

NO EMPIRICAL PROBABILITY MEASURE CAN CONVERGE IN THE TOTAL VARIATION SENSE FOR ALL DISTRIBUTIONS¹

BY LUC DEVROYE AND LÁSZLÓ GYÖRFI²

McGill University and Hungarian Academy of Sciences

For any sequence of empirical probability measures $\{\mu_n\}$ on the Borel sets of the real line and any $\delta > 0$, there exists a singular continuous probability measure μ such that

$$\inf_n \sup_A |\mu_n(A) - \mu(A)| \geq \frac{1}{2} - \delta \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

We consider a probability measure μ on the Borel sets of the real line, from which we draw an i.i.d. sample X_1, \dots, X_n . An empirical probability measure μ_n is a probability measure on the same Borel sets and for a fixed set A , $\mu_n(A)$ is a measurable function of the data X_1, \dots, X_n . In particular, we are interested in the *total variation*

$$T_n \triangleq \sup_A |\mu_n(A) - \mu(A)|,$$

where the supremum is over all the Borel sets. By considering suprema over left infinite intervals only, it is easy to see that $T_n \geq \sup_x |F_n(x) - F(x)|$, the Glivenko–Cantelli norm, where F_n and F are the distribution functions corresponding to μ_n and μ , respectively. The *standard empirical measure*, defined by

$$\mu_n(A) \triangleq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[X_i \in A]},$$

is atomic in nature. Hence, whenever μ is continuous, we have $T_n \equiv 1$ almost surely for all n . This is in stark contrast with the Glivenko–Cantelli norm, which is known to converge to zero almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (by the Glivenko–Cantelli theorem). If μ is atomic, it is quite obvious that $T_n \rightarrow 0$ almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In order for T_n to be small when μ is nonatomic, we should not use the standard empirical measure. For example, for absolutely continuous μ (with density f), Scheffé's lemma [Scheffé (1947)] states that

$$T_n = \frac{1}{2} \int |f_n - f|,$$

Received September 1988; revised July 1989.

¹Research supported by NSERC Grant A-3456 and by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (Grant no. 1485).

²Written at the Department of Mathematics, University of Leuven, Belgium, while L. Györfi was visiting Professor E. C. van der Meulen.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 62G05; secondary 60E05.

Key words and phrases. Empirical measure, total variation distance, singular continuous distributions.

when μ_n is an absolutely continuous empirical measure with density f_n . But it is very easy to construct density estimates f_n with the property that for all f , $\int |f_n - f| \rightarrow 0$ almost surely: It suffices to take for f_n the kernel estimate

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right)$$

[Parzen (1962); Rosenblatt (1956)], where K is an arbitrary fixed density and $h = h_n$ is any sequence of random variables, possibly dependent upon the data, for which $h_n \rightarrow 0$ almost surely and $nh_n \rightarrow \infty$ almost surely [see Devroye and Györfi (1985), Chapter 6, and the references therein]. Other estimates, such as the histogram estimate, share the same universal consistency property. In summary, T_n is rather sensitive to the nature of the underlying probability measure μ and for discrete and absolutely continuous μ , it is possible to construct empirical measures for which $T_n \rightarrow 0$ almost surely. The same is obviously true for mixtures of discrete and absolutely continuous measures; it suffices to consider appropriate mixtures of the two empirical measures introduced above, where for the discrete part, we only take into account those X_i 's for which $X_j \equiv X_i$ for some $j \neq i$. The question thus arises: Can we construct an empirical measure that is weakly or strongly consistent (in the total variation sense) for all μ ?

We have to answer this question in the negative, simply because a universally consistent empirical measure does not even exist for all singular continuous μ . Indeed, in the space of all probability measures on the Borel sets of the real line, the atomic and absolutely continuous measures can be considered as two miniscule islands in a vast ocean of singular continuous measures. No finite sample can possibly be large enough to identify one of these singular continuous probability measures.

THEOREM. *Let $\{\mu_n\}$ be a sequence of empirical probability measures and let δ be a positive constant. Then there exists a probability measure μ such that*

$$\inf_n \sup_A |\mu_n(A) - \mu(A)| \geq \frac{1}{2} - \delta \quad \text{almost surely.}$$

The theorem shows that for any sequence of empirical measures, there exists a singular continuous μ for which $T_n \geq \frac{1}{2} - \delta$ almost surely, for all n . In other words, consistent empirical measures can only be constructed for certain specific subclasses of measures μ .

If in the statement of the theorem, we omit \inf_n , a standard minimax statement is obtained. However, the bad probability measure that is singled out in \sup_μ is now allowed to vary with n , whereas in the theorem, the same μ is to be used for all n . In fact, in the minimax format, it is possible to replace the phrase "singular continuous" by "absolutely continuous" or "atomic" [Devroye (1983)]. For certain subclasses of absolutely continuous probability measures, lower bounds for individual μ and all n were obtained by Devroye (1983) and Birgé (1985, 1986).

Finally, the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ in the theorem can undoubtedly be replaced by the constant 1 at the expense of a more involved proof.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. The proof borrows some arguments from Devroye (1983) and Rényi (1959). First, we need a rich family of singular continuous probability measures. The family of probability measures considered here is parametrized by a number $b \in [0, 1]$ with binary expansion $b = 0.b_{(1)}b_{(2)}b_{(3)}\dots$, $b_{(i)} \in \{0, 1\}$. Choose an integer $m > 1/(2\delta)$. Let the random variables $Y_{(1)}, Y_{(2)}, \dots$ be i.i.d. and uniformly distributed on $\{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$. We define the random variable $X = X(Y, b)$ by setting $X = 0.X_{(1)}X_{(2)}X_{(3)}\dots$ in the m -ary radix system used for $Y = 0.Y_{(1)}Y_{(2)}\dots$, where

$$X_{(k)} \triangleq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } b_{(k)} = 0, \\ Y_{(k)}, & \text{if } b_{(k)} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let μ_b denote the probability measure of $X = X(Y, b)$. If in the binary expansion of b there are finitely many (L) zeros, then μ_b is absolutely continuous and distributes its mass uniformly on a set of Lebesgue measure m^{-L} . If there are finitely many (L) ones, then μ_b is discrete and puts its mass uniformly on a set of cardinality m^L . In the other cases, μ_b is singular.

We write $X(Y_1, b), \dots, X(Y_n, b)$ to denote a sample drawn from the distribution of $X(Y, b)$. We will replace b at a crucial step in the argument by a uniform $[0, 1]$ random variable B , which is independent of Y_1, \dots, Y_n . Let μ_n be the empirical measure based upon $X(Y_1, b), \dots, X(Y_n, b)$. Put

$$A_k = \{0.x_{(1)}x_{(2)} \dots : x_{(i)} \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\} \text{ for all } i; x_{(k)} = 0\}.$$

Then

$$\mu_b(A_k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } b_{(k)} = 0, \\ \frac{1}{m}, & \text{if } b_{(k)} = 1. \end{cases}$$

Let us now define $b_n = 0.b_{n1}b_{n2} \dots$ by its binary expansion with bits

$$b_{nk} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mu_n(A_k) > \frac{1 + 1/m}{2}, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$|\mu_n(A_k) - \mu_b(A_k)| \geq \frac{1 - 1/m}{2} I_{[b_{nk} \neq b_{(k)}]}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_b \inf_n \sup_A |\mu_n(A) - \mu_b(A)| &\geq \sup_b \inf_n \sup_k |\mu_n(A_k) - \mu_b(A_k)| \\ &\geq \sup_b \inf_n \sup_k \frac{1 - 1/m}{2} I_{[b_{nk} \neq b_{(k)}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Replace b by B and the resulting b_{nk} by B_{nk} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_b \inf_n \sup_A |\mu_n(A) - \mu_b(A)| &\geq \inf_n \sup_k \frac{1 - 1/m}{2} I_{[B_{nk} \neq B_{(k)}]} \\ &\triangleq \frac{1 - 1/m}{2} \inf_n Z_n. \end{aligned}$$

Our theorem is proved if we can show that $Z_n = 1$ almost surely for all n . Put $Z_{Nn} = I_{[\cup_{k=1}^N [B_{nk} \neq B_{(k)}]]}$. Then $Z_{Nn} \uparrow Z_n = I_{[\cup_{k=1}^\infty [B_{nk} \neq B_{(k)}]]}$. Therefore, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}(Z_{Nn} = 1) = 1$$

or equivalently,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^N [B_{nk} \neq B_{(k)}]\right) = 1.$$

But $\mathbf{P}(\cup_{k=1}^N [B_{nk} \neq B_{(k)}])$ is the error probability of the decision (B_{n1}, \dots, B_{nN}) on $(B_{(1)}, \dots, B_{(N)})$ for the observations X_1, \dots, X_n . For this decision problem the Bayesian decision is

$$\check{B}_{nk} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } X_{i(k)} = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(Z_{Nn} = 1) &= \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^N [B_{nk} \neq B_{(k)}]\right) \geq \mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^N [\check{B}_{nk} \neq B_{(k)}]\right) \\ &= 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m^n}\right)^N \uparrow 1. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

BIRGÉ, L. (1985). Non-asymptotic minimax risk for Hellinger balls. *Probab. Math. Statist.* **5** 21–29.
 BIRGÉ, L. (1986). On estimating a density using Hellinger distance and some other strange facts. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **71** 271–291.
 DEVROYE, L. (1983). On arbitrarily slow rates of global convergence in density estimation. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **62** 475–483.
 DEVROYE, L. and GYÓRFI, L. (1985). *Nonparametric Density Estimation: The L_1 View*. Wiley, New York.
 PARZEN, E. (1962). On the estimation of a probability density function and the mode. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **33** 1065–1076.
 RÉNYI, A. (1959). On the dimension and entropy of probability distributions. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **10** 193–215.
 ROSENBLATT, M. (1956). Remarks on some nonparametric estimates of a density function. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **27** 832–837.
 SCHEFFÉ, H. (1947). A useful convergence theorem for probability distributions. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **18** 434–458.

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
 MCGILL UNIVERSITY
 3480 UNIVERSITY STREET
 MONTREAL
 CANADA H3A 2A7

HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
 TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF BUDAPEST
 STOCZEK U.2
 BUDAPEST
 HUNGARY H-1111