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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove the "local $\varepsilon$-isomorphism" conjecture of Fukaya and Kato for a particular class of Galois modules, obtained by interpolating the twists of a fixed crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ by a family of characters of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$. This can be regarded as a local analogue of the Iwasawa main conjecture for abelian $p$-adic Lie extensions of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, extending earlier work of Kato for rank one modules and of Benois and Berger for the cyclotomic extension. We show that such an $\varepsilon$-isomorphism can be constructed using the 2 -variable version of the Perrin-Riou regulator map constructed by the first and third authors.
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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Aims

In this paper, we prove a special case of the "local $\varepsilon$-isomorphism conjecture" of Fukaya and Kato [12, Conjecture 3.4.3]. This conjecture asserts the existence of a canonical trivialization of the determinant of a cohomology complex associated to any representation $M$ of the Galois group $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ with coefficients in a $p$-adically complete local ring $R$. This trivialization is required to be compatible with a specific "standard" trivialization of the corresponding complex for representations with coefficients in finite extensions of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ obtained by specializing $M$ at ideals, or more generally at representations, of $R$. This standard trivialization contains information about the $\varepsilon$-factor of the corresponding Weil-Deligne representation, hence the terminology " $\varepsilon$-isomorphism."
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The main result of this paper is a proof of this conjecture for a specific class of modules $M$ : we consider the case where $M$ is obtained by tensoring a lattice in a crystalline Galois representation with an $R$-linear representation of the abelianization $\mathcal{G}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\text {ab }} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$. This specific instance of the local $\varepsilon$ isomorphism conjecture can be thought of as a "local Iwasawa main conjecture" for $T$ over the extension $\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ (cf. [24, Section 2]).

The key ingredient in the construction of the local $\varepsilon$-isomorphism is the two-variable regulator map introduced by the first and third authors in [17]. Essentially, the local $\varepsilon$-isomorphism is obtained by taking the determinant of the two-variable regulator and afterwards dividing out by certain correction factors determined purely by the Hodge-Tate weights of the Galois representation. The details of the construction are slightly tortuous, so we give an outline below.

In particular, our results generalize those of [2] (and contain independent proofs of those): via the usual functorial properties of determinants, our results imply the conjectures $C_{I W}\left(K\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right) / K, V\right)$ and $C_{E P}(F / K, V)$ of [2] for all finite subextensions $\mathbf{Q}_{p} \subseteq K \subseteq F \subseteq \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\text {ab }}$. However, our approach gives compatibilty with the standard $\varepsilon$-isomorphisms for twists by arbitrary unramified characters, not just those of finite order as in [2].

We note also that, since the first draft of this paper was written, Nakamura [18] has formulated a generalization of the Fukaya-Kato conjecture for $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ modules over the Robba ring and proved this conjecture in many cases. His conjecture is more general than the one considered here (since it applies also to nonétale ( $\varphi, \Gamma$ )-modules), but in the cases where both conjectures apply it asserts slightly less, as it predicts an equality of determinants over a ring in which $p$ is invertible.

### 1.2. Outline of the paper

In Sections 2.1-2.3 we fix notation, recall the formalism of determinants used in the statement of the conjecture, and recall some definitions and results concerning $\varepsilon$-factors of de Rham representations. In Section 2.4 we describe the "standard" $\varepsilon$-isomorphism, for representations with coefficients in a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, with which the general $\varepsilon$-isomorphism is required to be compatible. In Section 2.5 we give an alternative, more convenient description of this isomorphism in the cases that are relevant in the present work.

In Section 3 we recall the definition and properties of the cyclotomic regulator map and of the two-variable version defined in [17]. Some of the formulae we need for these maps are a little stronger than those that can be found in the literature, so we give the proofs of these formulae in Appendix A.

In Section 4 we construct our determinant isomorphism for the "universal" case when $R$ is the Iwasawa algebra of an abelian $p$-adic Lie quotient $G$ of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$. We begin (in Section 4.1) by constructing an isomorphism of determinants over a rather enormous ring (the total ring of quotients of the distribution algebra of $G$ ); in Section 4.2 we show that this descends to the Iwasawa algebra (but only after inverting $p$ ). The final descent to an isomorphism over $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$ is accomplished in

Section 4.3. We then obtain isomorphisms for more general $R$ by (derived) base change.

Section 5 deals with the compatibility of our determinant isomorphism over $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$ with the "standard" $\varepsilon$-isomorphisms for $V$ and all of its twists by de Rham characters of $G$. We divide up the set of characters into classes depending on $V$, which we refer to as good, somewhat bad, and extremely bad; we deal with each of these classes separately. The first two cases can be handled by direct computation using the properties of the one-variable and two-variable regulator maps; for the extremely bad characters, we use induction on the dimension and the results of the second author in the case of one-dimensional representations (cf. [24]).

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Notation

Let $p$ be an odd prime. For $H$ a $p$-adic analytic group, and $L$ a complete discretely valued extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$, we write $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(H)$ and $\Lambda_{L}(H)=$ $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(H)$ for the Iwasawa algebras of $H$ with $\mathcal{O}$ - and $L$-coefficients, and $\mathcal{H}_{L}(H)$ for the algebra of $L$-valued locally analytic distributions on $H$, which is the completion of $\Lambda_{L}(H)$ in a certain Fréchet topology (cf. [23]). We shall only use these constructions in cases where $H$ is abelian and $p$-torsion-free, in which case all of these algebras are reduced commutative semilocal rings and can be interpreted as algebras of functions on the $p$-adic analytic space parameterizing characters of $H$.

We shall also need the notation $\mathcal{K}_{L}(H)$, signifying the total ring of quotients of $\mathcal{H}_{L}(H)$, which is a finite direct product of fields.

Let $\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}=\mathbf{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right)$ and $\Gamma=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$, and let $\chi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{\times}$be the cyclotomic character. For $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, we define the element $\ell_{j}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}(\Gamma)$ by

$$
\ell_{j}=\frac{\log (\gamma)}{\log \chi(\gamma)}-j
$$

for any nontorsion element $\gamma \in \Gamma$. (The element $\ell_{j}$ is independent of this choice.) We also fix a norm-compatible system of $p$-power roots of unity $\xi=\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1} \in$ $\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}$.

If $\mu \in \mathcal{H}_{L}(\Gamma)$, and $\eta$ is a character of $\Gamma$, we define $\mu^{\prime}(\eta)$ by

$$
\mu^{\prime}(\eta)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu\left(\eta\langle\chi\rangle^{s}\right)-\mu(\eta)}{s},
$$

where $\langle\cdot\rangle$ denotes the projection $\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{\times} \rightarrow 1+p \mathbf{Z}_{p}$. This limit exists for all $\mu$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{\prime}(\eta)=\int_{\Gamma} \eta(\tau) \log \chi(\tau) \mathrm{d} \mu(\tau) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mu$ is not a zero divisor, we may define $\mu^{*}(\eta)$ to be the value of the lowest nonvanishing derivative of $\mu$ at $\tau$.

We write $\gamma_{-1}$ for the unique element of $\Gamma$ such that $\chi\left(\gamma_{-1}\right)=-1$.

### 2.2. K-theory and determinants

Let $R$ be a ring. We define $K_{0}(R)$ and $K_{1}(R)$ in the usual way, as in [12, Section 1.1]. If $R$ is commutative, then there is a canonical surjective map $K_{1}(R) \rightarrow R^{\times}$, and the kernel of this is the special Whitehead group $S K_{1}(R)$.

The following statements are well known.

PROPOSITION 2.2.1
Let $L$ be a complete discretely valued extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ and let $\mathcal{O}$ be its ring of integers. Then for any p-torsion-free abelian p-adic Lie group $H$, the Iwasawa algebras $\Lambda_{L}(H)$ and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(H)$ have trivial $S K_{1}$.

REMARK 2.2.2
We do not know whether, in the above setting, the distribution algebra $\mathcal{H}_{L}(H)$ also has trivial $S K_{1}$. If this were known, it would allow certain arguments below to be shortened somewhat.

For $R$ a ring, let $\mathrm{Ch}(R)_{\text {perf }}$ denote the category of perfect complexes of $R$-modules (chain complexes quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finitely generated projective $R$-modules). We denote by $\operatorname{Det}(R)$ the category denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{R}$ in [12], which is equivalent to the universal Picard category for the category of finitely generated projective $R$-modules.

We denote by Det the canonical functor $\mathrm{Ch}(R)_{\text {perf }} \rightarrow \underline{\operatorname{Det}}(R)$. This factors through the derived category $D(R)_{\text {perf }}$ of perfect complexes.

### 2.3. Epsilon factors

We recall the definition of $\varepsilon$-factors associated to representations of the Weil group of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, for which the canonical reference is [9]. These are constants

$$
\varepsilon_{E}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, D, \psi, \mathrm{~d} x\right) \in E^{\times}
$$

where $E$ is a field of characteristic zero containing $\mu_{p^{\infty}}, \psi$ is a locally constant $E$ valued character of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathrm{~d} x$ is a Haar measure on $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and $D$ is a finite-dimensional $E$-linear representation of the Weil group $W\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ which is locally constant (i.e., the image of the inertia group $I\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ is finite).

Following [12, Section 3.2], we shall restrict to the case when $\mathrm{d} x$ is the usual Haar giving measure 1 to $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$, and $\psi$ has kernel equal to $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$; the data of such a character $\psi$ is equivalent to the data of a compatible system of $p$-power roots of unity $\xi=\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$, via the map sending $\psi$ to $\left(\psi\left(p^{-n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 1}$. Since $\mathrm{d} x$ and $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ are fixed, and $\psi$ is determined by $\xi$, we shall drop them from the notation and write the $\varepsilon$-factor as $\varepsilon_{E}(D, \xi)$.

REMARK 2.3.1
Note that our conventions here, which were chosen for compatibility with [12], differ slightly from the conventions of [17], which were chosen for compatibility
with [7]: in [17] we defined $\varepsilon$-factors using the additive character mapping $p^{-n}$ to $\xi_{n}^{-1}$, which is more convenient for global purposes.

We are interested in the case when $D=\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(W)$ for a de Rham representation $W$ of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$, with the linearized action of the Weil group given as in [11]. If $W$ is an $L$-linear representation of dimension $d$, for $L$ a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, then $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(W)$ is naturally a free module of rank $d$ over $\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} L$, and we may obtain the necessary roots of unity by extending scalars to $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} L$; but this is, of course, not a field but rather a finite product of fields indexed by embeddings $f: L \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$. Following [12, Section 3.3.4], we define

$$
\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(W), \xi\right)=\left(\varepsilon_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} \otimes_{\left(L \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}, f\right)} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(W), \xi\right)\right)_{f} \in\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} L\right)^{\times}=\prod_{f} \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}^{\times}
$$

## PROPOSITION 2.3.2

The functor $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}$ has the following properties:

- it commutes with tensor products;
- if $V$ is crystalline, then the linearized action of $W_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V) \cong \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$ is unramified, and the action of arithmetic Frobenius $\sigma \in W_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} / I_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ coincides on $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$ with the inverse of the crystalline Frobenius;
- if $\eta$ is finitely ramified, then $W_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ acts on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(\eta)$ via the character $\eta$;
- if $\eta=\chi$, then $W_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ acts on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(\eta)$ via the unramified character mapping arithmetic Frobenius to $p$.

Proof
The compatibility of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}$ with tensor products follows from the corresponding statement for $\mathbf{D}_{\text {st }}$, which is standard. The remaining statements follow immediately from the definition of the linearized action of the Weil group on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}$.

## PROPOSITION 2.3.3

Let $\eta$ be a de Rham character of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ with values in $L$. Write $\eta=\eta_{0} \eta_{1} \chi^{j}$ for some finite-order character $\eta_{0}$ of $\Gamma$ of conductor $n$, some unramified character $\eta_{1}$, and some $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(L(\eta)), \xi\right)=\eta_{1}(\sigma)^{-n} p^{-n j} \tau\left(\eta_{0}, \xi\right)
$$

where $\sigma$ denotes the arithmetic Frobenius of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ and the Gauss sum $\tau\left(\eta_{0}, \xi\right)$ is defined by

$$
\tau\left(\eta_{0}, \xi\right):=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma / \Gamma_{n}} \eta_{0}(\sigma)^{-1} \xi_{n}^{\sigma}
$$

Proof
From Proposition 2.3.2, the action of $W_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(L(\eta))$ is given by the character of $W_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ whose restriction to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$ coincides with $\eta_{0}$, and which takes the value $p^{j} \eta_{1}(\sigma)$ on the arithmetic Frobenius element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right) / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\left(\mu_{p \infty}\right)\right)$.

Thus we may apply property (7) of local $\varepsilon$-factors in [12, Section 3.2.2] to see that ${ }^{\dagger}$

$$
\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(L(\eta)), \xi\right)=\eta_{1}(\sigma)^{-n} p^{-n j} \tau\left(\eta_{0}, \xi\right)=\eta_{1}(\sigma)^{-n} p^{-n j} \varepsilon_{L}\left(L\left(\eta_{0}\right), \xi\right)
$$

We shall write $\varepsilon_{L}(\eta, \xi)$ for $\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(L(\eta), \xi)\right)$; this should not cause confusion, since the quantity $\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(L(\eta), \xi)\right)$ agrees with $\varepsilon_{L}(L(\eta), \xi)$ whenever the latter is defined.

## PROPOSITION 2.3.4

Let $V$ be a d-dimensional L-linear crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$, and let $\eta$ be a de Rham character of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ with values in L. Write $\eta=\eta_{0} \eta_{1} \chi^{j}$ as in Proposition 2.3.3. Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\text {pst }}(V(\eta)), \xi\right)=\varepsilon_{L}(\eta, \xi)^{d} \cdot \operatorname{det}_{L}\left(\varphi: \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)^{n}
$$

Proof
Using the property (5) of $\varepsilon$-factors stated in [12, Section 3.2.2], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{L} & \left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V(\eta)), \xi\right) \\
& =\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}} \otimes L} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(L(\eta)), \xi\right) \\
& =\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(L(\eta)), \xi\right)^{d} \cdot \operatorname{det}_{\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}} \otimes L\right)}\left(\sigma^{-1}: \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V)\right)^{n} \\
& =\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(L(\eta)), \xi\right)^{d} \cdot \operatorname{det}_{L}\left(\varphi: \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

since the arithmetic Frobenius $\sigma$ on $\mathbf{D}_{\text {pst }}(V)$ acts as the inverse of the crystalline Frobenius $\varphi$ on $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$.

### 2.4. Epsilon isomorphisms for de Rham representations

Let $V$ be a de Rham representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ with coefficients in a finite extension $L / \mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Let $\widetilde{L}=L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \widehat{\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$, and let $\xi=\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a compatible system of $p$-power roots of unity as before.

Then Fukaya and Kato have shown in [12, Section 3.3] how to construct a canonical isomorphism

$$
\varepsilon_{L, \xi}(V): \operatorname{Det}_{\tilde{L}}(0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{L} \otimes_{L}\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V)\right\} .
$$

This isomorphism is defined as a product of three terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{L, \xi}(V)=\Gamma_{L}(V) \cdot \varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V) \cdot \theta_{L}(V) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta_{L}(V): \operatorname{Det}_{L}(0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\right), \\
\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V): \widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\cong} \widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V), \\
\Gamma_{L}(V) \in \mathbf{Q}^{\times} .
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

As it will be important for the remainder of the present paper, let us recall in detail the definitions of these terms.

Firstly, we define $\Gamma_{L}(V)$, which depends only on the Hodge-Tate weights of $V$. For $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ let

$$
n(r)=\operatorname{dim}_{L} \operatorname{gr}^{-r} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V),
$$

so $n_{r}$ is the multiplicity of $r$ as a Hodge-Tate weight ${ }^{\dagger}$ of $V$. We define

$$
\Gamma^{*}(r)= \begin{cases}(r-1)! & \text { if } r>0 \\ \frac{(-1)^{r}}{(-r)!} & \text { if } r \leq 0,\end{cases}
$$

the leading coefficient of the Taylor series of $\Gamma(s)$ at $s=r$. Then

$$
\Gamma_{L}(V)=\prod_{r \in \mathbf{Z}} \Gamma^{*}(r)^{-n(r)}
$$

Secondly, we define $\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)$. Let $\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V), \xi\right) \in \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} L$ be the $\varepsilon$ factor of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V)$, as defined in Section 2.3. Then $\varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V), \xi\right)$ clearly lies in $\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} L$, and it transforms under $\Gamma$ via

$$
\sigma \cdot \varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V), \xi\right)=\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V), \xi^{\sigma}\right)=\eta(\widetilde{\sigma}) \varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V), \xi\right),
$$

where $\eta$ is the finitely ramified character by which $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{\text {ab }}$ acts on $\operatorname{det}(V)(-w)$, where $w=\sum n_{i}$, and $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is the unique lifting of $\sigma$ to $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right) / \mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$.

If we let $t$ denote the element $\log ([\xi])$ of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$, then multiplying by $t^{w} \varepsilon_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{pst}}(V), \xi\right)$ defines an isomorphism $\widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V)$ (regarding both as submodules of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{p} \operatorname{Det}(V)$ ), and we take this to be the definition of $\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)$.

Thirdly, we define $\theta_{L}(V)$. The general definition is rather complicated. Let $C\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ be the complex of continuous cochains with values in $V$ (so $R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ is the image of $C\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ in the derived category). Then $C_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ is a certain subcomplex of $C\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ which is nonzero only in degrees 0 and 1 , and whose cohomology in degree 0 is $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ and in degree 1 is $H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{L} C_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{L} H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)\right\} \cdot\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{L} H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)\right\}^{-1}
$$

The fundamental exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \xrightarrow{(1-\varphi, 1)} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \oplus t(V) \rightarrow H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]gives rise to a quasi-isomorphism
$$
C_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \cong\left[\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \xrightarrow{(1-\varphi, 1)} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \oplus t(V)\right]
$$
where $t(V)$, the tangent space of $V$, is defined as $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) / \mathrm{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$. This gives an isomorphism of determinants
$$
\eta\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right): \operatorname{Det}_{L}(0) \rightarrow\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{L} C_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)\right\} \cdot\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{L} t(V)\right\} .
$$

We also have a corresponding isomorphism $\eta\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)$. Furthermore, there is an isomorphism

$$
\Psi_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right): C_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \cong C\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right) /\left(C_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)\right)^{*}[-2]
$$

On homology groups this says that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)^{*} & =H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right) \\
H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)^{*} & =\frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, there is a canonical exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow t\left(V^{*}(1)\right)^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) \longrightarrow t(V) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

arising from the compatibility of the functor $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(-)$ with tensor products and the canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(L(1)) \cong L$. Putting all of these together, we have an isomorphism

$$
\theta_{L}(V): \operatorname{Det}_{L}(0) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Det}_{L} R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)
$$

defined by

$$
\eta\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \cdot\left\{\eta\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}\right\}^{-1} \cdot\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{L} \Psi_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}\right\}^{-1}
$$

This completes the definition of the Fukaya-Kato $\varepsilon$-isomorphism $\varepsilon_{L, \xi}(V)$ for a de Rham representation $V$.

For future reference, we observe that the objects defined in this section are well behaved in short exact sequences.

LEMMA 2.4.1
Suppose that we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow V^{\prime} \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

of crystalline representations of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ with coefficients in a finite extension $L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$. Then we have the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V) & =\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right), \\
\theta_{L}(V) & =\theta_{L}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \cdot \theta_{L}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{L}(V)=\Gamma_{L}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \cdot \Gamma_{L}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

## Proof

The equalities $\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)=\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{L}(V)=\Gamma_{L}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \cdot \Gamma_{L}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are true by construction. Recall that $\theta_{L}(V)$ is defined as

$$
\eta\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \cdot\left\{\eta\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}\right\}^{-1} \cdot\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{L} \Psi_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}\right\}^{-1}
$$

It follows from tedious diagram chasing that each of the factors is multiplicative in short exact sequences, which implies the result for $\theta_{L}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$.

## REMARK 2.4.2

One can check that the above statement holds more generally for any short exact sequence of de Rham representations, but we shall not use this here.

As a corollary, we obtain the following result.

## PROPOSITION 2.4.3

Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 2.4.1, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{L, \xi}(V)=\varepsilon_{L, \xi}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{L, \xi}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Proof
This is immediate from Lemma 2.4.1 and (2).

### 2.5. Two special cases

In this section we will give a different description of the isomorphism $\theta_{L}(V)$ when $V$ is either purely crystalline or purely noncrystalline, which are the only two cases we shall need to consider.

Recall that the dual exponential map

$$
\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}: H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)
$$

is defined by the commutativity of the following diagram:


The pairings $(,)_{V}$ and $[,]_{V}$ induce isomorphisms

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{V}: H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}, \quad h \mapsto(h,-)_{V}, \\
\psi_{V, / f}: H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) / H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \rightarrow H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}, \quad[h]_{\mapsto}(h,-)_{V}, \\
\psi_{V, f}: H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right) / H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right) \rightarrow H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)^{*}, \quad[h]_{\mapsto}(-, h)_{V}, \\
\psi_{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)}: \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}, \quad d \mapsto[d,-]_{V},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)}: \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) \rightarrow t\left(V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}, \quad d \mapsto[d,-]_{V}
$$

Hence, $\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}$ is the composite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)} \stackrel{\psi_{V, / f}}{\longrightarrow} H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*} \xrightarrow{\left(\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}\right)^{*}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}\left(V^{*}(1)\right)^{*} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\xrightarrow{\psi_{\mathrm{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)}^{-1}} \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)
$$

## PROPOSITION 2.5.1

If $V$ is a de Rham representation such that $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)=\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}\left(V^{*}(1)\right)=0$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=0 \\
& H_{e}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=H_{g}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the morphism $\theta_{L}(V)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\log _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}: H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)}{\operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(-\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}: \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof

Since the complex $C_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ is reduced to $H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)[1]$, the isomorphism $\eta\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right.$, $V)$ is the map induced by $\log _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}$. Similarly, $\eta\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*} \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L} \Psi_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}$ is induced by the map

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)}^{-1} \circ\left(\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}\right)^{*} \circ \psi_{V^{*}(1), f}=-\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*},
$$

where the last equality follows from comparison with (6) and taking into account that $\psi_{V^{*}(1), f}=-\psi_{V, / f}$ by the skew symmetry of the cup product.

## PROPOSITION 2.5.2

If $V$ is a crystalline representation such that $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)^{\varphi=1}=\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)^{\varphi=p^{-1}}=$ 0 , then we again have $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=0, H_{e}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=$ $H_{g}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$, and the morphism $\theta_{L}(V)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left((1-\varphi)\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1}: \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\log _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}: H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{\operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(-\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}: \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof

Since $(1-\varphi)$ is invertible on $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$, there is a natural morphism of complexes between $C_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ and the corresponding complex with $(1-\varphi, 1)$ replaced by $(1-\varphi, 0)$, which is a chain homotopy and hence induces an isomorphism on determinants; and similarly for $C_{f}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)$. The proof now concludes via the same argument as in Proposition 2.5.1.

To handle the bad cases when $V$ is crystalline but the hypotheses of Proposition 2.5.2 are not satisfied, it will be convenient to introduce a slight modification of the exponential and dual-exponential maps.

## DEFINITION 2.5.3

For $V$ a crystalline representation, let

$$
\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}: \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{(1-\varphi) \mathrm{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)} \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)
$$

be the map obtained by restricting the boundary map of the fundamental exact sequence (3) to the summand $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \oplus t(V)$, while we write $\widetilde{\log }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}$ for its inverse.

REMARK 2.5.4
The map

$$
\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V} \oplus \exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}: \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \oplus t(V) \longrightarrow H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)
$$

(which is just the boundary map of (3)) is the map denoted by $\exp _{V, f}$ in [22, p. 231].

It is clear that the kernel of $\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}$ is exactly the subspace $(1-\varphi) \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V} \circ(1-\varphi)=-\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, $\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}$ may be nontrivial even when $\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}$ is the zero map, as the following example shows.

PROPOSITION 2.5.5
If $V=L$ is the trivial representation, then for any $x \in V=\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V), \widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}(x)$ is the element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}, L\right)$ which is trivial on inertia and maps the arithmetic Frobenius to $-x$.

## Proof

It suffices to assume $x=1$. By Hensel's lemma there exists $y \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}=W\left(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_{p}\right)$ such that $(1-\varphi) y=1$, where $\varphi$ is the arithmetic Frobenius. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\left(\varphi, G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right)$ equivariantly a submodule of $\mathbf{B}_{\text {cris }}^{+}$, the class $\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}(x)$ is given by $\sigma \mapsto(\sigma-1) y$, which is clearly unramified and maps the arithmetic Frobenius to -1 .

We define

$$
\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}: \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)} \xlongequal{\cong}\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)
$$

to be the transpose of $\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}$, by the analogue of diagram (5). By construction, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}=-\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right) \widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)$ is the transpose of $(1-\varphi)$.

DEFINITION 2.5.6
We define the following subspaces of $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ :

- The space $H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ is defined as

$$
\left\{x \in H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right): \widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}(x) \in \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)^{\varphi=1}\right\} .
$$

By construction, this contains the kernel of $\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}$, which is $H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$.

- The space $H_{b}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ is defined as

$$
\left\{x \in H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right): \widetilde{\log }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}(x) \in \frac{\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right) \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)+(1-\varphi) \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{(1-\varphi) \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}\right\} .
$$

We now note that $\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}$ defines an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)} & \cong \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)^{\varphi=1} \cap\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \\
& =\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)^{\varphi=1} \cap \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \\
& =H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand $\widetilde{\log }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}$ gives an isomorphism

$$
\frac{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{b}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)} \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right) \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)+(1-\varphi) \mathrm{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}
$$

which Tate duality identifies with $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}=H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$.
We therefore have the following isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -(1-\varphi) \widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}: \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}  \tag{9a}\\
& \xrightarrow{\cong}(1-\varphi)\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}: \frac{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)} \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \tag{9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\log }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}: \frac{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{b}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)} \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \tag{9c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{\log }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}: H_{b}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \\
& \xlongequal{\cong} \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1}(1-\varphi) \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)} . \tag{9~d}
\end{align*}
$$

As promised above, we can use the isomorphisms (9a)-(9d) to give a simpler expression for $\theta_{L}(V)$.

THEOREM 2.5.7
The isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{L}(0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Det}_{L} R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)
$$

defined by composing the determinants of (9a)-(9d) coincides with the isomorphism $\theta_{L}(V)$ defined above up to the factor $(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}_{L}(V)}$. In particular, if $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}\right.$, $V)=H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=0$, that is, $H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=H_{b}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)=H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$, then $\theta_{L}(V)$ coincides with the isomorphism defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Det} {\left[(1-\varphi) \widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}: \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}\right.} \\
&\left.\xrightarrow{\cong}(1-\varphi)\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right] \\
& \cdot \operatorname{Det}\left[-\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{\log }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}: H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)\right. \\
&\left.\xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1}(1-\varphi) \mathrm{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

REMARK 2.5.8
Note that this result extends Proposition 2.5.2, because of equations (7) and (8).

As the proof of this theorem requires some rather elaborate diagram chasing, we shall not give it here but relegate it to Appendix B below.

## 3. Regulator maps

### 3.1. The cyclotomic regulator map

Let $V$ be an $L$-linear $p$-adic representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$. We define the Iwasawa cohomology

$$
H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{i}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)=L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \varliminf_{\varliminf_{n}} H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, n}, T\right),
$$

where $T$ is any $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-stable $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $V$. The group $H_{\mathrm{IW}}^{i}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)$-module, which is zero if $i \notin\{1,2\}$, is torsion if $i=2$, and has rank $d=\operatorname{dim}_{L}(V)$ if $i=1$.

For any continuous character $\eta$ of $\Gamma$ with values in $L$, we write $\pi_{\eta}: \Lambda_{L}(\Gamma) \rightarrow L$ for the $L$-algebra homomorphism which sends $g \in \Gamma$ to $\eta(g)$, and similarly for
$\mathcal{H}_{L}(\Gamma)$. Then we have the projection map

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{\eta}: H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right) \longrightarrow L \otimes_{\Lambda(\Gamma), \pi_{\eta}} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right) \longrightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)
$$

arising from the inverse limit of the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequences associated to the extension $\mathbf{Q}_{p, n} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ for each $n$. We also write $x_{\eta}$ for the image of $x \in H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ under $\mathrm{pr}_{\eta}$.

We now suppose that $V$ is a crystalline representation, with all Hodge-Tate weights $\geq 0$. We shall write $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{0}$ for the submodule of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$ whose image under the Fontaine isomorphism

$$
H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{D}(V)^{\psi=1}
$$

is contained in the Wach module $\mathbf{N}(V) \subset \mathbf{D}(V)$. By the results of [3, Appendix A], if $V$ has all Hodge-Tate weights $\geq 0$, then the quotient $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right) / H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}\right.$, $V)_{0}$ is identified with

$$
\frac{\left(\pi^{-1} \mathbf{N}(V)\right)^{\psi=1}}{\mathbf{N}(V)^{\psi=1}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)^{\varphi=1}(-1),
$$

and in particular if $V$ has no quotient isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, then $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{0}=$ $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$.

THEOREM 3.1.1 (PERRIN-RIOU, BERGER)
Let $V$ be a crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ with all Hodge-Tate weights $\geq 0$. Then there is a homomomorphism of $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)$-modules

$$
\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}: H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{L}(G) \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)
$$

whose values at de Rham characters $\eta$ are given by the following formulae. Let $W=V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)$, and let $\eta=\chi^{j} \eta_{0}$ with $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\eta_{0}$ a finite-order character of conductor $n$.
(1) If $\eta_{0}$ is nontrivial, with conductor $n \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)(\eta) \\
& \quad=\Gamma^{*}(1+j) \varepsilon_{L}\left(\eta^{-1},-\xi\right) \varphi^{n} \begin{cases}\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W^{*}(1)}^{*}\left(x_{\eta}\right) \otimes t^{-j} e_{j} & \text { if } j \geq 0, \\
\log _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W}\left(x_{\eta}\right) \otimes t^{-j} e_{j} & \text { if } j \leq-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) If $\eta_{0}$ is trivial, so $\eta=\chi^{j}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-p^{-1-j} \varphi^{-1}\right) \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)(\eta) \\
& \quad=\Gamma^{*}(1+j)\left(1-p^{j} \varphi\right) \begin{cases}\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W^{*}(1)}^{*}\left(x_{\eta}\right) \otimes t^{-j} e_{j} & \text { if } j \geq 0, \\
\log _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W}\left(x_{\eta}\right) \otimes t^{-j} e_{j} & \text { if } j \leq-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above theorem $e_{j}$ denotes the basis of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(j)$ given by $\left[\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}\right]^{\otimes j}$, and $t$ denotes the element $\log ([\xi])$ of $\mathbf{B}_{\text {cris }}$. (Thus both $t$ and $e_{j}$ depend on the choice of $\xi$, but $t^{-j} e_{j} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}(j)\right)$ does not.)

## Proof

This is well known; for a proof of the special value formulae in this form see, for example [17, Appendix B].

The presence of the factors $\left(1-p^{j} \varphi\right)$ and $\left(1-p^{-1-j} \varphi^{-1}\right)$ is awkward for our present purposes, since they may fail to be invertible. We will therefore use the following strengthened version of the formulae of Theorem 3.1.1, using the map $\widetilde{\exp }^{*}$ introduced in Section 2.5 above.

THEOREM 3.1.2
For any $j \geq 0$ we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)\left(\chi^{j}\right)=-\Gamma^{*}(1+j)\left(1-p^{j} \varphi\right)\left[\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1+j)}^{*}\left(x_{\chi^{j}}\right) \otimes t^{-j} e_{j}\right] .
$$

Proof
See Theorem A.2.3 in the appendix.
From the above results we see that if $\eta$ has Hodge-Tate weight $\geq 0$, then $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)(\eta)=0$ if and only if $x_{\eta} \in H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)$ when $\eta$ is noncrystalline, and if and only if $x_{\eta} \in H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)$ when $\eta$ is crystalline. In this case we have a formula for the derivative of $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)$ at $\eta$.

THEOREM 3.1.3
Suppose that $x \in H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{0}$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{V}^{\Gamma}(x)(\eta)=0$, where $\eta$ has Hodge-Tate weight $j \geq 0$ and conductor $n$.
(1) If $n \geq 1$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{V}^{\Gamma}(x)^{\prime}(\eta)= & \Gamma^{*}(1+j) \varepsilon_{L}\left(\eta^{-1},-\xi\right) \varphi^{n}\left[\log _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)}\left(x_{\eta}\right) \otimes t^{-j} e_{j}\right] \\
& \left(\bmod \varphi^{n} \operatorname{Fil}^{-j} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) If $n=0$, so $\eta=\chi^{j}$, and $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(-j)\right)=0$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)^{\prime}(\eta)= & -\Gamma^{*}(1+j)\left(1-p^{-1-j} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left[\widetilde{\log }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(-j)}\left(x_{\eta}\right) \otimes t^{-j} e_{j}\right] \\
& \left(\bmod \left(1-p^{-1-j} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left(1-p^{j} \varphi\right) \operatorname{Fil}^{-j} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note also the following twist-compatibility property.

## PROPOSITION 3.1.4

The regulator maps for $V$ and $V(1)$ are related by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{V(1), \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(x \otimes e_{1}\right)=\ell_{0} \cdot\left(\mathrm{Tw}_{\chi^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)\right) \otimes t^{-1} e_{1}\right) .
$$

Proof
Recall that the regulator is defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(y)=\mathfrak{M}^{-1}((1-\varphi) y),
$$

where $\mathfrak{M}$ is the Mellin transform $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{B}_{\text {rig }, \mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+}\right)^{\psi=0}$, and

$$
y \in\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{rig}, \mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)^{\psi=1} \cong H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{0}
$$

We have the identity

$$
\ell_{0}(f)=t \partial(f)
$$

for $f \in \mathbf{B}_{\text {rig }, \mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+}$, where $\partial$ is the differential operator $(1+\pi) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} \pi}$. Consequently we have

$$
x \otimes e_{1}=\ell_{0}\left(\partial^{-1} x\right) \otimes t^{-1} e_{1}
$$

for any $x \in\left(\mathbf{B}_{\text {rig }, \mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)^{\psi=0}$. But $\partial$ corresponds under $\mathfrak{M}$ to $\mathrm{Tw}_{\chi}$, so applying $\mathfrak{M}^{-1}$ to both sides of the above we have

$$
\mathfrak{M}^{-1}\left(x \otimes e_{1}\right)=\ell_{0} \operatorname{Tw}_{\chi^{-1}}\left(\mathfrak{M}^{-1}(x)\right) \otimes t^{-1} e_{1} .
$$

Letting $x=(1-\varphi) y$ for $y \in\left(\mathbf{B}_{\text {rig }, \mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)^{\psi=1}$ gives the claimed formula.

REMARK 3.1.5
One can also see this twist compatibility as a consequence of the evaluation formulae above, since the two sides of Proposition 3.1.4 must agree under evaluation at $\chi^{j}$ for all but finitely many $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ by Theorem 3.1.1.

### 3.2. The matrix of the cyclotomic regulator

We now state a formula for the matrix of the cyclotomic regulator map $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}$, which will be one of the main tools we use to attack the Fukaya-Kato conjecture. We shall first define an element $\ell(V) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}(\Gamma)$, depending only on the HodgeTate weights of $V$.

DEFINITION 3.2.1
(1) For $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, define the element $\mu_{n} \in \operatorname{Frac} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}(\Gamma)$ by

$$
\mu_{n}= \begin{cases}\ell_{0} \cdots \ell_{n-1} & \text { if } n \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text { if } n=0 \\ \left(\ell_{-1} \cdots \ell_{n}\right)^{-1} & \text { if } n \leq-1\end{cases}
$$

(2) For $V$ a Hodge-Tate representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$, with Hodge-Tate weights $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{d}$, let

$$
\ell(V)=\prod_{i=1}^{d} \mu_{n_{i}}
$$

Our goal is the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.2.2
Let $V$ be any d-dimensional crystalline L-linear representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$. Let $y_{1}$,
$\ldots, y_{d} \in H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$ be such that the quotient

$$
Q=\frac{H_{\mathrm{IW}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)}{\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right\rangle_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)}}
$$

is $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)$-torsion. Then for any L-basis $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)^{\vee}$, the determinant of the matrix with $(i, j)$-entry $\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(y_{i}\right), v_{j}\right\rangle$ is equal to

$$
\frac{\ell(V) f_{Q}}{f_{H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)}} \quad\left(\bmod \mathcal{H}_{L}(\Gamma)^{\times}\right)
$$

where $f_{Q} \in \Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)$ is any characteristic element of the torsion $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)$-module $Q$, and similarly for $f_{H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)}$.

This theorem has a slightly tortous history: a conditional proof was given by Perrin-Riou in [21] modulo her local reciprocity conjecture $\operatorname{Rec}(V)$, which was subsequently proved, independently, by Colmez [8] and Benois [1].

## REMARK 3.2.3

(i) In [21] the theorem is formulated not in terms of the regulator map $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}$ but rather in terms of Perrin-Riou's exponential map $\Omega_{V, h, \xi}$, for a suitable integer $h$ (see Appendix A for the definition of the latter). Since the regulator and the exponential are related by an identity (equation (11) in Appendix A) the above formulation is equivalent to Perrin-Riou's.
(ii) Under the simplifying hypothesis that no eigenvalue of $\varphi$ on $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$ is a power of $p$, which forces $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)=H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\text {tors }}=0$, a refinement of this result is given by [16, Theorem D ], which determines the elementary divisors of the matrix of $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}$.

### 3.3. The two-variable regulator map

We now recall the main result from [17]. Let $F$ be any finite unramified extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and let $F_{\infty}$ be the unramified $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extension of $F$. We set $K_{\infty}=F_{\infty}\left(\mu_{p} \infty\right)$, $U=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} / \mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}\right)$, and $G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$. We regard $\Gamma$ as a subgroup of $G$ by identifying it with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} / F_{\infty}\right)$, so we have $G=U \times \Gamma$.

Let $\widetilde{L}=L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \widehat{\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$, as above.

## THEOREM 3.3.1

Assume that $V$ is crystalline with Hodge-Tate weights $\geq 0$. Then there exists a regulator map

$$
\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}: H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}(G) \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)
$$

such that for any finite extension $E / \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ contained in $F_{\infty}$, we have a commutative diagram


Here $G^{\prime}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(E\left(\mu_{p^{\infty}}\right) / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$, the right-hand vertical arrow is the map on distributions corresponding to the projection $G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$, and the map $\mathcal{L}_{V}^{G^{\prime}}$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G^{\prime}}=\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(E / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)}[\sigma] \cdot \mathcal{L}_{E, V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(\sigma^{-1} \circ x\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{E, V, \xi}^{\Gamma}$ is the cyclotomic regulator map for $E\left(\mu_{p \infty}\right) / E$. Moreover, the map $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$ is injective.

By abuse of notation we also write $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$ for the induced map with source $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(G) \otimes$ $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)$.

We will need a twist-compatibility property which extends Proposition 3.1.4 to the two-variable regulator map. To state this, we need to introduce a map relating $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}$ for unramified twists.

DEFINITION 3.3.2
If $\eta$ is a crystalline character of $G$, we let $b_{\eta}$ denote the unique isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \otimes_{L} L(\eta) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V(\eta))
$$

such that extending scalars to $\mathbf{B}_{\text {cris }}$ gives a commutative diagram

where $\operatorname{can}(V)$ is the canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{B}_{\text {cris }} \otimes V \cong \mathbf{B}_{\text {cris }} \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$, and the bottom row denotes multiplication by $t^{-j}$ in $\mathbf{B}_{\text {cris }}$.

The existence of such an isomorphism is not a priori obvious, but it follows from the fact that we may write $\eta=\chi^{j} \eta_{1}$ for some unramified $\eta_{1}$, and the periods of $\eta_{1}$ lie in $\widetilde{L} \subseteq L \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\text {cris }}$ (see [17, Section 4.3]). Note that $b_{\eta}$ in fact depends on $\xi$ (since $\xi$ determines the cyclotomic period $t$ ).

We can now state the twist compatibility of $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$.

## PROPOSITION 3.3.3

Let $V$ be crystalline with nonnegative Hodge-Tate weights, and let $\eta$ be any crystalline L-valued character with Hodge-Tate weight $j \geq 0$. Then we have a $G$ equivariant commutative diagram:


Here the right-hand vertical map $a_{\eta}$ is given by

$$
x \otimes y \otimes z \mapsto \mathrm{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}(x) \otimes b_{\eta}(y \otimes z),
$$

where $b_{\eta}$ is as in Definition 3.3.2.

## REMARK 3.3.4

The diagram above is $G$-equivariant, if one equips $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \otimes L(\eta)$ with the diagonal action of $G$, and $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(G) \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \otimes L(\eta)$ with the action of $G$ given by

$$
g \cdot(x \otimes y \otimes z)=([g] x) \otimes y \otimes(\eta(g) z) .
$$

## Proof

It suffices to consider two cases separately: the case where $\eta$ is an unramified character (so $j=0$ ) and the case where $\eta=\chi^{j}$. In the unramified case, the statement to be proven is [17, Proposition 4.13]. In the case of a power of the cyclotomic character, the result follows from the twist compatibility of the cyclotomic regulator (Proposition 3.1.4) and the compatibility of the cyclotomic and two-variable regulator maps.

We shall also need the following simple properties of the maps $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$.

PROPOSITION 3.3.5
(1) Let $c \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{\times}$, and let $\gamma_{c}$ denote the unique element of $\Gamma$ such that $\chi\left(\gamma_{c}\right)=c$. Then we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{V, c \xi}^{G}=\left[\gamma_{c}\right]^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G} .
$$

(2) If $\varphi_{\tilde{L}}$ denotes the L-linear automorphism of $\widetilde{L}=L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \widehat{\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\text {nr }}}$ given by extending scalars from the arithmetic Frobenius automorphism of $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}}$, then we have

$$
\left[\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}\right]^{\varphi_{\tilde{L}}}=\left[\sigma_{p}\right] \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}
$$

where $\sigma_{p}$ is the arithmetic Frobenius element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\infty} / \mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}\right)$.

Proof
See Proposition 4.10 and Remark 4.17 of [17].

### 3.4. The matrix of the two-variable regulator

We now consider the two-variable regulator $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$. The result we shall prove is formally very close to Proposition 3.2.2.

PROPOSITION 3.4.1
Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d} \in H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)$, and let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d}$ be an L-basis of $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)^{\vee}$. If the quotient

$$
Q=H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right) /\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\rangle
$$

is $\Lambda_{L}(G)$-torsion, then the determinant of the matrix $A$ whose $i, j$ entry is $\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}\left(x_{i}\right), v_{j}\right\rangle$ lies in $\ell(V) \cdot f_{Q} \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)^{\times}$, where $f_{Q}$ is a characteristic element of $Q$.

Since $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)$ is not an elementary divisor domain, we cannot directly adapt Perrin-Riou's proof of Proposition 3.2.2 to the two-variable setting. So we shall prove the proposition indirectly, by reducing it to Proposition 3.2.2 applied to the twist of $V$ by every unramified character of $G$.

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d} \in H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)$ be as in the proposition, and denote by $f_{Q}$ a generator of the characteristic ideal of the quotient $Q$. Define

$$
C=\left[\operatorname{det}\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}\left(x_{i}\right), v_{j}\right\rangle / f_{Q}\right] \in \mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)^{\times} / \mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)^{\times}
$$

where as usual $\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}\left(x_{i}\right), v_{j}\right\rangle$ denotes the matrix of $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$ with respect to the bases $\left(x_{i}\right)$ and $\left(v_{i}\right)$, respectively. So our goal is to prove that $C=[\ell(V)]$. Note that $C$ is independent of the choice of the $x_{i}$.

PROPOSITION 3.4.2
Let $\tau$ be an unramified character of $U$. Then the image of $C$ in $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}(\tau)}(\Gamma)^{\times} /$ $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}(\tau)}(\Gamma)^{\times}$is well defined, and equal to $[\ell(V)]$.

Proof
By the twist compatibility of the regulator (Proposition 3.3.3), we may assume that $\tau$ is the trivial character.

By [19, Section 8.4.8.3] there are short exact sequences

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{i}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)_{U} \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{i}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right) \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{i+1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)^{U} \longrightarrow 0
$$

for each $i$. In particular, the cokernel of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$ is $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)-$ torsion, so we may assume that the images $x_{i}^{\prime}$ of $x_{i}$ in $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$ span a submodule of full rank $d$.

The exact sequences imply that the image of $f_{Q}$ in $\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(\Gamma)$ is not a zero divisor, and is equal to $f_{Q^{\prime}} / f_{H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)}$, where $f_{Q^{\prime}}$ denotes a characteristic element for
the torsion $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)$-module $Q^{\prime}=H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right) /\left\langle x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{d}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. On the other hand the compatibility of $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}$ implies that the image of $\operatorname{det}\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}\left(x_{i}\right), v_{j}\right\rangle$ is $\operatorname{det}\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right), v_{j}\right\rangle$.

By Proposition 3.2.2, $\operatorname{det}\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right), v_{j}\right\rangle$ is not a zero divisor, so the image of $C$ is well defined, and this image is given by

$$
\frac{\operatorname{det}\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right), v_{j}\right\rangle \cdot f_{H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)}}{f_{Q^{\prime}}}=[\ell(V)] .
$$

## DEFINITION 3.4.3

Denote by $\mathcal{K}_{L}(G)^{\circ}$ the set of $f \in \mathcal{K}_{L}(G)^{\times}$with the property that for each character $\tau$ of $U$, we can find an expression for $f$ in the form $u / v$ where the images of $u$ and $v$ in $\mathcal{K}_{L(\tau)}(\Gamma)$ under the evaluation-at- $\tau$ map are not zero divisors, so $f$ has a well-defined image in $\mathcal{K}_{L(\tau)}(\Gamma)^{\times}$.

PROPOSITION 3.4.4
For any coefficient field $L$, the natural map

$$
\frac{\mathcal{K}_{L}(G)^{\circ}}{\mathcal{H}_{L}(G)^{\times}} \rightarrow \prod_{\tau} \frac{\mathcal{K}_{L(\tau)}(\Gamma)^{\times}}{\mathcal{H}_{L(\tau)}(\Gamma)^{\times}}
$$

is injective (where the product on the right is over all characters $\tau$ of $U$ ).

## Proof

We identify $\mathcal{H}_{L}(G)$ with the algebra of $L[\Delta]$-valued rigid-analytic functions on the product of two copies of the rigid-analytic open disc $B(0,1)$, where $\Delta$ is the torsion subgroup of $G$. By passing to $\Delta$-isotypical components, it suffices to replace $\mathcal{H}_{L}(G)$ with $\mathcal{O}\left(B(0,1)_{L}^{2}\right)$.

Let $X_{n}$ be an ascending family of open affinoid subdomains of $B(0,1)_{L}^{2}$. Since $\mathcal{O}\left(B(0,1)_{L}^{2}\right)=\lim _{n} \mathcal{O}\left(X_{n}\right)$, we are reduced to proving the corresponding statement for the rings $\mathcal{O}\left(X_{n}\right)$, which are isomorphic to Tate algebras (at least after a suitable extension of the field $L$ ). However, Tate algebras are Jacobson rings and unique factorization domains (see [5, Section 5.2.6]). Thus for any nonunit $f \in \operatorname{Frac} \mathcal{O}\left(X_{n}\right)$, we may write $f=u / v$ where (without loss of generality) there exists some irreducible element $h$ such that $h \mid u$ but $h \nmid v$. By the Jacobson property, there exists a maximal ideal containing $h$ but not containing $v$. This maximal ideal must correspond to a point of $X_{n}$ at which $u$ vanishes but $v$ does not; since all points of $X_{n}$ are of the form $\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ for $\tau$ a character of $U$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ a character of $\Gamma$, this shows that the image of $f$ in $\mathcal{K}_{L(\tau)}(\Gamma)^{\times}$is not a unit either, as required.

Combining Propositions 3.4.2 and 3.4.4 completes the proof of Proposition 3.4.1.

## 4. Construction of the isomorphism

The aim of this section is as follows. Let $T$ be a $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in a crystalline $L$-linear Galois representation $V$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}=\widehat{\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}} \otimes \mathbf{z}_{p} \mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of $\widetilde{L}$. We shall construct a canonical isomorphism of determinants over the ring $\Lambda_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T): \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G)}(0) \\
& \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)}\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)} R \Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}\left(K_{\infty}, T\right)\right. \\
&\left.\cdot \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(T)\right)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T)$ is a certain $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$ determined by $T$ (see Section 4.3 below). Our construction is to descend step-by-step in the following tower of ring extensions:

$$
\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G) \subset \Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G) \subset \mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{L}}(G)$ is the algebra of locally analytic $\widetilde{L}$-valued distributions on $G$, and $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G)$ its total ring of quotients.

### 4.1. Construction over $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)$

Over $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)$, the construction of the isomorphism $\Theta$ is very simple.

## PROPOSITION 4.1.1

The $\Lambda_{L}(G)$-module $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{i}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)$ is finitely generated for any $i$, and it has rank $d$ for $i=1$, is torsion for $i=2$, and is zero for $i \neq\{1,2\}$.

Proof
See [17, Proposition A.6].

PROPOSITION 4.1.2
The regulator $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Det}_{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G)}(0) \\
& \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Det}_{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G)}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{L}(G)} R \Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G)}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof

From Proposition 4.1.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Det}_{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G)}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{L}(G)} R \Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right) \\
& \quad \cong \operatorname{Det}_{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G)}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{L}(G)} H_{\mathrm{IW}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, the regulator map is injective on $\widetilde{L} \widehat{\otimes}_{L} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)$, so it is an isomorphism after tensoring with $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)$.

## DEFINITION 4.1.3

Let $\Theta_{\mathcal{K}_{L}(G), \xi}(V)$ be the isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Det}_{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G)}(0) \\
& \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{L}(G)}\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{L}(G)} R \Gamma_{\mathrm{IW}}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right. \\
&\left.\cdot \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{L}(G)}\left(\Lambda_{L}(G) \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

by

$$
\Theta_{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G), \xi}(V)=\ell(V)^{-1} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}\right)
$$

where $\ell(V) \in \mathcal{K}_{L}(G)^{\times}$is as defined in Definition 4.1.3 above.
4.2. Descent to $\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G)$

We now use Proposition 3.4.1 to show that the isomorphism $\Theta_{\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G), \xi}(V)$ descends to $\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G)$.

THEOREM 4.2.1
There exists a canonical isomorphism in $\operatorname{Det}\left(\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G)\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V): \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G)}(0) \\
& \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{L}(G)}\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{L}(G)} R \Gamma_{\mathrm{IW}}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right. \\
&\left.\cdot \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{L}(G)}\left(\Lambda_{L}(G) \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the property that the isomorphism in $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)\right)$ obtained by extending scalars is the isomorphism of Definition 4.1.3.

REMARK 4.2.2
By base change, we obtain a canonical isomorphism in $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}\left(\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(\Gamma)\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma), \xi}(V): \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(\Gamma)}(0) \\
& \xrightarrow{\cong} \Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)}\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)} R \Gamma_{\mathrm{IW}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)\right.  \tag{10}\\
&\left.\cdot \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)}\left(\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma) \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since this coincides with $\ell(V)^{-1} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\right)$ after base extension to $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(\Gamma)$, the scalars descend from $\widetilde{L}$ to $L$ : the above isomorphism is the image of an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)}(0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)} R \Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)}\left(\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma) \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)
$$

in the category $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}\left(\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)\right)$, which we denote also by $\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma), \xi}(V)$. Note that $\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)$ does not descend to $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}\left(\Lambda_{L}(G)\right)$.

Since for $i=0$ or 2 the module $H_{\mathrm{IW}}^{i}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)$ is pseudonull, its class in $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}\left(\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G)\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to the trivial object, by [24, Lemma 2.2], and this canonical isomorphism is compatible under base extension with the isomorphism
arising from the fact that $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G)} H_{\mathrm{IW}}^{i}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)=0$. Thus it suffices to construct an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G)}\left(\widetilde{L} \widehat{\otimes}_{L} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G)}\left(\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right) .
$$

To shorten our formulae, let us use the notation $[P]$ for the class of the $R$ module $P$ in $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}(R)$; we will only use this in settings where there is no danger of ambiguity which ring $R$ is being considered.

We will need the following auxilliary result.

LEMMA 4.2.3
Let $R \hookrightarrow S$ be a morphism of commutative rings such that $S K_{1}(R)=S K_{1}(S)=0$. Let $P, Q$ be free $R$-modules of equal rank $d$.

Then any choice of $R$-bases of $P$ and $Q$ determines isomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{Isom}_{\underline{\operatorname{Det}(R)}}([P],[Q]) \cong R^{\times}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Isom}_{\operatorname{Det}(S)}\left(\left[S \otimes_{R} P\right],\left[S \otimes_{R} Q\right]\right) \cong S^{\times},
$$

and under these identifications, the map

$$
\operatorname{Isom}_{\underline{\operatorname{Det}}(R)}([P],[Q]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{\underline{\operatorname{Det}}(S)}\left(\left[S \otimes_{R} P\right],\left[S \otimes_{R} Q\right]\right)
$$

given by base extension coincides with the inclusion $R^{\times} \hookrightarrow S^{\times}$.

Proof
This is clear from the definitions of the categories $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}(R)$ and $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}(S)$ and of the base-extension functor.

REMARK 4.2.4
More generally, without the assumption on $S K_{1}$ we can assert that the image corresponds to the image of $K_{1}(R)$ in $K_{1}(S)$, but we shall not need this.

LEMMA 4.2.5
Let $M$ be a torsion $\Lambda_{L}(G)$-module, and let $Q_{L}(G)=\operatorname{Frac} \Lambda_{L}(G)$. Then the morphism

$$
Q_{L}(G)^{\times} \times_{\Lambda_{L}(G) \times} \times \operatorname{Isom}_{\operatorname{Det}\left(\Lambda_{L}(G)\right)}(0,[M]) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_{\underline{\operatorname{Det}\left(Q_{L}(G)\right)}}(0)
$$

given by the fact that $Q_{L}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{L}(G)} M=0$ identifies $\operatorname{Isom}_{\operatorname{Det}\left(\Lambda_{L}(G)\right)}(0,[M])$ with the fractional ideal $f_{Q}^{-1} \Lambda_{L}(G)^{\times}$, where $f_{Q}$ is any characteristic element of $M$.

## Proof

For pseudonull modules this is [24, Lemma 2.2], and since the statement is compatible with direct sums, it suffices to consider the case of $M=\Lambda_{L}(G) / f$ for a single irreducible element $f$, but this follows immediately from tensoring the
short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \Lambda_{L}(G) \xrightarrow{\times f} \Lambda_{L}(G) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $Q_{L}(G)$.

PROPOSITION 4.2.6
We have $\mathcal{H}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)^{\times}=\Lambda_{\widetilde{L}}(G)^{\times}$.
Proof
This is standard (see, e.g., [14, (4.8)]).

Proof of Theorem 4.2.1
We will consider the base-extension map corresponding to the ring extension $\Lambda_{\widetilde{L}}(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)$. This gives a homomorphism (cf. [12, Section 1.2.5])

$$
\operatorname{Isom}_{\underline{\operatorname{Det}}\left(\Lambda_{\tilde{L}(G)}\right)}\left(\left[\widetilde{L} \widehat{\otimes}_{L} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right],\left[\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right]\right)
$$

$$
\operatorname{Isom}_{\underline{\operatorname{Det}}\left(\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G)\right)}\left(\left[\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G)} H^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right],\left[\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G) \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right]\right)
$$

This map is injective, and $\ell(V)^{-1} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}\right)$ evidently defines an element of the latter group, so it suffices to show that it lies in the image of the base-extension map. Moreover, both rings have trivial $S K_{1}$.

Let us choose $x_{i} \in H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)$ and $v_{j} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$ satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 3.4.1. Writing $\Lambda$ for $\Lambda_{L}(G)$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ for $\Lambda_{\widetilde{L}}(G)$ to lighten the notation, we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\oplus d} \longrightarrow \widetilde{L} \widehat{\otimes}_{L} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{L} \widehat{\otimes}_{L} Q \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $Q$ is torsion, from which we deduce a commutative diagram of multiplication maps (where, for sanity, we let $\Lambda=\Lambda_{L}(G), \widetilde{\Lambda}=\Lambda_{\widetilde{L}}(G)$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}=\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{\operatorname{Isom}_{\underset{\text { Det }}{ }(\widetilde{\Lambda})}^{\times}([Q], 0)}{\underset{\operatorname{Isom}_{\underline{\text { Det }}(\tilde{\Lambda})}\left(\left[\widetilde{\Lambda}^{d}\right],\left[\widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right]\right)}{ }} \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{\underline{\text { Det }}(\tilde{\Lambda})}\left(\left[\widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_{\Lambda} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right],\left[\widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right]\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{c}
\eta \\
\operatorname{Aut}_{\frac{\text { Det }}{}(\tilde{\mathcal{K}})}^{\times}(0) \\
\operatorname{Isom}_{\text {Det }(\widetilde{Q})}\left(\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{d}\right],\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right]\right)
\end{array}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{\underline{\text { Det }}(\tilde{\mathcal{K}})}\left(\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} \otimes_{\Lambda} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right],\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.2.5, the image of $\operatorname{Isom}_{\operatorname{Det}(\widetilde{\Lambda})}(\operatorname{Det} Q, 0)$ in $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Det}(\widetilde{\mathcal{K}})}(0) \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}^{\times}$ is $f_{Q}^{-1} \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\times}$; but, on the other hand, Proposition 3.4.1 shows that the element $\ell(V)^{-1} \operatorname{Det} \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$ of $\operatorname{Isom}_{\underline{\operatorname{Det}(\tilde{\mathcal{K}})}}\left(\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} \otimes_{\Lambda} H_{\mathrm{IW}^{1}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\right],\left[\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right]\right)$ is $f_{Q}$ times the image of an element of $\operatorname{Isom}_{\operatorname{Det}(\widetilde{\Lambda})}\left(\left[\widetilde{\Lambda}^{d}\right],\left[\widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right]\right)$. Canceling the factors of $f_{Q}$ and $f_{Q}^{-1}$, it follows that $\ell(V)^{-1} \operatorname{Det} \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$ is the image of an element of the top right-hand corner, as required.

### 4.3. Integral coefficients

Let $V$ be a crystalline representation with nonnegative Hodge-Tate weights. We assume for now that $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)=0$. Let $\mathbf{N}(V)$ be the Wach module of $V$ (cf. [4]). Then the inclusion

$$
\mathbf{N}(V) \subset \mathbf{B}_{\text {rig }, \mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+} \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+}} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)
$$

induces an isomorphism of $\varphi$-modules

$$
i: \mathbf{N}(V) / \pi \mathbf{N}(V) \cong \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)
$$

Let $T$ be a Galois-stable lattice in $V$; then there is a corresponding $\left(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+}\right)$lattice $\mathbf{N}(T) \subseteq \mathbf{N}(V)$. We use this to define an $\mathcal{O}$-lattice $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T) \subseteq \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$, which is simply the image of $\mathbf{N}(T)$ in $\mathbf{D}$ cris $(V)$ under the map $i$.

PROPOSITION 4.3.1
The quotient $\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0} /(1-\varphi) \mathbf{N}(T)^{\psi=1}$ is a finitely-generated $\mathcal{O}$-module.
Proof
Let $h$ be the largest Hodge-Tate weight of $V$, and let $d=\operatorname{dim}_{L} V$. By the definition of a Wach module (see [4, Definition II.4.1]), $\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T) / \mathbf{N}(T)$ is annihilated by $q^{h}$, where $q=\varphi(\pi) / \pi$. Hence the module

$$
M=\varphi(\pi)^{h}\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)
$$

satisfies $\varphi(M) \subseteq M$.
Suppose that $x \in \varphi(\pi) M$. Then by induction we see that $\varphi^{n}(x) \in \varphi^{n+1}(\pi) M$; but since $\varphi^{n}(\pi) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, this implies that the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi^{n}(x)$ converges to an element $y \in M$ satisfying $(1-\varphi) y=x$. Moreover, if $\bar{\psi}(x)=0$, then we evidently have $\psi(y)=y$. This implies that the image of

$$
(1-\varphi): \mathbf{N}(T)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0}
$$

contains $(\varphi(\pi) M)^{\psi=0}=\varphi(\pi)^{1+h}\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0}$.
Now, as shown in the proof of [15, Proposition 3.11], for any $k \geq 0$ we have an isomorphism of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-modules

$$
\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma)^{\oplus d} / p_{k} \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma)^{\oplus d} \cong\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0} / \varphi(\pi)^{k}\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0}
$$

where

$$
p_{k}=\left(1-\gamma_{1}\right)\left(1-\chi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)^{-1} \gamma_{1}\right) \cdots\left(1-\chi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)^{1-k} \gamma_{1}\right)
$$

with $\gamma_{1}$ a generator of $\Gamma_{1} \subseteq \Gamma$. So if $k \geq 1+h$, the quotient $\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0} /(1-$ $\varphi) \mathbf{N}(T)^{\psi=1}$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma)$-module annihilated by the element $p_{k}$. However, $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma) / p_{k} \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma)$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}$; hence $\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0} /(1-$ $\varphi) \mathbf{N}(T)^{\psi=1}$ must also be finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}$.

COROLLARY 4.3.2
The characteristic ideal of the quotient

$$
\frac{\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0}}{(1-\varphi) \mathbf{N}(T)^{\psi=1}}
$$

is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{d} p_{n_{i}}$, where $n_{i}$ are the Hodge-Tate weights of $V$ as above.

## Proof

The characteristic ideal of the $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)$-module $\frac{\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(V)\right)^{\psi=0}}{(1-\varphi) \mathbf{N}(V)^{\psi=1}}$ is known to be generated by $\prod_{i=1}^{d} p_{n_{i}}$, by [16, Theorem 4.12]. Hence the characteristic ideal of $\frac{\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0}}{(1-\varphi) \mathbf{N}(T)^{\psi=1}}$ must be equal to this up to a power of $p$ for each $\left(\Gamma / \Gamma_{1}\right)$-isotypical component. However, this module is finitely generated over $\mathcal{O}$ by Proposition 4.3.1, so it has zero $\mu$-invariant.

We now recall a theorem (due to Laurent Berger) giving a convenient basis of $\mathbf{N}(T)$.

## THEOREM 4.3.3 (BERGER, CF. [15, THEOREM 3.5])

There exists an $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+}$-basis $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ of $\mathbf{N}(T)$ with the property that

$$
\left((1+\pi) \varphi\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots,(1+\pi) \varphi\left(x_{d}\right)\right)
$$

is a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma)$-basis of $\left(\varphi^{*} \mathbf{N}(T)\right)^{\psi=0}$.

## REMARK 4.3.4

In fact the second author together with Peter Schneider and Ramdorai Sujatha have recently shown that every $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+}$-basis of $\mathbf{N}(T)$ has this property. However, this is not immediately obvious from the definitions (as is erroneously claimed in [2]).

## PROPOSITION 4.3.5

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ be a basis of $\mathbf{N}(T)$ as in Theorem 4.3.3. Then the images $v_{i}$ of $x_{i}$ in $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T)=\mathbf{N}(T) / \pi \mathbf{N}(T)$ are a basis of $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T)$ over $\mathcal{O}$. Moreover, if we define a matrix $M \in \mathrm{M}_{d \times d}\left(\mathcal{H}_{L}(\Gamma)\right)$ by

$$
(1+\pi) \varphi\left(x_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} m_{i j} \cdot(1+\pi) \varphi\left(v_{i}\right)
$$

then the determinant of $M$ is

$$
\frac{p^{m(V)} \ell(V)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} p_{n_{i}}}
$$

up to a unit in $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma)$.
Proof
The fact that the $v_{i}$ span $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T)$ is clear, since $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T)=\mathbf{N}(T) / \pi \mathbf{N}(T)$.

For the second statement, we know that

$$
\operatorname{det}(M) \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d} p_{n_{i}}}{p^{m(V) \ell(V)}}
$$

is an element of $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)^{\times}$, as a consequence of Corollary 4.3.2 and Proposition 3.2.2 (or, alternatively, by [16, Theorem A]). Let us calculate its image under a character $\eta$ of $\Gamma$ that is trivial on $\Gamma_{1}$. By construction, $\operatorname{det}(M)(\eta)=1$ since $M$ is in $\operatorname{Mat}_{2 \times 2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{L}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)\right)$ and is congruent to 1 modulo the trivial character.

On the other hand, $\left(\gamma_{1}-1\right)$ has a simple zero at $\eta$ with derivative $\log \chi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$, which has valuation 1 , and for $i \geq 1$, the valuation of $1-\chi^{i}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ is $1+v_{p}(i)$; so the valuation of $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} p_{k}\right)^{*}(\eta)$ is

$$
m(V)+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ n_{i} \geq 1}} v_{p}\left(\left(n_{i}-1\right)!\right)
$$

On the other hand, $\ell(V)^{*}(\eta)$ is $\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(n_{i}-1\right)$ ! up to a sign, so the value at $\eta$ of $\frac{p^{m(V)} \ell(V)^{*}(\eta)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} p_{k}}$ lies in $\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{\times}$.

PROPOSITION 4.3.6
The determinant of $\varphi: \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$ is $p^{-m(V)}$ up to a p-adic unit.

## Proof

It suffices to consider the case where $V$ is 1-dimensional, in which case $V$ is the product of an unramified character (mapping Frobenius to a unit) and a power of the cyclotomic character, for which the result is obvious.

COROLLARY 4.3.7
If $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)=0$, then there is a unique isomorphism

$$
\Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma), \xi}(T): \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma)} H_{\mathrm{IW}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, T\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}_{p}} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T)\right)
$$

whose base extension to $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)$ is the morphism $\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma), \xi}(V)$ constructed in (10).
Proof
If $\eta$ is a character of $\Gamma / \Gamma_{1}$, denote by $e_{\eta}$ the idempotent corresponding to $\eta$ in $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}_{p}}(\Gamma)$. It follows from Lemma 4.2.3 that for each such character $\eta$ there is a unique integer $a_{\eta}$ such that $p^{a_{\eta}} e_{\eta} \Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma), \xi}(V)$ lies in the image of the baseextension map. Applying Propositions 4.3.5 and 4.3 .6 shows that we must have $a_{\eta}=0$ for all $\eta$.

We can now pass to $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$-coefficients.

Let $V$ be an arbitrary L-linear crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$. As before, let
$\Lambda=\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}=\Lambda_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G)$. There is a unique isomorphism

$$
\Theta_{\Lambda, \xi}(T): \operatorname{Det}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(0) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_{\Lambda}\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda} R \Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}\left(K_{\infty}, T\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda}\left(\Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T)\right)\right\}
$$

whose base extension to $\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G)$ is the morphism $\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)$ constructed in Theorem 4.2.1.

Proof
Since the isomorphisms $\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)$ are compatible under crystalline twists, we can replace $V$ with a suitable crystalline twist and assume without loss of generality that the Hodge-Tate weights of $V$ are $\geq 0$ and that $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)=0$. As the morphism $\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma), \xi}(V)$ is obtained from $\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)$ by base change, the result is now immediate from Corollary 4.3.7.

### 4.4. Definition of the epsilon isomorphism

Having constructed the isomorphism $\Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T)$ of Corollary 4.3.8, it is an easy step to define the epsilon isomorphism whose construction is the main purpose of this paper. We need only the following result, which is essentially a restatement of a theorem of Berger.

## THEOREM 4.4.1

Let $V$ be a crystalline L-linear Galois representation, let $T \subseteq V$ be a $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-stable $\mathcal{O}$-lattice, and let $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T)$ be the corresponding $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$ defined in Section 4.3 above. Then there is a unique isomorphism from $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ to $\widetilde{L}$ whose image under base-extension $\widetilde{L} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}-$ is the isomorphism $\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)$ of Section 2.4.

Proof
By Lemma 4.2.3, it suffices to check that for any $\mathcal{O}$-bases of $T$ and of $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T)$, the matrix of the canonical isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{can}_{V}: \mathbf{B}_{\text {cris }} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \cong \mathbf{B}_{\text {cris }} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)
$$

has determinant in $t^{m(V)} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\times}$. This is precisely the result of [4, Proposition V.1.2].

## DEFINITION 4.4.2

We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T): \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G)}(0) \\
& \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow}\left[\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G)}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} R \Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}\left(K_{\infty}, T\right)\right)\right]\left[\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G)}\left(\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} T\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

to be the isomorphism given by

$$
\left(-\gamma_{-1}\right)^{d}(-1)^{m(V)} \cdot \Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T) \cdot \varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(T),
$$

where we regard $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{O}, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(T)$ as an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(T)\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\Longrightarrow} \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)}\left(\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} T\right)
$$

via base extension.
REMARK 4.4.3
Note that $(-1)^{m(V)}\left(-\gamma_{-1}\right)^{d}=\operatorname{Det}\left(-\gamma_{-1}: \Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G) \otimes T\right)$. The factor $-\gamma_{-1}$ also appears in the local reciprocity formula relating $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{V^{*}(1), \xi}^{G}(\mathrm{cf}$. [17, Appendix B]).

### 4.5. Properties of the epsilon isomorphism

We note for later use some properties of the isomorphisms $\Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T)$ and $\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T)$.

## PROPOSITION 4.5.1 (COMPATIBILITY WITH SHORT EXACT SEQUENCES)

Let

$$
0 \longrightarrow T^{\prime} \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow T^{\prime \prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

be a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}$-linear crystalline representations of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$. Then

$$
\Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T)=\Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \cdot \Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(V)=\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}\left(V^{\prime}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}\left(V^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Proof
It suffices to check this after arbitrary base extension, but over $\mathcal{K}_{\widetilde{L}}(G)$ the result is obvious, since the regulator map $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$ is compatible with short exact sequences, as are the factors $\ell(V)$ and $\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)$ (the latter by Lemma 2.4.1).

## PROPOSITION 4.5.2 (CHANGE OF COEFFICIENT FIELD)

Let $L^{\prime}$ be a finite extension of $L$ with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}(G), \xi}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} T\right)=\mathcal{O}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T)
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}(G), \xi}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} T\right)=\mathcal{O}^{\prime} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}}(G), \xi}(T) .
$$

Proof
This is clear from the definitions.
The next compatibility property takes a little more notation to state. For brevity let us write $\Lambda$ for $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$. For $\eta$ a continuous $L$-valued (hence $\mathcal{O}$-valued) character of $G$, we have a twisting homomorphism $\mathrm{Tw}_{\eta}: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ which maps a group element $g \in G$ to $\eta(g) g$. Hence we obtain a pullback functor $\left(\mathrm{Tw}_{\eta}\right)^{*}$ from the
category of $\Lambda$-modules to itself,

$$
\left(\mathrm{Tw}_{\eta}\right)^{*} M=\Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda, \mathrm{Tw}_{\eta}} M
$$

This can also be described in terms of tensoring with the $\Lambda$-bimodule $\Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda, \mathrm{Tw}_{\eta}} \Lambda$, which is free of rank one as a $\Lambda$-module; hence the twisting functor extends to a functor from the category $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}(\Lambda)$ to itself which is compatible with the functor Det.

Note that we have an isomorphism

$$
\left(\mathrm{Tw}_{\eta}\right)^{*}\left(\Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} T\right) \cong \Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} T\left(\eta^{-1}\right), \quad a \otimes b \otimes v \mapsto a \mathrm{Tw}_{\eta}(b) \otimes\left(v \otimes t_{\eta^{-1}}\right)
$$

as $\left(\Lambda, G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right)$-modules, if $\Lambda$ acts on $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} T$ via left multiplication on the left factor, while $g \in G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ sends $\lambda \otimes v$ to $\lambda \bar{g}^{-1} \otimes g v$ where $\bar{g}$ denotes the image of $g$ in $G$ (and analogously for the action on $\Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} T\left(\eta^{-1}\right)$ ).

We clearly have $\left(\mathrm{Tw}_{\eta}\right)^{*} \circ\left(\mathrm{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}\right)^{*}=\mathrm{id}$. Similar definitions apply to other coefficient rings than $\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$, including $\Lambda_{L}(G), \mathcal{H}_{L}(G)$ or $\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G)$.

Finally, note that for a $\Lambda$-module $M$ we have a canonical isomorphism

$$
\Lambda \otimes_{\Lambda, \mathrm{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}} M=M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O} t_{\eta}, \quad \lambda \otimes m \mapsto \mathrm{Tw}_{\eta}(\lambda) m \otimes t_{\eta},
$$

of $\Lambda$-modules, where the $\Lambda$-module structure on the right-hand side is induced by the diagonal action of $G$ upon it.

## PROPOSITION 4.5.3 (INVARIANCE UNDER CRYSTALLINE TWISTS)

If $T^{\prime}=T(\eta)$ for a crystalline character $\eta$ with values in $\mathcal{O}$, then
where we take the left vertical map as natural identification while the right vertical map is-up to interchanging the twist and determinant functors- $\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda_{\widetilde{O}}(G)}\left(a_{\eta}\right)$ with the notation as in Proposition 3.3.3 above.

## Proof

It suffices to check the statement after base extension to $L$. First note that

$$
\mathrm{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}\left(m_{\lambda}\right)=m_{\mathrm{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}(\lambda)},
$$

where $m_{\lambda}$ denotes multiplication by $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In particular we have

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\eta^{-1}}(\ell(V))=\ell(V(\eta)) \ell(L(\eta))^{-d}
$$

where we omit the $m$ for simplicity again. Hence we obtain, by applying the determinant functor to the diagram in Proposition 3.3.3 and after base extension to $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{L}}(G)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Det}\left(a_{\eta}\right) \operatorname{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{Det} \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}}{\ell(V)}\right) & =\operatorname{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}\left(\frac{1}{\ell(V)}\right) \operatorname{Det}\left(a_{\eta}\right) \operatorname{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}\left(\operatorname{Det} \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}\right) \\
& =\frac{\ell(L(\eta))^{d}}{\ell(V(\eta))} \ell(L(\eta))^{-d} \operatorname{Det} \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G} \\
& =\ell(V(\eta))^{-1} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{L}_{V(\eta), \xi}^{G}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is just the claimed statement. Here, for the second equality we used the compatibility of twisting with taking determinants.

PROPOSITION 4.5.4
If $\eta$ is crystalline, then we have

$$
\mathrm{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}\left(\left(-\gamma_{-1}\right)^{d}(-1)^{m(V)}\right)=\left(-\gamma_{-1}\right)^{d}(-1)^{m(V(\eta))}
$$

where $m(V)$ denotes the sum of the Hodge-Tate weights of $V$ (and similarly for $V(\eta))$.

## Proof

By the definition of the twisting map, we have $\operatorname{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}\left(\gamma_{-1}\right)=\eta\left(\gamma_{-1}\right) \gamma_{-1}=$ $(-1)^{j} \gamma_{-1}$ (since $\eta$ is $\chi^{j}$ times an unramified character), so

$$
\mathrm{Tw}_{\eta^{-1}}\left(\left(-\gamma_{-1}\right)^{d}(-1)^{m(V)}\right)=(-1)^{j d}\left(-\gamma_{-1}\right)^{d}(-1)^{m(V)}=\left(-\gamma_{-1}\right)^{d}(-1)^{m(V)+j d}
$$

Since $m(V(\eta))=m(V)+j d$ we are done.
Since the map $\operatorname{Det}\left(a_{\eta}\right)$ also evidently gives the twist compatibility of the maps $\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)$ and $\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V(\eta))$, we obtain the compatibilty of $\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T)$ and $\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T(\eta))$; that is,

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\eta^{-1}}\left(\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)\right)=\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V(\eta))
$$

up to the indicated identifications. We use these results to extend the definition of $\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}$ and $\Theta_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}$ to lattices $T$ in arbitrary crystalline Galois representations $V$ by tensoring the corresponding maps for $T(j)$ with $\mathbf{Q}_{p}(-j)$, where $j \gg 0$ is such that $V(j)$ has nonnegative Hodge-Tate weights.

### 4.6. Epsilon isomorphisms for more general modules

We recall the following definition from [12, Section 1.4].

## DEFINITION 4.6.1

A ring $R$ is of
(type 1) if there exists a two-sided ideal $I$ of $R$ such that $R / I^{n}$ is finite of order a power of $p$ for any $n \geq 1$, and such that $R \cong \lim _{n} R / I^{n}$;
(type 2) if $R$ is the matrix algebra $M_{n}(F)$ of some finite extension $E$ over $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$ and some dimension $n \geq 1$.

By [12, Lemma 1.4.4], $R$ is of type 1 if and only if the defining condition above holds with $I$ equal to the Jacobson ideal $J=J(R)$. Such rings are always semilocal, and $R / J$ is a finite product of matrix algebras over finite fields. For a ring $R$ of type (1) or (2) we define

$$
\widetilde{R}:=\widehat{\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{n r}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbf{Z}_{p}} R
$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}_{p}^{n r}}$ denotes the completion of the ring of integers of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$.

Now let $T \subseteq V$ be a Galois-stable $\mathcal{O}$-lattice of a crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ with coefficients in some finite extension $L / \mathbf{Q}_{p}$. We set $\mathbf{T}(T):=\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}}$ $T$, which we consider as a $\Lambda(G)$-module by multiplication on the left tensor factor and as a $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-module via $g(\lambda \otimes t)=\lambda \bar{g}^{-1} \otimes g t$. The following isomorphism (essentially a version of Shapiro's lemma) is well known.

## PROPOSITION 4.6.2

We have

$$
R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}(T)\right) \cong R \Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}\left(K_{\infty}, T\right)
$$

as $\Lambda(G)$-modules.
Proof
See, for example, [19, Proposition 8.4.4.2].
Let $\Lambda=\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G)$, which is a ring of type 1 , with $\widetilde{\Lambda}=\Lambda_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G)$. Then we have constructed an isomorphism

$$
\varepsilon_{\Lambda, \xi}(T): \operatorname{Det}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}(0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\Lambda} \otimes_{\Lambda}\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda} R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}(T)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{\Lambda} \mathbf{T}(T)\right\} .
$$

We shall establish that this satisfies the properties predicted by [12, Conjecture 3.4.3] for the module $\mathbf{T}(T)$. For that purpose it is convenient to write also $\varepsilon_{\Lambda, \xi}(\mathbf{T}(T))$ for the above $\varepsilon$-isomorphism, and to extend it to a slightly more general class of modules.

We consider quadruples $(R, Y, T, \xi)$ where

- $R$ is a $p$-torsion-free $\mathcal{O}$-algebra which is also a ring of type (1) or (2) above,
- $\xi$ is a compatible system of $p^{n}$ th roots of unity (as before),
- $T$ is a $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-stable $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in a crystalline $L$-linear representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$,
- $Y$ is a finitely generated projective left $R$-module, equipped with a continuous $R$-linear action of $G$.

Given such a quadruple, we define $\mathbf{T}=Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} T$, which we equip with the obvious left $R$-module structure and an action of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ via $g \cdot(y \otimes t)=y \bar{g}^{-1} \otimes g t$. Then $(R, \mathbf{T}, \xi)$ is a triple satisfying the conditions of [12, Section 3.4.1]. Moreover, the action of $G$ on $Y$ extends to a $\Lambda$-module structure, and we have

$$
\mathbf{T}=Y \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbf{T}(T)
$$

where $\mathbf{T}(T)$ is as above. So we may define

$$
\varepsilon_{R, \xi}(\mathbf{T}):=Y \otimes_{\Lambda} \varepsilon_{\Lambda, \xi}(\mathbf{T}(T)),
$$

which is an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{\widetilde{R}}(0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{R} \otimes_{R}\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{R} R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{R} \mathbf{T}\right\} ;
$$

here we have used the fact that

$$
Y \otimes_{\Lambda}^{\mathbf{L}} R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}(T)\right) \cong R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, Y \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbf{T}(T)\right)
$$

by [12, Proposition 1.6.5].

REMARK 4.6.3
Note that $R$ need not be commutative and that $Y$ need not be either projective or finitely generated as a $\Lambda$-module.

PROPOSITION 4.6.4
Suppose $R=\mathcal{O}_{F}$ for some finite extension $F / L$, and suppose that the finitedimensional $F$-vector space $F \otimes_{R} Y$ is de Rham as a representation of $G$. Then $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F}} \mathbf{T}$ is also de Rham, and $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F}} \varepsilon_{R, \xi}(\mathbf{T})$ coincides with the canonical isomorphism $\varepsilon_{F, \xi}\left(F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F}} \mathbf{T}\right)$ of Section 2.4.

## Proof

Since our $\varepsilon$-isomorphisms commute with base change in $L$, it suffices to assume $F=L$. We may also assume that $L$ is sufficiently large that all the JordanHölder constituents of $Y$ are one-dimensional. By the compatibility with short exact sequences, it suffices to assume that $Y$ is itself one-dimensional, so $Y=L(\eta)$ for a de Rham character $\eta$ of $G$. This reduces the result to Theorem 5.1.1, which we shall establish in the next section.

COROLLARY 4.6.5
Suppose that the pair $(R, \mathbf{T})$ satisfies the following condition:

- if $\Phi_{\mathbf{T}}$ is the set of all $\mathcal{O}$-algebra homomorphisms $\rho: R \rightarrow M_{n}(F)$ (where $F / L$ is a finite extension and $n$ an integer, both depending on $\rho$ ) such that $F^{n} \otimes_{R, \rho} \mathbf{T}$ is de Rham, then

$$
K_{1}(R) \rightarrow \prod_{\rho \in \Phi_{\mathbf{T}}} F^{\times}
$$

is injective.
Then $\varepsilon_{R, \xi}(\mathbf{T})$ depends only on $\xi$ and on the isomorphism class of $\mathbf{T}$ as an $R\left[G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right]$-module.

Proof
This is clear from Proposition 4.6.4, since the isomorphism $\varepsilon_{R, \xi}(\mathbf{T})$ must be consistent with the de Rham $\varepsilon$-isomorphisms $\varepsilon_{F, \xi}\left(F^{n} \otimes_{R, \rho} \mathbf{T}\right)$, which are uniquely determined by $(R, \mathbf{T}, \xi)$.

## REMARK 4.6.6

We suspect that the statement of the corollary is true for arbitrary type $1 \mathcal{O}$ algebras $R$, but this is much more difficult to prove. For instance, if $T_{1}, T_{2}$ are two $\mathcal{O}$-lattices in crystalline $L$-linear representations such that $T_{1} / \varpi^{n} \cong T_{2} / \varpi^{n}$ for some $n \geq 1$, then on taking $R=\mathcal{O} / \varpi^{n}$ this would imply that the $\varepsilon$-isomorphisms for $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are congruent modulo $\varpi^{n}$. This should certainly be true, but at present we can only prove it under the assumption that the Hodge-Tate weights of the $T_{i}$ all lie in some interval $[a, b]$ with $b-a<p-1$; we hope to return to this problem in a subsequent paper.

We shall now show that the association $(R, Y, T, \xi) \rightarrow \varepsilon_{R, \xi}(\mathbf{T})$ satisfies properties corresponding to conditions (i)-(iv) and (vi) of [12, Conjecture 3.4.3].

## Property (i) (additivity)

The first condition of [12, Conjecture 3.4.3] states that for any three triples $\left(R, \mathbf{T}_{i}, \xi\right), i=1,2,3$, with common $R$ and $\xi$, and an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}_{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}_{3} \longrightarrow 0
$$

we have

$$
\varepsilon_{R, \xi}\left(\mathbf{T}_{2}\right)=\varepsilon_{R, \xi}\left(\mathbf{T}_{1}\right) \varepsilon_{R, \xi}\left(\mathbf{T}_{3}\right)
$$

By assumption our $\mathbf{T}_{i}$ are of the form $Y_{i} \otimes T_{i}$, for crystalline $\mathcal{O}$-representations $T_{i}$ and $R$-modules $Y_{i}$ with $G$-action. We shall consider only the cases when the exact sequence arises from an exact sequence of $Y_{i}$ 's with a common $T$, or an exact sequence of $T_{i}$ 's with a common $Y$. The first case is obvious from the construction of $\varepsilon_{R, \xi}(-)$. The latter case follows from Proposition 2.4.3.

Property (ii) (base change)
The second condition is a compatibility with base change in $R$; this is immediate from our construction.

Property (iii) (change of $\xi$ )
Let $c \in \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{\times}$, and let $\gamma_{c}$ be any element of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ acting trivially on $\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{\mathrm{nr}}$ and such that $\chi\left(\gamma_{c}\right)=c$. Then we must show that

$$
\varepsilon_{R, c \xi}(\mathbf{T})=\left[T, \gamma_{c}\right] \varepsilon_{R, \xi}(\mathbf{T})
$$

where $\left[T, \gamma_{c}\right]$ is the class in $K_{1}(R)$ of the $R$-linear automorphism of $\mathbf{T}$ given by $\gamma_{c}$. (This is well defined, as $\gamma_{c}$ is uniquely determined up to conjugation in $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$. ) It suffices to check this when $R=\Lambda$ and $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{T}(T)$; but this is immediate from the corresponding property of the regulator map $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}$, which is part (1) of Proposition 3.3.5, and of the de Rham $\varepsilon$-isomorphism $\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)$.

## Property (iv) (Galois equivariance)

Let $\varphi$ denote the arithmetic Frobenius automorphism of $\mathcal{O}$. Then we must show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{R, \xi}(\mathbf{T}) \in & \operatorname{Isom}\left(\operatorname{Det}_{R}(0), \operatorname{Det}_{R} R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{R} \mathbf{T}\right) \\
& \times{ }^{K_{1}(R)}\left\{x \in K_{1}(\widetilde{R}): \varphi(x)=\left[T, \sigma_{p}\right]^{-1} x\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{p}$ is the arithmetic Frobenius element of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}^{a b} / \mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}\right)$. Again, it suffices to assume $(R, \mathbf{T})=(\Lambda, \mathbf{T}(T))$, and the result is now clear from the Galoisequivariance properties of the map $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}(\mathrm{cf}$. Proposition 3.3.5(2)) and of the de Rham $\varepsilon$-isomorphism $\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)$ (cf. [12, Proposition 3.3.7]).

Property (v) (compatibility with de Rham $\varepsilon$-isomorphisms)
If $R$ is the ring of integers of a finite extension $F / L$, and $F \otimes_{R} \mathbf{T}$ is de Rham, we must check that $\varepsilon_{R, \xi}(\mathbf{T})$ is consistent with $\varepsilon_{F, \xi}\left(F \otimes_{R} \mathbf{T}\right)$ as defined in Section 2.4 above. This is exactly Proposition 4.6.4.

Property (vi) (local duality)
Let $\mathbf{T}$ be a free $R$-module with compatible $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-action as above. Then

$$
\mathbf{T}^{*}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\mathbf{T}, R)
$$

is a free $R^{\circ}$-module-for the action $h \mapsto h(-) r, r$ in the opposite ring $R^{\circ}$ of $R$-with compatible $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-action given by $h \mapsto h \circ \sigma^{-1}$. Recall that in Iwasawa theory we have the canonical involution $\iota: \Lambda^{\circ} \rightarrow \Lambda$, induced by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$, which allows us to consider (left) $\Lambda^{\circ}$-modules again as (left) $\Lambda$-modules; for example, one has $\mathbf{T}^{*}(T)^{\iota} \cong \mathbf{T}\left(T^{*}\right)$ as a $\left(\Lambda, G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right)$-module, where $M^{\iota}:=\Lambda \otimes_{\iota, \Lambda} \circ M$ denotes the $\Lambda$-module with underlying abelian group $M$, but on which $g \in G$ acts as $g^{-1}$ for any $\Lambda^{\circ}$-module $M$.

Given $\varepsilon_{R^{\circ},-\epsilon}\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(1)\right)$ we may apply the dualizing functor $-^{*}$ to obtain an isomorphism

$$
\varepsilon_{R^{\circ},-\xi}\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(1)\right)^{*}:\left(\operatorname{Det}_{R^{\circ}}\left(R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}^{*}(1)\right)\right)_{\widetilde{R^{\circ}}}\right)^{*}\left(\operatorname{Det}_{R^{\circ}}\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(1)\right)_{\widetilde{R^{\circ}}}\right)^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\widetilde{R^{\circ}}}
$$

while the local Tate duality isomorphism (see [12, Section 1.6.12])

$$
\psi(\mathbf{T}): R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}\right) \cong R \operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\circ}}\left(R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}^{*}(1)\right), R^{\circ}\right)[-2]
$$

induces an isomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\overline{\operatorname{Det}_{R}(\psi(\mathbf{T}))_{\widetilde{R}}}}^{-1}:\left(\left(\operatorname{Det}_{R^{\circ}}\left(R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}^{*}(1)\right)\right)_{\widetilde{R^{\circ}}}\right)^{*}\right)^{-1} \\
& \quad \cong \operatorname{Det}_{R}\left(R \operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\circ}}\left(R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}^{*}(1)\right), R^{\circ}\right)\right)_{\widetilde{R}}^{-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Det}_{R}\left(R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \mathbf{T}\right)\right)_{\widetilde{R}}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, for a map $f: A \rightarrow B$ in $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}(R)$, we write $\bar{f}: B \rightarrow A$ for its inverse with respect to composition, while $f^{-1}=: \overline{\mathrm{id}_{B^{-1}} \cdot f \cdot \mathrm{id}_{A^{-1}}}: A^{-1} \rightarrow B^{-1}$ for its inverse with respect to the multiplication in $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}(R)$, that is, $f \cdot f^{-1}=\operatorname{id}_{\operatorname{Det}_{R}(0)}$.

Consider the product

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{R, \xi}(\mathbf{T}) \cdot \varepsilon_{R^{\circ},-\xi}\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(1)\right)^{*} \cdot \overline{\operatorname{Det}_{R}(\psi(\mathbf{T}))_{\widetilde{R}}} \\
& \quad \cong \operatorname{Det}_{R}(\mathbf{T}(-1))_{\widetilde{R}} \\
& \quad \cong \operatorname{Det}_{R}\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(1)^{*}\right)_{\widetilde{R}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Det}_{R}(\mathbf{T})_{\widetilde{R}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the isomorphism $\mathbf{T}(-1) \xrightarrow{\cdot \xi} \mathbf{T}$ which sends $t \otimes \xi^{\otimes-1}$ to $t$.

## PROPOSITION 4.6.7 (DUALITY)

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be as above such that $\mathbf{T} \cong Y \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbf{T}(T)$ for some $(R, \Lambda)$-bimodule $Y$, which is projective as an $R$-module. Then

$$
\varepsilon_{R, \xi}(\mathbf{T}) \cdot \varepsilon_{R^{\circ},-\xi}\left(\mathbf{T}^{*}(1)\right)^{*} \cdot{\overline{\operatorname{Det}_{R}(\psi(\mathbf{T}))_{\tilde{R}}}}^{-1}=\operatorname{Det}_{R}(\mathbf{T}(-1) \xrightarrow{\zeta \xi} \mathbf{T})_{\tilde{R}} .
$$

## Proof

First note that the statement is stable under applying $Y^{\prime} \otimes_{R}-$, for some $\left(R^{\prime}, R\right)$ bimodule $Y^{\prime}$ which is projective as a $R^{\prime}$-module, by the functoriality of local Tate duality and the lemma below. Thus we are reduced to the case $(R, \mathbf{T})=(\Lambda, \mathbf{T}(T))$ where $T$ is a Galois stable lattice in some crystalline representation $V$.

Since the morphisms between $\operatorname{Det}_{R}(\mathbf{T}(-1))_{\tilde{R}}$ and $\operatorname{Det}_{R}(\mathbf{T})_{\tilde{R}}$ form a $K_{1}(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ torsor and the kernel

$$
S K_{1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}):=\operatorname{ker}\left(K_{1}(\widetilde{\Lambda}) \rightarrow \prod_{\rho \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)} K_{1}\left(\widetilde{L_{\rho}}\right)\right)=1
$$

is trivial, as $G$ is abelian, it suffices to check the statement for all $(L, V(\rho))$, which is nothing else than the content of [12, Proposition 3.3.8]. Here $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ denotes the set of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p}$-valued irreducible representations of $G$ with finite image.

## LEMMA 4.6.8

Let $Y$ be an $\left(R^{\prime}, R\right)$-bimodule such that $Y \otimes_{R} \mathbf{T} \cong \mathbf{T}^{\prime}$ as an $\left(R^{\prime}, G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right)$-module, and let $Y^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\prime}}\left(Y, R^{\prime}\right)$ be the induced $\left(R^{\prime \circ}, R^{\circ}\right)$-bimodule. Then there are
(1) a natural equivalence of functors

$$
Y \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\circ}}\left(-, R^{\circ}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\circ}}\left(Y^{*} \otimes_{R^{\circ}}-, R^{\prime \circ}\right)
$$

on $P\left(R^{\circ}\right)$;
(2) a natural isomorphism $Y^{*} \otimes_{R^{\circ}} \mathbf{T}^{*} \cong\left(\mathbf{T}^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ of $\left(R^{\prime}, G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}\right)$-modules.

Proof
This is easily checked using the adjointness of Hom and $\otimes$.

## 5. Evaluation at characters

### 5.1. Setup

For any de Rham character $\eta$ of $G$ (which we assume to take values in $L$ ), we write $\mathrm{ev}_{\eta}: \Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ for the $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$-linear ring homomorphism which sends $g$ to $\eta(g)$, and we abbreviate the functor

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G), \mathrm{ev}_{\eta}}^{\mathrm{L}}(-) \quad \text { by } \operatorname{sp}_{\eta}(-),
$$

where the tensor product is formed via $\mathrm{ev}_{\eta}$ as indicated.
We want to study the image under $\mathrm{sp}_{\eta}$ of the isomorphism $\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T)$ constructed above. We have

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\eta}\left(R \Gamma_{\mathrm{Iw}}\left(K_{\infty}, T\right)\right)=R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, T\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right),
$$

by [12, Proposition 1.6.5], and

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\eta}\left(\Lambda_{\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} T\right)=T\left(\eta^{-1}\right)
$$

(since clearly $T\left(\eta^{-1}\right)$ is canonically isomorphic to $T$ as a $\mathcal{O}$-module, although obviously not as a Galois representation). So $\mathrm{sp}_{\eta}$ is an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}(0) \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}}\left\{\operatorname{Det}_{\mathcal{O}} R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, T\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{\mathcal{O}} T\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right\} .
$$

THEOREM 5.1.1
Let $V$ be a crystalline representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ with coefficients in a finite extension $L$ of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, let $T$ be an $\mathcal{O}$-lattice in $V$, and let $\eta$ be an L-valued de Rham character of $G$, as above. Then the isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\eta}\left(\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{\mathcal{O}}(G), \xi}(T)\right)
$$

coincides with $\varepsilon_{L, \xi}(W)$ after extending scalars to $\widetilde{L}$, where $W$ is the de Rham representation $V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{L, \xi}(W)$ is the canonical $\varepsilon$-isomorphism of Section 2.4.

It is clear that $\mathrm{ev}_{\eta}$ extends to a homomorphism $\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G) \rightarrow \widetilde{L}$ and that the composition of the exact functor $\widetilde{L} \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}(-)$ with $\mathrm{sp}_{\eta}$ coincides with the derived tensor product

$$
\widetilde{L} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\tilde{L}}(G), \mathrm{ev}_{\eta}}^{\mathbf{L}}(-),
$$

which (in a slight abuse of notation) we shall also denote by $\mathrm{sp}_{\eta}$. So it suffices to show that

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\eta}\left(\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)\right)=\varepsilon_{L, \xi}(W) ;
$$

this implies Theorem 5.1.1 for all lattices $T \subset V$. By the invariance of $\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}$ under twists by crystalline characters, it suffices to prove the theorem under the additional hypothesis that $V$ has nonnegative Hodge-Tate weights.

We shall divide the de Rham characters of $G$ into the following three classes. Suppose that $h$ is the largest Hodge-Tate weight of $V$.

- Good characters: these are characters of $G$ whose Hodge-Tate weights are $\geq h$ or $\leq-1$, and such that the twisted representation $W=V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)$ satisfies $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)=H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)=0$.
- Somewhat bad characters: these are characters whose Hodge-Tate weights lie in $[0, h-1]$ but are still such that $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)=H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)=0$.
- Extremely bad characters: $\eta$ is extremely bad if $H^{i}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right) \neq 0$ for $i=0$ or $i=2$.

Note that somewhat bad characters almost always exist (they exist unless $V$ has all Hodge-Tate weights 0 , in which case $V$ is unramified), but extremely bad characters are rarer; in particular, there are none if $V$ is irreducible and $d>1$.

### 5.2. Evaluation of the Gamma factor

As a preliminary to the proof of Theorem 5.1.1, we need to compare the factor $\Gamma_{L}\left(V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)$ defined in Section 2.4 with the factor $\ell(V)$ arising in the definition of $\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)$.

## PROPOSITION 5.2.1

Let $\eta$ be an L-valued character of $G$ which is de Rham, with Hodge-Tate weight $j$, and let $W=V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\frac{\Gamma^{*}(1+j)^{d}}{\ell(V)^{*}(\eta)}=(-1)^{\sum n_{i}+j d+r} \Gamma_{L}(W)
$$

where $r=\#\left\{i: n_{i}>j\right\}=\operatorname{dim}_{L} t(W)$.
Proof
For any $n \geq 0$, we have
$\left(\ell_{0} \cdots \ell_{n-1}\right)^{*}(\eta)=\prod_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ k \neq j}}(j-k)= \begin{cases}\frac{j!}{(j-n)!} & \text { if } j \geq n, \\ j!(n-1-j)!(-1)^{n-1-j} & \text { if } 0 \leq j \leq n-1, \\ (-1)^{n} \frac{(n-1-j)!}{(-1-j)!} & \text { if } j \leq-1 .\end{cases}$
Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\Gamma^{*}(1+j)}\left(\ell_{0} \cdots \ell_{n-1}\right)^{*}(\eta) & = \begin{cases}\frac{1}{(j-n)!} & \text { if } j \geq n, \\
(-1)^{n-1-j}(n-1-j)! & \text { if } j \leq n-1,\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}(-1)^{n-j} \Gamma^{*}(n-j) & \text { if } j \geq n, \\
(-1)^{n-1-j} \Gamma^{*}(n-j) & \text { if } j \leq n-1 .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $n$ to be each of the Hodge-Tate weights of $V$ in turn and multiplying, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{\Gamma^{*}(1+j)^{d}} \ell(V)^{*}(\eta)=(-1)^{\sum n_{i}+j d+r} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \Gamma^{*}\left(n_{i}-j\right)=(-1)^{\sum n_{i}+j d+r} \Gamma_{L}(W)^{-1},
$$

since the Hodge-Tate weights of $W$ are $\left\{n_{i}-j\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, d}$.

### 5.3. The good characters

In this section, we prove Theorem 5.1.1 for good characters of $G$. As remarked above, it suffices to assume that $V$ has nonnegative Hodge-Tate weights, and that the character $\eta$ takes values in $L^{\times}$. We write $W=V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)$.

## PROPOSITION 5.3.1

Let $\eta$ be an L-valued de Rham character of $G$ whose Hodge-Tate weight $j$ does not lie in $[0, h-1]$, and such that $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)=H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)=0$.

Then we have the following.
(i) The corestriction map $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)_{G=\eta} \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)$ is an isomorphism of L-vector spaces, so

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{L} R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \cong\left(\operatorname{Det}_{L} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)_{G=\eta}\right)^{-1}
$$

(ii) composing the regulator with the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_{\eta}$ induces an isomorphism of free $\widetilde{L}$-modules

$$
\operatorname{ev}_{\eta} \circ \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}: \widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)_{G=\eta} \xrightarrow{\cong} \widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) ;
$$

(iii) the isomorphism

$$
\widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \operatorname{Det}_{L} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)_{G=\eta} \longrightarrow \widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V)
$$

coming from $\mathrm{sp}_{\eta}\left(\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)\right)$ via (i) is given by the map

$$
\left(-\eta\left(\gamma_{-1}\right)\right)^{d} \ell(V)(\eta)^{-1} \operatorname{Det}_{\tilde{L}}\left(\mathrm{ev}_{\eta} \circ \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{G}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)
$$

(iv) the isomorphism of (iii) coincides with the canonical isomorphism $\varepsilon_{L, \xi}(W)$ of Section 2.4 above, so Theorem 5.1.1 holds for $\eta$.

Proof
For (i), we have the exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \rightarrow H^{1}\left(G, H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, W\right)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(K_{\infty}, W\right)^{G} \\
& \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, W\right)_{G} \xrightarrow{\text { cores }} H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(G, H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(K_{\infty}, W\right)\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

given by the Tor spectral sequence for $\mathrm{sp}_{\eta}$. By Tate duality, $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(K_{\infty}, W\right)^{G} \cong$ $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W^{*}(1)\right)$, which is zero by assumption. However, since $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(K_{\infty}, W\right)$ is finite-dimensional, it decomposes as a finite direct sum of primary submodules corresponding to characters of $G$; if the $G$-invariants are zero, then the trivial character cannot appear, and any other direct summands have zero $G$ cohomology in all degrees, so we also have $H^{1}\left(G, H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(K_{\infty}, W\right)\right)=0$. Thus corestriction is an isomorphism $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, W\right)_{G} \cong H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)$, and since $W$ and $V$ are isomorphic as $G_{K_{\infty}}$-representations, we have

$$
H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, W\right)_{G}=\left(H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)_{G}=H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(K_{\infty}, V\right)_{G=\eta}
$$

Now let us suppose that $n \geq 1$, where $n$ is the conductor of $\eta$. By [17, Theorem 4.16] we have a commutative diagram of free $\widetilde{L}$-modules:


Here the vertical map is given by the isomorphism

$$
b_{\eta^{-1}}: \mathbf{Q}_{p, n} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \cong \mathbf{Q}_{p, n} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \widetilde{L} \otimes_{L} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(W)
$$

given by multiplication by $t^{j}$ in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes V$ (which depends on the choice of $\xi$, since $\xi$ determines $t) ; \Phi$ is the unique $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$-linear endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes V$ coinciding with the crystalline Frobenius on $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$; and the bracket in the diagonal map denotes either $\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W^{*}(1)}^{*}($ if $j \geq h)$ or $\log _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W}$ (if $j \leq-1$ ).

In either case, the diagonal map is clearly an isomorphism, which proves (ii). Part (iii) now follows from the definition of $\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)$ together with the compatibility of determinant functors and Tor spectral sequences (since the Tor spectral sequence for $\mathrm{sp}_{\eta}$ collapses in this case; cf. [25]). Let us prove (iv). By Proposition 2.5.1, for $j \geq h$ the determinant of $\log$ is $\theta_{L}(W)$, and for $j \leq-1$ the determinant of $\exp ^{*}$ is $(-1)^{d} \theta_{L}(W)$. We write this as $(-1)^{d-r} \theta_{L}(W)$, where $r=$ $\operatorname{dim} t(W)$ as in Proposition 5.2.1. Passing to determinants and dividing through by the factor $\ell(V)(\eta) \in L^{\times}$, the diagonal arrow becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Gamma^{*}(1+j)^{d}}{\ell(V)(\eta)} \varepsilon_{L}\left(\eta^{-1},-\xi\right) \operatorname{det}(\varphi)^{d}(-1)^{d-r} \theta_{L}(W) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{(j+1) d+\sum n_{i}} \Gamma_{L}(W) \varepsilon_{L}\left(W, \xi^{-1}\right) \theta_{L}(W) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{d+m(W)} \Gamma_{L}(W) \varepsilon_{L}\left(W, \xi^{-1}\right) \theta_{L}(W)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the formula for $\ell(V)(\eta)$ from Section 5.2 and written $m(W)$ for the sum of the Hodge-Tate weights of $W$.

Hence the following diagram commutes:

where the middle vertical map is multiplication by $t^{d j}$. Both the left-hand triangle and the right-hand square clearly commute. But $t^{m(V)} \operatorname{can}=\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)$, since $V$ is crystalline and hence the $\varepsilon$-factor $\varepsilon_{L}(W, \xi)$ is 1 . So the composition of the two arrows on the top row is

$$
\mathrm{sp}_{\eta}\left(\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G)}(V)\right) \varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)=(-1)^{m(V)}\left(-\eta\left(\gamma_{-1}\right)\right)^{d} \mathrm{sp}_{\eta}\left(\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)\right)
$$

by definition. On the other hand, the composition of the diagonal arrow and $t^{m(W)} \cdot$ can is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{d+m(W)} \Gamma_{L}(W) \varepsilon_{L}(W,-\xi) \theta_{L}(W) t^{m(W)} \cdot \mathrm{can} \\
& \quad=(-1)^{d+m(V)} \eta\left(\gamma_{-1}\right)^{d} \Gamma_{L}(W) \varepsilon_{L}(W, \xi) \theta_{L}(W) t^{m(W)} \cdot \mathrm{can} \\
& \quad=(-1)^{m(V)}\left(-\eta\left(\gamma_{-1}\right)\right)^{d} \Gamma_{L}(W) \theta_{L}(W) \varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(W)
\end{aligned}
$$

Canceling out the factor $(-1)^{m(V)}\left(-\eta\left(\gamma_{-1}\right)\right)^{d}$, we deduce that $\operatorname{sp}_{\eta}\left(\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)\right)=$ $\varepsilon_{L, \xi}(W)$ as required.

We now consider the case $n=0$, so $\eta$ is $\eta^{j}$ times an unramified character. In this case one obtains a diagram very similar to the above, but with $\Phi^{n}$ in the
diagonal map replaced by the operator

$$
\left(1-p^{j} \eta\left(\sigma_{p}\right) \Phi\right)\left(1-p^{-1-j} \eta\left(\sigma_{p}\right)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}\right)^{-1}
$$

Since $p^{j} \eta\left(\sigma_{p}\right) \Phi$ coincides with the Frobenius $\varphi$ of $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(W)$, the determinant of

$$
\left(1-p^{j} \eta\left(\sigma_{p}\right) \Phi\right)\left(1-p^{-1-j} \eta\left(\sigma_{p}\right)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\exp ^{*} \\
\log
\end{array}\right.
$$

is the base extension to $\widetilde{L}$ of $(-1)^{d-r} \theta_{L}(W)$, by Proposition 2.5.2, and the proof goes through as before.

### 5.4. The somewhat bad characters

Let us now suppose $\eta$ is "somewhat bad" in the sense above (recall that "somewhat bad" excludes "extremely bad," so $\left.H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)=H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)=0\right)$. By the twist compatibility of the $\varepsilon$-isomorphisms we may assume that $\eta$ factors through $\Gamma$. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the ideal of $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)$ corresponding to $\eta$, and define

$$
\varpi=\frac{\gamma-\eta(\gamma)}{\eta(\gamma) \log \chi(\gamma)},
$$

so $\varpi$ is the unique uniformizer of $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $\varpi^{\prime}(\eta)=1$. Note that $\varpi$ is not a zero divisor in $\Lambda(\Gamma)$.

We also denote by $\mathfrak{p}$ the ideal of $\mathcal{H}_{L}(\Gamma)$ above $\eta$. The inclusion $\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow$ $\mathcal{H}_{L}(\Gamma)$ induces an isomorphism after localization at $\mathfrak{p}$ and completion. (Both completions are isomorphic to $L[[\varpi]]$.)

Since $\eta$ is not "extremely bad," we know that the localization of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$ at the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ corresponding to $\eta$ is zero; the localization of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$ at $\mathfrak{p}$ is free of rank $d$; and reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ determines an isomorphism

$$
\Lambda(\Gamma) / \mathfrak{p} \otimes_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)
$$

Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ be any basis of $H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)$, and let $y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{d}$ be any basis of the quotient $\frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)}$. Then there exists a lifting $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ of $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}$ to a basis of the localization $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

By Theorem 3.1.1 (resp., Theorem 3.1.2 if $\eta$ is crystalline) we know that for $1 \leq i \leq r$ we have $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(x_{j}\right)(\eta)=0$, and hence (by the definition of $\varpi$ ) we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(x_{j}\right)=\varpi \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(x_{j}\right)^{\prime}(\eta) \bmod \mathfrak{p}^{2} .
$$

Let $A$ denote the unique $\Lambda(\Gamma)_{\mathfrak{p}}$-linear map

$$
H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \Lambda(\Gamma)_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)
$$

such that

$$
A\left(x_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\omega} \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(x_{j}\right) & \text { if } 1 \leq j \leq r \\ \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\left(x_{j}\right) & \text { if } r+1 \leq j \leq d\end{cases}
$$

This is well defined, since $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}$ are a free basis of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ over $\Lambda(\Gamma)_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We write $B$ for the morphism obtained by reducing modulo $\mathfrak{p}$.

## PROPOSITION 5.4.1

The determinant of $A$ is equal to the image of $\varpi^{-r} \operatorname{Det}\left(\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}\right)$ under localization at $\mathfrak{p}$.

Proof
This is clear from the definition of the map $A$.

We shall show that the reduction $B$ is an isomorphism; it follows that $A$ is also an isomorphism and that the image of the determinant of $\operatorname{Det}(A)$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ is just $\operatorname{Det}(B)$.

PROPOSITION 5.4.2
The image of $H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)$ under $B$ is a subspace of $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$ complementary to the subspace

$$
M:= \begin{cases}\varphi^{n} \operatorname{Fil}^{-j} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) & \text { if } n \geq 1, \\ \left(1-p^{j} \varphi\right)\left(1-p^{-1-j} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{Fil}^{-j} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) & \text { if } n=0\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the induced morphism $H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \xrightarrow{B} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{M}$ is an isomorphism, and it is given explicitly by

$$
\Gamma^{*}(1+j) \varepsilon\left(\eta^{-1},-\xi\right) \cdot \begin{cases}\varphi^{n}\left[\log _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W} \otimes t^{-j} e_{j}\right] \\ -\left(1-p^{-1-j} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left[\widetilde{\log }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W} \otimes t^{-j} e_{j}\right] & \text { if } n \geq 1 \\ \text { if } n=0\end{cases}
$$

Proof
The fact that the composite map is given by the formula above follows directly from Theorem 3.1.3, since the uniformizer $\varpi$ is chosen such that $\varpi^{\prime}(\eta)=1$. It remains to check that the composite is an isomorphism. For $n \geq 1$, the map

$$
x \mapsto \Gamma^{*}(1+j) \varepsilon\left(\eta^{-1},-\xi\right) \varphi^{n}\left(t^{-j} x \otimes e_{j}\right)
$$

defines an isomorphism $t(W) \cong \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{M}$, and the map of the proposition is the composite of this and

$$
\log _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W}: H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} t(W) .
$$

Similarly, in the case $n=0$ the map $H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \xrightarrow{B} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{M}$ is the composite of the twisting isomorphism $s(W) \cong \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{M}$ given by tensoring with $t^{-j} e_{j}$ and the morphism

$$
-\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{\log }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W}: H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \rightarrow s(W)
$$

of (9d) above.

## PROPOSITION 5.4.3

The image of the subspace $N \subseteq H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)$ spanned by $y_{r+1}, \ldots, y_{d}$ under $B$ is $M$, and the composite isomorphism

$$
\frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)} \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} N \xrightarrow{B} M
$$

is given by

$$
\Gamma^{*}(1+j) \varepsilon\left(\eta^{-1},-\xi\right) \cdot \begin{cases}\varphi^{n}\left[\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W^{*}(1)}^{*} \otimes t^{-j} e_{j}\right] & \text { if } n \geq 1, \\ -\left(1-p^{j} \varphi\right)\left[\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W^{*}(1)} \otimes t^{-j} e_{j}\right] & \text { if } n=0 .\end{cases}
$$

## Proof

The explicit formula follows from Theorems 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, and it follows from equation (9a) that the composite morphism is an isomorphism (via an argument very similar to Proposition 5.4.2).

Combining these two propositions we have the following.

## PROPOSITION 5.4.4

The image of $\operatorname{Det}(A)$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma^{*}(1+j)^{d} \varepsilon_{L}\left(\eta^{-1},-\xi\right)^{d} \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\varphi: \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)^{n} \\
& \quad \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\log : H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \rightarrow t(W)\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\exp ^{*}: \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(W)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $n \geq 1$; and if $n=0$ it is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma^{*}(1+j)^{d} \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(-\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{\log }: H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \rightarrow \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(W)}{(1-\varphi)\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(W)}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(-(1-\varphi) \widetilde{\exp }^{*}: \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(W)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now combine this with the result of Proposition 5.2.1, which shows that $\ell(V)$ has a zero of degree $r$ at $\eta$, and

$$
\frac{\Gamma^{*}(1+j)^{d}}{\ell(V)(\eta)}=(-1)^{m(V)+j d+r} \Gamma_{L}(W) \varpi^{-r} \bmod \varpi^{1-r}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{m(V)+j d+r} \Gamma_{L}(W) \varepsilon_{L}\left(\eta^{-1},-\xi\right)^{d} \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\varphi: \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)^{n} \\
& \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\log : H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \longrightarrow t(W)\right) \\
& \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\exp ^{*}: \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(W)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or (grouping the $(-1)$ 's differently)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{d+m(W)} \Gamma_{L}(W) \varepsilon_{L}(W,-\xi) \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(\log : H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \longrightarrow t(W)\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(-\exp ^{*}: \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{dR}}(W)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $n=0$ the result becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{d+m(W)} \Gamma_{L}(W) \varepsilon_{L}(W,-\xi) \\
& \quad \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(-\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \log : H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(W)}{(1-\varphi)\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(W)}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left((1-\varphi) \exp ^{*}: \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \longrightarrow(1-\varphi)\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(W)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Proposition 2.5.1 for $n \geq 1$, and Theorem 2.5.7 in the case $n=0$, and invoking again the compatibility of determinants with Tor spectral sequences, we see that in both cases the specialization of $\ell(V)^{-1} \operatorname{Det}_{\mathcal{K}(\Gamma)} \mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}$ at $\eta$ is

$$
(-1)^{d+m(W)} \Gamma_{L}(W) \varepsilon_{L}(W,-\xi) \theta_{L}(W),
$$

as in the case of good characters in Section 5.3. The remainder of the proof continues exactly as before, and we deduce that

$$
\operatorname{sp}_{\eta}\left(\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)\right)=\varepsilon_{L, \xi}(W) .
$$

### 5.5. The extremely bad characters

Let $\eta$ be an extremely bad character of $G$, and let $W=V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)$. Recall that our aim is to prove the following statement.

## PROPOSITION 5.5.1

We have

$$
\mathrm{sp}_{\eta}\left(\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V)=\varepsilon_{L(\eta), \xi}(W),
$$

where $\mathrm{sp}_{\eta}$ denotes specialization at $\eta$.
We prove the proposition by induction on $d=\operatorname{dim}_{L} W$. If $d=1$, then $W=L$ or $W=L(1)$, and the result is the content of [24, Theorem 2.13], once having checked that the epsilon isomorphisms defined in [24] and here agree. This can be seen from the fact that both agree with the Fukaya-Kato $\varepsilon$-isomorphism after specialization at any good (or somewhat bad) character, together with the fact that good characters are Zariski-dense in $\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda_{L}(G)$; however, we give a more direct proof in Appendix C.

Now assume that $d>1$ and that the proposition is true for all $d^{\prime}<d$. Then the assumption that $H^{i}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W\right) \neq 0$ for $i=0$ or $i=2$ implies that we can find a subrepresentation $W^{\prime}$ of dimension $<d$ such that we have a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow W^{\prime} \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow W / W^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

After twisting, this induces a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow W^{\prime}(\eta) \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow V / W^{\prime}(\eta) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Note that as $V$ is crystalline, so are $W^{\prime}(\eta)$ and $V / W^{\prime}(\eta)$. By induction hypothesis and the results in Sections 5.3 and 5.4, Proposition 5.5.1 is true for the representations $W^{\prime}(\eta)$ and $V / W^{\prime}(\eta)$. As we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V) & =\Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}\left(W^{\prime}(\eta)\right) \cdot \Theta_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}\left(V / W^{\prime}(\eta)\right), \\
\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}(V) & =\varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}\left(W^{\prime}(\eta)\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{L, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}\left(V / W^{\prime}(\eta)\right), \\
\varepsilon_{L(\eta), \xi}(W) & =\varepsilon_{L(\eta), \xi}\left(W^{\prime}\right) \cdot \varepsilon_{L(\eta), \xi}\left(W / W^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 4.5.1, Lemma 2.4.1, and Proposition 2.4.3, this finishes the proof.

## Appendix A: A formulary for the $p$-adic regulator map

In this appendix, we will prove a strengthening of the explicit formulae of [17, Appendix B] which determines, loosely speaking, the "leading term" of the $p$ adic regulator map at every de Rham character of $\Gamma$, including the case of "bad" characters where there are Frobenius eigenvalues equal to 1 or $p$.

## A. 1 The big exponential map

We will begin by quoting results regarding Perrin-Riou's big exponential map and its relation to the regulator map $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}$ introduced in Section 3 above.

Let $V$ be a crystalline representation whose Hodge-Tate weights lie in $[-\infty, h]$ for some integer $h \geq 1$. Define a map

$$
\Delta: \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^{h} \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{\left(1-p^{k} \varphi\right) \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}(k)
$$

as the direct sum of the obvious projection maps.
Then the Perrin-Riou exponential map is the map

$$
\Omega_{V, h, \xi}:\left(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)^{\Delta=0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}(\Gamma)} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\Omega_{V, h, \xi}(z)=\left(\ell_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \ell_{0}\right)(1-\varphi)^{-1}(\widetilde{z})
$$

where $\widetilde{z}$ denotes the image of $z$ under the isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{B}_{\text {rig }, \mathbf{Q}_{p}}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)^{\psi=0}
$$

which sends $\sum f_{i} \otimes d_{i}$ to $\sum f_{i}(1+\pi) \otimes d_{i}$.
(This is not quite the definition of $\Omega_{V, h, \xi}$ originally given in [21], but it is shown in [3, Theorem II.13] that the above formula does give a well-defined map and that this map agrees with Perrin-Riou's original definition, modulo choices of signs.)

## THEOREM A.1.1 (PERRIN-RIOU, CF. [22, THEORÉME 3.3])

There exists an extension of $\Omega_{V, h, \xi}$ to a morphism of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$-modules

$$
\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}(\Gamma)} \frac{H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)_{\text {tors }}}
$$

coinciding on $\operatorname{ker}(\Delta)$ with the map defined above.
Moreover, the values of $\Omega_{V, h, \xi}(z)$ at all de Rham characters $\eta$ of Hodge-Tate weights $j \leq h-1$ are given by the following formulae, which hold modulo the image of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)_{\text {tors }}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)$.
(1) If $\eta$ has positive conductor $n \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{pr}_{\eta}\left(\Omega_{V, h, \xi}(z)\right) \\
& \quad=(-1)^{h-j-1}(h-j-1)!\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)}\left(\tau\left(\eta_{0}, \xi\right) \cdot p^{-n} \varphi^{-n}\left(z(\eta) \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the Gauss sum $\tau\left(\eta_{0}, \xi\right)$ is as in Proposition 2.3 .3 above.
(2) If $\eta=\chi^{j}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{pr}_{\eta}\left(\Omega_{V, h, \xi}(z)\right) \\
& \quad=-(-1)^{h-j-1}(h-j-1)!\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)}\left[\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)\left(z(\eta) \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{\exp }$ is as defined in Section 2.5 above.

## Proof

If $n \geq 1$ or if $z(\eta) \in\left(1-p^{-j} \varphi\right) \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$, then we may assume that $z \in \operatorname{ker}(\Delta)$ and this is then a standard formula, equivalent to the commutative diagram relating $\Omega_{V, h}$ to the exponential maps (see, e.g., page 121 of [3]). ${ }^{\dagger}$ The awkward case when $z(\eta) \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}$ is not in the image of $1-\varphi$ is covered in [22].

## A. 2 The regulator at bad characters

We shall use Theorem A.1.1 to study the values of the regulator map at those characters where the factor on the left-hand side of formula (2) in Theorem 3.1.1 is not injective. We relate these values to the extended dual exponential map $\widetilde{\exp }^{*}$; given the indirect nature of the definition of this map, we have no choice but to exploit the duality between $V$ and $V^{*}(1)$.

[^2]
## PROPOSITION A.2.1

The regulator map $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}$ and the exponential $\Omega_{V, h, \xi}$ satisfy the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{V, h, \xi}\left(\mathcal{L}_{V}(x)\right)=\ell_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \ell_{0}(x) \quad\left(\bmod H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\text {tors }}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$.
Proof
If $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)$ lies in $\operatorname{ker}(\Delta)$, then this is obvious from Berger's redefinition of $\Omega_{V, h, \xi}$ given above. However, since the target of $\Delta$ is a torsion $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-module, and we have quotiented out by the torsion in $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$, this implies that the formula holds for all $x$.

By [20, Section 2.1], there is a pairing (the Perrin-Riou pairing)

$$
\langle-,-\rangle_{\mathrm{Iw}}: H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \otimes_{\Lambda_{L}(\Gamma)} H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{\iota} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{L}(\Gamma),
$$

where the superscript $\iota$ indicates that the pairing is antilinear in the second variable, with the property that for any character $\eta$ of $\Gamma$ we have

$$
\langle x, y\rangle_{\mathrm{IW}}(\eta)=\left\langle x_{\eta}, y_{\eta^{-1}}\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }},
$$

where

$$
\langle-,-\rangle_{\text {Tate }}: H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right) \otimes H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)(\eta)\right) \rightarrow L
$$

is the local Tate duality pairing.
We may extend the Perrin-Riou pairing to a pairing of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$-modules in the obvious way. We also define a pairing $\langle-,-\rangle_{\mathrm{Iw}, \text { cris }}$ by extending the natural pairing $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \times \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}\left(V^{*}(1)\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(L(1)) \cong L$ to a pairing

$$
\left(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right) \times\left(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)^{\iota} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) .
$$

PROPOSITION A.2.2
For any $x \in H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)$ and $w \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$, we have

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V}^{\Gamma}(x), w\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Iw}, \mathrm{cris}}=\gamma_{-1} \cdot\left\langle x, \Omega_{V^{*}(1), 1, \xi}(w)\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Iw}} .
$$

Proof
This is one of many possible forms of the Perrin-Riou reciprocity law. Since all the modules involved are torsion-free it suffices to prove this after inverting $\ell_{j}$ for all $j$. An application of equation (11) then reduces the statement to that of [17, Theorem B.6].

THEOREM A.2.3 (THEOREM 3.1.2)
For any $j \geq 0$, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)\left(\chi^{j}\right)=-j!\left(1-p^{j} \varphi\right)\left[\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1+j)}^{*}\left(x_{\chi^{j}}\right) \otimes t^{-j} e_{j}\right] .
$$

## Proof

Let $v \in \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}\left(V^{*}(1+j)\right)$, and choose some $w \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}\left(V^{*}(1)\right)$ such that $v=w\left(\chi^{-j}\right) \otimes t^{-j} e_{j}$. Then we have

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)\left(\chi^{j}\right) \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}, v\right\rangle_{\mathrm{cris}}=\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x), w\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Iw}, \mathrm{cris}}\left(\chi^{j}\right)
$$

By Proposition A.2.2 this is equal to

$$
(-1)^{j}\left\langle x, \Omega_{V^{*}(1), 1, \xi}(w)\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Iw}}\left(\chi^{j}\right)=(-1)^{j}\left\langle x_{\chi^{j}}, \operatorname{pr}_{\chi^{-j}}\left(\Omega_{V^{*}(1), 1, \xi}(w)\right)\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }}
$$

The term $\operatorname{pr}_{\chi^{-j}}\left(\Omega_{V^{*}(1), 1, \xi}(w)\right)$ is only defined modulo the image of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}\right.$, $\left.V^{*}(1)\right)_{\text {tors }}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1+j)\right)$, but this image is the orthogonal complement of the image of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(-j)\right)$, which by assumption contains $x_{\chi^{j}}$. We know that

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{\chi^{-j}}\left(\Omega_{V^{*}(1), 1, \xi}(w)\right)=(-1)^{j+1} j!\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1+j)}\left[\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right) v\right]
$$

modulo the image of the torsion. Substituting this in, we have

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)\left(\chi^{j}\right), v\right\rangle_{\text {cris }}=-j!\left\langle x_{\chi^{j}}, \widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1+j)}\left[\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right) v\right]\right\rangle_{\text {Tate }}
$$

Since $\widetilde{\exp }^{*}$ is, by definition, the adjoint of $\widetilde{\exp }$, the right-hand side is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -j!\left\langle\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1+j)}^{*}\left(x_{\chi^{j}}\right),\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right) v\right\rangle_{\text {cris }} \\
& \quad=-j!\left\langle\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1+j)}^{*}\left(x_{\chi^{j}}\right),\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right) v\right\rangle_{\text {cris }} \\
& \quad=-j!\left\langle(1-\varphi) \widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1+j)}^{*}\left(x_{\chi^{j}}\right), v\right\rangle_{\text {cris }}
\end{aligned}
$$

We deduce that

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)\left(\chi^{j}\right), v\right\rangle_{\mathrm{cris}}=-j!\left\langle(1-\varphi) \widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1+j)}^{*}\left(x_{\chi^{j}}\right), v\right\rangle_{\mathrm{cris}}
$$

for every $v \in \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}\left(V^{*}(1+j)\right)$, so we must have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)\left(\chi^{j}\right) \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}=-j!(1-\varphi){\widetilde{\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V}{ }^{*}(1+j)}}_{*}\left(x_{\chi^{j}}\right)
$$

as elements of $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V(-j))$, which is the claimed formula.

## A. 3 The derivative of the regulator

We now use Theorem A.1.1 to study the derivative of the regulator map $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}$ at its trivial zeros.

## PROPOSITION A.3.1

Let $V$ be a crystalline L-linear representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$ with all Hodge-Tate weights $\geq 0$, and let $\eta$ be a de Rham character of $\Gamma$ whose Hodge-Tate weight $j$ is $\geq 0$ and whose conductor is $n$. Let $W=V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)$, and let $x$ be an element of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)(\eta)=0$. Then
(1) if $\eta$ has conductor $n \geq 1$, then

$$
x_{\eta}=\frac{1}{j!} \exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W}\left[\tau(\eta, \xi) \cdot p^{-n} \varphi^{-n}\left(\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)^{\prime}(\eta) \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\text { (2) if } \eta=\chi^{j} \text {, then }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{\eta}= & -\frac{1}{j!\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, W}} \\
& \times\left[\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)\left(\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)^{\prime}(\eta) \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}\right)\right] \quad \bmod H^{1}\left(\Gamma, H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(-j)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p i}, V(-j)\right)\right)$ is nonzero if and only if $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p i}, V(-j)\right)$ is.

## Proof

Since $\mathcal{L}_{V}(x)(\eta)=0$, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{V}(x)=(\gamma-\eta(\gamma)) g \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $g \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$. Using equation (1), one checks that this implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{V}(x)^{\prime}(\eta)=g(\eta) \eta(\gamma) \log \chi(\gamma) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now find a formula for $\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)}(g(\eta))$ (resp., $\widetilde{\exp }(g(\eta))$ if $\left.n=0\right)$; comparing this with (13) will then give the proposition. We choose an integer $h>j$ such that all Hodge-Tate weights of $V$ lie in $[0, h]$, so the Perrin-Riou exponential map $\Omega_{V, h}$ is well defined. By enlarging $h$ if necessary, we may also assume that $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)^{\varphi=p^{-h}}=0$.

Applying $\Omega_{V, h}$ to both sides of equation (12) and using equation (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{V, h}(g)=A_{h, \eta}(x) \quad \text { modulo torsion } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the element

$$
A_{h, \eta}=\frac{\ell_{h-1} \circ \cdots \circ \ell_{0}}{\gamma-\eta(\gamma)} \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)
$$

since $0 \leq j<h$. Crucially, $A_{h, \eta}$ does not vanish at $\eta$, although it vanishes at every other locally algebraic character of degree $\leq h-1$.

We now apply Theorem A.1.1 to the element $z=g$, which is valid since $h-j \geq 1$. This tells us that the image of $\Omega_{V, h}(g)$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)$ is given, modulo the image of the torsion in $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$, by

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{\eta}\left(\Omega_{V, h}(g)\right)=(-1)^{h-j-1}(h-j-1)!e_{\eta}(g(\eta)),
$$

where we write $e_{\eta}(v)$ as a shorthand for

$$
\begin{cases}\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)}\left[\tau\left(\eta_{0}, \xi\right) \cdot p^{-n} \varphi^{-n}\left(v \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}\right)\right] & \text { if } n \geq 1 \\ -\widetilde{\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)}}\left[\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)\left(v \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}\right)\right] & \text { if } n=0\end{cases}
$$

Plugging in equation (14), this becomes

$$
\operatorname{pr}_{\eta}\left(A_{h, \eta} \cdot x\right)=(-1)^{h-j-1}(h-j-1)!e_{\eta}(g(\eta)) \quad\left(\bmod H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\mathrm{tors}}\right)
$$

The left-hand side is easy to deal with: it is simply $A_{h, \eta}(\eta) x_{\eta}$, where $A_{h, \eta}(\eta)$ is a nonzero constant (which we shall evaluate shortly), and $x_{\eta}$ is the image of $x$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)$ as before. Thus we have

$$
\frac{A_{h, \eta}(\eta)}{(-1)^{h-j-1}(h-j-1)!} x_{\eta}=e_{\eta}(g(\eta)) \quad\left(\bmod H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\text {tors }}\right)
$$

Let us now evaluate the "fudge factor" $A_{h, \eta}(\eta)$. We have $\ell_{r}(\eta)=j-r$ for $r \neq j$, while for $j=r$, we obtain

$$
\left(\frac{\ell_{j}}{\gamma-\eta(\gamma)}\right)(\eta)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{\log \left(\eta(\gamma) \tilde{\chi}(\gamma)^{s}\right)}{\log \chi(\gamma)}-j}{\eta(\gamma) \widetilde{\chi}(\gamma)^{s}-\eta(\gamma)}
$$

The denominator is easily seen to be $s \eta(\gamma) \log \chi(\gamma)+O\left(s^{2}\right)$, while the numerator is simply $s$.

Hence

$$
A_{h, \eta}(\eta)=\frac{1}{\eta(\gamma) \log \chi(\gamma)} \prod_{\substack{r=0 \\ r \neq j}}^{h-1}(j-r)=\frac{(-1)^{h-j-1}(h-j-1)!j!}{\eta(\gamma) \log \chi(\gamma)}
$$

Putting all the pieces together, we have shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{j!}{\eta(\gamma) \log \chi(\gamma)} x_{\eta} & =e_{\eta}(g(\eta)) \\
& =\frac{1}{\eta(\gamma) \log \chi(\gamma)} e_{\eta}\left(\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)^{\prime}(\eta)\right) \quad\left(\bmod H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\text {tors }}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{\eta} & =\frac{1}{j!} e_{\eta}\left(\mathcal{L}_{V, \xi}^{\Gamma}(x)^{\prime}(\eta)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{j!} \begin{cases}\exp _{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)}\left[\tau\left(\eta_{0}, \xi\right) \cdot p^{-n} \varphi^{-n}\left(v \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}\right)\right] & \text { if } n \geq 1, \\
-\widehat{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)}\left[\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)\left(v \otimes t^{j} e_{-j}\right)\right] & \text { if } n=0,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

again modulo the image of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\text {tors }}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)$.
We now analyze the torsion term. We know that $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\text {tors }}$ is isomorphic as a $\Gamma$-module to $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)$, and in particular it is crystalline as a $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$-representation and thus contains no noncrystalline characters in its support. Thus its image in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\left(\eta^{-1}\right)\right)$ is zero if $n \geq 1$. If $\eta=\chi^{j}$, then the image of $H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)_{\text {tors }}$ in $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(-j)\right)$ is precisely $H^{1}\left(\Gamma, H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)(-j)\right)$, which has the same dimension as $H^{0}\left(\Gamma, H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty}, V\right)(-j)\right)=H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V(-j)\right)$. This completes the proof.

## Appendix B: Proof of Theorem 2.5.7

In this appendix, we prove Theorem 2.5.7. We start with some remarks on signs.

## REMARK B.0.2

Recall that by [10, Section 4.3], to any exact sequence $C: 0 \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow X \rightarrow X_{2} \rightarrow 0$ of $L$-vector spaces there is attached a canonical isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Det}(C): \operatorname{Det}(X) \cong \operatorname{Det}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{Det}\left(X_{2}\right),
$$

which is compatible with the commutativity in $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}(L)$ in the following sense. If $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ and if $C_{1}: 0 \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow X \rightarrow X_{2} \rightarrow 0$ and $C_{2}: 0 \rightarrow X_{2} \rightarrow X \rightarrow X_{1} \rightarrow 0$
are the natural exact sequences, then we have a commutative triangle

where

$$
\psi_{\operatorname{Det}\left(X_{1}\right), \operatorname{Det}\left(X_{2}\right)}: \operatorname{Det}\left(X_{1}\right) \operatorname{Det}\left(X_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Det}\left(X_{2}\right) \operatorname{Det}\left(X_{1}\right)
$$

denotes the commutativity constraint. ${ }^{\dagger}$ Hence, usually these commutativity constraints do not give rise to any sign ambiguities - and we often suppress them from the notation - except in the case where $X_{1}=X_{2}$ or if inverses $\operatorname{Det}(X)^{-1}$ are involved, for the latter (see, e.g., (4.1.1) and 4.11(b) in [10]). In particular, for every $L$-vector space $X$, the symmetry automorphism $\operatorname{Det}\left(S_{X}\right): \operatorname{Det}(X \oplus X) \cong$ $\operatorname{Det}(X \oplus X)$ corresponds to $\operatorname{Det}_{L}(-1 \mid X)=(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}_{L}(X)}$ under the isomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Det}(L)}\left(\operatorname{Det}_{L}(X \oplus X)\right) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_{\underline{\operatorname{Det}(L)}}\left(\operatorname{Det}_{L}(0)\right) \cong \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{Det}(L)}\left(\operatorname{Det}_{L}(X)\right)$, see Section 4.9 of (loc. cit.), and one immediately checks the commutativity of the following diagram:

where $C_{i}$ correspond to the above short exact sequences for $X_{1}=X_{2}=X$.
Upon replacing $\operatorname{dim}_{L}(V)$ by the Euler-Poincaré characteristic $\chi(C):=$ $\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim}_{L}\left(C^{i}\right)$ these remarks extend immediately to (perfect) complexes $C$ of $L$-vector spaces.

Let $V$ be a crystalline $L$-linear representation of $G_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}}$. Consider the four filtered $L$-vector spaces $\mathcal{D}_{i}=\left(D_{i}, F_{i}\right)$, with $D_{i}=\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)$ for each $i$, and consider the

[^3]subspaces $F_{i}$ defined by
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{3}=\operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V), \\
& F_{1}=h^{-1}\left(F_{3}\right), \\
& F_{4}=g\left(F_{3}\right), \\
& F_{2}=g\left(F_{1}\right)=h^{-1}\left(F_{4}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where $g=1-\varphi$ and $h=1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}$. We obtain a commutative square of filtered $L$-vector spaces


LEMMA B.0.3
(1) Let

be a commutative square of $K$-vector spaces considered also as complexes (concentrated in degree 0 ). Then this can be extended to $a(3 \times 3)$-diagram in the derived category of $K$-vector spaces

such that the following diagram of determinants commutes (with the obvious commutativity and associativity constraints which we have suppressed)


Here $C(f)$ denotes the mapping cone of a map $f, T$ is the shift by one functor, and the right lower square above anticommutes. Equivalently the following natural diagram commutes:


Moreover, in the above diagram all solid arrows arise naturally from the cone construction, while the dotted arrows arise from the isomorphism of complexes between

$$
C(H): A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\left(h_{A},-g^{\prime}\right\rangle} A \oplus B \xrightarrow{\left\langle g, h_{B}\right\rangle} B
$$

starting in degree -2 on the left and

$$
C(H): A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\left(g^{\prime},-h_{A}\right)} B^{\prime} \oplus A \xrightarrow{\left\langle h_{B}, g\right\rangle} B
$$

which is given by $\mathrm{id}_{A^{\prime}}$ in degree -2 and by the identity (and permutation of summands) otherwise. Alternatively, one can replace $C(G)$ by $C(H)$ and instead adjust the horizontal arrows ending or starting in it.
(2) Applying the first item to each of the squares occurring in the $(2 \times 2 \times 2)$ cube (15) we obtain an (anti)commutative $(3 \times 3 \times 3)$-cube, some faces/sheets (consisting of distinguished triangles) of which are given as follows:


(16c)



Moreover we have canonical isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{12} & \xrightarrow{〔} F_{34}, \\
F_{13} & \xrightarrow{g} F_{24}, \\
D_{34} & \xrightarrow{\text { id }} D_{12}, \\
(D / F)_{12} & \xrightarrow{h}(D / F)_{34} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof
A statement similar to that in (i) can be found in [6, Lemma 3.9] (see also [13, Corollary 1.10]) for general triangular categories, but with mapping cones possibly replaced by quasi-isomorphic complexes. This is usually proved using the octahedral axiom. In our specific simple setting one can alternatively verify both statements explicitly. The zeros in (ii) are the consequence of the specific choice of the $F_{i}$ 's.

## PROPOSITION B.0.4

Using canonical isomorphisms induced by the above cube we obtain the commutative diagram

where the isomorphism in the first line arises by using the first lines of the above faces (16a), (16b), (16c), and the second line of (16d) while the isomorphism in the second line arises by using the second lines of the above faces (16a), (16b), (16c), and the first line of (16d).

Proof
Applying Lemma B.0.3 to each of the four involved faces gives the following commutative diagrams:


Multiplying all the diagrams up and canceling corresponding objects leads in the first line to the isomorphism

$$
\left[D_{1}\right]\left[F_{34}\right]^{-1} \cong\left[F_{1}\right]\left[(D / F)_{13}\right]^{-1}\left[D_{3}\right]\left[F_{4}\right]^{-1}
$$

while in the second line we obtain

$$
\left[D_{2}\right]\left[F_{4}\right]\left[F_{1}\right]^{-1}\left[F_{12}\right]^{-1} \cong\left[(D / F)_{24}\right]^{-1}\left[D_{4}\right]
$$

times the error terms in parentheses

$$
\left[D_{12}\right]^{-1}\left[F_{34}\right]^{-1}\left[F_{13}\right]^{-1} \cong\left[F_{12}\right]^{-1}\left[D_{34}\right]^{-1}\left[F_{24}\right]^{-1} .
$$

Multiplication with the inverse of the left vertical map and cancelation of the $\left[D_{i}\right]=\left[\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right]$ among each other using the identity map leads to the commutative diagram


Using the canonical identities

$$
\left[D_{12}\right]^{-1}\left[D_{34}\right]=\left[F_{34}\right]^{-1}\left[F_{12}\right]=\left[F_{13}\right]^{-1}\left[F_{24}\right] \cong \mathbf{1}
$$

we see that this error term is canonically isomorphic to the unit object $\mathbf{1}$, whence the claim.

## COROLLARY B.0.5

The isomorphism $\mathbf{1} \cong\left[R \Gamma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)\right]\left[\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right]$ given by isomorphisms (9a)-(9d) in Section 2.5 above coincides with

$$
(-1)^{\operatorname{dim} V} \theta(V)
$$

Proof
Using the canonical isomorphism $\left[F_{4}\right] \cong\left[D_{4}\right]\left[D_{4} / F_{4}\right]^{-1}$ the proposition induces also a commutative diagram


Now we claim that the (inverse of the) upper line defines $\theta(V)$ times [ $-\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}$ ] while the (inverse of the) lower one corresponds to the isomorphism in the conjecture. Indeed, first note that we have natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T F_{12} \xrightarrow{h} T F_{34} \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right), \\
&(D / F)_{13} \xrightarrow{g}(D / F)_{24} \cong \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right), \\
&-\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}: \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) / \mathrm{H}_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \cong F_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(the sign has the same origin as that in Proposition 2.5.1), and

$$
\widetilde{\log }_{V}: \mathrm{H}_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \cong D_{4} / F_{4} .
$$

Secondly, up to the identification $\widetilde{\exp }_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)}^{*}$, the exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right): 0 & \longrightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \longrightarrow \frac{H^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)}{H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right)} \\
& \xrightarrow{-(1-\varphi) \circ \widetilde{\mathrm{xp}}^{*}} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}{s(V)} \longrightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $\left.s(V)=(1-\varphi)\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)^{-1} \operatorname{Fil}^{0} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)\right)$ corresponds to the combination of the triangles

$$
F_{1} \xrightarrow{g} F_{2} \longrightarrow F_{12}
$$

and

$$
F_{2} \hookrightarrow D_{2} \rightarrow D_{2} / F_{2}
$$

using $F_{2}=s(V)$. Similarly, the exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}: 0 & \longrightarrow s(V) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V) \\
& \xrightarrow{\text { exp }\left(1-p^{-1} \varphi^{-1}\right)} H_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \longrightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

corresponds to

$$
D_{2} / F_{2} \xrightarrow{h} D_{4} / F_{4} \longrightarrow(D / F)_{24} .
$$

Altogether we just obtain the identifications used for the second line. Concerning the first line, consider the following commutative diagram:

or in the derived category

$$
\left(C_{3}\right): \quad\left(C_{4}\right):
$$



For simplicity we identify $C(1-\varphi) \cong C((1-\varphi, \overline{\mathrm{d}}))$. It follows again from Lemma B.0.3 that there is a commutative diagram

where $\theta_{1}$ is defined by the commutativity of the left and right subdiagrams. Now it is easy to check that the right half of the diagram can be described also by

that is, by looking at the diagonal we see that $\operatorname{id}_{[t(V)]\left[F_{3}\right]} \theta_{1}$ equals the composite of the left vertical column in the following commutative diagram:


Since on the other hand the following diagram commutes by Remark B.0.2,

we see that $\operatorname{id}_{[t(V)]\left[F_{3}\right]} \theta_{1}$ is just given by

$$
[t(V)]\left[F_{3}\right][t(V)] \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_{[t(V)]}\left[C_{3}\right]^{-1}}[t(V)][D] \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_{[t(V)]}\left[C_{1}\right]}[t(V)]\left[F_{3}\right][C(1-\phi)] ;
$$

that is, upon identifying $D_{3}$ and $D_{4}$ the map $\theta_{1}$ is induced by the triangles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{3} \rightarrow D_{3} \rightarrow D_{3} / F_{3} \rightarrow \\
& F_{3} \rightarrow F_{4} \rightarrow F_{34} \rightarrow \\
& F_{4} \rightarrow D_{4} \rightarrow D_{4} / F_{4} \rightarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

and the factor $\left[-\mathrm{id}_{t(V)}\right]$. Dually there exists a map $\theta_{2}:\left[F_{3}\right] \rightarrow\left[C\left(1-p^{-1} \phi^{-1}\right)^{*}\right]$ which is induced by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{3} & \rightarrow D_{3} \rightarrow D_{3} / F_{3} \rightarrow, \\
D_{1} / F_{1} & \rightarrow D_{3} / F_{3} \rightarrow(D / F)_{13} \rightarrow, \\
F_{1} & \rightarrow D_{1} \rightarrow D_{1} / F_{1} \rightarrow,
\end{aligned}
$$

and the factor $\left[-\mathrm{id}_{t\left(V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}}\right]$ and such that $\theta(V)$ is induced by $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ together with the canonical exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) / \mathrm{H}_{f}^{1}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}, V\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and cancellation of the $\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}$ 's. Altogether we see that $\theta(V)$ equally can be expressed upon canceling the various $D_{i}$ 's by the combination of the triangles

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1} & \rightarrow D_{1} \rightarrow D_{1} / F_{1} \rightarrow, \\
D_{1} / F_{1} & \rightarrow D_{3} / F_{3} \rightarrow(D / F)_{13} \rightarrow, \\
F_{3} & \rightarrow D_{3} \rightarrow D_{3} / F_{3} \rightarrow, \\
F_{3} & \rightarrow F_{4} \rightarrow F_{34} \rightarrow, \\
F_{4} & \rightarrow D_{4} \rightarrow D_{4} / F_{4} \rightarrow,
\end{aligned}
$$

which altogether just define the first line of (17), times $\left[-\mathrm{id}_{t\left(V^{*}(1)\right)^{*}}\right]\left[-\mathrm{id}_{t(V)}\right]=$ $\left[-\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}(V)}\right]$. This completes the proof.

## Appendix C: Comparison with Kato's rank one epsilon isomorphisms

In this section we explain why the construction of the epsilon isomorphism in [24] actually turns out to be the same as that in this paper. This relies on the fact that roughly speaking both the regulator map in [17] and the epsilon isomorphism in [24] arise by taking inverse limits in the unramified direction from objects defined over cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_{p}$-extensions.

Let $K$ be a finite, unramified extension of $\mathbf{Q}_{p}$, and let $K_{\infty}:=K\left(\mu_{p \infty}\right)$ and $G=G\left(K_{\infty} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right), H=\left\langle\tau_{p}\right\rangle=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right), \Gamma=G\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p, \infty} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right), \mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)=\mathbf{Z}_{p} t_{r}, e_{r}:=$ $t^{-r} \otimes t_{r} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r)\right), r \geq 0$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

where $\ell_{i}:=t \partial-i, \partial=(1+X) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dX}}, t=\log (1+X)$, and the twisted ring

$$
\Lambda_{\tau_{p}}=\left\{x \in \Lambda(G) \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_{K}:\left(\tau_{p} \otimes 1\right) \cdot x=\left(\operatorname{id} \otimes \tau_{p}\right)(x)\right\}=S_{K}
$$

should be compared to $S_{n}$ in [17]. Furthermore,

$$
\mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)=\Lambda(G)^{\natural} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_{p}} \mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)
$$

and the map $\Theta$ is defined by

$$
\Theta_{r}\left(\lambda \otimes e_{r}\right)=\left(1 \otimes t_{r}\right) \otimes \sum_{i=0}^{\# H-1} \tau_{p}^{i} \tau_{p}^{-i}(\lambda)
$$

while

$$
\omega: \mathcal{H}_{K}(\Gamma)=\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{K} \cong \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes S_{K}
$$

sends $h \otimes o$ to $h \otimes \sum_{i=0}^{\# H-1} \tau_{p}^{i} \tau_{p}^{-i}(o)$. Finally the map

$$
\operatorname{comp}: \varphi^{*}\left(N\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)\right)^{\psi=0} \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{B}_{\text {rig }, K}^{+}\right)^{\psi=0} \otimes_{K} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r)\right)
$$

is induced from the inverse of Berger's comparison isomorphism

$$
\mathbf{B}_{\text {rig }, K}^{+}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right] \otimes_{K} \mathbf{D}_{\text {cris }}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r)\right)=\mathbf{B}_{\text {rig }, K}^{+}\left[\frac{1}{t}\right] \otimes_{\mathbf{B}_{K}^{+}} N\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r)\right)
$$

(cf. [4]), where $\mathbf{B}_{K}^{+}=\mathcal{O}_{K}[[X]]\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$.
Taking the limit over $K$ within some unramified extension $K^{\prime} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}$ with $G\left(K^{\prime}(\mu(p)) / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ being of dimension 2 and embedding $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \widehat{\otimes} S_{\infty}$ into $\mathcal{H}_{\hat{K}^{\prime}}(G)$ we obtain the commutative diagram


In particular

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r)\right) \cdot \ell\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r)\right)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r), \xi}^{G}=-\epsilon_{\Lambda(\Gamma), \xi^{-1}}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)\right),
$$

where the latter $\epsilon$-isomorphism is the one defined in [24, Definition 2.5]. For this we use also [24, Lemma A.4], note the signs $-\mathcal{L}_{\xi^{-1}}$ in the definition (2.13) in [24, (11)]. Multiplying by $(-1)^{r} \gamma_{-1}$, which gives the action of $\gamma_{-1}$ on $\mathbf{T}_{K^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)$, has the effect of replacing $\xi$ with $-\xi$ on the right-hand side, and thus we obtain

$$
(-1)^{r+1}\left(\gamma_{-1}\right)^{r} \epsilon_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}, \xi, \mathrm{dR}}\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r)\right) \cdot \ell\left(\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r)\right)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r), \xi}^{G}=\epsilon_{\Lambda(\Gamma), \xi}^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{T}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{p}(r)\right)\right)
$$

The quantity $(-1)^{r+1}\left(\gamma_{-1}\right)^{r}$ is precisely the factor appearing in the definition of the $\varepsilon$-isomorphism $\varepsilon_{\Lambda_{L}(G), \xi}(V)$ in the present paper for $V=\mathbf{Q}_{p}(r)$, so the isomorphisms in the present paper and in [24] coincide as required.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Marco Schlichting for his explanations of $K_{1}$ and $S K_{1}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ There appears to be a minor error in [12] in item (7) of Section 3.2.2: the factor $\chi(\tau)^{n}$ is not well defined, since $\tau$ is chosen as an arbitrary element of $W\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$ such that $v(\tau)=1$, so $\tau$ is only determined up to multiplication by an element of $I\left(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{p} / \mathbf{Q}_{p}\right)$; one needs to assume that $\tau$ acts trivially on $\mathbf{Q}_{p, n}$. With this modification, the formula is correct modulo a sign error: the factor $\chi(\tau)^{n}$ should be $\chi(\tau)^{-n}$, as one sees by comparison with (5).

[^1]:    $\dagger$ We adopt the convention in this paper that the Hodge-Tate weight of the cyclotomic character is 1 .

[^2]:    $\dagger$ Sadly, there seems to be a recurring ambiguity in the literature regarding the signs (cf. [3, Remark II.17]). We use Berger's conventions, but we note that there are two errors in the commutative diagram on page 121 of [3]: firstly, the map $\Omega_{V(j), h}$ in the top row should be $\Omega_{V(j), h+j}$; secondly, the sign $(-1)^{h+j-1}$ is missing. We believe the signs above to be the correct ones.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Recall that by [10, Example in Section 4.1] over a field $L$ we can take the category of (graded) line bundles, that is, one-dimensional vector spaces (plus a dimension parameter), for the Picard category $\underline{\operatorname{Det}}(L)$ in which the determinant functor $\operatorname{Det}_{L}$ takes its values. Then the commutativity constraint is given as
    $\psi_{\operatorname{Det}_{L}(V), \operatorname{Det}_{L}(W)}: \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V) \operatorname{Det}_{L}(W) \rightarrow \operatorname{Det}_{L}(W) \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V), \quad \nu \omega \mapsto(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}_{L}(V) \operatorname{dim}_{L}(W)} \omega \nu$. Moreover, by the natural isomorphism

    $$
    \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V) \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(V^{*}\right) \cong \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V) \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V)^{*} \cong \operatorname{Det}_{L}(0)
    $$

    we may identify the inverse $\operatorname{Det}_{L}(V)^{-1}$ of $\operatorname{Det}_{L}(V)$ with $\operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(V^{*}\right)$. But note that it differs from the identification using the natural isomorphism

    $$
    \operatorname{Det}_{L}\left(V^{*}\right) \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V) \cong \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V)^{*} \operatorname{Det}_{L}(V) \cong \operatorname{Det}_{L}(0)
    $$

    by the $\operatorname{sign}(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}(V)} \operatorname{id}_{\operatorname{Det}_{L}(0)}$.

