\alpha}} &\leq \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\sigma (1 - |w|^2)^\tau}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{3+\sigma+\tau+2\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\tau (1 - |w|^2)^\tau}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{3+2\tau+2\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in Theorem 1, the case  $\sigma \neq \tau$  can be obtained from the case  $\sigma = \tau$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We only need to consider the case of  $\sigma = \tau$  and  $\alpha < 1/2$ .

For convenience, let  $\beta = 3/2 + \sigma + \alpha$  and

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k, \quad \chi(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

By setting  $z = re^{i\theta}, w = se^{i\phi}, t = \phi - \theta$  and  $\zeta = se^{it}$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|f(z) - f(w)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2\beta}} (1 - |z|^2)^\sigma (1 - |w|^2)^\sigma dA(z) dA(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 \int_{\partial\mathbf{D}} \int_0^1 \int_{\partial\mathbf{D}} \frac{|f(re^{i\theta}) - f(se^{i(\theta+t)})|^2}{|1 - rse^{it}|^{2\beta}} \\ &\quad \times (1 - r^2)^\sigma (1 - s^2)^\sigma d\theta r dr dt s ds \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_{\partial\mathbf{D}} \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_k|^2 \frac{|r^k - s^k e^{ikt}|^2}{|1 - rse^{it}|^{2\beta}} (1 - r^2)^\sigma (1 - s^2)^\sigma r dr dt s ds \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_k|^2 \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|r^k - \zeta^k|^2}{|1 - r\zeta|^{2\beta}} (1 - r^2)^\sigma (1 - |\zeta|^2)^\sigma dA(\zeta) r dr. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g_r(\zeta) = (r^k - \zeta^k)/(1 - r\zeta)^\beta$ . By Lemma 3, we only need to show

$$I(k) = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbf{D}} |g_r(\zeta)|^2 (1 - r^2)^\sigma (1 - |\zeta|^2)^\sigma dA(\zeta) r dr \approx k^{2\alpha}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Notice that for  $r \in [0, 1)$ ,  $g_r(\zeta)$  is in the Bergman space  $A^{2,\sigma}$ . Hence the reproducing formula for  $A^{2,\sigma}$  allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} g_r(\zeta) &= (1 + \sigma) \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{g_r(\eta)}{(1 - \bar{\eta}\zeta)^{2+\sigma}} (1 - |\eta|^2)^\sigma dA(\eta) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B(j+1, \sigma+1)} \int_{\mathbf{D}} g_r(\eta) \bar{\eta}^j \zeta^j (1 - |\eta|^2)^\sigma dA(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{D}} |g_r(\zeta)|^2 (1 - |\zeta|^2)^\sigma dA(\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B(j+1, \sigma+1)} \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} g_r(\eta) \bar{\eta}^j (1 - |\eta|^2)^\sigma dA(\eta) \right|^2; \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} I(k) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{B(j+1, \sigma+1)} \int_0^1 \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} g_r(\eta) \bar{\eta}^j (1 - |\eta|^2)^\sigma dA(\eta) \right|^2 \\ &\quad \times (1 - r^2)^\sigma r dr. \end{aligned}$$

We now compute the integral above by observing that

$$g_r(\eta) = (r^k - \eta^k) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n + \beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(n + 1)} r^n \eta^n,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{D}} g_r(\eta) \bar{\eta}^j (1 - |\eta|^2)^\sigma dA(\eta) \\ &= \Gamma(\beta)^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma(j + \beta) B(j + 1, \sigma + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1)} r^{k+j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \chi(j - k) \frac{\Gamma(j - k + \beta) B(j + 1, \sigma + 1)}{\Gamma(j - k + 1)} r^{j-k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} g_r(\eta) \bar{\eta}^j (1 - |\eta|^2)^\sigma dA(\eta) \right|^2 (1 - r^2)^\sigma r dr \\ &= \Gamma(\beta)^{-2} B(j + 1, \sigma + 1)^2 \left( \frac{\Gamma(j + \beta)^2 B(k + j + 1, \sigma + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1)^2} \right. \\ & \quad - 2 \frac{\chi(j - k) \Gamma(j + \beta) \Gamma(j - k + \beta) B(j + 1, \sigma + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1) \Gamma(j - k + 1)} \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\chi(j - k) \Gamma(j - k + \beta)^2 B(j - k + 1, \sigma + 1)}{\Gamma(j - k + 1)^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} I(k) &= \Gamma(\beta)^{-2} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^m B(j + 1, \sigma + 1) \left( \frac{\Gamma(j + \beta)^2 B(k + j + 1, \sigma + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1)^2} \right. \\ & \quad - 2 \frac{\chi(j - k) \Gamma(j + \beta) \Gamma(j - k + \beta) B(j + 1, \sigma + 1)}{\Gamma(j + 1) \Gamma(j - k + 1)} \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\chi(j - k) \Gamma(j - k + \beta)^2 B(j - k + 1, \sigma + 1)}{\Gamma(j - k + 1)^2} \right) \\ &\approx \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=m-k+1}^m \frac{B(j + 1, \sigma + 1) B(j + k + 1, \sigma + 1) \Gamma(j + \beta)^2}{\Gamma(j + 1)^2} \right. \\ & \quad + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} \left( \frac{B(j + 1, \sigma + 1) B(k + j + 1, \sigma + 1) \Gamma(j + \beta)^2}{\Gamma(j + 1)^2} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \frac{B(j + k + 1, \sigma + 1)^2 \Gamma(j + k + \beta) \Gamma(j + \beta)}{\Gamma(j + k + 1) \Gamma(j + 1)} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $j$ , by (3.1) of Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B(j + 1, \sigma + 1) B(j + k + 1, \sigma + 1) \Gamma(j + \beta)^2}{\Gamma(j + 1)^2} \\ & \approx j^{-1-\sigma} (k + j)^{-1-\sigma} j^{2\beta-2} \leq j^{2\alpha-1}, \end{aligned}$$

hence for  $\alpha < 1/2$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=m-k+1}^m \frac{B(j+1, \sigma+1)B(j+k+1, \sigma+1)\Gamma(j+\beta)^2}{\Gamma(j+1)^2} = 0;$$

If we let  $x = \beta - 1$  and  $y = 1/2 - \alpha$ , then by Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B(j+1, \sigma+1)B(k+j+1, \sigma+1)\Gamma(j+\beta)^2}{\Gamma(j+1)^2} \\ & - \frac{B(j+k+1, \sigma+1)^2\Gamma(j+k+\beta)\Gamma(j+\beta)}{\Gamma(j+k+1)\Gamma(j+1)} \\ & = \frac{B(k+j+1, \sigma+1)\Gamma(j+\beta)\Gamma(\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(1/2-\alpha)} \\ & \quad \times (B(j+\beta, 1/2-\alpha) - B(j+k+\beta, 1/2-\alpha)). \\ & \approx (j+1)^{\beta-1}(k+j+1)^{-\sigma-1}((j+1)^{\alpha-1/2} - (j+k+1)^{\alpha-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Combine these computations to get (using Lemma 2)

$$\begin{aligned} I(k) & \approx \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m-k} (j+1)^{\beta-1}(k+j+1)^{-\sigma-1} \\ & \quad \times ((j+1)^{\alpha-1/2} - (j+k+1)^{\alpha-1/2}) \\ & \approx \int_0^\infty x^{\beta-1}(k+x)^{-\sigma-1}(x^{\alpha-1/2} - (k+x)^{\alpha-1/2}) dx \\ & = k^{2\alpha} \int_0^\infty t^{\sigma+2\alpha}(1+t)^{\alpha-\sigma-3/2}((1+t)^{1/2-\alpha} - t^{1/2-\alpha}) dt \\ & \approx k^{2\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is now complete. □

Theorem 1 has a version on the upper half plane,  $\mathbf{U}$ , which can't be obtained by using Cayley transform on Theorem 1 (except for the case  $\sigma = \tau$  and  $\alpha = 1/2$ ). One may prove it by applying the Fourier transform on horizontal lines and then using Plancherel's Theorem (see [AFP, page 1024]).

**THEOREM 1'.** *Suppose  $g$  is analytic on  $\mathbf{U}$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\sigma, \tau > -1$ . Then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{U}} \int_{\mathbf{U}} \frac{|g(z) - g(w)|^2}{|z - \bar{w}|^{3+\sigma+\tau+2\alpha}} y^\sigma v^\tau dx dy du dv \approx \int_{\mathbf{U}} |g'(z)|^2 y^{1-2\alpha} dx dy.$$

4. Applications

In this section we prove Theorem 2 and 3. We first need the following lemmas.

For  $\gamma > -1$  and  $u \in L^2(dA_\alpha)$ , define the operator

$$\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}(g)(w) = \overline{\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{u(z)\overline{g(z)}}{(1-\bar{z}w)^{2+\gamma}} d\mu_\gamma(z)}, \quad \forall g \in \dot{P}.$$

LEMMA 4. Suppose  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $\gamma > -\alpha$ ,  $u \in A^{2,1-2\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}$  is bounded from  $\dot{D}_\alpha$  to  $L^2(dA_\alpha)$ , then  $\sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \{ |u(z)|(1-|z|^2) \} < \infty$ .

*Proof.* (cf. [W2, Theorem 1]). Let  $[\alpha]$  be the greatest integer in  $\alpha$  and set  $n = -[\alpha]$ . We consider the functions

$$f_a(z) = (1-|a|^2)^{1/2+\alpha+n} \frac{z^{n+1}}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{n+1}},$$

$$e_a(z) = \frac{(1-|a|^2)^{3/2-\alpha}(1-|z|^2)^{\gamma-1+2\alpha}}{(1-\bar{a}z)^{2+\gamma}}.$$

Clearly for any  $a \in \mathbf{D}$ ,  $f_a$  is in  $\dot{D}_\alpha$  with  $\|f_a\|_\alpha \approx 1$  and  $e_a$  is in  $L^2(dA_\alpha)$  with  $\|e_a\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)} \approx 1$ . It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{D}} \overline{\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}(f_a)(w)} e_a(w) dA_\alpha(w) \\ &= (1-|a|^2)^{2+n} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{u(z)\bar{z}^{n+1}}{(1-\bar{z}w)^{2+\gamma}(1-\bar{a}\bar{z})^{n+1}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(1-|w|^2)^{\gamma-1+2\alpha}}{(1-\bar{a}\bar{w})^{2+\gamma}} d\mu_\gamma(z) dA_\alpha(w) \\ &= \frac{2-2\alpha}{\gamma+1} (1-|a|^2)^{n+2} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{u(z)\bar{z}^{n+1}}{(1-\bar{z}a)^{3+\gamma+n}} d\mu_\gamma(z) \\ &= \frac{(2-2\alpha)}{(1+\gamma)(2+\gamma)\cdots(n+2+\gamma)} (1-|a|^2)^{n+2} u^{(n+1)}(a). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \left\{ (1-|a|^2)^{n+2} |u^{(n+1)}(a)| \right\} \leq C \|\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}\| \|f_a\|_\alpha \|e_a\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)}.$$

Recall

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \{|u(a)|(1 - |a|^2)\} \approx \sum_{j=0}^n |u^{(j)}(0)| + \sup_{a \in \mathbf{D}} \left\{ (1 - |a|^2)^{n+2} |u^{(n+1)}(a)| \right\}.$$

Hence the proof is complete. □

**LEMMA 5.** *Let  $\alpha \leq 1/2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $\mu$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure, then for any  $w \in \mathbf{D}$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^\varepsilon}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{1+\varepsilon-2\alpha}} d\mu(z) \leq C \|\mu\|_\alpha.$$

*Remark.* For  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$ , this condition is also sufficient (see [G, p. 239]).

*Proof.* For fixed  $w \in \mathbf{D}$ , a straightforward computation shows that

$$g(z) = (1 - |w|^2)^{\varepsilon/2} (1 - \bar{w}z)^{\alpha-1/2-\varepsilon/2}$$

is in  $D_\alpha$  and  $\|g\|_\alpha \leq C$  independently of  $w$ . Hence

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^\varepsilon}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{1+\varepsilon-2\alpha}} d\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} |g(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \leq \|\mu\|_\alpha \|g\|_\alpha^2 \leq C \|\mu\|_\alpha.$$

The proof is now complete. □

For  $b > 1$ , consider the operator

$$(Tf)(w) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{f(z)}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^b} (1 - |z|^2)^{b-2} dA(z).$$

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $\alpha \leq 1/2$ ,  $\beta > -1$ ,  $\beta + 2\alpha > -1$  and*

$$b > \max\left\{ \frac{\beta + 3}{2}, \frac{\beta + 3}{2} - \alpha \right\}.$$

*Suppose  $v(z)$  is a function in  $L^2(d\mu_\beta)$ . If the measure  $|v(z)|^2 d\mu_\beta(z)$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure, then the measure  $|T(v)(z)|^2 d\mu_\beta(z)$  is also an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure.*

*Remark.* For the case of  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$  (which is part 2) of Lemma A), Lemma 6 is proved in [RS]. The method we are going to use here is quite

different from theirs (which is based on the fact that the 0-Carleson measure can be characterized by a single box). Also it seems very hard (at least for us) to prove this lemma by using the results in [A], [S], [J] and [KS], because the corresponding conditions in there are hard to verify.

*Proof of Lemma 6.* Notice that  $|w(z)|^2 d\mu_\beta(z)$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure if and only if the multiplier  $M_w: D_\alpha \rightarrow L^2(d\mu_\beta)$  is bounded. We only need to prove that the multiplier  $M_{T(v)}$  is bounded from  $D_\alpha$  to  $L^2(d\mu_\beta)$ . Because  $T$  is bounded on  $L^2(d\mu_\beta)$ , by Lemma A, we have  $TM_v$  is bounded from  $D_\alpha$  to  $L^2(d\mu_\beta)$ , hence we only need to show the difference  $M_{T(v)} - TM_v$  is bounded from  $D_\alpha$  to  $L^2(d\mu_\beta)$ .

In fact,  $\forall g \in D_\alpha$ , we have

$$|(M_{T(v)} - TM_v)(g)(w)|^2 = \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} v(z) \frac{g(w) - g(z)}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^b} (1 - |z|^2)^{b-2} dA(z) \right|^2.$$

If  $\alpha = 1/2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} v(z) \frac{g(w) - g(z)}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^b} (1 - |z|^2)^{b-2} dA(z) \right|^2 \\ & \leq C \|v\|_{L^2(d\mu_\beta)}^2 \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(w) - g(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2b}} (1 - |z|^2)^{2b-4-\beta} dA(z); \end{aligned}$$

hence, by Theorem 1 ( $\sigma = 2b - 4 - \beta, \tau = \beta$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(M_{T(v)} - TM_v)(g)\|_{L^2(d\mu_\beta)}^2 \\ & \leq C \|v\|_{L^2(d\mu_\beta)}^2 \int_{\mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(w) - g(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2b}} (1 - |z|^2)^{2b-4-\beta} dA(z) d\mu_\beta(w) \\ & \leq C \|v\|_{L^2(d\mu_\beta)}^2 \|g\|_{1/2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\alpha < 1/2$ , choose a number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that those assumptions for Lemma 6 remain true if  $\beta$  is replaced by  $\beta - \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} v(z) \frac{g(w) - g(z)}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^b} (1 - |z|^2)^{b-2} dA(z) \right|^2 \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|v(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{1+\varepsilon-2\alpha}} d\mu_\beta(z) \\ & \quad \times \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(w) - g(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2b-1-\varepsilon+2\alpha}} (1 - |z|^2)^{2b-4-\beta} dA(z), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5,

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|v(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{1+\varepsilon-2\alpha}} d\mu_\beta(z) \leq C(1 - |w|^2)^{-\varepsilon} \| |v|^2 d\mu_\beta \|_\alpha;$$

hence by Theorem 1 ( $\sigma = 2b - 4 - \beta, \tau = \beta - \varepsilon$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (M_{T(v)} - TM_v)(g) \|_{L^2(d\mu_\beta)}^2 \\ & \leq C \| |v|^2 d\mu_\beta \|_\alpha \int_{\mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(w) - g(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2b-1-\varepsilon+2\alpha}} (1 - |z|^2)^{2b-4-\beta} \\ & \quad \times dA(z) (1 - |w|^2)^{\beta-\varepsilon} dA(w) \\ & \leq C \| |v|^2 d\mu_\beta \|_\alpha \| g \|_\alpha^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

We prove Theorem 2 by showing Theorem 2' stated below. We also need Theorem 2' for proving Theorem 3' later.

**THEOREM 2'.** *Let  $\alpha \leq 1/2$  and  $\gamma > -1/2$  if  $\alpha = 1/2, \gamma > \max\{0, -2\alpha\}$  if  $\alpha < 1/2$ . Let  $u$  be analytic on  $\mathbf{D}$ . Then the operator  $\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}$  is bounded from  $\dot{D}_\alpha$  to  $L^2(dA_\alpha)$  if and only if the measure  $|u(z)|^2 dA_\alpha$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure.*

Theorem 2 is then an easy consequence. In fact, let  $\gamma = 1 - 2\alpha$  and  $u = f'$ . By (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial w} (h_f^{(\alpha)}(g))(w) = 0, \\ & \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} (h_f^{(\alpha)}(g))(w) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{f'(z) \overline{g(z)}}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^{3-2\alpha}} dA_\alpha(z) = \tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}(g)(w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $h_f^{(\alpha)}$  is bounded if and only if  $\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}$  is bounded from  $\dot{D}_\alpha$  to  $L^2(dA_\alpha)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2'.* If  $u$  is such that  $|u(z)|^2 dA_\alpha$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure and  $g \in \dot{D}_\alpha$ , then  $u\bar{g} \in L^2(dA_\alpha)$ . By Lemma A, ( $b = 2 + \gamma$  and  $\beta = 1 - 2\alpha$ ),

$$\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}(g) \in L^2(dA_\alpha)$$



and

$$\|\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}(g)\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)} \leq C\|u\bar{g}\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)} \leq C\| |u|^2 dA_\alpha \|_\alpha^{1/2} \|g\|_\alpha.$$

This implies that  $\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}$  is bounded from  $\dot{D}_\alpha$  to  $L^2(dA_\alpha)$ .

To proof the converse let  $u$  be analytic on  $\mathbf{D}$ . We need to show

$$\|ug\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)} \leq C\|g\|_\alpha, \quad \forall g \in D_\alpha.$$

Notice that

$$\|ug\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)} \leq |g(0)|\|u\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)} + \|u(g - g(0))\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)}$$

and for  $\phi(z) = z$  we have (see also [W2, Lemma 3])

$$\|u\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)} \approx |u(0)| + \|\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}(\phi)\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)} \leq |u(0)| + C\|\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}\| \|\phi\|_\alpha < \infty,$$

hence we only need to show

$$\|ug\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)} \leq C\|g\|_\alpha, \quad \forall g \in \dot{D}_\alpha.$$

Using the idea of the proof of Lemma 6 again, we study the difference

$$u(w)\overline{g(w)} - \overline{\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}(g)(w)} = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{u(z)(\overline{g(w)} - \overline{g(z)})}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^{2+\gamma}} d\mu_\gamma(z).$$

By the boundedness of  $\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}$ , we only need to show that the  $L^2(dA_\alpha)$  norm of this difference is dominated by the  $D_\alpha$  norm of  $g$ . In the following, we will use the notation  $B(u)$  to mean the quantity  $\sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \{|u(z)|(1 - |z|^2)\}$ .

If  $\alpha = 1/2$ , then  $dA_\alpha(z) = dA(z)$ , by Cauchy's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{u(z)(\overline{g(w)} - \overline{g(z)})}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^{2+\gamma}} d\mu_\gamma(z) \right|^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbf{D}} |u(z)|^2 dA(z) \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(w) - g(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{4+2\gamma}} (1 - |z|^2)^{2\gamma} dA(z); \end{aligned}$$

hence, by Theorem 1 ( $\sigma = 2\gamma$  and  $\tau = 0$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| u\bar{g} - \overline{\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}(g)} \right\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)}^2 \\ & \leq \|u\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)}^2 \int_{\mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(w) - g(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{4+2\gamma}} (1 - |z|^2)^{2\gamma} dA(z) dA(w) \\ & \leq C\|u\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)}^2 \|g\|_\alpha^2; \end{aligned}$$

If  $\alpha < 1/2$ , then again by Cauchy's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{u(z)(\overline{g(w)} - \overline{g(z)})}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^{2+\gamma}} (1 - |z|^2)^\gamma dA(z) \right|^2 \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|u(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2+\gamma}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma+1} dA(z) \\
 & \quad \times \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(w) - g(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2+\gamma}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-1} dA(z) \\
 & \leq CB(u)^2 \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-1}}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2+\gamma}} dA(z) \\
 & \quad \times \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(w) - g(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2+\gamma}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-1} dA(z) \\
 & \leq CB(u)^2 (1 - |w|^2)^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(w) - g(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2+\gamma}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-1} dA(z);
 \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| u\bar{g} - \overline{\tilde{h}_{u,\gamma}(g)} \right\|_{L^2(dA_\alpha)}^2 \\
 & \leq CB(u)^2 \int_{\mathbf{D}} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|g(w) - g(z)|^2}{|1 - \bar{z}w|^{2+\gamma}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\gamma-1} \\
 & \quad \times dA(z) (1 - |w|^2)^{-2\alpha} dA(w) \\
 & \leq CB(u)^2 \|g\|_\alpha^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

This last inequality is obtained by Theorem 1 ( $\sigma = \gamma - 1$  and  $\tau = -2\alpha$ ). It follows from Lemma 4 that  $B(u)$  is finite. Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

Instead of proving Theorem 3, we show the following one. Theorem 3 follows by term by term integration.

**THEOREM 3' (DECOMPOSITION THEOREM).** *Let  $\alpha \leq 1/2$  and  $b > 3/2$  if  $\alpha = 1/2$ ,  $b > 2$  if  $\alpha < 1/2$ . There exists a  $d_0 > 0$ , so that for any  $d$ -lattice  $\{z_j\}_0^\infty$  in  $\mathbf{D}$ ,  $0 < d < d_0$ , we have:*

(a) *If  $f$  is analytic in  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $|f(z)|^2 dA_\alpha(z)$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure, then*

$$(4.1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j \frac{(1 - |z_j|^2)^{b-3/2+\alpha}}{(1 - \bar{z}_j z)^b}$$

with

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 \delta_{z_j} \right\|_{\alpha} \leq C \| |f|^2 dA_{\alpha} \|_{\alpha}.$$

(b) If  $\{\lambda_j\}_0^{\infty}$  satisfies

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 \delta_{z_j} \right\|_{\alpha} < \infty,$$

then  $f$ , defined by (4.1), is in  $A^{2,1-2\alpha}$  and  $|f(z)|^2 dA_{\alpha}(z)$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure, with

$$\| |f|^2 dA_{\alpha} \|_{\alpha} \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 \delta_{z_j} \right\|_{\alpha}.$$

*Remark.* The convergence of the series (4.1) is in  $A^{2,1-2\alpha}$ . It also converges pointwise.

*Proof of Theorem 3'.* Without loss of generality, we will assume

$$b > \max\{2, 2 - 2\alpha\} \quad \text{if } \alpha < 1/2.$$

In fact, for  $\alpha < 0$ , it is easy to check directly that  $|f|^2 dA_{\alpha}$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure if and only if  $\sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \{ |f(z)|(1 - |z|^2) \} < \infty$ . Pick  $\alpha' < 0$  so that  $b > 2 - 2\alpha'$ . Hence  $|f|^2 dA_{\alpha}$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure if and only if  $|f|^2 dA_{\alpha'}$  is an  $\alpha'$ -Carleson measure.

We show part (b) first. Clearly, by Theorem 2' ( $\gamma = b - 2$ ), we only need to show that the operator  $\tilde{h}_{f, b-2}$  is bounded from  $D_{\alpha}$  to  $L^2(dA_{\alpha})$ .

The assumption on the sequence  $\{\lambda_j\}_0^{\infty}$  implies that  $\{\lambda_j\}_0^{\infty}$  is square summable. Hence by Theorem A, the sum (4.1) converges in  $A^{2,1-2\alpha}$  and then  $f$ , defined by (4.1), is in  $A^{2,1-2\alpha}$ .

For any  $g \in D_{\alpha}$ , consider the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\tilde{h}_{f, b-2}(g)(w)} &= \int_{\mathbf{D}} f(z) \frac{\overline{g(z)}}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^b} (1 - |z|^2)^{b-2} dA(z) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j (1 - |z_j|^2)^{b-3/2+\alpha} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{z}_j z)^b} \frac{\overline{g(z)}}{(1 - \bar{z}w)^b} (1 - |z|^2)^{b-2} dA(z) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j \frac{(1 - |z_j|^2)^{b-3/2+\alpha}}{(1 - \bar{z}_j w)^b} \overline{g(z_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem A (b) ( $p = 2, \beta = 1 - 2\alpha$ ) we have

$$\|\overline{\tilde{h}_{f, b-2}(g)}\|_{A^{2, 1-2\alpha}}^2 \leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j g(z_j)|^2 \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 \delta_{z_j} \right\|_{\alpha} \|g\|_{\alpha}^2.$$

So (b) is proved.

Now we prove part (a). Let  $g \in D_{\alpha}$  and  $\{z_j\}_0^{\infty}$  be a  $d$ -lattice in  $\mathbf{D}$ . The assumption on  $f$  implies  $fg \in A^{2, 1-2\alpha}$  and the discrete version of this is that the sequence

$$\left\{ f(z_j)g(z_j)(1 - |z_j|^2)^{3/2-\alpha} \right\}_0^{\infty}$$

is square summable (see also [CR] or [R]). This means that the measure (here we use the notation in Lemma B)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| f(z_j)(1 - |z_j|^2)^{-1/2-\alpha} |D_j| \right|^2 \delta_{z_j}$$

is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure and

$$(4.2) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| f(z_j)(1 - |z_j|^2)^{-1/2-\alpha} |D_j| \right|^2 \delta_{z_j} \right\|_{\alpha} \leq C \| |f|^2 dA_{\alpha} \|_{\alpha}.$$

Let (see Lemma B)

$$A(f)(z) = C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(z_j) |D_j| \frac{(1 - |z_j|^2)^{b-2}}{(1 - \bar{z}_j z)^b};$$

then, by part (b) of Theorem 3',  $|A(f)(z)|^2 dA_{\alpha}(z)$  is an  $\alpha$ -Carleson measure. Regarding  $A$  as the operator on the space

$$\left\{ f \in A^{2, 1-2\alpha} : |f(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^{1-2\alpha} dA(z) \text{ is an } \alpha\text{-Carleson measure} \right\},$$

we have, by Lemma B,

$$|(I - A)(f)(z)| \leq CdT(f)(z).$$

Let  $d$  be sufficient small. By Lemma 6 ( $\beta = 1 - 2\alpha$ ), we have the operator norm estimate

$$\|I - A\| \leq 1/2.$$

Hence  $A^{-1}$  exists and

$$\|A^{-1}\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|(I - A)^j\| \leq 2.$$

Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= (AA^{-1}f)(z) \\ &= C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A^{-1}f)(z_j) |D_j| \frac{(1 - |z_j|^2)^{b-2}}{(1 - \bar{z}_j z)^b} \\ &= C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (A^{-1}f)(z_j) |D_j| (1 - |z_j|^2)^{-1/2-\alpha} \frac{(1 - |z_j|^2)^{b-3/2+\alpha}}{(1 - \bar{z}_j z)^b}. \end{aligned}$$

By the inequality (4.2) and the boundedness of  $A^{-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left| (A^{-1}f)(z_j) |D_j| (1 - |z_j|^2)^{-1/2-\alpha} \right|^2 \delta_{z_j} \right\|_{\alpha} \\ &\leq C \| |A^{-1}f|^2 dA_{\alpha} \|_{\alpha} \leq C \|A^{-1}\| \| |f|^2 dA_{\alpha} \|_{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the choice of  $\lambda_j = (A^{-1}f)(z_j) |D_j| (1 - |z_j|^2)^{-1/2-\alpha}$  completes the proof. □

### 5. Some questions

(1) Instead of  $\mathbf{D}$  or  $\mathbf{U}$ , consider more generally any simply connected domain in  $\mathbf{C}$  (or in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ). It would be nice if we could get a result similar to Theorem 1. The best range of those parameters in Theorem 1 is also unknown. We believe that for nice domains Theorem 1 remains true if  $\alpha > 1/2$ .

(2) Is it reasonable to consider the sum (1.1) in the Theorem 3 as a series converging in some weak\* topology instead of the one in  $D_{\alpha}$ ?

(3) To answer question (2), maybe we should ask first that what is the predual space of  $W_{\alpha}$  (the predual of  $W_0 = BMO$  is  $H^1$ ).

(4) We noted in the introduction that the operators  $h_f^{(\alpha)}$  are related to matrices of the form

$$\left( \frac{k^{-\alpha} j^{1-\alpha}}{f_{k+j} (k+j)^{1-2\alpha}} \right).$$

We know much less about the more symmetric matrix

$$\left( \frac{k^{-\alpha} j^{-\alpha}}{(k+j)^{-2\alpha}} \right).$$

## REFERENCES

- [A] D.R. ADAMS, *On the existence of capacity strong type estimates in  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Arkiv Math., vol. 14 (1976), pp. 125–140.
- [Ax] S. AXLER, *The Bergman space, the Bloch space, and commutators of multiplication operators*, Duke Math. J., vol. 53 (1986), pp. 315–332.
- [AFP] J. ARAZY, S. FISHER and J. PEETRE, *Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, Amer. J. Math., vol. 110 (1988), pp. 989–1054.
- [AFJP] J. ARAZY, S. FISHER, S. JANSON and J. PEETRE, *An identity for reproducing kernels in a planar domain and Hilbert-Schmidt Hankel operators*, Uppsala University Department of Mathematics, Report 1988:7.
- [CR] R.R. COIFMAN and R. ROCHBERG, *Representation theorems for holomorphic and harmonic functions in  $L^p$* , Asterisque, vol. 77 (1980), pp. 11–66.
- [G] J.B. GARNETT, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [J] R. JOHNSON, *Application of Carleson measures to partial differential equations and Fourier multiplier problems*, Lecture Notes in Math, no. 992, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [KS] R. KERMAN and E. SAWYER, *Carleson measures and multipliers of Dirichlet-type spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 309 (1988), pp. 87–98.
- [P] V.V. PELLER, *Vectorial Hankel operators, commutators and related operators of the Schatten-Von Neumann class  $\gamma_p$* , Integral Equations Operator Theory, vol. 5 (1982), pp. 244–272.
- [R] R. ROCHBERG, “Decomposition theorems for Bergman spaces and their applications” in *Operator and function theory*, S.C. Power ed., Reidel, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1985, pp. 225–278.
- [RS] R. ROCHBERG and S. SEMMES, *A decomposition theorem for BMO and applications*, J. Funct. Anal., vol. 67 (1986), pp. 228–263.
- [RW] R. ROCHBERG and Z. WU, *Toeplitz Operators on Dirichlet Spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 1991, vol. 15 (1992), pp. 325–342.
- [S] D. STEGENGA, *Multipliers of the Dirichlet space*, Illinois J. Math., vol. 24 (1980), pp. 113–139.
- [T] E.C. TITCHMARSH, *The theory of functions*, Oxford at Clarendon Press, 1932.
- [W1] Z. WU, *Hankel and Toeplitz operators on Dirichlet spaces*, Ph.D. thesis, Washington University, St. Louis, 1990.
- [W2] \_\_\_\_\_, *Hankel and Toeplitz operators on Dirichlet spaces*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, 1991, to appear.
- [W3] \_\_\_\_\_, *Pre-dual and Pre-pre-dual of  $W_\alpha$* , preprint, 1991.
- [W4] \_\_\_\_\_, *Hankel operators between Dirichlet type spaces*, preprint 1991.
- [Z] K.H. ZHU, *Operator theory in function spaces*, Pure and Applied Math., no. 139, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI

UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA  
TUSCALOOSA, ALABAMA