### SOME INEQUALITIES FOR POLYNOMIALS AND RELATED ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

BY

Q. I. RAHMAN

### 1. Inequalities for polynomials

Throughout this section let  $p(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  be a polynomial of degree *n*. The following results are immediate.

THEOREM A.

(1) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p'(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \leq n^{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta.$$

Theorem B. For R > 1

(2) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \leq R^{2n} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta$$

If p(z) has no zeros in |z| < 1, Theorem A can be sharpened.

THEOREM C. If p(z) has no zeros in |z| < 1, then

(3) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p'(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \leq \frac{n^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta.$$

Theorem C was proved by N. G. de Bruijn [4].

We prove a corresponding modification of Theorem B.

THEOREM 1. If p(z) has no zeros in |z| < 1, then

(4) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \leq \frac{R^{2n}+1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta$$

for R > 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. If  $q(z) = z^n \overline{p(1/\overline{z})}$ , then |q(z)| = |p(z)| for |z| = 1. Since  $p(z) \neq 0$  for |z| < 1, it follows that  $|q(z)| \leq |p(z)|$  for |z| < 1. On replacing z by 1/z we deduce that for |z| > 1,

$$|p(z)| \leq |q(z)|.$$

Now 
$$q(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \bar{a}_{n-\nu} z^{\nu}$$
; hence  

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |q(Re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta$$

$$= \pi \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} (R^{2\nu} + R^{2n-2\nu}) |a_{\nu}|^{2}.$$

Received December 16, 1959.

The greatest of the quantities  $R^{2\nu} + R^{2n-2\nu}$ ,  $\nu = 1, \dots, n$ , is  $R^{2n} + 1$ . Therefore

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \leq \frac{R^{2n}+1}{2} 2\pi \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} |a_{\nu}|^{2} = \frac{R^{2n}+1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta,$$

which was the assertion.

In (4) equality holds for  $p(z) = \alpha + \beta z^n$  where  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ . We also prove

THEOREM 2. If the geometric mean of the moduli of the zeros of p(z) is at least equal to 1, then

(5) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \leq \left\{ \frac{(\sqrt{2})^{2n} + 1}{2} \right\}^{(\log R)/\log \sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta$$

for  $R < \sqrt{2}$ , and

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} | p(Re^{i\theta}) |^{2} d\theta \leq \frac{R^{2n} + 1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} | p(e^{i\theta}) |^{2} d\theta$$

for  $R \geq \sqrt{2}$ . More precisely,

(6) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \leq \left(\frac{k^{2n}+1}{2}\right)^{(\log R)/\log k} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta$$

for R < k, and

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} | p(Re^{i\theta}) |^{2} d\theta \leq \frac{R^{2n} + 1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} | p(e^{i\theta}) |^{2} d\theta$$

or  $R \ge k$  where k > 1 is a root of the equation

$$2k^{2n-2} = 1 + k^{2n}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We observe that the hypothesis implies  $|a_0| \ge |a_n|$ , so that

(7) 
$$|a_n|^2 R^{2n} + |a_0|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(R^{2n} + 1)(|a_n|^2 + |a_0|^2)$$

for R > 1. Besides, in general

(8) 
$$|a_{n-\nu}|^2 R^{2n-2} + |a_{\nu}|^2 R^{2\nu} \leq \frac{1}{2} (R^{2n} + 1) (|a_{n-\nu}|^2 + |a_{\nu}|^2)$$

if both

$$|a_{n-\nu}|^2 R^{2n-2\nu} \leq \frac{1}{2} (R^{2n}+1) |a_{n-\nu}|^2, \qquad |a_{\nu}|^2 R^{2\nu} \leq \frac{1}{2} (R^{2n}+1) |a_{\nu}|^2$$

hold. For  $\nu = 1, \dots, n-1$ , therefore, (8) holds if  $R \ge \sqrt{2}$ . Consequently for  $R \ge \sqrt{2}$ 

145

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \\ &= 2\pi (|a_{n}|^{2} R^{2n} + \dots + |a_{n-\nu}|^{2} R^{2n-2\nu} + \dots + |a_{\nu}|^{2} R^{2} + \dots + |a_{0}|^{2}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (R^{2n} + 1) 2\pi (|a_{n}|^{2} + \dots + |a_{0}|^{2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (R^{2n} + 1) \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta. \end{split}$$

Now  $\log \int_0^{2\pi} |p(re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta$  is a convex function of  $\log r$ , and therefore for  $1 < R < \sqrt{2}$  $\left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta\right)^{\log\sqrt{2}}$ 

$$\begin{split} & \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \right\}^{\log(\sqrt{2}/R)} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} p(\sqrt{2}e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \right)^{\log R} \\ & \leq \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \right)^{\log(\sqrt{2}/R)} \left\{ \frac{(\sqrt{2})^{2n} + 1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \right\}^{\log R} \\ & = \left\{ \frac{(\sqrt{2})^{2n} + 1}{2} \right\}^{\log R} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \right)^{\log\sqrt{2}}, \end{split}$$
Or
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(Re^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \leq \left\{ \frac{(\sqrt{2})^{2n} + 1}{2} \right\}^{(\log R)/\log\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta.$$

C

### 2. Inequalities for polynomials (continued)

The following result is immediate.

**THEOREM D.** If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n and  $\rho < 1$ , then

(9) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \geq \rho^{2n} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta.$$

Equality in (9) holds only for  $p(z) = cz^n$ .

The conclusion of Theorem D can also be written as

(10) 
$$\frac{1}{(1-\rho)^2} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |p(\rho e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta - \frac{1+\rho^{2n}}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right\} \\ \ge -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-\rho^{2n}}{(1-\rho)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta.$$

In case p(z) has all its zeros in |z| < 1, we may expect in analogy with Theorem 1 that for every  $\rho < 1$ 

(11) 
$$\int_0^{2\pi} |p(\rho e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \ge \frac{1+\rho^{2n}}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta.$$

146

But if  $p(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} a_{\nu} z^{\nu}$  has all its zeros on the unit circle, then  $|a_{\nu}| = |a_{n-\nu}|, \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, n.$ 

Consequently for 
$$\rho < 1$$

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \\ &= 2\pi (|a_{0}|^{2} + |a_{1}|^{2} \rho^{2} + \dots + |a_{n-1}|^{2} \rho^{2n-2} + |a_{n}|^{2} \rho^{2n}) \\ &= 2\pi \{ (|a_{0}|^{2} + |a_{n}|^{2} \rho^{2n}) + (|a_{1}|^{2} \rho^{2} + |a_{n-1}|^{2} \rho^{2n-2}) + \dots \} \\ &= 2\pi \Big\{ (|a_{0}|^{2} + |a_{n}|^{2}) \frac{1 + \rho^{2n}}{2} + (|a_{1}|^{2} + |a_{n-1}|^{2}) \frac{\rho^{2} + \rho^{2n-2}}{2} + \dots \Big\} \\ &\leq 2\pi \Big\{ (|a_{0}|^{2} + |a_{n}|^{2}) \frac{1 + \rho^{2n}}{2} + (|a_{1}|^{2} + a_{n-1}|^{2}) \frac{1 + \rho^{2n}}{2} + \dots \Big\} \\ &= \frac{1 + \rho^{2n}}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta. \end{split}$$

In fact, strict inequality holds unless  $p(z) = \alpha + \beta z^n$  where  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ . Thus (11) is not necessarily true. We can however prove

THEOREM 3. If p(z) is a polynomial of degree n not having zeros in |z| < 1 then

$$\liminf_{\rho \to 1-} \frac{1}{(1-\rho)^2} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} | p(\rho e^{i\theta}) |^2 d\theta - \frac{1+\rho^{2n}}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} | p(e^{i\theta}) |^2 d\theta \right\} > -\infty.$$

Since  $(1 - \rho^{2n})/(1 - \rho)^2 \to \infty$  as  $\rho \to 1-$ , this result is an improvement on (10).

Proof of Theorem 3. Since  $|p(z)| \ge |q(z)| = |z^n \overline{p(1/\overline{z})}|$  for  $|z| = \rho < 1$  we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |q(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |p(\rho e^{i\theta})|^{2} d\theta \\ &= \pi \{ (|a_{0}|^{2} + |a_{n}|^{2})(1 + \rho^{2n}) + (|a_{1}|^{2} + |a_{n-1}|^{2})(\rho^{2} + \rho^{2n-2}) + \cdots \} \\ &= \pi [\{ (|a_{0}|^{2} + |a_{n}|^{2})(1 + \rho^{2n}) + (|a_{1}|^{2} + |a_{n-1}|^{2})(1 + \rho^{2n}) + \cdots \} \\ &- \{ (|a_{1}|^{2} + |a_{n-1}|^{2})(1 - \rho^{2})(1 - \rho^{2n-2}) + \cdots \\ &+ (|a_{m}|^{2} + |a_{n-m}|^{2})(1 - \rho^{2m})(1 - \rho^{2n-2m}) + \cdots \} ]. \end{split}$$

But  $(1 - \rho^{2m})(1 - \rho^{2n-2m})/(1 - \rho)^2 \rightarrow 2m(2n - 2m)$  as  $\rho \rightarrow 1-$ , and therefore the theorem follows.

We can also prove

THEOREM 4. If the geometric mean of the moduli of the zeros of p(z) is at least equal to 1, then

$$\liminf_{\rho \to (1/k)-} \frac{1}{1/k - \rho} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} | p(\rho e^{i\theta}) |^2 d\theta - \frac{1 + \rho^{2n}}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} | p(e^{i\theta}) |^2 d\theta \right\} > -\infty,$$

where  $k \ (>1)$  is a root of the equation

$$2k^{2n-2} = 1 + k^{2n}.$$

# 3. Inequalities for periodic entire functions of exponential type

Throughout this section let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$ , periodic with period  $2\pi$ . Since f(z) has the form [1, p. 109]

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_k e^{ikz}, \qquad n \leq \tau,$$

the following two theorems are immediate.

THEOREM A'.

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(x)|^2 dx \leq \tau^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

THEOREM B'. For all y

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x+iy)|^2 dx \leq e^{2\tau |y|} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

If f(z) is  $O(e^{\varepsilon |z|})$  on the positive imaginary axis for some  $\varepsilon$  less than 1, then f(z) has the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k e^{ikz}, \qquad n \leq \tau.$$

Hence Theorems C and 1 may be restated as follows. (We use  $h_f(\theta)$  to denote the indicator of f(z).)

THEOREM C'. If 
$$f(z) \neq 0$$
 for Im  $z > 0$ , and if  $h_f(\pi/2) = 0$ , then  
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f'(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{\tau^2}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

THEOREM 1'. If  $f(z) \neq 0$  for Im z > 0, if  $h_f(\pi/2) = 0$ , and if y < 0, then

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x+iy)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{2\tau |y|} + 1 \right) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

A result in a different direction is the following.

THEOREM 5. If f(z) is real on the real axis, then for any real y

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x+iy)|^2 dx \le \cosh 2\tau y \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Proof of Theorem 5. Clearly f(z) is of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} a_k e^{ikz}, \qquad n \leq \tau,$$

where  $a_{-k} = \bar{a}_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ . Consequently

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x+iy)|^2 dx = 2\pi \sum_{k=-n}^{n} |a_k|^2 e^{-2ky}$$
  
=  $2\pi |a_0|^2 + 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k|^2 (e^{-2ky} + e^{2ky})$   
 $\leq 2\pi |a_0|^2 + (2\cosh 2yn) 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k|^2$   
 $\leq (\cosh 2yn) 2\pi \sum_{k=-n}^{n} |a_k|^2$   
 $\leq \cosh 2yn \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx.$ 

## 4. Inequalities for entire functions of exponential type belonging to $L^2$ on the real axis

Throughout this section suppose f(z) is an entire function of exponential type  $\tau$  belonging to  $L^2$  on the real axis. In this section we give theorems for such a function analogous to the theorems of the preceding section. The following three theorems are analogues of Theorems A', B', and 5, respectively.

THEOREM A".

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(x)|^2 dx \leq \tau^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

THEOREM B". For all y

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+iy)|^2 dx \leq e^{2\tau |y|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

**THEOREM 5'**. If f(z) is real on the real axis, then for all real y

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+iy)|^2 dx \leq \cosh 2\tau y \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Theorem A" is due to Boas [1, p. 211], Theorem B" to Plancherel and Pólya [6], and Theorem 5' to Boas [2, p. 32].

We shall prove the following analogues of Theorems C' and 1'.

THEOREM 6. If 
$$f(z) \neq 0$$
 for Im  $z > 0$ , and if  $h_f(\pi/2) = 0$ , then  
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{\tau^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

THEOREM 7. If  $f(z) \neq 0$  for Im z > 0, if  $h_f(\pi/2) = 0$ , and if y < 0, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x + iy)|^2 dx \le \frac{1}{2} (e^{2\tau|y|} + 1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

Entire functions f(z) of exponential type, not vanishing for Im z > 0, and satisfying  $h_f(\pi/2) = 0$  were first studied by Boas [3]. Theorems 6 and 7 compare respectively with Theorems 2 and 1 of his paper.

Proof of Theorem 6. To prove Theorem 6 consider  $\omega(z) = e^{i\pi z} \overline{f(\overline{z})}$ , an entire function of exponential type  $\geq \tau$ . Since f(z) has no zeros for Im z > 0,  $h_f(\pi/2) = 0$ , and  $h_f(-\pi/2) = \tau$ , the function  $\omega(z)$  has no zeros for Im z < 0,  $h_{\omega}(-\pi/2) = \tau$ , and  $h_{\omega}(\pi/2) = 0$ . Thus  $\omega(z)$  belongs to the class P discussed

in [1, p. 129]. Since  $|f(x)| = |\omega(x)|$  for  $-\infty < x < \infty$ , it follows by a theorem of Levin [1, p. 226] that

(12) 
$$|f'(x)| \leq |\omega'(x)|$$

for  $-\infty < x < \infty$ .

Since f(z) belongs to  $L^2$  on the real axis, we have by the Paley-Wiener Theorem [5, pp. 499-501]

$$f(z) = \int_0^\tau e^{izt} \varphi(t) dt, \qquad \varphi \in L^2.$$

Now

$$\omega(x + iy) = e^{i(x+iy)\tau} \int_0^\tau e^{-i(x+iy)\tau} \overline{\varphi(t)} dt;$$

hence by (12)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\omega'(x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(x)|^2 dx$$
$$= \pi \int_0^{\tau} (\tau - t)^2 |\varphi(t)|^2 dt + \pi \int_0^{\tau} t^2 |\varphi(t)|^2 dt$$
$$\leq \tau^2 \pi \int_0^{\tau} |\varphi(t)|^2 dt = \frac{\tau^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx.$$

Proof of Theorem 7. To prove Theorem 7, consider the same function  $\omega(z)$ . The function  $g(z) = f(z)e^{-i\tau z/2}$  has no zeros for y > 0, and  $h_g(-\pi/2) = h_g(\pi/2) = \tau/2$ . By another theorem of Levin [1, p. 129] we have  $|g(z)| \leq |g(\bar{z})|$  for y < 0. Thus for y < 0,

$$|f(z)| \leq |e^{i\tau z/2}| |f(\bar{z})e^{-i\tau \bar{z}/2}|$$
$$= |e^{i\tau z/2}| |\overline{f(\bar{z})}e^{i\tau z/2}|$$
$$= |\overline{f(\bar{z})}e^{i\tau z}| = |\omega(z)|$$

It follows that for y < 0,

$$\begin{split} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+iy)|^2 \, dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\omega(x+iy)|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x+iy)|^2 \, dx \\ &= \pi \int_0^{\tau} e^{-2y(\tau-t)} |\varphi(t)|^2 \, dt + \pi \int_0^{\tau} e^{-2yt} |\varphi(t)|^2 \, dt \\ &\leq (e^{2\tau|y|} + 1)\pi \int_0^{\tau} |\varphi(t)|^2 \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{2\tau|y|} + 1 \right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 \, dx. \end{split}$$

150

#### References

- 1. R. P. BOAS, JR., Entire functions, New York, 1954.
- *Inequalities for functions of exponential type*, Math. Scand., vol. 4 (1956), pp. 29-32.
- 3. ——, Inequalities for asymmetric entire functions, Illinois J. Math., vol. 1 (1957), pp. 94–97.
- 4. N. G. DE BRUIJN, Inequalities concerning polynomials in the complex domain, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A, vol. 50 (1947), pp. 1265-1272.
- 5. B. YA. LEVIN, Raspredelenie korneš tselykh funktsiš, Moscow, 1956.
- M. PLANCHEREL AND G. PÓLYA, Fonctions entières et intégrales de Fourier multiples, Comment. Math. Helv., vol. 9 (1936–1937), pp. 224–248; vol. 10 (1937–1938), pp. 110–163.

IMPERIAL COLLEGE London, England uslim University Aligarh, India