

## RANDOM ELEMENTS OF A FREE PROFINITE GROUP GENERATE A FREE SUBGROUP

ALEXANDER LUBOTZKY<sup>1</sup>

Consider each profinite group as a probability space, the probability being the normalized Haar measure. Jarden proved that almost all  $z \in \hat{\mathbf{Z}}$  generate a closed subgroup of infinite index while almost all  $k$ -tuples with  $k \geq 2$  generate an open subgroup [FJ, Lemma 16.15]. Moreover, the closed subgroup of  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}$  generated by an  $e$ -tuple  $(z_1, \dots, z_e)$  which is chosen at random is isomorphic to  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}$ . Fried and Jarden ask for  $e \geq 2$  about the probability that a  $e$ -tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_e) \in \hat{F}_e$  generates a closed subgroup which is isomorphic to  $\hat{F}_e$  and about the probability that a  $e$ -tuple of elements of  $\hat{F}_e$  generates an open subgroup [FJ, Problem 16.16]. Here  $\hat{F}_e$  is the free profinite group of rank  $e$ .

W. M. Kantor and the present author show [KL] that the second probability is 0. The aim of this note is to prove that the first probability is 1. Actually the full result is somewhat more general:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $F$  be a free profinite group of rank at least 2, and let  $k$  be a positive integer.*

(a) *The probability that a  $k$ -tuple of elements of  $F$  generates an open subgroup is 0.*

(b) *The probability that a  $k$ -tuple of elements of  $F$  generates a closed subgroup which is isomorphic to  $\hat{F}_k$  is 1.*

As mentioned, part (a) is proved in [KL]. We supply a proof which replaces the use of Dixon's theorem by more elementary arguments. Some of the ingredients of the proof of (a) are also used in the proof of (b).

*Notation.* For a finite group and a positive integer  $e$  let

$$d_e(G) = \max\{m \in \mathbf{N} \mid G^m \text{ is generated by } e \text{ elements}\}$$

$$D_e(G) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_e) \in G^e \mid \langle x_1, \dots, x_e \rangle = G\}$$

---

Received April 15, 1991

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20E18.

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by a grant from the G.I.F., the German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development.

LEMMA 2 (P. Hall). *If  $G$  is a simple nonabelian group, then  $d_e(G) = |D_e(G)|/|\text{Aut}(G)|$ .*

*Proof.* Fix a basis  $z_1, \dots, z_e$  of the free discrete group  $F_e$ . The map

$$\psi \mapsto (\psi(z_1), \dots, \psi(z_e))$$

establishes a bijection between the set of all epimorphisms  $\psi: F_e \rightarrow G$  and  $D_e(G)$ . Two epimorphisms have the same kernel if and only if their images in  $D_e(G)$  belong to the same orbit under  $\text{Aut}(G)$ . It follows that  $F_e$  has exactly  $d' = |D_e(G)|/|\text{Aut}(G)|$  normal subgroups  $N$  such that  $F_e/N \cong G$ .

List all these subgroups as  $N_1, \dots, N_{d'}$  and let  $M = N_1 \cap \dots \cap N_{d'}$ . As  $G$  is simple and nonabelian  $F_e/M \cong G^{d'}$  (a simple consequence of [H, p. 51, Satz 9.12]). In particular  $G^{d'}$  is generated by  $e$  elements and therefore  $d' \leq d = d_e(G)$ .

On the other hand the definition implies that  $G^d$  is a quotient of  $F_e$ . Hence  $F_e$  has at least  $d$  normal subgroups  $N$  with  $F_e/N \cong G$ . Conclude that  $d \leq d'$  and therefore  $d = d'$ , as desired.

Dixon [D] proves that the probability that a pair  $(x, y) \in A_n$  generates  $A_n$  tends to 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In other words

$$\frac{|D_2(A_n)|}{(n!)^2/4} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$$

Thus, if  $n$  is large enough, then  $|D_2(A_n)| \geq (n!)^2/8$ . This inequality is used in [KL] to prove part (a) of the theorem. We would like here to prove a weaker inequality which suffices for proving part (a) of the theorem.

LEMMA 3. *Let  $n \geq 7$  be an odd integer. Then  $|D_2(A_n)| \geq (n-3)!(n-7)!$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma = (1\ 2\ 3)$  and let  $\rho = (b_3\ b_4\ \dots\ b_n)$  be a cyclic permutation of the set  $B = \{3, 4, \dots, n\}$ . We claim that

$$(1) \quad A_n = \langle \gamma, \rho \rangle.$$

To prove (1) it suffices to prove that  $H = \langle \gamma, \rho \rangle$  contains each 3-cycle. Assume without loss that  $b_3 = 3$ . Then

$$\sigma = \rho^\gamma = (1\ b_4\ \dots\ b_n) \in H \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = \rho^{\gamma^2} = (2\ b_4\ \dots\ b_n) \in H.$$

Hence, for each  $k \geq 4$

$$(b_k\ 2\ 3) = \gamma^{\sigma^{k-3}}, \quad (1\ b_k\ 3) = \gamma^{\tau^{k-3}}, \quad \text{and} \quad (1\ 2\ b_k) = \gamma^{\rho^{k-3}}$$

belong to  $H$ . Finally, let  $b, c, d, e$  be distinct elements of  $B$ . Then  $(2\ c\ b) = (1\ 2\ b)^{(1\ 2\ c)}$ ,  $(c\ b\ 1) = (1\ b\ 3)^{(1\ c\ 3)}$ ,  $(b\ 3\ c) = (b\ 2\ 3)^{(c\ 2\ 3)}$ , and  $(d\ b\ c) = (2\ b\ c)^{(2\ d\ 3)}$  belong to  $H$ . Conclude that every 3-cycle of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  belongs to  $H$ . Hence,  $H = A_n$ , as asserted.

An alternative argument was suggested to us by Michael Fried: One observes that  $H$  is a primitive subgroup of  $A_n$  which contains a 3-cycle. A consequence of a theorem of Jordan therefore implies that  $H = A_n$  [H, p. 171, Satz 4.5c].

Next check the residues modulo 6 to find a positive integer  $m$  with  $n - 6 \leq m \leq n - 3$  which is prime to 6. Each cyclic permutation  $\alpha = (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m)$  of  $m$  integers in  $A = \{4, 5, \dots, n\}$  belongs to  $A_n$ . Moreover,  $(\alpha\gamma)^m = \alpha^m \gamma^m = \gamma^m = \gamma^{\pm 1}$ . Hence, by (1),  $A_n = \langle \alpha\gamma, \rho \rangle$ . There are  $n(n-1) \cdots (n-m+1)/m$  permutations  $\alpha$  and  $(n-3)!$  permutations  $\rho$ . The former number is  $\geq (m-1)!$ . Hence,  $|D_2(A_n)| \geq (n-7)!(n-3)!$ , as asserted. ■

LEMMA 4. *For each odd integer  $n \geq 7$ , the group  $L_n = A_n^{[(n-3)!(n-7)!/n!]}$  is generated by 2 elements.*

*Proof.* By [H, p. 175],  $\text{Aut}(A_n) \cong S_n$ . Hence  $|\text{Aut}(A_n)| = n!$ . It follows from Lemmas 2 and 3 that  $d_2(A_n) = |D_2(A_n)|/n! \geq [(n-3)!(n-7)!/n!]$ . Conclude that  $L_n$  is generated by 2 elements. ■

LEMMA 5. *The probability that a  $k$ -tuple of elements of  $L_n$  generates  $L_n$  tends to 0 as  $n$  tends to infinity over the odd positive integers.*

*Proof.* In order for a  $k$ -tuple of elements of  $L_n$  to generate  $L_n$  its projection on each of the factors must generate  $A_n$ . The probability of the last event is at most  $1 - 1/n^k$ , since a  $k$ -tuple of elements which belong to the subgroup  $A_{n-1}$  of index  $n$ , does not generate  $A_n$ . Hence the probability that a  $k$ -tuple of elements of  $L_n$  generates  $L_n$  is at most

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{n^k}\right)^{(n-3)!(n-7)!/n!} = \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^k}\right)^{n^k} \right\}^{(n-3)!(n-7)!/n!n^k}$$

The expression in the braces tends to  $1/e$  (where here  $e$  is of course the basis of the natural logarithms) while the exponent tends to infinity as  $n$  tends to infinity over the odd positive integers. Conclude that the right hand side tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

PROPOSITION 6. *For  $e \geq 2$  and  $k \geq 1$ , the probability for a  $k$ -tuple of elements of  $\hat{F}_e$  to generate  $\hat{F}_e$  is 0.*

*Proof.* Let  $n \geq 7$  be an odd integer. By Lemma 4, there is an epimorphism  $\psi: \hat{F}_e \rightarrow L_n$ . If  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in (\hat{F}_e)^k$  generates  $\hat{F}_e$ , then its image under  $\psi$  generates  $L_n$ . Hence, the probability for a  $k$ -tuple of elements of  $\hat{F}_e$  to generate  $\hat{F}_e$  is at most the probability for a  $k$ -tuple of elements of  $L_n$  to generate  $L_n$ . By Lemma 5, the latter probability tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence the former probability is 0. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1(a).* If  $\text{rank}(F)$  is infinite, then so is the rank of each open subgroup. Hence, we may assume that  $F = \hat{F}_e$  with  $e \geq 2$ .

Each open subgroup of  $\hat{F}_e$  is isomorphic to  $\hat{F}_f$  for some  $f$  [FJ, Prop. 15.27]. For each  $f$ , the group  $\hat{F}_e$  has only finitely many open subgroups of index at most  $f$  [FJ, Lemma 15.1]. So, apply Proposition 6, to these subgroups to conclude that the probability of a  $k$ -tuple to generate an open subgroup of  $F$  is 0. ■

*Proof of Theorem 1(b).* First note that  $F$  can be mapped onto  $\hat{F}_e$  with  $e \geq 2$ . If the theorem holds for the quotient, it holds for  $F$ . So, we may assume that  $F = \hat{F}_e$  with  $e \geq 2$ . There are two cases to consider.

*Case A.*  $e \geq k + 3$ . To prove that  $G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$  is isomorphic to  $\hat{F}_k$  it suffices to show that each finite group  $B$  which is generated by  $k$  elements is a quotient of  $G$  [FJ, Lemma 15.29]. Since there are only countably many finite groups, it suffices to fix a finite group  $B$  and to prove that for almost all  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in F^k$  the group  $B$  is a quotient of  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$ .

Indeed, fix such a  $B$ . Let  $l = |B|$ . Then  $B$  can be embedded in the symmetric group  $S_l$ . Consider the cycle  $\kappa = (l + 1 \ l + 2)$  of  $S_{l+2}$ . Define an embedding  $f$  of  $S_l$  into  $A_{l+2}$  by the following rule:  $f(\pi) = \pi$  if  $\pi \in A_l$  and  $f(\pi) = \pi\kappa$  if  $\pi \notin A_l$ . Let  $n(B) = \max\{7, l + 2\}$ . Then we can view  $B$  as a subgroup of  $A_n$  for each  $n \geq n(B)$ .

Let  $n \geq 7$  be an odd integer. Since  $A_n$  is generated by two elements (Lemma 3)<sup>2</sup>,

$$|D_e(A_n)| \geq |A_n|^{e-2}.$$

Also,  $|\text{Aut}(A_n)| = |S_n| = 2|A_n|$  [H, p. 175]. Hence, by Lemma 2,

$$(2) \quad d_e(A_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}|A_n|^{e-3} \geq \frac{1}{2}|A_n|^k.$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Of course, this is true also for  $n$  even. For example, for  $r = (1 \ 2)$  and  $\sigma = (2 \ \dots \ n)$  we have  $A_n = \langle \sigma, \tau\sigma\tau \rangle$ . However, one of the goals of this proof is to be as self contained and as short as possible. Hence we argue only with odd  $n$ .

By definition,  $A_n^{d_e(A_n)}$  is generated by  $e$  elements. Hence  $A_n^{d_e(A_n)}$  is a quotient of  $F$ , with kernel  $N$ . Since  $A_n$  is simple nonabelian, it follows from the proof of Lemma 2 that  $F$  has exactly  $d_e(A_n)$  open normal subgroups  $N_i$  which contain  $N$  such that  $F/N_i \cong A_n$ .

For each  $i$  let  $\varphi_i: F \rightarrow A_n$  be an epimorphism with kernel  $N_i$ , and

$$B_{n,i} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in F^k \mid \langle \varphi_i(x_1), \dots, \varphi_i(x_k) \rangle = B\}.$$

Denote the probability that  $k$  elements of  $B$  generate  $B$  by  $p_k(B)$ . Then

$$(3) \quad \mu(B_{n,i}) = \text{Prob}(\varphi_i(x_1), \dots, \varphi_i(x_k) \in B) p_k(B) = \left( \frac{|B|}{|A_n|} \right)^k p_k(B).$$

The sets  $B_{n,i}$ ,  $n \geq n(B)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d_e(A_n)$  are  $\mu$ -independent (again, since  $A_n$  are simple nonabelian). By (2) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq n(B)} \sum_{i=1}^{d_e(A_n)} \mu(B_{n,i}) &= \sum_{n \geq n(B)} d_e(A_n) p_k(B) \left( \frac{|B|}{|A_n|} \right)^k \\ &\geq \sum_{n \geq n(B)} \frac{1}{2} p_k(B) |B|^k = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

because all terms are constant and  $p_k(B) \neq 0$ , since  $B$  is generated by  $k$  elements.

It follows that  $\mu(\bigcup_{n,i} B_{n,i}) = 1$  [FJ, Lemma 16.6]. Each  $k$ -tuple in the union generates a closed subgroup of  $F$  which has  $B$  as a quotient.

*Case B. The general case.* By Part (a) of Theorem 1, almost all  $(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  generate a closed subgroup  $G$  of  $F$  of infinite index. The group  $G$  is contained in an open subgroup  $H$  of  $F$  of index at least  $k + 2$  [R, p. 11]. Since  $e \geq 2$ , the group  $H$  is free of rank at least  $k + 3$  [FJ, Prop. 15.27]. So, by Case A, the probability for  $G$  to be contained in  $H$  and not to be free is zero. Since  $F$  has only countably many open subgroups, the probability for  $G$  not to be free is zero. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1(b). ■

Theorem 1 gets a new form if we consider free pro- $p$ -groups instead of free profinite groups.

**PROPOSITION 7.** *Let  $F = \hat{F}_e(p)$  be the free pro- $p$ -group of rank  $e$  and let  $k$  be a positive integer. Let*

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in F^k \mid \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \text{ is open in } F\} \\ B_k &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in F^k \mid \langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \cong \hat{F}_k(p)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then:

- (a) If  $k < e$ , then  $\mu(A_k) = 0$  and  $\mu(B_k) = 1$ ;
- (b)  $0 < \mu(A_e) < 1$  and  $\mu(B_e) = 1$ ;
- (c) If  $k > e$ , then  $0 < \mu(A_k) < 1$  and  $0 < \mu(B_k) < 1$ .

*Proof of (b).* By the Nielsen-Schreier Formula [FJ, Prop. 15.27], the rank of each proper open subgroup of  $F$  is greater than  $e$ . Hence,

$$(4) \quad A_e = \{(x_1, \dots, x_e) \in F^e \mid \langle x_1, \dots, x_e \rangle = F\}.$$

Let  $V = F_p^e \cong F/\Phi(F)$ , where  $\Phi(F)$  is the Frattini subgroup of  $F$  [FJ, Lemma 20.36]. The basic property of the Frattini subgroup implies that  $x_1, \dots, x_e$  generate  $F$  if and only if their reductions  $v_1, \dots, v_e$  modulo  $\Phi(F)$  generate  $V$ . The latter happens exactly if  $v_1, \dots, v_e$  are linearly independent. Hence,  $\mu(A_e)$  is the probability in  $V^e$  that  $v_1, \dots, v_e$  are linearly independent. Thus

$$\mu(A_e) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^e}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{e-1}}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

So,  $0 < \mu(A_e) < 1$ .

To compute  $\mu(B_e)$  let  $Z = \mathbf{Z}_p^e$  and choose an epimorphism  $\pi: F \rightarrow Z$ . Consider each element of  $Z$  as a column of  $e$  elements of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . In this notation  $(z_1 \cdots z_e)$  denotes an  $e \times e$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B}_e &= \{(z_1, \dots, z_e) \in Z^e \mid \langle z_1, \dots, z_e \rangle \cong Z\} \\ &= \{(z_1, \dots, z_e) \in Z^e \mid \text{rank} \langle z_1, \dots, z_e \rangle = e\} \\ &= \{(z_1, \dots, z_e) \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{e^2} \mid \text{rank}(z_1 \cdots z_e) = e\} \\ &= \{(z_1, \dots, z_e) \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{e^2} \mid \det(z_1 \cdots z_e) \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known, that for each  $n$  and each nonzero polynomial  $f \in \mathbf{Z}_p[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , the hypersurface  $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$  has measure 0 in  $\mathbf{Z}_p^n$ . Hence,  $\mu(\bar{B}_e) = 1$ .

Now, if  $x_1, \dots, x_e \in F$  and  $(\pi(x_1), \dots, \pi(x_e)) \in \bar{B}_e$ , then  $\text{rank} \langle x_1, \dots, x_e \rangle = e$ . Since each closed subgroup of  $F$  is a free pro- $p$ -group [FJ, Cor. 20.38], this implies that  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_e \rangle \cong F$  and therefore  $(x_1, \dots, x_e) \in B_e$ . Thus  $\pi^{-1}(\bar{B}_e) \subseteq B_e$ . It follows from the preceding paragraph that  $\mu(B_e) = 1$ .

*Proof of (a).* By the above mentioned formula of Nielsen and Schreier, the rank of each open subgroup of  $F$  is at least  $e$ . Hence, in case (a),  $A_k = \emptyset$  and therefore  $\mu(A_k) = 0$ .

To compute  $\mu(B_k)$  consider the projection  $\tau: F^e \rightarrow F^k$  on the first  $k$  coordinates. If  $(x_1, \dots, x_e) \in B_e$ , then  $\text{rank}\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = k$  hence,  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle \cong \hat{F}_k(p)$ , and therefore  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in B_k$ . Thus  $B_e \subseteq \tau^{-1}(B_k)$ . By (b),  $\mu(B_k) = 1$ .

*Proof of (c).* Let  $\rho: F^k \rightarrow F^e$  be the projection on the first  $e$  coordinates. Suppose that  $(x_1, \dots, x_e) \in A_e$ . By (4),  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_e \rangle = F$  and therefore  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle = F$ . Thus  $\rho^{-1}(A_e) \subseteq A_k$ . Hence, by (b),  $0 < \mu(A_e) \leq \mu(A_k)$ . Also, since  $F \cong \hat{F}_k(p)$ , we have,  $\rho(B_k) < 1$ .

Next use the Nielsen-Schreier formula to choose an open subgroup  $U$  of  $F$  such that  $l = \text{rank}(U) > k$ . The rank of each open subgroup of  $U$  is also greater than  $k$ . Hence  $U^k \cap A_k = \emptyset$ . Since  $\mu(U^k) > 0$ , this implies that  $\mu(A_k) < 1$ .

Finally, let  $\lambda: F^l \rightarrow F^k$  be the projection on the first  $k$  coordinates. Then  $B_l \subseteq \lambda^{-1}(B_k)$ . Hence,  $\mu(B_l) \leq \mu(B_k)$ . Apply (b) to  $U$  and  $l$  instead of to  $F$  and  $e$  and conclude that  $\mu(B_l) > 0$ . Hence  $\mu(B_k) > 0$ . This concludes the proof of (c) and the proposition. ■

It will be interesting to compute the measure of  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  in the case where  $F$  is the free prosolvable group on  $e$  generators. The methods of this note do not apply to this case.

*Acknowledgement.* The author is indebted to Moshe Jarden for his help in writing this paper, and in particular for encouraging him to find a self contained proof of Theorem 1.

*Added in proof.* Recently, A. Mann showed that for the free prosolvable group on  $\varphi$  generators  $\mu(A_k) > 0$  when  $k$  is sufficiently large ( $k \geq 13/4\varphi + \text{constant}$ ).

#### REFERENCES

- [D] J. D. DIXON, *The probability of generating the symmetric group*, Math. Zeit-schrift, vol. 110 (1969), pp. 199–205.
- [FJ] M. D. FRIED and M. JARDEN, *Field Arithmetic*, Erg. Mat. III, vol. 11, Springer, Heidelberg, 1986.
- [H] B. HUPPERT, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., no. 134, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- [KL] W. M. KANTOR and A. LUBOTZKY, *The probability of generating a finite classical group*, Geom. Dedicata, vol. 36 (1990), pp. 67–87.
- [R] L. RIBES, *Introduction to profinite groups and Galois cohomology*, Queen's papers in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 24, Queen's University, Kingston, 1970.

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM  
JERUSALEM, ISRAEL