

THE COMMUTANT OF A MULTIPLICATION OPERATOR WITH A FINITE BLASCHKE PRODUCT SYMBOL ON THE SOBOLEV DISK ALGEBRA

RUIFANG ZHAO,^{1*} ZONGYAO WANG,¹ and DAVID R. LARSON²

Communicated by R. Mortini

ABSTRACT. Let $R(\mathbb{D})$ be the algebra generated in the Sobolev space $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{D})$ by the rational functions with poles outside the unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. This is called the *Sobolev disk algebra*. In this article, the commutant of the multiplication operator $M_{B(z)}$ on $R(\mathbb{D})$ is studied, where $B(z)$ is an n -Blaschke product. We prove that an operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(R(\mathbb{D}))$ is in $\mathcal{A}'(M_{B(z)})$ if and only if $A = \sum_{i=1}^n M_{h_i} M_{\Delta(z)}^{-1} T_i$, where $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset R(\mathbb{D})$, and $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(R(\mathbb{D}))$ is given by $(T_i g)(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} \Delta_{ij}(z) g(G_{j-1}(z))$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $G_0(z) \equiv z$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Ω be an analytic Cauchy domain in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and let $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ denote the Sobolev space

$$W^{2,2}(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in L^2(\Omega, dm) : \begin{array}{l} \text{the distributional partial derivatives of first} \\ \text{and second order of } f \text{ belong to } L^2(\Omega, dm) \end{array} \right\},$$

where dm denotes the planar Lebesgue measure. For $f, g \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$, we define

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2} \int D^\alpha f \overline{D^\alpha g} dm.$$

Copyright 2017 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Received Jun. 2, 2016; Accepted Oct. 29, 2016.

First published online Apr. 22, 2017.

*Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47B38; Secondary 47B37, 46E20.

Keywords. Sobolev disk algebra, finite Blaschke product, multiplication operator, commutant.

Then $W^{22}(\Omega)$ is a Hilbert space and a Banach algebra with identity under an equivalent norm. The space $W^{22}(\Omega)$ can be continuously embedded in the space $C(\overline{\Omega})$ of continuous functions on $\overline{\Omega}$ by the Sobolev embedding theorem, where $\overline{\Omega}$ is the closure of Ω .

Dixmier and Foias [3] constructed operator models based on the Sobolev space. Using these models, Herrero, Taylor, and Wang [5] discussed the variation of point spectrum under compact perturbation. Jiang and Wang in [6, Chapter 4.5] obtained some interesting results on strongly irreducible operators. If $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$, the unit disk in \mathbb{C} , then let $R(\mathbb{D})$ be the subalgebra of $W^{22}(\mathbb{D})$ generated by rational functions with poles outside $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. This subalgebra is called the *Sobolev disk algebra* (see [6], [8]). In fact, $R(\mathbb{D})$ consists of all analytic functions in $W^{22}(\mathbb{D})$, and the Hilbert space $R(\mathbb{D})$ possesses an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, $e_n = \beta_n z^n$, $\beta_n = [\frac{n+1}{(3n^4-n^2+2n+1)\pi}]^{1/2}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). A function $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$ analytic on \mathbb{D} belongs to $R(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^\infty |\frac{a_n}{\beta_n}|^2 < \infty$. Given $g \in R(\mathbb{D})$, the multiplication operator M_g is given by $M_g f = gf$, $f \in R(\mathbb{D})$. It was proved that $\mathcal{A}'(M_z) = \{M_g; g \in R(\mathbb{D})\}$, where $\mathcal{A}'(M_z)$ is the commutant of M_z (see [6, p. 95]). The commutant of a bounded linear operator A on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}'(A) = \{B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}); AB = BA\},$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is Fredholm if $\text{ran } T$, the range of T , is closed and $\text{ind } T = \dim \ker T - \dim \ker T^*$ is finite. If $g \in R(\mathbb{D})$, $\sigma(M_g) = g(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$, $\sigma_e(M_g) = \sigma_{lre}(M_g) = g(\mathbb{T})$, and if $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ and $g(z_0) \notin g(\mathbb{T})$, then $M_g - g(z_0)$ is a Fredholm operator and

$$\text{ind}(M_g - g(z_0)) = -\dim \ker (M_g - g(z_0))^* = -n,$$

where $\sigma(M_g)$, $\sigma_e(M_g)$, $\sigma_{lre}(M_g)$ denote, respectively, the spectrum, the essential spectrum, and the Wolf-spectrum of M_g . Here $g(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ and $g(\mathbb{T})$ are the images of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and, respectively, the unit circle \mathbb{T} under g and n is the number of zeros (counting multiplicity) of $g(z) - g(z_0)$ in \mathbb{D} . (For more details about the Sobolev disk algebra $R(\mathbb{D})$, see [6].)

It is well known that the commutant of a bounded linear operator or operators on a complex, separable Hilbert space plays an important role in determining the structure of this operator or these operators. In [2], Cuckovic investigated the commutant of M_{z^n} on the Bergman space. The commutant of multiplication by a univalent function in the disk algebra was discussed in [7]. On the Sobolev disk algebra, Jiang and Wang [6] and Liu and Wang [8] investigated the commutant $\mathcal{A}'(M_{z^n})$. Wang, Zhao, and Jin [10, Theorem 1] proved that M_g is similar to M_{z^n} if and only if g is an n -Blaschke product. The main point of the main theorem of the present article, Theorem 2.8, is to characterize the commutant $\mathcal{A}'(M_B)$ of an n -Blaschke product $B(z) = \alpha \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{z-a_i}{1-\overline{a_i}z}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{D}$, $|\alpha| = 1$.

2. THE COMMUTANT OF A MULTIPLICATION OPERATOR

Lemma 2.1 ([10, Lemma 1]). *Let $B(z) = \alpha \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{z-a_i}{1-\overline{a_i}z}$ be an n -Blaschke product. Then the derivative $B'(z)$ of $B(z)$ has no zeros on the unit circle \mathbb{T} .*

Lemma 2.2 ([10, Proposition 1]). *Let $B(z)$ be a finite Blaschke product, $f \in R(\mathbb{D})$. Then $f[B(z)] \in R(\mathbb{D})$, and the operator C_B defined by $C_B(f)(z) = f[B(z)]$ is bounded.*

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\alpha = 1$. By Lemma 4.5.9 of [6], for each $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$B(z) - B(z_0) = (z - z_0)(z - z_1) \cdots (z - z_{n-1})B_{z_0}(z),$$

where $B_{z_0}(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $N_{z_0} = \{z_i\}_{i=0}^{n-1}$, and let

$$\Gamma = \bigcup \{N_{z_0}; \text{there is at least one } z_i \ (0 \leq i \leq n-1) \text{ such that } B'(z_i) = 0\}.$$

The set Γ is finite.

Lemma 2.3 ([8, Theorem 2.3]). *Let $f \in R(\mathbb{D})$, let M_f^* be a Cowen–Douglas operator of index n on Ω , and let $D_1 = f^{-1}(\Omega)$. Here Ω is the component of the semi-Fredholm domain $\rho_{s-F}(M_f)$ containing $f(z_0)$ for $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, and n is the number of zeros of $f(z) - f(z_0)$ in \mathbb{D} . If the set of $\{z \in D_1, f'(z) = 0\}$ is finite, then there exist analytic functions $\alpha_1(z), \dots, \alpha_n(z)$ and $G_1(z), \dots, G_{n-1}(z)$ on $D_1 \setminus \Gamma$ such that, for each $A \in \mathcal{A}'(M_f)$ and $g \in R(\mathbb{D})$,*

$$(Ag)(z) = \alpha_1(z)g(z) + \alpha_2(z)g(G_1(z)) + \cdots + \alpha_n(z)g(G_{n-1}(z)), \quad z \in D_1 \setminus \Gamma.$$

For this lemma, we need some explanation. In the proof of Theorem 2.3 of [8], the authors used an implicit holomorphic function theorem (see [4, Theorem 9.6]) to prove that, for every $\omega \in D_1 \setminus \Gamma$, there is a neighborhood $U(\omega, \delta_\omega)$ where δ_ω depends on ω , and there are $n - 1$ functions $G_1(z), \dots, G_{n-1}(z)$ analytic on $U(\omega, \delta_\omega)$ such that, for $v \in U(\omega, \delta_\omega)$,

$$f(z) - f(v) = (z - v)(z - G_1(v)) \cdots (z - G_{n-1}(v))g_v(z), \quad g_v(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Obviously, there are at most countable such balls $U(\omega_k, \delta_{\omega_k})$ that can cover $D_1 \setminus \Gamma$. In these balls, suppose that $U(\omega_i, \delta_{\omega_i}) \cap U(\omega_j, \delta_{\omega_j}) \neq \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$. For $U(\omega_i, \delta_{\omega_i})$, we have $n - 1$ functions G_1, \dots, G_{n-1} analytic on $U(\omega_i, \delta_{\omega_i})$ such that, for $v \in U(\omega_i, \delta_{\omega_i})$,

$$f(z) - f(v) = (z - v)(z - G_1(v)) \cdots (z - G_{n-1}(v))g_v(z), \quad g_v(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2.1}$$

For $U(\omega_j, \delta_{\omega_j})$, we also have $n - 1$ functions Z_1, \dots, Z_{n-1} analytic on $U(\omega_j, \delta_{\omega_j})$ such that, for $v \in U(\omega_j, \delta_{\omega_j})$, we have

$$f(z) - f(v) = (z - v)(z - G_1(v)) \cdots (z - G_{n-1}(v))g_v(z), \quad g_v(z) \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{D}. \tag{2.2}$$

Then for $v \in U(\omega_i, \delta_{\omega_i}) \cap U(\omega_j, \delta_{\omega_j})$, by (2.1) and (2.2), we have $\{G_m(v)\}_{m=1}^{n-1} = \{Z_m(v)\}_{m=1}^{n-1}$, and so we can rearrange the index of G_m 's such that $G_m(v) = Z_m(v)$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Since $\{G_m, Z_m\}_{m=1}^{n-1}$ are analytic functions on the open set $U(\omega_i, \delta_{\omega_i}) \cap U(\omega_j, \delta_{\omega_j})$, we have $G_m(v) = Z_m(v)$ for $v \in U(\omega_i, \delta_{\omega_i}) \cap U(\omega_j, \delta_{\omega_j})$. Thus the analytic continuation is possible.

By [8, Theorem 2.3] and the argument in its proof in [8, p. 68–69], there exist $n - 1$ functions G_1, \dots, G_{n-1} analytic on $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \Gamma$ such that

$$B(z) - B(z_0) = (z - z_0)(z - G_1(z_0)) \cdots (z - G_{n-1}(z_0))B_{z_0}(z).$$

For these $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$, we have the following.

Lemma 2.4.

- (i) Each G_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) is a Blaschke product of order 1; that is, $G_i(z) = \alpha_i \frac{z - b_i}{1 - \bar{b}_i z}$, $|\alpha_i| = 1$, and $\alpha_i \neq 1$;
- (ii) Each $G_i(z) - z$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) and each $G_i(z) - G_j(z)$ ($i \neq j$; $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$) has precisely one zero in \mathbb{D} ;
- (iii) The point $z \in \mathbb{D}$ is a zero of $B'(z)$ if and only if either $z = z_0$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $G_i(z_0) = z_0$ for some i , or $z = G_i(z_0)$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $G_i(z_0) = G_j(z_0)$ for some $i \neq j$.

Proof. (i) For $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, $|B(G_i(z_0))| = |B(z_0)| < 1$. This implies that $|G_i(z_0)| < 1$. If $z_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, then $|B(G_i(z_0))| = |B(z_0)| = 1$. Thus $|G_i(z_0)| = 1$. Since G_i is bounded on $\mathbb{D} \setminus \Gamma$ and Γ is a finite set, each point in Γ is a removable singularity (see [1]). We can assume that G_i is analytic on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Thus G_i is an inner function. Since $|G_i(z)| = 1$ at each point of \mathbb{T} , $G_i(z)$ is not a singular inner function (see [9]); that is, $G_i(z)$ is a Blaschke product. Note that $B(z) - B(z_0) = 0$ has n roots $z_0, G_1(z_0), \dots, G_{n-1}(z_0)$ when $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$. Similarly, if $z \in \mathbb{D}$ is fixed and we solve for z_0 , then

$$B(z) - B(z_0) = (z - z_0)(z - G_1(z_0)) \cdots (z - G_{n-1}(z_0))B_{z_0}(z) = 0$$

has n roots. Thus $1 + k_1 + \cdots + k_{n-1} = n$, where k_i is the order of G_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. This implies that each G_i is a Blaschke product of order 1. Suppose $G_i(z) = \alpha_i \frac{z - b_i}{1 - \bar{b}_i z}$ for some b_i with $|b_i| < 1$ and α_i with $|\alpha_i| = 1$. If $\alpha_i = 1$, then a computation shows that $G_i(z) - z$ has two zeros $z_0 = \pm e^{i\theta}$, where θ is the argument of b_i . Thus $B(z) - B(z_0) = (z - z_0)^2 f(z)$ for some f and $B'(z_0) = 0$, which contradicts Lemma 2.1.

(ii) Solve the equation $G_i(z) - z = 0$ or, equivalently,

$$\bar{b}_i z^2 - (1 - \alpha_i)z - \alpha_i b_i = 0.$$

Let z_1, z_2 be the two solutions in \mathbb{C} . Then

$$|z_1 z_2| = \left| \frac{-\alpha_i b_i}{\bar{b}_i} \right| = 1.$$

If both $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{T}$, then $B'(z_1) = B'(z_2) = 0$, which contradicts Lemma 2.1. Thus one of z_1, z_2 is located in \mathbb{D} and the other is out of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Solve the equation $G_i(z) = G_j(z)$ ($i \neq j$) or, equivalently,

$$\frac{cz - cb_i}{1 - \bar{b}_i z} = \frac{z - b_j}{1 - \bar{b}_j z},$$

where $c = \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j}$. We get

$$(\bar{b}_i - c\bar{b}_j)z^2 + (c + cb_i\bar{b}_j - 1 - \bar{b}_i b_j)z + b_j - cb_i = 0.$$

If the solutions are the complex numbers z_1, z_2 , then

$$|z_1 z_2| = \left| \frac{b_j - cb_i}{b_i - cb_j} \right| = 1.$$

For the same reason one and only one of z_1, z_2 is in \mathbb{D} .

(iii) If $B'(z_0) = 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, then

$$\frac{B(z) - B(z_0)}{z - z_0} = (z - G_1(z_0))(z - G_2(z_0)) \cdots (z - G_{n-1}(z_0)) B_{z_0}(z) \rightarrow 0$$

as $z \rightarrow z_0$. Since $B_{z_0}(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, $z - G_i(z_0) \rightarrow 0$ at least for one i ; that is, $G_i(z_0) = z_0$. Conversely, if $G_i(z_0) = z_0$ for some i and $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, then

$$B(z) - B(z_0) = (z - z_0)^2 f(z)$$

for some f . Hence $B'(z_0) = 0$.

If $G_i(z_0) = G_j(z_0)$, then

$$B(z) - B(G_i(z_0)) = (z - z_0)(z - G_i(z_0))^2 h(z)$$

for some h . Thus $B'(G_i(z_0)) = 0$. □

Example 2.5.

(i) Let $B(z) = \frac{(z-a)(z-b)}{(1-\bar{a}z)(1-\bar{b}z)}$. Calculations show that

$$G(z) = -\frac{z-c}{1-\bar{c}z},$$

where $c = \frac{(a+b)-ab\overline{(a+b)}}{1-|ab|^2}$.

(ii) Let $B(z) = \left(\frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}\right)^4$. Calculations show that

$$G_i(z) = \alpha_i \frac{z - b_i}{1 - \bar{b}_i z} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

where $\alpha_1 = -1$, $b_1 = \frac{2a}{1+|a|^2}$; $\alpha_2 = \frac{i(1+i|a|^2)}{1-i|a|^2}$, $b_2 = \frac{(1+i)a}{1+i|a|^2}$; $\alpha_3 = \frac{i(|a|^2+i)}{|a|^2-i}$, $b_3 = \frac{(1+i)a}{|a|^2+i}$.

In what follows, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{n-1} are the order one Blaschke products associated with the n -Blaschke product $B(z)$.

Let $\Delta(z)$ denote the Vandermonde determinant

$$\Delta(z) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ z & G_1 & \cdots & G_{n-1} \\ z^2 & G_1^2 & \cdots & G_{n-1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ z^{n-1} & G_1^{n-1} & \cdots & G_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let $\Delta_{kj}(z)$ be the k, j -algebra cofactor of $\Delta(z)$ ($k, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$). It is known that

$$\Delta(z) = (G_1 - z)(G_2 - z) \cdots (G_{n-1} - z)(G_2 - G_1) \cdots (G_{n-1} - G_{n-2}),$$

and that $\Delta(z)$ and $\Delta_{kj}(z)$ are in $R(\mathbb{D})$.

Lemma 2.6 (see [6, Proposition 4.5.3]). *A function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n z^n$ analytic on \mathbb{D} belongs to $R(\mathbb{D})$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\frac{f_n}{\beta_n}|^2 < \infty$, where $\beta_n = [\frac{n+1}{(3n^4 - n^2 + 2n + 1)\pi}]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $f_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).*

Lemma 2.7.

- (i) $\text{ran } M_{z-z_0} = \{f \in R(\mathbb{D}); f(z_0) = 0\}$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$;
- (ii) Given $g \in R(\mathbb{D})$ and $g(z) = (G_i(z) - z)f(z)$ for i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$) and some function f , then $f \in R(\mathbb{D})$, $g \in \text{ran } M_{G_i-z}$, and $f = M_{G_i-z}^{-1}g$;
- (iii) Given $g \in R(\mathbb{D})$ and $g(z) = (G_i(z) - G_j(z))f(z)$ for $i \neq j$ ($i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$) and some function f , then $f \in R(\mathbb{D})$, $g \in \text{ran } M_{G_i-G_j}$, and $f = M_{G_i-G_j}^{-1}g$;
- (iv) Given $g \in R(\mathbb{D})$ and $g(z) = \Delta(z)f(z)$ for some function f , then $g \in \text{ran } M_{\Delta(z)}$ and $f = M_{\Delta(z)}^{-1}g$.

Proof. (i) Note that M_{z-z_0} is Fredholm and that

$$\text{ran } M_{z-z_0} = \{(z - z_0)g(z); g \in R(\mathbb{D})\}$$

is always closed. If $z_0 = 0$ and $f(0) = 0$, then we can suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k z^k = z \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k z^{k-1}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2.6,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f_k}{\beta_{k-1}} \right|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{f_k}{\beta_k} \right|^2 \left| \frac{\beta_k}{\beta_{k-1}} \right|^2 < \infty$$

implies that $h(z) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k z^{k-1} \in R(\mathbb{D})$ and $f \in \text{ran } M_z$. Thus $\text{ran } M_z = \{f \in R(\mathbb{D}); f(0) = 0\}$.

If $z_0 \neq 0, z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, and $f(z_0) = 0$, then set $g(z) = f(\frac{z+z_0}{1+\bar{z}_0 z})$. By Lemma 2.2, $g \in R(\mathbb{D})$. Since $g(0) = 0$, $g \in \text{ran } M_z$, and $g(z) = z g_1(z)$, $g_1(z) \in R(\mathbb{D})$. Hence

$$f(z) = g\left(\frac{z - z_0}{1 - \bar{z}_0 z}\right) = (z - z_0)g_1\left(\frac{z - z_0}{1 - \bar{z}_0 z}\right)(1 - \bar{z}_0 z)^{-1} = (z - z_0)h(z),$$

where

$$h(z) = g_1\left(\frac{z - z_0}{1 - \bar{z}_0 z}\right)(1 - \bar{z}_0 z)^{-1} \in R(\mathbb{D}).$$

Thus $f \in \text{ran } M_{z-z_0}$. The opposite inclusion is obvious.

(ii) Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ be the only zero of $G_i(z) - z$ in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Then

$$G_i(z) - z = (z - z_0)G_{z_0}(z), \quad G_{z_0}(z) \neq 0$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and $G_{z_0} \in R(\mathbb{D})$ by (i). For $0 \notin G_{z_0}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) = \sigma(M_{G_{z_0}})$, $M_{G_{z_0}}$ is invertible and $G_{z_0}^{-1}(z) \in R(\mathbb{D})$. Since $g(z_0) = 0$, we have $g(z) = (z - z_0)g_1(z)$, and $g_1 \in R(\mathbb{D})$ by (i). Hence

$$g(z) = (G_i(z) - z)G_{z_0}^{-1}(z)g_1(z)$$

and

$$f(z) = G_{z_0}^{-1}(z)g_1(z) \in R(\mathbb{D}); \quad \text{that is, } g \in \text{ran } M_{G_i-z}.$$

Note that $G_i(z) - z \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$, M_{G_i-z} is Fredholm, and $\text{ran } M_{G_i-z}$ is closed. Also, M_{G_i-z} is injective. Therefore,

$$M_{G_i-z} : R(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \text{ran } M_{G_i-z}$$

is invertible, and $f = M_{G_i-z}^{-1}g$.

(iii), (iv) By a similar argument to that used in (ii), we can easily prove (iii). Using (ii) and (iii), we can easily prove (iv). \square

Theorem 2.8. *An operator $A \in \mathcal{L}(R(\mathbb{D}))$ is in $\mathcal{A}'(M_{B(z)})$ if and only if*

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n M_{h_i} M_{\Delta(z)}^{-1} T_i,$$

where $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset R(\mathbb{D})$, $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(R(\mathbb{D}))$ is given by

$$(T_i g)(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{i+j} \Delta_{ij}(z) g(G_{j-1}(z)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, G_0(z) \equiv z.$$

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}'(M_{B(z)})$. By Lemma 4.5.11 of [6] and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(Ag)(z) = \alpha_1(z)g(z) + \alpha_2(z)g(G_1(z)) + \dots + \alpha_n(z)g(G_{n-1}(z))$$

for $g \in R(\mathbb{D})$ and $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \Gamma$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are analytic on $\mathbb{D} \setminus \Gamma$ and G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{n-1} are the order one Blaschke products associated with $B(z)$. Take $g = 1, z, z^2, \dots, z^{n-1}$ sequentially. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(z) + \alpha_2(z) + \dots + \alpha_n(z) &= (A1)(z) = h_1(z), \\ z\alpha_1(z) + G_1(z)\alpha_2(z) + \dots + G_{n-1}(z)\alpha_n(z) &= (Az)(z) = h_2(z), \\ &\vdots \\ z^{n-1}\alpha_1(z) + G_1^{n-1}(z)\alpha_2(z) + \dots + G_{n-1}^{n-1}(z)\alpha_n(z) &= (Az^{n-1})(z) = h_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

Solving for $\alpha_1(z), \alpha_2(z), \dots, \alpha_n(z)$ by Cramer's rule, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(z) &= \frac{1}{\Delta(z)} \begin{vmatrix} h_1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ h_2 & G_1 & \dots & G_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ h_n & G_1^{n-1} & \dots & G_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}, \\ \alpha_2(z) &= \frac{1}{\Delta(z)} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & h_1 & \dots & 1 \\ z & h_2 & \dots & G_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ z^{n-1} & h_n & \dots & G_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}, \\ &\dots \\ \alpha_n(z) &= \frac{1}{\Delta(z)} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & h_1 \\ z & G_1 & \dots & h_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ z^{n-1} & G_1^{n-1} & \dots & h_n \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Ag)(z) &= \frac{h_1(z)}{\Delta(z)} [\Delta_{11}(z)g(z) - \Delta_{12}(z)g(G_1(z)) + \Delta_{13}(z)g(G_2(z)) + \cdots \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{1+n}\Delta_{1n}(z)g(G_{n-1}(z))] \\
 &\quad + \frac{h_2(z)}{\Delta(z)} [-\Delta_{21}(z)g(z) + \Delta_{22}(z)g(G_1(z)) \\
 &\quad - \Delta_{23}(z)g(G_2(z)) + \cdots + (-1)^{2+n}\Delta_{2n}(z)g(G_{n-1}(z))] + \cdots \\
 &\quad + \frac{h_n(z)}{\Delta(z)} [(-1)^{n+1}\Delta_{n1}(z)g(z) + (-1)^{n+2}\Delta_{n2}(z)g(G_1(z)) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^{n+3}\Delta_{n3}(z)g(G_2(z)) + \cdots + \Delta_{nn}(z)g(G_{n-1}(z))] \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(z) \frac{g_k(z)}{\Delta(z)},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \Gamma$ and $g_k(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} \Delta_{kj}(z)g(G_{j-1}(z))$. It is clear $g_k \in R(\mathbb{D})$.

Define $T_k g = g_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Since $R(\mathbb{D})$ is a Banach algebra under an equivalent norm, applying Lemma 2.2, we have that T_k is a bounded linear operator in $\mathcal{L}(R(\mathbb{D}))$ and $\|T_k g\| \leq M\|g\|$ for $g \in R(\mathbb{D})$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Consider the determinant expression of g_1 ,

$$g_1(z) = \begin{vmatrix} g(z) & g(G_1(z)) & \cdots & g(G_{n-1}(z)) \\ z & G_1(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}(z) \\ z^2 & G_1^2(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^2(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ z^{n-1} & G_1^{n-1}(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^{n-1}(z) \end{vmatrix},$$

where $g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, let K be a positive integer such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=k}^\infty a_i z^i \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=k}^\infty a_i G_1^i(z) \right\| < \varepsilon, \quad \dots, \quad \left\| \sum_{i=k}^\infty a_i G_{n-1}^i(z) \right\| < \varepsilon$$

for any $k \geq K$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1(z) &= \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i z^i + \sum_{i=k}^\infty a_i z^i & \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i G_1^i + \sum_{i=k}^\infty a_i G_1^i & \cdots & \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i G_{n-1}^i + \sum_{i=k}^\infty a_i G_{n-1}^i \\ z & G_1 & \cdots & G_{n-1} \\ z^2 & G_1^2 & \cdots & G_{n-1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ z^{n-1} & G_1^{n-1} & \cdots & G_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i Z_i + R_k,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z_i = \begin{vmatrix} z^i & G_1^i(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^i(z) \\ z & G_1(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}(z) \\ z^2 & G_1^2(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^2(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ z^{n-1} & G_1^{n-1}(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^{n-1}(z) \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$R_k = \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i z^i & \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i G_1^i & \cdots & \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i G_{n-1}^i \\ z & G_1 & \cdots & G_{n-1} \\ z^2 & G_1^2 & \cdots & G_{n-1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ z^{n-1} & G_1^{n-1} & \cdots & G_{n-1}^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_k\| &= \left\| \Delta_{11}(z) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i z^i - \Delta_{12}(z) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i G_1^i + \cdots + (-1)^{1+n} \Delta_{1n}(z) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i G_{n-1}^i \right\| \\ &\leq (\|\Delta_{11}\| + \|\Delta_{12}\| + \cdots + \|\Delta_{1n}\|) \varepsilon = L\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g_1(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k Z_k$.

It is easy to see that $Z_0 = \Delta(z)$, $Z_1 = Z_2 = \cdots = Z_{n-1} = 0$. When $k \geq n$, set

$$P_k(u) = \begin{vmatrix} u^k & G_1^k(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^k(z) \\ u & G_1(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}(z) \\ u^2 & G_1^2(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^2(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ u^{n-1} & G_1^{n-1}(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^{n-1}(z) \end{vmatrix}.$$

It is obvious that $P_k(z) = Z_k$. Note that $P_k(G_1(z)) = 0$ since in the determinant expression the first two columns are the same. This implies that $P_k(u)$ has a factor $u - G_1(z)$. Therefore, $P_k(z) = Z_k$ has a factor $z - G_1(z)$. Similarly, Z_k has factors $z - G_2(z), \dots, z - G_{n-1}(z)$. If setting

$$P_k(u) = \begin{vmatrix} z^k & u^k & G_2^k(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^k(z) \\ z & u & G_2(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}(z) \\ z^2 & u^2 & G_2^2(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^2(z) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ z^{n-1} & u^{n-1} & G_2^{n-1}(z) & \cdots & G_{n-1}^{n-1}(z) \end{vmatrix},$$

then $P_k(G_1(z)) = Z_k$. Since $P_k(G_2(z)) = 0$, $P_k(u)$ has a factor $G_2(z) - u$, and so $P_k(G_1(z)) = Z_k$ has a factor $G_2(z) - G_1(z)$. Similarly, Z_k has factors $G_3(z) - G_1(z), \dots, G_{n-1}(z) - G_1(z)$. By the same arguments, Z_k has factors $G_3 - G_2, G_4 - G_2, \dots, G_{n-1} - G_{n-2}$. Hence Z_k has a factor $\Delta(z)$, and $g_1(z) = \Delta(z)f_1(z)$. Lemma 2.7 indicates that $f_1 \in R(\mathbb{D})$, $g_1 \in \text{ran } M_\Delta$, and $f_1 = M_\Delta^{-1}g_1$. Thus

$$\frac{g_1(z)}{\Delta(z)} = (M_\Delta^{-1}T_1g)(z).$$

By the same argument,

$$\frac{g_k(z)}{\Delta(z)} = (M_\Delta^{-1}T_kg)(z) \quad \text{and} \quad A = \sum_{k=1}^n M_{h_k} M_\Delta^{-1} T_k.$$

Conversely, for arbitrary h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n in $R(\mathbb{D})$, define $A \in \mathcal{L}(R(\mathbb{D}))$ by

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^n M_{h_k} M_{\Delta}^{-1} T_k.$$

For $g \in R(\mathbb{D})$,

$$\begin{aligned} (AM_B g)(z) &= [A(Bg)](z) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(z) M_{\Delta}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} \Delta_{kj}(z) B(G_{j-1}(z)) g(G_{j-1}(z)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(z) M_{\Delta}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} \Delta_{kj}(z) B(z) g(G_{j-1}(z)) \right) \\ &= B(z) \sum_{k=1}^n h_k(z) M_{\Delta}^{-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} \Delta_{kj}(z) g(G_{j-1}(z)) \right) \\ &= \left[M_B \left(\sum_{k=1}^n M_{h_k} M_{\Delta}^{-1} T_k \right) g \right](z) \\ &= (M_B A g)(z). \end{aligned}$$

The third equality is because of $B(G_{j-1}(z)) = B(z)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In fact, by the argument before Lemma 2.4, for each $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$B(z) - B(z_0) = (z - z_0)(z - G_1(z_0)) \cdots (z - G_{n-1}(z_0)) B_{z_0}(z),$$

where $B_{z_0}(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If setting $z = G_{j-1}(z_0)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $B(G_{j-1}(z_0)) - B(z_0) = 0$ for each $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$; that is, $B(z) = B(G_{j-1}(z))$. Hence $AM_B = M_B A$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}'(M_B)$. The proof of Theorem 2.8 is complete. \square

Remark 2.9. In Theorem 2.8, the zeros of $B(z)$ are not necessarily nonzero. If all the zeros of $B(z)$ are zero, then we get $B(z) = z^n$, and so Theorem 2.8 generalizes the result in [8]. If some are zero and others are nonzero, then Theorem 2.8 is true for the general finite Blaschke product $B(z) = \alpha z^k \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{z - a_i}{1 - \bar{a}_i z}$.

Acknowledgments. This paper was written when the first author was a visiting scholar at Texas A&M University. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments to the original version of this paper.

Zhao's work was partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China and by a grant of the China Scholarship Council.

REFERENCES

1. J. B. Conway, *Functions of One Complex Variable, II*, Grad. Texts in Math. **159**, Springer, New York, 1995. [Zbl 0887.30003](#). [MR1344449](#). [DOI 10.1007/978-1-4612-0817-4](#). [369](#)
2. Z. Cuckovic, *Commutants of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space*, Pacific J. Math. **162** (1994), no. 2, 277–285. [Zbl 0802.47018](#). [MR1251902](#). [DOI 10.2140/pjm.1994.162.277](#). [367](#)
3. J. Dixmier and C. Foias, “Sur le spectre ponctuel d’un opérateur” in *Hilbert Space Operators and Operator Algebras (Tihany, 1970)*, Colloq. Math. **5**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1972, 127–133. [Zbl 0249.47001](#). [MR0365175](#). [367](#)

4. P. Griffiths, *Algebraic Curves* (in Chinese), Publishing house of Beijing Univ., Beijing, 1985. [368](#)
5. D. A. Herrero, T. J. Taylor, and Z. Y. Wang, “Variation of the point spectrum under compact perturbations” in *Topics in Operator Theory*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl. **32**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1988, 113–158. [Zbl 0662.47014](#). [MR0951959](#). [367](#)
6. C. L. Jiang and Z. Y. Wang, *Structure of Hilbert Space Operators*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2006. [Zbl 1102.47001](#). [MR2221863](#). [367](#), [368](#), [371](#), [372](#)
7. B. Khani Robati and S. M. Vaezpour, *On the commutant of operators of multiplication by univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (2001), no. 8, 2379–2383. [Zbl 0970.47022](#). [MR1823922](#). DOI [10.1090/S0002-9939-01-05959-7](#). [367](#)
8. Y. Q. Liu and Z. Y. Wang, *The commutant of the multiplication operators on Sobolev disk algebra*, J. Anal. Appl. **2** (2004), no. 2, 65–86. [Zbl 1103.47023](#). [MR2067781](#). [367](#), [368](#), [375](#)
9. J. Mashreghi, *Derivatives of inner functions*, Fields Inst. Monogr. **31**, Springer, New York, 2013. [Zbl 1276.30005](#). [MR2986324](#). [369](#)
10. Z. Y. Wang, R. F. Zhao, and Y. F. Jin, *Finite Blaschke product and the multiplication operators on Sobolev disk algebra*, Sci. China Ser. A **52** (2009), no. 1, 142–146. [Zbl 1176.47030](#). [MR2471523](#). DOI [10.1007/s11425-008-0051-x](#). [367](#), [368](#)

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHANGHAI, 200237, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

E-mail address: rfzhao@ecust.edu.cn; zywang@ecust.edu.cn

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843, USA.

E-mail address: larson@math.tamu.edu