

EQUIVALENT PROPERTIES OF A HILBERT-TYPE INTEGRAL INEQUALITY WITH THE BEST CONSTANT FACTOR RELATED TO THE HURWITZ ZETA FUNCTION

MICHAEL RASSIAS^{1*} and BICHENG YANG²

Communicated by K. S. Berenhaut

ABSTRACT. By the use of methods of real analysis and weight functions, we study the equivalent properties of a Hilbert-type integral inequality with the nonhomogeneous kernel. The constant factor related to the Hurwitz zeta function is proved to be the best possible. As a corollary, a few equivalent conditions of a Hilbert-type integral inequality with the homogeneous kernel are deduced. We also consider their operator expressions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1925, by introducing one pair of conjugate exponents (p, q) , Hardy in [2] proved the following integral inequality. For

$$p > 1, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \quad f(x), g(y) \geq 0,$$

$$0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \int_0^\infty g^q(y) dy < \infty,$$

we have the following Hardy–Hilbert inequality:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.1)$$

Copyright 2018 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Apr. 2, 2017; Accepted Jun. 18, 2017.

First published online Nov. 18, 2017.

*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26D15; Secondary 65B10.

Keywords. Hilbert-type integral inequality, weight function, Hurwitz zeta function, equivalent form, operator.

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is the best possible. For $p = q = 2$, (1.1) reduces to the well-known Hilbert integral inequality. Inequality (1.1) as well as the Hilbert integral inequality are important in mathematical analysis and its applications (see [3], [10]).

In 1934, Hardy et al. presented an extension of (1.1) as follows. If $k_1(x, y)$ is a nonnegative homogeneous function of degree -1 ,

$$k_p = \int_0^\infty k_1(u, 1)u^{\frac{-1}{p}} du \in \mathbf{R}_+ = (0, \infty),$$

then we have the following Hardy–Hilbert-type integral inequality:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty k_1(x, y)f(x)g(y) dx dy < k_p \left(\int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the constant factor k_p is the best possible (see [3, Theorem 319]). Additionally, the following Hilbert-type integral inequality with the nonhomogeneous kernel was proved: if $h(u) > 0, \phi(\sigma) = \int_0^\infty h(u)u^{\sigma-1} du \in \mathbf{R}_+$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(xy)f(x)g(y) dx dy \\ & < \phi\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \left(\int_0^\infty x^{p-2}f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where the constant factor $\phi(\frac{1}{p})$ is the best possible (see [3, Theorem 350]). In 1998, by introducing an independent parameter $\lambda > 0$, Yang [13], [14] extended the Hilbert integral inequality, proving that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \\ & < B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left(\int_0^\infty x^{1-\lambda}f^2(x) dx \int_0^\infty y^{1-\lambda}g^2(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where the constant factor $B(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2})$ is the best possible ($B(u, v)$ is the beta function). In 2004, by introducing another pair of conjugate exponents (r, s) , Yang in [18] presented the following extension of (1.1): if $\lambda > 0, r > 1, f(x), g(y) \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1, \\ & 0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1}f^p(x) dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1}g^q(y) dy < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda} dx dy \\ & < \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\pi/r)} \left[\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1}f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1}g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\pi/r)}$ is the best possible. For $\lambda = 1, r = q$, and $s = p$, (1.5) reduces to (1.1); for $\lambda = 1, r = p$, and $s = q$, (1.5) reduces to the dual form of (1.1); namely,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y} dx dy \\ & < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left(\int_0^\infty x^{p-2} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{q-2} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is still the best possible.

In 2005, Yang et al. [19] proved an extension of (1.1) and (1.4) with the kernel $\frac{1}{(x+y)^\lambda}$ and two pairs of conjugate exponents. Various authors (see [1], [4], [6], [9], [12], [20]) provided some extensions and particular cases of (1.1), (1.2), and (1.3) with parameters. In 2009, Yang [15], [16] gave an extension of (1.2), (1.4), and (1.5) as follows. If $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda \in \mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, $k_\lambda(x, y)$, is a nonnegative homogeneous function of degree $-\lambda$ satisfying

$$k_\lambda(ux, uy) = u^{-\lambda} k_\lambda(x, y) \quad (u, x, y > 0)$$

and

$$k(\lambda_1) = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(u, 1) u^{\lambda_1-1} du \in \mathbf{R}_+ = (0, \infty),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) f(x)g(y) dx dy \\ & < k(\lambda_1) \left(\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where the constant factor $k(\lambda_1)$ is the best possible. For $\lambda = 1, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{q}$, and $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{p}$, we have that (1.7) reduces to (1.2); for

$$p = q = 2, \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda}{2} > 0, \quad k_\lambda(x, y) = \frac{1}{(x+y)^\lambda},$$

(1.7) reduces to (1.4); for

$$\lambda > 0, \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{\lambda}{r}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda}{s}, \quad k_\lambda(x, y) = \frac{1}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda},$$

(1.7) reduces to (1.5). Moreover, the following extension of (1.3) was proved (see [17]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty h(xy) f(x)g(y) dx dy \\ & < \phi(\sigma) \left(\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\sigma)-1} g^q(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where the constant factor $\phi(\sigma)$ is the best possible. For $\sigma = \frac{1}{p}$, (1.8) reduces to (1.3). Some equivalent inequalities of (1.7) and (1.8) were established in [15]. In 2013, Yang [17] also studied the equivalency between (1.7) and (1.8) under the

additional condition $h(u) = k_\lambda(u, 1)$. In 2017, Hong [5] considered an equivalent condition between (1.7) and a few parameters.

In the present paper, by the use of methods of real analysis and weight functions, we study the equivalent properties of a Hilbert-type integral inequality with the nonhomogeneous kernel

$$e^{\alpha xy} \operatorname{csc} h(xy) \quad (\alpha < 1).$$

The constant factor related to the Hurwitz zeta function is proved to be the best possible. As a corollary, a few equivalent conditions of a Hilbert-type integral inequality with the homogeneous kernel are deduced. We also consider their operator expressions.

2. AN EXAMPLE AND A LEMMA

Example 2.1. For $\alpha < 1$, we set

$$h(u) := e^{\alpha u} \operatorname{csc} h(u) = \frac{2e^{\alpha u}}{e^u - e^{-u}} \quad (u > 0),$$

where

$$\operatorname{csc} h(u) = \frac{2}{e^u - e^{-u}}$$

is the hyperbolic cosecant function (see [21]). For $\sigma > 1$, by the Lebesgue term by the term-integration theorem (see [8]), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} k(\sigma, \alpha) &:= \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha u} \operatorname{csc} h(u) u^{\sigma-1} du \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2e^{\alpha u} u^{\sigma-1}}{e^u - e^{-u}} du = \int_0^\infty \frac{2u^{\sigma-1} e^{(\alpha-1)u}}{1 - e^{-2u}} du \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty u^{\sigma-1} \sum_{k=0}^\infty e^{-(2k-\alpha+1)u} du = 2 \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_0^\infty u^{\sigma-1} e^{-(2k-\alpha+1)u} du. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $v = (2k - \alpha + 1)u$ in the above integral, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k(\sigma, \alpha) &= 2 \int_0^\infty v^{\sigma-1} e^{-v} dv \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(2k - \alpha + 1)^\sigma} \\ &= 2^{1-\sigma} \Gamma(\sigma) \zeta\left(\sigma, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right) \in \mathbf{R}_+, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\Gamma(\eta) := \int_0^\infty v^{\eta-1} e^{-v} dv \quad (\eta > 0)$$

is the gamma function and where

$$\zeta(\sigma, a) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(k+a)^\sigma} \quad (a > 0, \sigma > 1)$$

is the Hurwitz zeta function (in particular, $\zeta(\sigma) := \zeta(\sigma, 1) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k^\sigma}$ is the Riemann zeta function) (see [11]).

For $\alpha = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k(\sigma, 0) &= 2^{1-\sigma}\Gamma(\sigma)\zeta\left(\sigma, \frac{1}{2}\right) = 2\Gamma(\sigma)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k+1)^\sigma} \\ &= 2\Gamma(\sigma)\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k^\sigma} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2k)^\sigma}\right] \\ &= 2\Gamma(\sigma)\left(1 - \frac{1}{2^\sigma}\right)\zeta(\sigma); \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha = -1$, we get

$$k(\sigma, -1) = 2^{1-\sigma}\Gamma(\sigma)\zeta(\sigma, 1) = 2^{1-\sigma}\Gamma(\sigma)\zeta(\sigma).$$

If $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \alpha < 1, \sigma > 1, \sigma_1 \in \mathbf{R}$, for $n \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, then we define the following two expressions:

$$I_1 := \int_1^\infty \left(\int_0^1 e^{\alpha xy} \operatorname{csc} h(xy) x^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} dx \right) y^{\sigma_1 - \frac{1}{qn} - 1} dy, \quad (2.2)$$

$$I_2 := \int_0^1 \left(\int_1^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \operatorname{csc} h(xy) x^{\sigma - \frac{1}{pn} - 1} dx \right) y^{\sigma_1 + \frac{1}{qn} - 1} dy. \quad (2.3)$$

Setting $u = xy$ in (2.2) and (2.3), by Fubini's theorem (see [8]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_1^\infty y^{(\sigma_1 - \sigma) - \frac{1}{n} - 1} \left(\int_0^y e^{\alpha u} \operatorname{csc} h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du \right) dy \\ &= \int_1^\infty y^{(\sigma_1 - \sigma) - \frac{1}{n} - 1} dy \int_0^1 e^{\alpha u} \operatorname{csc} h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du \\ &\quad + \int_1^\infty \left[\int_u^\infty y^{(\sigma_1 - \sigma) - \frac{1}{n} - 1} dy \right] e^{\alpha u} \operatorname{csc} h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du; \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \int_0^1 y^{(\sigma_1 - \sigma) + \frac{1}{n} - 1} \left(\int_y^\infty e^{\alpha u} \operatorname{csc} h(u) u^{\sigma - \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du \right) dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^u y^{(\sigma_1 - \sigma) + \frac{1}{n} - 1} dy \right] e^{\alpha u} \operatorname{csc} h(u) u^{\sigma - \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 y^{(\sigma_1 - \sigma) + \frac{1}{n} - 1} dy \int_1^\infty e^{\alpha u} \operatorname{csc} h(u) u^{\sigma - \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.2. *If $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \alpha < 1, \sigma > 1, \sigma_1 \in \mathbf{R}$, and there exists a constant M , such that for any nonnegative measurable functions $f(x)$ and $g(y)$ ($x, y \in (0, \infty)$), the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \operatorname{csc} h(xy) f(x) g(y) dx dy \\ &\leq M \left[\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma) - 1} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\sigma_1) - 1} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

holds true, then we have $\sigma_1 = \sigma$. For $\sigma_1 = \sigma$, we still have $M \geq k(\sigma, \alpha)$.

Proof. If $\sigma_1 < \sigma$, then for

$$n > \frac{1}{\sigma - \sigma_1} \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}),$$

we set the following two functions:

$$f_n(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < x < 1, \\ x^{\sigma - \frac{1}{pn} - 1}, & x \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad g_n(y) := \begin{cases} y^{\sigma_1 + \frac{1}{qn} - 1}, & 0 < y \leq 1, \\ 0, & y > 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &:= \left[\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f_n^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\sigma_1)-1} g_n^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_1^\infty x^{-\frac{1}{n}-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_0^1 y^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = n. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \left[\int_0^u y^{(\sigma_1-\sigma) + \frac{1}{n} - 1} dy \right] e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma - \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du \\ &\leq I_2 = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) f_n(x) g_n(y) dx dy \leq M J_2 = M n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Since

$$(\sigma_1 - \sigma) + \frac{1}{n} < 0,$$

it follows that for any $u \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_0^u y^{(\sigma_1-\sigma) + \frac{1}{n} - 1} dy = \infty.$$

By (2.7), in view of the fact that

$$e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma - \frac{1}{pn} - 1} > 0, \quad u \in (0, 1),$$

we derive that $\infty < \infty$, which is a contradiction. If $\sigma_1 > \sigma$, then for

$$n > \frac{1}{\sigma_1 - \sigma} \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}),$$

we set

$$\tilde{f}_n(x) := \begin{cases} x^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1}, & 0 < x \leq 1, \\ 0, & x > 1, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{g}_n(y) := \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < y < 1, \\ y^{\sigma_1 - \frac{1}{qn} - 1}, & y \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_2 &:= \left[\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} \tilde{f}_n^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\sigma_1)-1} \tilde{g}_n^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 x^{\frac{1}{n}-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_1^\infty y^{-\frac{1}{n}-1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = n. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.4) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^\infty y^{(\sigma_1 - \sigma) - \frac{1}{n} - 1} dy \int_0^1 e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du \\ & \leq I_1 = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) \tilde{f}_n(x) \tilde{g}_n(y) dx dy \leq M \tilde{J}_2 = Mn. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Since

$$(\sigma_1 - \sigma) - \frac{1}{n} > 0,$$

it follows that

$$\int_1^\infty y^{(\sigma_1 - \sigma) - \frac{1}{n} - 1} dy = \infty.$$

By (2.8), in view of the fact that

$$\int_0^1 e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du > 0,$$

we have $\infty < \infty$, which is a contradiction. Hence, we conclude that $\sigma_1 = \sigma$.

For $\sigma_1 = \sigma$, we reduce (2.4) and then apply (2.8) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} I_1 &= \frac{1}{n} \left[\int_1^\infty y^{-\frac{1}{n} - 1} dy \int_0^1 e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_1^\infty \left(\int_u^\infty y^{-\frac{1}{n} - 1} dy \right) e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du \right] \\ &= \int_0^1 e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du + \int_1^\infty e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma - \frac{1}{qn} - 1} du \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} M \tilde{J}_2 = M. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Since

$$\left\{ e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty \quad \left(\left\{ e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma - \frac{1}{qn} - 1} \right\}_{n=1}^\infty \right)$$

is nonnegative and increasing in $(0, 1)$ ($(1, \infty)$), by Levi's theorem (see [8]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k(\sigma, \alpha) &= \int_0^1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du + \int_1^\infty \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma - \frac{1}{qn} - 1} du \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_0^1 e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma + \frac{1}{pn} - 1} du + \int_1^\infty e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma - \frac{1}{qn} - 1} du \right] \\ &\leq M. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

The lemma is proved. □

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. *If $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \alpha < 1, \sigma > 1$, and $\sigma_1 \in \mathbf{R}$, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists a constant M such that for any $f(x) \geq 0$ satisfying*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

we have the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} J &:= \left[\int_0^\infty y^{p\sigma_1-1} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \operatorname{csc} h(xy) f(x) dx \right)^p dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &< M \left[\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

(ii) *There exists a constant M , such that for any $f(x), g(y) \geq 0$ satisfying*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty$$

and

$$0 < \int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\sigma_1)-1} g^q(y) dy < \infty,$$

we have the following Hilbert-type integral inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \operatorname{csc} h(xy) f(x) g(y) dx dy \\ &< M \left[\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\sigma_1)-1} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

(iii) $\sigma_1 = \sigma$.

If condition (iii) follows, then $M \geq k(\sigma, \alpha)$ and the constant factor

$$M = k(\sigma, \alpha) = 2^{1-\sigma} \Gamma(\sigma) \zeta \left(\sigma, \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \right)$$

in (3.1) and (3.2) is the best possible.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). By Hölder’s inequality (see [7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^\infty \left(y^{\sigma_1-\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \operatorname{csc} h(xy) f(x) dx \right) \left(y^{\frac{1}{p}-\sigma_1} g(y) \right) dy \\ &\leq J \left[\int_0^\infty y^{q(1-\sigma_1)-1} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Then by (3.1), we get (3.2).

(ii) \implies (iii). By Lemma 2.2, we have $\sigma_1 = \sigma$.

(iii) \implies (i). Setting $u = xy$, we obtain the following weight function:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(\sigma, y) &:= y^\sigma \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) x^{\sigma-1} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha u} \csc h(u) u^{\sigma-1} du = k(\sigma, \alpha) \quad (y > 0).\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

By Hölder's inequality with weight and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) f(x) dx\right)^p \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) \left[\frac{y^{(\sigma-1)/p}}{x^{(\sigma-1)/q}} f(x)\right] \left[\frac{x^{(\sigma-1)/q}}{y^{(\sigma-1)/p}}\right] dx \right\}^p \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) \frac{y^{\sigma-1}}{x^{(\sigma-1)p/q}} f^p(x) dx \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{y^{(\sigma-1)q/p}} dx \right]^{p/q} \\ &= [\omega(\sigma, y) y^{q(1-\sigma)-1}]^{p-1} \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) \frac{y^{\sigma-1}}{x^{(\sigma-1)p/q}} f^p(x) dx \\ &= (k(\sigma, \alpha))^{p-1} y^{-p\sigma+1} \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) \frac{y^{\sigma-1}}{x^{(\sigma-1)p/q}} f^p(x) dx.\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

If (3.5) takes the form of an equality for some $y \in (0, \infty)$, then (see [7]) there exist constants A and B , such that they are not all zero, and

$$A \frac{y^{\sigma-1}}{x^{(\sigma-1)p/q}} f^p(x) = B \frac{x^{\sigma-1}}{y^{(\sigma-1)q/p}} \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbf{R}_+.$$

We assume that $A \neq 0$ (otherwise, $B = A = 0$). Then it follows that

$$x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) = y^{q(1-\sigma)} \frac{B}{Ax} \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbf{R}_+,$$

which contradicts the fact that

$$0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty.$$

Hence, (3.5) takes the form of strict inequality. For $\sigma_1 = \sigma$, by Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}J &< (k(\sigma, \alpha))^{\frac{1}{q}} \left[\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) \frac{y^{\sigma-1}}{x^{(\sigma-1)p/q}} f^p(x) dx dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= (k(\sigma, \alpha))^{\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) \frac{y^{\sigma-1}}{x^{(\sigma-1)(p-1)}} dy \right] f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= (k(\sigma, \alpha))^{\frac{1}{q}} \left[\int_0^\infty \omega(\sigma, x) x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= k(\sigma, \alpha) \left[\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

Setting $M \geq k(\sigma, \alpha)$, inequality (3.1) follows. Therefore, the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) are equivalent.

In the case when condition (iii) follows, if there exists a constant $M < k(\sigma, \alpha)$, such that (3.2) is valid, then by Lemma 2.2 we have $M \geq k(\sigma, \alpha)$. From this contradiction it follows that the constant factor $M = k(\sigma, \alpha)$ in (3.2) is the best possible. The constant factor $M = k(\sigma, \alpha)$ in (3.1) is still the best possible. Otherwise, by (3.3) (for $\sigma_1 = \sigma$), we can conclude that the constant factor $M = k(\sigma, \alpha)$ in (3.2) is not the best possible. \square

Setting $y = \frac{1}{Y}$, $G(Y) = \frac{1}{Y^2}g(\frac{1}{Y})$ in Theorem 3.1, then replacing Y ($G(Y)$) by y ($g(y)$), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *If $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \alpha < 1, \sigma > 1$, and $\sigma_1 \in \mathbf{R}$, then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists a constant M , such that for any $f(x) \geq 0$, satisfying*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty,$$

we have the following inequality:

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{-p\sigma_1-1} \left[\int_0^\infty e^{\alpha x/y} \csc h(x/y) f(x) dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < M \left[\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{3.6}$$

(ii) *There exists a constant M , such that for any $f(x), g(y) \geq 0$, satisfying*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx < \infty$$

and

$$0 < \int_0^\infty y^{q(1+\sigma_1)-1} g^q(y) dy < \infty,$$

we have the following Hilbert-type integral inequality with the homogeneous kernel:

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha x/y} \csc h(x/y) f(x) g(y) dx dy < M \left[\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\sigma)-1} f^p(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_0^\infty y^{q(1+\sigma_1)-1} g^q(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{3.7}$$

(iii) $\sigma_1 = \sigma$.

In the case when condition (iii) holds, we get $M \geq k(\sigma, \alpha)$, and the constant $M = k(\sigma, \alpha)$ in (3.6) and (3.7) is the best possible.

Remark 3.3. On the other hand, setting $y = \frac{1}{Y}$, $G(Y) = \frac{1}{Y^2}g(\frac{1}{Y})$, in Corollary 3.2, then replacing Y ($G(Y)$) by y ($g(y)$), we obtain Theorem 3.1. Hence, Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 are equivalent.

4. OPERATOR EXPRESSIONS

We set the functions

$$\varphi(x) := x^{p(1-\sigma)-1}, \quad \psi(y) := y^{q(1-\sigma)-1}, \quad \phi(y) := y^{q(1+\sigma)-1},$$

wherefrom

$$\psi^{1-p}(y) = y^{p\sigma-1}, \quad \phi^{1-p}(y) = y^{-p\sigma-1} \quad (x, y \in \mathbf{R}_+),$$

and we define the following real normed linear spaces,

$$L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+) := \left\{ f : \|f\|_{p,\varphi} := \left(\int_0^\infty \varphi(x) |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

wherefrom

$$L_{q,\psi}(\mathbf{R}_+) = \left\{ g : \|g\|_{q,\psi} := \left(\int_0^\infty \psi(y) |g(y)|^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$L_{q,\phi}(\mathbf{R}_+) = \left\{ g : \|g\|_{q,\phi} := \left(\int_0^\infty \phi(y) |g(y)|^q dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$L_{p,\psi^{1-p}}(\mathbf{R}_+) = \left\{ h : \|h\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} = \left(\int_0^\infty \psi^{1-p}(y) |h(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$L_{q,\phi^{1-p}}(\mathbf{R}_+) = \left\{ h : \|h\|_{p,\phi^{1-p}} = \left(\int_0^\infty \phi^{1-p}(y) |h(y)|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

In view of Theorem 3.1 (when $\sigma_1 = \sigma$), for $f \in L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+)$, setting

$$h_1(y) := \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) f(x) dx \quad (y \in \mathbf{R}_+),$$

by (3.1) we have

$$\|h_1\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} = \left[\int_0^\infty \psi^{1-p}(y) h_1^p(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < M \|f\|_{p,\varphi} < \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

Definition 4.1. Define a Hilbert-type integral operator with the nonhomogeneous kernel $T^{(1)}: L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+) \rightarrow L_{p,\psi^{1-p}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ as follows. For any $f \in L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+)$, there exists a unique representation $T^{(1)}f = h_1 \in L_{p,\psi^{1-p}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ satisfying $T^{(1)}f(y) = h_1(y)$ for any $y \in \mathbf{R}_+$.

In view of (4.1), it follows that

$$\|T^{(1)}f\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} = \|h_1\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} \leq M \|f\|_{p,\varphi},$$

and then the operator $T^{(1)}$ is bounded satisfying

$$\|T^{(1)}\| = \sup_{f(\neq\theta) \in L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+)} \frac{\|T^{(1)}f\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}}{\|f\|_{p,\varphi}} \leq M.$$

If we define the formal inner product of $T^{(1)}f$ and g by

$$(T^{(1)}f, g) := \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty e^{\alpha xy} \csc h(xy) f(x) dx \right) g(y) dy,$$

then we can rewrite Theorem 3.1 as follows.

Theorem 4.2. *If $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \alpha < 1,$ and $\sigma > 1,$ then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists a constant $M,$ such that for any*

$$f(x) \geq 0, \quad f \in L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+), \quad \|f\|_{p,\varphi} > 0,$$

the following inequality holds true:

$$\|T^{(1)}f\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} < M\|f\|_{p,\varphi}. \tag{4.2}$$

(ii) *There exists a constant $M,$ such that for any*

$$f(x), g(y) \geq 0, \quad f \in L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+), \quad g \in L_{q,\psi}(\mathbf{R}_+), \quad \|f\|_{p,\varphi}, \|g\|_{q,\psi} > 0,$$

the following inequality holds true:

$$(T^{(1)}f, g) < M\|f\|_{p,\varphi}\|g\|_{q,\psi}. \tag{4.3}$$

We still have $\|T^{(1)}\| = k(\sigma, \alpha) \leq M.$

In view of Corollary 3.2 (when $\sigma_1 = \sigma$), for $f \in L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+),$ setting

$$h_2(y) := \int_0^\infty e^{\alpha x/y} \csc h(x/y) f(x) dx \quad (y \in \mathbf{R}_+),$$

by (3.6) we have

$$\|h_2\|_{p,\phi^{1-p}} = \left[\int_0^\infty \phi^{1-p}(y) h_2^p(y) dy \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < M\|f\|_{p,\varphi} < \infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Definition 4.3. Define a Hilbert-type integral operator with the homogeneous kernel $T^{(2)}: L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+) \rightarrow L_{p,\phi^{1-p}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ as follows. For any $f \in L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+),$ there exists a unique representation $T^{(2)}f = h_2 \in L_{p,\phi^{1-p}}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ satisfying $T^{(2)}f(y) = h_2(y)$ for any $y \in \mathbf{R}_+.$

In view of (4.4), it follows that

$$\|T^{(2)}f\|_{p,\phi^{1-p}} = \|h_2\|_{p,\phi^{1-p}} \leq M\|f\|_{p,\varphi},$$

and thus the operator $T^{(2)}$ is bounded satisfying

$$\|T^{(2)}\| = \sup_{f(\neq\theta) \in L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+)} \frac{\|T^{(2)}f\|_{p,\phi^{1-p}}}{\|f\|_{p,\varphi}} \leq M.$$

If we define the formal inner product of $T^{(2)}f$ and g by

$$(T^{(2)}f, g) := \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty e^{\alpha x/y} \csc h(x/y) f(x) dx \right) g(y) dy,$$

then we can rewrite Corollary 3.2 as follows:

Corollary 4.4. *If $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \alpha < 1,$ and $\sigma > 1,$ then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists a constant M , such that for any*

$$f(x) \geq 0, \quad f \in L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+), \quad \|f\|_{p,\varphi} > 0,$$

the following inequality holds true:

$$\|T^{(2)}f\|_{p,\phi^{1-p}} < M\|f\|_{p,\varphi}. \quad (4.5)$$

(ii) *There exists a constant M , such that for any*

$$f(x), g(y) \geq 0, \quad f \in L_{p,\varphi}(\mathbf{R}_+), \quad g \in L_{q,\phi}(\mathbf{R}_+), \quad \|f\|_{p,\varphi}, \|g\|_{q,\phi} > 0,$$

the following inequality holds true:

$$(T^{(2)}f, g) < M\|f\|_{p,\varphi}\|g\|_{q,\phi}. \quad (4.6)$$

We still have $\|T^{(2)}\| = k(\sigma, \alpha) \leq M$.

Remark 4.5. Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.4 are equivalent.

Acknowledgments. Rassias's work was partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) grants 61370186 and 61640222. Yang's work was partially supported by Appropriative Researching Fund for Professors and Doctors (Guangdong University of Education) grant 2015ARF25. We are grateful to those institutions for their help.

REFERENCES

1. Á. Bényi and C. Oh, *Best constants for certain multilinear integral operators*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2006**, art. ID 28582. [Zbl 1116.26011](#). [MR2215480](#). [DOI 10.1155/JIA/2006/28582](#). [284](#)
2. G. H. Hardy, *Note on a theorem of Hilbert concerning series of positive terms*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **23** (1925), no. 2, Records of Proc., xlv–xlvi. [JFM 51.0179.06](#). [MR2215480](#). [DOI 10.1155/JIA/2006/28582](#). [282](#)
3. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1934. [Zbl 0010.10703](#). [MR0944909](#). [283](#)
4. Y. Hong, *On Hardy–Hilbert integral inequalities with some parameters*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **6** (2005), no. 4, art. ID 92. [Zbl 1087.26012](#). [MR2178273](#). [284](#)
5. Y. Hong, *Structural characteristics and applications of Hilbert-type integral inequalities with homogeneous kernel*, J. Jilin Univ. Sci. **55** (2017), no. 2, 191–194. [MR3380585](#). [285](#)
6. M. Krnić and J. E. Pečarić, *Hilbert's inequalities and their reverses*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **67** (2005), no. 3–4, 315–331. [Zbl 1092.26014](#). [MR2162125](#). [284](#)
7. J. C. Kuang, *Applied Inequalities*, Shangdong Science and Technology Press, Jinan, China, 2004. [289](#), [290](#)
8. J. C. Kuang, *Real and Functional Analysis, Vol. 2*, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2015. [285](#), [286](#), [288](#)
9. Y. J. Li and B. He, *On inequalities of Hilbert's type*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **76** (2007), no. 1, 1–13. [Zbl 1135.26016](#). [MR2343434](#). [DOI 10.1017/S0004972700039423](#). [284](#)
10. D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, *Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*, Math. Appl. (East European Ser.) **53**, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1991. [Zbl 0744.26011](#). [MR1190927](#). [DOI 10.1007/978-94-011-3562-7](#). [283](#)
11. Z. Q. Wang and D. R. Guo, *Introduction to Special Functions*, Science Press, Beijing, 1979. [285](#)
12. J. S. Xu, *Hardy–Hilbert's inequalities with two parameters*, Adv. Math. (China) **36** (2007), no. 2, 189–202. [MR2362732](#). [284](#)

13. B. C. Yang, *A note on Hilbert's integral inequalities*, Chinese Quart. J. Math. **13** (1998), no. 4, 83–86. [Zbl 0970.26011](#). [MR1705812](#). [283](#)
14. B. C. Yang, *On Hilbert's integral inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **220** (1998), no. 2, 778–785. [Zbl 0911.26011](#). [MR1614968](#). [DOI 10.1006/jmaa.1997.5877](#). [283](#)
15. B. C. Yang, *Hilbert-Type Integral Inequalities*, Bentham Science Publishers, Sharjah, UAE, 2009. [284](#)
16. B. C. Yang, *The Norm of Operator and Hilbert-Type Inequalities*, Science Press, Beijing, 2009. [284](#)
17. B. C. Yang, *On Hilbert-type integral inequalities and their operator expressions*, J. Guangong Univ. Ed. **33** (2013), no. 5, 1–17. [284](#)
18. B. C. Yang, *On an extension of Hilbert's integral inequality with some parameters*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. App. **1** (2014), no. 1, art. ID 11. [Zbl 1086.26018](#). [MR2088945](#). [283](#)
19. B. C. Yang, I. Brnetić, M. Krnić, and J. E. Pečarić, *Generalization of Hilbert and Hardy–Hilbert integral inequalities*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **8** (2008), no. 2, 259–272. [Zbl 1078.26019](#). [MR2148564](#). [DOI 10.7153/mia-08-25](#). [284](#)
20. W. Y. Zhong and B. C. Yang, *On a multiple Hilbert-type inequality with the symmetric kernel*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2007**, art. ID 27962. [Zbl 1133.26319](#). [MR2358132](#). [DOI 10.1155/2007/27962](#). [284](#)
21. Y. Q. Zhong, *Introduction to Complex Functions*, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2003. [285](#)

¹INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZURICH, CH-8057, ZURICH, SWITZERLAND; INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PROGRAM IN INTERDISCIPLINARY STUDIES, 1 EINSTEIN DR, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540, USA.

E-mail address: michail.rassias@math.uzh.ch; michailrassias@math.princeton.edu

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GUANGDONG UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG 510303, P. R. CHINA.

E-mail address: bcyang@gdei.edu.cn; bcyang818@163.com