

ON THE DENSITY OF SETS OF INTEGERS POSSESSING ADDITIVE BASES

BY

BASIL GORDON AND L. A. RUBEL

Let $K = \{k_0, k_1, k_2, \dots\}$ be an infinite set of positive integers with $k_0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots$. Let S be the set of all integers which can be expressed as the sum of distinct elements of K . It is convenient to regard 0 (the empty sum) as belonging to S . In the special case where every element of S has a unique representation as the sum of distinct elements of K , Wintner [2] has called S a π -set with basis K . For example, the set of all nonnegative integers forms a π -set whose basis consists of the powers of 2.

The relationship between a π -set and its basis can be expressed analytically by the formula

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{k_n}) \quad (|x| < 1),$$

where c_n is the characteristic function of S , i.e., $c_n = 1$ or 0 according as n is or is not in S .

Wintner in [2] investigated the question of when a π -set has a density, i.e., when

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (c_0 + c_1 + \dots + c_n)/n = \theta$$

exists. He proved that if

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n/k_n = \theta$$

exists, then S has density θ . In the present paper it is shown that (2) is necessary, as well as sufficient, for the existence of a density except possibly in the special case $\theta = 0$. Wintner's question of whether or not every π -set has a density can then easily be answered in the negative.

We remark that our methods apply to the more general case where the k_n are positive real numbers not assumed to be integers and where S is not assumed to be a π -set, provided that multiplicities are counted properly.

THEOREM. *Suppose (1) holds with $\theta > 0$. Then (2) follows.*

Proof. Any element $m \in S$ with $m < k_n$ can only involve k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} in its representation as a sum of basis elements. There are only 2^n possible sums that can be formed from k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{n-1} . Hence

$$c_0 + c_1 + \dots + c_{k_n} \leq 2^n + 1.$$

Dividing by k_n and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we find that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n/k_n \geq \theta$. Hence, for any $\theta' < \theta$, we have $k_n \leq 2^n/\theta' = \rho' 2^n$ for $n > n(\theta')$. Write, for

Received May 14, 1959.

$m > n(\theta')$,

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{k_n}) &= (1 + x^{k_m}) \prod_{n=0}^{n(\theta')} (1 + x^{k_n}) \prod_{n > n(\theta'), n \neq m} (1 + x^{k_n}) \\ &\geq (1 + x^{k_m}) \prod_{n=0}^{n(\theta')} (1 + x^{k_n}) \prod_{n > n(\theta'), n \neq m} (1 + x^{\rho' 2^n}) \\ &= \frac{1 + x^{k_m} \prod_{n=0}^{n(\theta')} (1 + x^{k_n})}{1 + x^{\rho' 2^m} \prod_{n=0}^{n(\theta')} (1 + x^{\rho' 2^n})} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{\rho' 2^n}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Euler's famous identity,

$$\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + y^{2^n}) = 1/(1 - y),$$

we get

$$(1 - x) \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + x^{k_n}) \geq \frac{1 - x}{1 - x^{\rho'}} \frac{1 + x^{k_m}}{1 + x^{\rho' 2^m}} \prod_{n=0}^{n(\theta')} \left(\frac{1 + x^{k_n}}{1 + x^{\rho' 2^n}} \right),$$

and finally,

$$(1 - x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \geq \theta' \frac{1 + x^{k_m}}{1 + x^{\rho' 2^m}} \prod_{n=0}^{n(\theta')} \left(\frac{1 + x^{k_n}}{1 + x^{\rho' 2^n}} \right).$$

If now there are infinitely many m for which $k^m < 2^m/\theta'' = \rho'' 2^m$ where $\theta'' > \theta$, i.e., $\rho'' < \rho = 1/\theta$, then for these values of m ,

$$(3) \quad (1 - x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \geq \theta' \frac{1 + x^{\rho'' 2^m}}{1 + x^{\rho' 2^m}} \prod_{n=0}^{n(\theta')} \left(\frac{1 + x^{k_n}}{1 + x^{\rho' 2^n}} \right).$$

As is well known [1, §7.5], (1) implies that the left-hand side of (3) tends to θ as $x \rightarrow 1$. By putting $x = x_m = 2^{-1/2^m}$ in (3) and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\theta \geq \theta' \frac{1 + (\frac{1}{2})^{\rho''}}{1 + (\frac{1}{2})^{\rho'}}.$$

Since $\theta' < \theta$ is arbitrary, and $\theta \neq 0$, we have

$$1 \geq \frac{1 + (\frac{1}{2})^{\rho''}}{1 + (\frac{1}{2})^{\rho}},$$

which is impossible for $\rho'' < \rho$. This completes the proof.

Whether or not the theorem is true without the hypothesis $\theta > 0$ is an open question.

We now turn to the construction of some π -sets, including some which do not have a density. Let $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{\tau-1}$ be positive integers with

$$k_0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{\tau-1}.$$

Suppose that the 2^τ sums which can be formed by adding these integers are all distinct, and denote them by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{2^\tau}$. Let M be an integer such that $\sigma_i \not\equiv \sigma_j \pmod{M}$ for $i \neq j$, and such that $k_0 M > k_{\tau-1}$. Then define

k_n for all n by the formula $k_n = M^q k_r$, where $n = q\tau + r$, and $0 \leq r < \tau$. It is easily verified that the resulting set $K = \{k_n\}$ is the basis of a π -set S .

For example, let $k_0 = 2, k_1 = 3$. Then $\sigma_1 = 0, \sigma_2 = 2, \sigma_3 = 3, \sigma_4 = 5$. The σ_i are incongruent (mod 4), and so M can be taken equal to 4. The resulting basis is $K = \{2, 3, 8, 12, 32, 48, \dots\}$, where in general $k_{2i} = 2 \cdot 4^i$ and $k_{2i+1} = 3 \cdot 4^i$. In this case

$$\frac{1}{2} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n/k_n < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n/k_n = \frac{2}{3}$$

and by our theorem, S cannot have a density unless it has density zero, since $\lim 2^n/k_n$ fails to exist. It is easy to prove that S does not have density zero, hence any density whatever, either directly or in the following manner. By a simple modification of the proof of our theorem, it can be proved that if

$$\theta_1 = \liminf 2^n/k_n \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_2 = \limsup 2^n/k_n,$$

then

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow 1-} (1 - x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \geq \theta_1 \frac{1 + r^{1/\theta_2}}{1 + r^{1/\theta_1}}$$

for any r in the open interval $(0, 1)$. If S had density zero, we would have $\lim (1 - x) \sum c_n x^n = 0$, which is impossible since $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\theta_2 = \frac{2}{3}$.

More generally, for the π -sets of this special type,

$$2^n/k_n = 2^{q\tau+r}/M^q k_r.$$

It is impossible that $M < 2^\tau$, since $\sigma_i \equiv \sigma_j \pmod{M}$ would then hold for some $i \neq j$ by Dirichlet's box principle. If $M > 2^\tau$, then $2^n/k_n \rightarrow 0$, and so S has density 0 by Wintner's theorem. If $M = 2^\tau$, then $2^n/k_n = 2^r/k_r$, and hence $2^n/k_n \rightarrow \theta$ if and only if $k_r = 2^r/\theta$ for $r = 0, 1, \dots, \tau - 1$. In this case S consists of all multiples of $1/\theta$, a particularly simple example. All other such π -sets with $M = 2^\tau$ fail to have a density.

REFERENCES

1. E. C. TITCHMARSH, *The theory of functions*, 2nd ed., London, Oxford University Press, 1939.
2. A. WINTNER, *On restricted partitions with a basis of uniqueness*, *Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina*, vol. 13 (1948), pp. 99-105.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA
 REDSTONE ARSENAL
 HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
 URBANA, ILLINOIS