

## I-CONVEXITY AND Q-CONVEXITY IN ORLICZ–BOCHNER FUNCTION SPACES EQUIPPED WITH THE LUXEMBURG NORM

WANZHONG GONG,\* XIAOLI DONG, and KANGJI WANG

Communicated by D. Leung

ABSTRACT. We study I-convexity and Q-convexity, two geometric properties introduced by Amir and Franchetti. We point out that a Banach space  $X$  has the weak fixed-point property when  $X$  is I-convex (or Q-convex) with a strongly bimonotone basis. By means of some characterizations of I-convexity and Q-convexity in Banach spaces, we obtain criteria for these two convexities in the Orlicz–Bochner function space  $L_{(M)}(\mu, X)$ : that  $L_{(M)}(\mu, X)$  is I-convex (or Q-convex) if and only if  $L_{(M)}(\mu)$  is reflexive and  $X$  is I-convex (or Q-convex).

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that convexity and reflexivity play important roles in Banach space theory. Since *B-convexity* (*B-C* for short) and *uniform nonsquareness* (*U-NS*) were given by Beck [3] and James [10], respectively, these convexities have been widely used in probability theory, fixed-point theory, and many other fields (see [3], [6], [7], [10]). Some relevant convexities, such as *P-convexity* (*P-C*), *O-convexity* (*O-C*), *Q-convexity* (*Q-C*), and *I-convexity* (*I-C*) have been introduced and investigated by many mathematicians (see [2], [4], [12]–[14]). Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Denote by  $B(X)$  and  $S(X)$  the unit ball and the unit sphere of  $X$ , respectively. A Banach space  $X$  is considered to be I-convex if there exist

---

Copyright 2019 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Feb. 10, 2018; Accepted Apr. 30, 2018.

First published online Jan. 7, 2019.

\*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46B20; Secondary 46E30.

*Keywords*. Orlicz–Bochner function space, Luxemburg norm, I-convexity, Q-convexity.

$n \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in B(X)$ , we have

$$\min \left\{ \left\| x_k - \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n x_i \right\| : 1 \leq k \leq n \right\} < n - \varepsilon. \quad (1.1)$$

Certainly  $B(X)$  above can be replaced by  $S(X)$ . An equivalent definition about I-convexity was given in [2], namely, there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in B(X)$ , the following holds:

$$\min \{ d(x_k, \text{conv}\{x_i\}_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n) : k = 1, 2, \dots, n \} < 2 - \varepsilon. \quad (1.2)$$

We consider a Banach space  $X$  to be Q-convex if there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for no  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in B(X)$ , we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} x_i - x_k \right\| \geq k - \varepsilon \quad (1.3)$$

for  $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$ . It is proved in [2] that  $X$  is Q-convex if and only if there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in B(X)$ , it holds that

$$\min \{ d(x_{k+1}, \text{conv}\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k) : k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \} < 2 - \varepsilon. \quad (1.4)$$

From [2] we know that either I-convexity or Q-convexity is a self-dual property; that is,  $X$  has it if and only if  $X^*$  has it. We also know that

$$(P-C) \text{ or } (U-NS) \Rightarrow (O-C) \Rightarrow (Q-C) \implies (I-C) \text{ and } (S-Rfx),$$

where (S-Rfx) denotes super-reflexivity, and that

$$(I-C) \text{ or } (S-Rfx) \implies (B-C).$$

We say that  $\{x_n\}$  is a *strongly bimonotone Schauder basis* if  $\|P_F\| = \|I - P_F\| = 1$  for every segment  $F = [a, b] := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a \leq n \leq b\}$ , where  $P_F$  is defined by  $P_F(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n) = \sum_{n \in F} a_n x_n$  for  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n \in X$ . From Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 in [5], we can immediately get the following proposition about I-convexity and Q-convexity in the fixed-point theory, which generalizes the relevant result about O-convexity (see [15]).

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $X$  is a Q-convex (or I-convex) Banach space with a strongly bimonotone basis, then  $X$  has the weak fixed-point property.*

Some convexities including (U-N), (P-C), and (B-C) in Orlicz space, Lebesgue–Bochner space, and Orlicz–Bochner space were characterized by Alherk and Hudzik [1], Hudzik [8], [9], Kamínska and Turett [11], Kolwicz and Pluciennik [12], and Smith and Turett [16], among others. In [2] Amir and Franchetti obtained the criteria for  $l_p(X_i)$  being Q-convex and I-convex. The characterization of Q-convexity in Orlicz space was given in [4]. Because the structure of the Orlicz–Bochner function space  $L_{(M)}(\mu, X)$  is much more complicated than Orlicz space, until now the criteria for Q-convexity and I-convexity in Orlicz–Bochner function spaces have not been discussed. In this article we will give some characterizations

about I-convexity and Q-convexity in Banach space, then show the criteria for the Orlicz–Bochner space  $L_{(M)}(\mu, X)$  being I-convex and Q-convex.

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of all real numbers. A function  $M : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is called an  $\mathcal{N}$ -function if  $M$  is convex, even,  $M(0) = 0$ ,  $M(u) > 0$  ( $u \neq 0$ ), and  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{M(u)}{u} = 0$ ,  $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(u)}{u} = \infty$ . The complemented function  $N$  of  $M$  is defined in the sense of Young by

$$N(v) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \{uv - M(u)\}.$$

It is known that if  $M$  is an  $\mathcal{N}$ -function, then its complemented function  $N$  is also an  $\mathcal{N}$ -function. The function  $M$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $M \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$  for short) if for some  $K > 0$ ,

$$M(2u) \leq KM(u) \tag{1.5}$$

holds for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . We usually denote by  $M \in \nabla_2(\mathbb{R})$  if  $N \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a nonatomic infinite measure space. For a measurable function  $u(t)$ , we call  $\rho_M(u) = \int_{\Omega} M(u(t)) d\mu$  the *modular* of  $u$ . The Orlicz function space  $L_{(M)}(\mu)$  generated by  $M$  is the Banach space

$$L_{(M)}(\mu) = \{u : \rho_M(\lambda u) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}$$

equipped with Luxemburg norm

$$\|u\|_{(M)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \rho_M\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

If  $u : \Omega \rightarrow X$  is a vector-valued measurable function (i.e., there exists a sequence of vector-valued simple functions  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n(t) - u(t)\| = 0$ ,  $\mu$ -a.e.), then we denote by  $L_{(M)}(\mu, X)$ . We call such spaces *Orlicz–Bochner spaces*.

We have known that an Orlicz function space  $L_{(M)}(\mu)$  with the Luxemburg norm is B-convex if and only if it is reflexive (see [4]), and similarly for uniform nonsquareness. Thus  $L_{(M)}(\mu)$  is I-convex or Q-convex if and only if it is reflexive. (For more details on Orlicz and Orlicz–Bochner function spaces, P-convexity, O-convexity, I-convexity, and Q-convexity, see [2], [4], [6], and [15].)

## 2. Lemmas

**Lemma 2.1** ([1, Lemma 2], [4, Theorem 1.13], [11, Proposition 1], [12, Lemma 1]).

- (1) *We have  $N \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if for any  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $\gamma_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$M(\eta u) \leq \eta \gamma_0 M(u) \tag{2.1}$$

*holds for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

- (2) *If  $N \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$ , then there exist  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $\gamma = \gamma(a) \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$M\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)(M(u) + M(v)) \tag{2.2}$$

*holds for all  $u, v$  satisfying  $|\frac{u}{v}| \leq a$ .*

- (3) We have  $M \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$  if and only if for each  $l > 1$  there exists  $k = k(l) > 1$  such that for any  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$M(lu) \leq kM(u). \quad (2.3)$$

By Theorem 1.13 in [4], we know that if  $M \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$ , then for any  $c > 1$ , there exists  $a_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$M((1 + a_0)u) \leq cM(u) \quad (2.4)$$

holds for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . From the proofs of Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 3.2 in [2], we can easily obtain the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then  $X$  is  $I$ -convex if and only if there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in S(X)$ ,  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  can be found such that*

$$\left\| x_{k_0} - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n x_i \right\| < 2 - \varepsilon. \quad (2.5)$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then  $X$  is  $Q$ -convex if and only if there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that, for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in S(X)$ ,  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  can be found such that*

$$\left\| x_{k_0+1} - \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} x_i \right\| < 2 - \varepsilon. \quad (2.6)$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then  $X$  is  $I$ -convex if and only if there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that, for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  can be found such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| x_{k_0} - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n x_i \right\| \\ & \leq \left( \|x_{k_0}\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n \|x_i\| \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2\delta \min\{\|x_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}}{\|x_{k_0}\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k_0}^n \|x_i\|} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof.* (Necessity) By Lemma 2.2, we may assume without loss of generality that  $x_n$  satisfies

$$1 - \delta \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|} - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|} \right\|,$$

where  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , independent of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . Now setting  $y_n = x_n, y_i = -x_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , we get

$$1 - \delta \geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left\| (n-1) \frac{y_n}{\|y_n\|} + \frac{y_1}{\|y_1\|} + \frac{y_2}{\|y_2\|} + \dots + \frac{y_{n-1}}{\|y_{n-1}\|} \right\|. \quad (2.8)$$

Case I:  $\|y_n\| = \min\{\|y_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . By (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \delta &\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left\| \frac{1}{\|y_n\|} (y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{n-1} + (n-1)y_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\|y_n\|} - \frac{1}{\|y_i\|} \right) y_i \right\| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left( \frac{1}{\|y_n\|} \|y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{n-1} + (n-1)y_n\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\|y_n\|} - \frac{1}{\|y_i\|} \right) \|y_i\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2(n-1)\|y_n\|} \|y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_{n-1} + (n-1)y_n\| \\ &\leq 1 - \delta - \frac{n-1}{2(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \cdot \frac{\|y_1\| + \|y_2\| + \dots + \|y_{n-1}\|}{\|y_n\|} \\ &= 1 - \delta - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|y_i\|}{2(n-1)\|y_n\|} \\ &= -\delta + \frac{(n-1)\|y_n\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|y_i\|}{2(n-1)\|y_n\|}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| y_n + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \right\| &\leq 2\|y_n\| \left( \frac{(n-1)\|y_n\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|y_i\| - 2\delta(n-1)\|y_n\|}{2(n-1)\|y_n\|} \right) \\ &= \|y_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|y_i\| - 2\delta\|y_n\| \\ &= \left( \|y_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|y_i\| \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2\delta\|y_n\|}{\|y_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|y_i\|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Case II:  $\|y_n\| > \min\{\|y_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . We may assume that  $\|y_1\| = \min\{\|y_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . In view of (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \delta &\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left\| \frac{1}{\|y_1\|} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i + (n-1)y_n \right) - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\|y_1\|} - \frac{1}{\|y_i\|} \right) y_i \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (n-1) \left( \frac{1}{\|y_1\|} - \frac{1}{\|y_n\|} \right) y_n \right\| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left( \frac{1}{\|y_1\|} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i + (n-1)y_n \right\| - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{\|y_i\|}{\|y_1\|} + (n-2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (n-1) \frac{\|y_n\|}{\|y_1\|} + (n-1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2(n-1)\|y_1\|} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i + (n-1)y_n \right\| - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{\|y_i\|}{\|y_1\|} + \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{(n-1)\|y_n\|}{2(n-1)\|y_1\|} + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2(n-1)\|y_1\|} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i + (n-1)y_n \right\| - \frac{(n-1)\|y_n\| + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \|y_i\|}{2(n-1)\|y_1\|} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| y_n + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \right\| &\leq 2\|y_1\| \left( \frac{1}{2} - \delta - \frac{n-2}{2(n-1)} + \frac{(n-1)\|y_n\| + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \|y_i\|}{2(n-1)\|y_1\|} \right) \\
&= \|y_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|y_i\| - 2\delta\|y_1\| \\
&= \left( \|y_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|y_i\| \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2\delta\|y_1\|}{\|y_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|y_i\|} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining Cases I and II, we know that (2.7) holds true.

(Sufficiency) This is obvious.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then  $X$  is  $Q$ -convex if and only if there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that, for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  can be found such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| x_{k_0+1} - \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} x_i \right\| \\
&\leq \left( \|x_{k_0+1}\| + \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \|x_i\| \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2\delta \min\{\|x_1\|, \|x_2\|, \dots, \|x_{k_0+1}\|\}}{\|x_{k_0+1}\| + \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \|x_i\|} \right). \quad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The sufficiency is obvious. We only need to show the necessity. By Lemma 2.3, for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  such that

$$1 - \delta \geq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \frac{x_{k_0+1}}{\|x_{k_0+1}\|} - \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \frac{x_i}{\|x_i\|} \right\|.$$

Then we can get the result by using a method similar to the one in the proof of Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

### 3. I-convexity in Orlicz–Bochner space

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a I-convex Banach space, and let  $M \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $N \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ ,*

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_k - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n x_i\right\|\right) \leq (1 - \tilde{\gamma}) \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|). \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $\|x_1\| \geq \|x_2\| \geq \dots \geq \|x_n\|$  and that  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  satisfies Lemma 2.4.

*Case I:*  $k_0 = n$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\|x_n - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i\right\| \\ & \leq \left(\|x_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|\right) \left(1 - \frac{2\delta\|x_n\|}{\|x_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

(Subcase I-I):  $\frac{\|x_n\|}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|} > a$ , where  $a$  is defined in Lemma 2.1(2). Certainly, we have

$$\frac{\|x_n\|}{\|x_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{a}} = \frac{a}{1+a}.$$

Therefore, by (3.2) and the inequality above, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_n - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2\delta a}{1+a}\right) \left(\|x_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|\right).$$

By the convexity of  $M$ , we have

$$M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_n - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i\right\|\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2\delta a}{1+a}\right) \left(M(\|x_n\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} M(\|x_i\|)\right).$$

And so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_k - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n x_i\right\|\right) & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n M(\|x_i\|)\right) \\ & \quad - \frac{\delta a}{1+a} \left(M(\|x_n\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} M(\|x_i\|)\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{\delta a}{1+a} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|) \\ & = \left(1 - \frac{a\delta}{(n-1)(1+a)}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|). \end{aligned}$$

(Subcase I-II):  $\frac{\|x_n\|}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|} \leq a$ . By (2.2), we have

$$M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_n - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i\right\|\right) \leq M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\|x_n\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|\right)\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma) \left( M(\|x_n\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} M(\|x_i\|) \right).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n M \left( \frac{1}{2} \left\| x_k - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n x_i \right\| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n M(\|x_i\|) \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{\gamma}{2} \left( M(\|x_n\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} M(\|x_i\|) \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|) \\ & = \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2(n-1)} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|). \end{aligned}$$

*Case II:*  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ . In view of (2.1), for  $\eta = \frac{2n-3}{2(n-1)} \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $\gamma_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$M(\eta u) \leq \eta \gamma_0 M(u) \quad (3.3)$$

holds true for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . By (2.4), for  $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_0}}$ , there exists  $a_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , it holds that

$$M((1 + a_0)u) \leq cM(u). \quad (3.4)$$

*(Subcase II-I):*  $\frac{\|x_n\|}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|} > a_0$ , that is,  $\frac{1}{\|x_n\|} (\|x_{k_0}\| + \sum_{i=1, i \neq k_0}^{n-1} \|x_i\|) < \frac{n-1}{a_0}$ . Clearly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|x_n\|}{\|x_{k_0}\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k_0}^n \|x_i\|} &= \frac{1}{\frac{\|x_{k_0}\|}{\|x_n\|} + \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\|x_n\|} \sum_{i=1, i \neq k_0}^{n-1} \|x_i\| + 1 \right)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\frac{n-1}{a_0} + \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \frac{n-1}{a_0} + 1 \right)} \\ &= \frac{(n-1)a_0}{n(n-1) + a_0} \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.7) and inequality above, we get

$$\left\| x_{k_0} - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n x_i \right\| \leq \left( \|x_{k_0}\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n \|x_i\| \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2\delta a_0(n-1)}{n(n-1) + a_0} \right).$$



Owing to the convexity of  $M$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{k_0} - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n x_i\right\|\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2\delta a_0(n-1)}{n(n-1) + a_0}\right) \left(M(\|x_{k_0}\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n M(\|x_i\|)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^n M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_k - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n x_i\right\|\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n M(\|x_i\|)\right) \\ & \quad - \frac{\delta a_0(n-1)}{n(n-1) + a_0} \left(M(\|x_{k_0}\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n M(\|x_i\|)\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{\delta a_0(n-1)}{n(n-1) + a_0} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|) \\ & = \left(1 - \frac{\delta a_0}{n(n-1) + a_0}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|). \end{aligned}$$

(Subcase II-II):  $\frac{\|x_n\|}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \|x_i\|} \leq a_0$ , that is,  $\frac{\|x_n\|}{\|x_{k_0}\| + \sum_{i=1, i \neq k_0}^{n-1} \|x_i\|} \leq a_0$ . Combining (3.3) with (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{k_0} - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n x_i\right\|\right) \\ & \leq M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\|x_{k_0}\| + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n \|x_i\|\right)\right) \\ & = M\left(\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left((n-1)\|x_{k_0}\| + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n \|x_i\|\right)\right) \\ & = M\left(\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left((n-1)\|x_{k_0}\| + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^{n-1} \|x_i\| + \|x_n\|\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq M\left(\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left(\left((n-1)\|x_{k_0}\| + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^{n-1} \|x_i\|\right)(1+a_0)\right)\right) \\
&= M\left(\frac{1}{2n-3}\left(\left((n-1)\|x_{k_0}\| + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^{n-1} \|x_i\|\right)(1+a_0)\right) \cdot \frac{2n-3}{2(n-1)}\right) \\
&\leq \gamma_0 \frac{2n-3}{2(n-1)} M\left(\frac{1+a_0}{2n-3}\left((n-1)\|x_{k_0}\| + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^{n-1} \|x_i\|\right)\right) \\
&\leq \gamma_0 c \frac{2n-3}{2(n-1)} M\left(\frac{1}{2n-3}\left((n-1)\|x_{k_0}\| + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^{n-1} \|x_i\|\right)\right) \\
&\leq \gamma_0 c \frac{2n-3}{2(n-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{2n-3} \left((n-1)M(\|x_{k_0}\|) + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^{n-1} M(\|x_i\|)\right) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_0}}{2} \left(M(\|x_{k_0}\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^{n-1} M(\|x_i\|)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{\gamma_0}}{2} \left(M(\|x_{k_0}\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n M(\|x_i\|)\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (1 - (1 - \sqrt{\gamma_0})) \left(M(\|x_{k_0}\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n M(\|x_i\|)\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^n M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_k - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n x_i\right\|\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n M(\|x_i\|)\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1 - \sqrt{\gamma_0}}{2} \left(M(\|x_{k_0}\|) + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n M(\|x_i\|)\right) \\
&\leq \left(1 - \frac{1 - \sqrt{\gamma_0}}{2(n-1)}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, set

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \min \left\{ \frac{a\delta}{(n-1)(1+a)}, \frac{\gamma}{2(n-1)}, \frac{\delta a_0}{n(n-1) + a_0}, \frac{1 - \sqrt{\gamma_0}}{2(n-1)} \right\}.$$

Then  $\tilde{\gamma}$  satisfies the demand.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *The Orlicz–Bochner function space  $L_{(M)}(\mu, X)$  is I-convex if and only if*

- (1)  $M \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $N \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$  (i.e.,  $L_{(M)}(\mu)$  is reflexive), and
- (2)  $X$  is I-convex.

*Proof.* We need to prove only the sufficiency. Lemma 3.1 shows that there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} \in (0, 1)$  such that (3.1) holds for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ . Hence for any  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in S(L_{(M)}(\mu, X))$ , we have, for almost everywhere  $t \in \Omega$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n M \left( \frac{1}{2} \left\| f_k(t) - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n f_i(t) \right\| \right) \leq (1 - \tilde{\gamma}) \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|f_i(t)\|). \quad (3.5)$$

Integrating both sides of the inequality above over  $\Omega$ , we can get

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \rho_M \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( f_k - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n f_i \right) \right) \leq (1 - \tilde{\gamma}) \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_M(f_i) = n(1 - \tilde{\gamma}).$$

Therefore, there exists  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$\rho_M \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( f_{k_0} - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n f_i \right) \right) \leq (1 - \tilde{\gamma}).$$

Then by  $M \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$ , we know that

$$\left\| f_{k_0} - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k_0}}^n f_i \right\|_{(M)} \leq 2 - \varepsilon$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  depending only on  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . So  $L_{(M)}(\mu, X)$  is I-convex.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Suppose that  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then the Lebesgue–Bochner function space  $L_p(\mu, X)$  is I-convex if and only if  $X$  is I-convex.*

#### 4. Q-convexity in Orlicz–Bochner space

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Q-convex Banach space, and let  $M \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $N \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Then there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ , it holds that*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k M \left( \frac{\|x_{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\|}{2} \right) \leq \frac{n-1}{2} (1 - \tilde{\gamma}) \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|). \quad (4.1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $\gamma = \gamma(a) \in (0, 1)$  satisfy (2.2), and denote  $\min\{\|x_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  by  $\|\bar{x}\|$ ,  $\max\{\|x_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  by  $\|\tilde{x}\|$ , where  $n$  is defined in Lemma 2.3. For clarity, we will divide the proof into two cases.

*Case I:*  $\frac{\|\bar{x}\|}{\|\tilde{x}\|} > a$ .

Clearly,  $x_i \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $i \neq j$ , it holds that

$$a < \frac{\|x_i\|}{\|x_j\|} < \frac{1}{a}.$$

Suppose that  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  satisfies Lemma 2.5. Then

$$\frac{\min\{\|x_1\|, \|x_2\|, \dots, \|x_{k_0+1}\|\}}{\|x_{k_0+1}\| + \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \|x_i\|} \geq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \frac{1}{a}} = \frac{a}{2},$$

and so

$$\left\| x_{k_0+1} - \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} x_i \right\| \leq (1 - a\delta) \left( \|x_{k_0+1}\| + \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \|x_i\| \right).$$

By the convexity of  $M$ , we have

$$k_0 M \left( \frac{\|x_{k_0+1} - \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} x_i\|}{2} \right) \leq \frac{k_0}{2} (1 - a\delta) \left( M(\|x_{k_0+1}\|) + \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} M(\|x_i\|) \right).$$

In (2.3), putting  $l = \frac{1}{a}$  and denoting  $\beta = \frac{1}{k}$ ,  $t = \frac{u}{a}$ , we obtain, for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $M(at) \geq \beta M(t)$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k M \left( \frac{\|x_{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\|}{2} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k}{2} \left( M(\|x_{k+1}\|) + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k M(\|x_i\|) \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{k_0 a \delta}{2} \left( M(\|x_{k_0+1}\|) + \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} M(\|x_i\|) \right) \\ & = \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{a\delta}{2} \left( k_0 M(\|x_{k_0+1}\|) + \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} M(\|x_i\|) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{a\delta}{2} M(\|\bar{x}\|) \\ & = \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{a\delta}{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|\bar{x}\|) \\ & \leq \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{a\delta}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n M(a\|\tilde{x}\|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{a\delta\beta}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|\tilde{x}\|) \\
&\leq \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{a\delta\beta}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|) \\
&= \frac{n-1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{a\delta\beta}{n(n-1)}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|).
\end{aligned}$$

Case II:  $\frac{\|\bar{x}\|}{\|\tilde{x}\|} \leq a$ .

By Lemma 2.1, we know that  $\gamma = \gamma(a) \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$M\left(\frac{\|\tilde{x} - \bar{x}\|}{2}\right) \leq M\left(\frac{\|\tilde{x}\| + \|\bar{x}\|}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma)(M(\|\bar{x}\|) + M(\|\tilde{x}\|)). \quad (4.2)$$

Since there exists at least one  $x_{k_0+1} \in \{x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$  such that  $\|x_{k_0+1}\| = \min\{\|x_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  or  $\|x_{k_0+1}\| = \max\{\|x_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $k_0 > 1$ ,  $\|x_{k_0+1}\| = \max\{\|x_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\|x_{k_0}\| = \min\{\|x_i\| : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Hence by (4.2) and the convexity of  $M$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kM\left(\frac{\|x_{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\|}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kM\left(\frac{\|x_{k+1}\| + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|}{2}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq k_0}}^{n-1} kM\left(\frac{\|x_{k+1}\| + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|}{2}\right) \\
&\quad + k_0M\left(\frac{\frac{1}{k_0} \cdot k_0\|x_{k_0+1}\| + \|x_1\| + \|x_2\| + \dots + \|x_{k_0}\|}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq k_0}}^{n-1} k \frac{M(\|x_{k+1}\|) + M(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|)}{2} \\
&\quad + k_0M\left(\frac{\frac{1}{k_0} \cdot \frac{\|x_{k_0+1}\| + \|x_{k_0}\|}{2} + \frac{k_0-1}{k_0} \cdot \frac{(k_0-1)\|x_{k_0+1}\| + \sum_{i=1}^{k_0-1} \|x_i\|}{2(k_0-1)}}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq k_0}}^{n-1} \left(kM(\|x_{k+1}\|) + \sum_{i=1}^k M(\|x_i\|)\right) \\
&\quad + k_0 \left(\frac{1}{k_0} M\left(\frac{\|x_{k_0+1}\| + \|x_{k_0}\|}{2}\right) + \frac{k_0-1}{k_0} M\left(\frac{\|x_{k_0+1}\| + \frac{1}{k_0-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0-1} \|x_i\|}{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq k_0}}^{n-1} \left( kM(\|x_{k+1}\|) + \sum_{i=1}^k M(\|x_i\|) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma)(M(\|x_{k_0+1}\|) + M(\|x_{k_0}\|)) \\
&\quad + \frac{k_0-1}{2} \left( M(\|x_{k_0+1}\|) + \frac{1}{k_0-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0-1} M(\|x_i\|) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( kM(\|x_{k+1}\|) + \sum_{i=1}^k M(\|x_i\|) \right) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma(M(\|x_{k_0+1}\|) + M(\|x_{k_0}\|)) \\
&= \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma(M(\|x_{k_0+1}\|) + M(\|x_{k_0}\|)) \\
&\leq \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{\gamma}{2n}(nM(\|x_{k_0+1}\|)) \\
&\leq \frac{n-1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n M(\|x_k\|) - \frac{\gamma}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|) \\
&= \frac{n-1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{n(n-1)} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|x_i\|).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, setting  $\tilde{\gamma} = \min\{\frac{a\delta\beta}{n(n-1)}, \frac{\gamma}{n(n-1)}\}$ , we can then get the inequality (4.1), which finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *The Orlicz–Bochner function space  $L_{(M)}(\mu, X)$  is  $Q$ -convex if and only if*

- (1)  $M \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $N \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$  (i.e.,  $L_{(M)}(\mu)$  is reflexive) and
- (2)  $X$  is  $Q$ -convex.

*Proof.* We only need to prove the sufficiency. Lemma 4.1 shows that there exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} \in (0, 1)$  such that (4.1) holds for any  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ . Hence for any  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in S(L_{(M)}(\mu, X))$ , we have, for almost everywhere  $t \in \Omega$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kM\left(\frac{\|f_{k+1}(t) - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f_i(t)\|}{2}\right) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}(1-\tilde{\gamma}) \sum_{i=1}^n M(\|f_i(t)\|). \quad (4.3)$$

Integrating both sides of the inequality above over  $\Omega$ , we can get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k\rho_M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k f_i\right)\right) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}(1-\tilde{\gamma}) \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_M(f_i) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(1-\tilde{\gamma}).$$

Therefore, there exists  $k_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  such that

$$k_0\rho_M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{k_0+1} - \frac{1}{k_0} \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} f_i\right)\right) \leq k_0(1-\tilde{\gamma}).$$

That is,

$$\rho_M\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(f_{k_0+1} - \frac{1}{k_0}\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} f_i\right)\right) \leq 1 - \tilde{\gamma}.$$

Then by  $M \in \Delta_2(\mathbb{R})$ , we know that

$$\left\|f_{k_0+1} - \frac{1}{k_0}\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} f_i\right\|_{(M)} \leq 2 - \varepsilon$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  depending only on  $\tilde{\gamma}$ . So  $L_{(M)}(\mu, X)$  is Q-convex.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Suppose that  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then the Lebesgue–Bochner function space  $L_p(\mu, X)$  is Q-convex if and only if  $X$  is Q-convex.*

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions, which helped improve the quality of this article.

## References

1. G. Alherk and H. Hudzik, *Uniformly non- $l_n^{(1)}$  Musielak–Orlicz spaces of Bochner type*, Forum Math. **1** (1989), no. 4, 403–410. [Zbl 0686.46016](#). [MR1016681](#). [DOI 10.1515/form.1989.1.403](#). [82, 83](#)
2. D. Amir and C. Franchetti, *The radius ratio and convexity properties in normed linear spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **282**, no. 1 (1984), 275–291. [Zbl 0543.46007](#). [MR0728713](#). [DOI 10.2307/1999588](#). [81, 82, 83, 84](#)
3. A. Beck, *A convexity condition in Banach spaces and the strong law of large numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), no. 2, 329–334. [Zbl 0108.31401](#). [MR0133857](#). [DOI 10.2307/2034494](#). [81](#)
4. S. Chen, *Geometry of Orlicz Spaces*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) **356**, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1996. [Zbl 1089.46500](#). [MR1410390](#). [81, 82, 83, 84](#)
5. J. García-Falset, E. Llorens-Fuster, and E. M. Mazcuñán-Navarro, *The fixed point property and normal structure for some B-convex Banach spaces*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **63** (2001), no. 1, 75–81. [Zbl 0986.47047](#). [MR1812310](#). [DOI 10.1017/S0004972700019122](#). [82](#)
6. J. García-Falset, E. Llorens-Fuster, and E. M. Mazcuñán-Navarro, *Uniformly nonsquare Banach spaces have the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings*, J. Funct. Anal. **233** (2006), no. 2, 494–514. [Zbl 1120.46006](#). [MR2214585](#). [DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2005.09.002](#). [81, 83](#)
7. S.-Z. Huang and J. M. A. M. Neerven, *B-Convexity, the analytic Radon–Nikodym property, and individual stability of  $C_0$ -semigroups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **231** (1999), no. 1, 1–20. [Zbl 0943.47029](#). [MR1676753](#). [DOI 10.1006/jmaa.1998.6211](#). [81](#)
8. H. Hudzik, *Some class of uniformly nonsquare Orlicz–Bochner spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **26** (1985), no. 2, 269–274. [Zbl 0579.46022](#). [MR0803923](#). [82](#)
9. H. Hudzik, *Uniformly non- $l_n^{(1)}$  Orlicz spaces with Luxemburg norm*, Studia Math. **81** (1985), no. 3, 271–284. [Zbl 0591.46018](#). [MR0808569](#). [DOI 10.4064/sm-81-3-271-284](#). [82](#)
10. R. C. James, *Uniformly non-square Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **80** (1964), no. 3, 542–550. [Zbl 0132.08902](#). [MR0173932](#). [DOI 10.2307/1970663](#). [81](#)
11. A. Kamińska and B. Turett, *Uniformly non- $l_n^{(1)}$  Orlicz–Bochner spaces*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. **35** (1987), no. 3–4, 211–218. [Zbl 0631.46022](#). [MR0908170](#). [82, 83](#)
12. P. Kolwicz and R. Pluciennik, *P-convexity of Orlicz–Bochner spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), no. 8, 2315–2322. [Zbl 0896.46019](#). [MR1443391](#). [DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-98-04290-7](#). [81, 82, 83](#)

13. C. A. Kottman, *Packing and reflexivity in Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **150**, no. 2 (1970), 565–576. [Zbl 0208.37503](#). [MR0265918](#). [DOI 10.2307/1995538](#). [81](#)
14. S. V. R. Naidu and K. P. R. Sastry, *Convexity conditions in normed linear spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **297** (1978), no. 1, 35–53. [Zbl 0364.46009](#). [MR0493265](#). [81](#)
15. H. F. Nathansky and E. Llorens-Fuster, *Comparison of  $P$ -convexity,  $O$ -convexity and other geometrical properties*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **396** (2012), no. 2, 749–758. [Zbl 1270.46014](#). [MR2961268](#). [DOI 10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.07.021](#). [82](#), [83](#)
16. M. A. Smith and B. Turett, *Rotundity in Lebesgue–Bochner function spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **257**, no. 1 (1980), 105–118. [Zbl 0368.46039](#). [MR0549157](#). [DOI 10.2307/1998127](#). [82](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ANHUI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHU 241000, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

*E-mail address:* [gongwanzhong@shu.edu.cn](mailto:gongwanzhong@shu.edu.cn); [1060865656@qq.com](mailto:1060865656@qq.com); [984591724@qq.com](mailto:984591724@qq.com)