CORRECTION

ASYMPTOTIC LOCAL MINIMAXITY IN SEQUENTIAL POINT ESTIMATION

By Michael Woodroofe

The Annals of Statistics (1985) 13 676-688

There are some errors in the proof of Lemma 2, although the lemma itself is correct as stated. The errors involve the definitions of the quantities W_n and \overline{R}_A , just before formula (23). Correct definitions are

$$\begin{split} W_n\big(A,\,\overline{X}_n,\,\pi_n^*\big) &= E^{\,\pi}\!\!\left\{A\gamma_0^2(\,\omega)\big(\,\theta\,-\,\overline{X}_n\big)^2\!|X_1,\ldots,\,X_n\right\},\\ \overline{R}_A\!\!\left(\,\overline{X}_n;\,\pi_n^*\right) &= \mathrm{ess}\,\inf_{t\geq n} E^{\,\pi}\!\!\left\{A\gamma_0^2(\,\omega)\big(\,\theta\,-\,\overline{X}_t\big)^2\,+\,t\,-\,n|X_1,\ldots,\,X_n\right\}. \end{split}$$

With these changes, formula (23) is correct.

The change in the definition of W_n requires no additional change in the remainder of the proof. The inequality asserted for \overline{R}_A following (23) must be changed to

$$\overline{R}_A(\overline{X}_n; \pi_n^*) \leq \frac{1}{2} W_n(A, \overline{X}_n, \pi_n^*) + 4\sqrt{A}B + 1$$

w.p.1 for all $n \leq A^{1/4}$ and all sufficiently large A. This follows by replacing the essential infimum over t by t=n+m with $m=\lceil \sqrt{A} \rceil+1$, writing $(\overline{X}_{n+m}-\theta)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}(\overline{X}_n-\theta)^2+2(\overline{X}_{n,m}-\theta)^2$ for $n \leq A^{1/4}$ and large A, where $\overline{X}_{n,m}$ denotes the average of X_{n+1},\ldots,X_{n+m} , and bounding the expectations as in the paper. As in the paper, it then follows that $\{s=n\}\subseteq \{W_n\leq 8\sqrt{A}\ B+2\}$ and, therefore, that $s\geq N_A$ w.p.1 for all large A. The remainder of the proof is unaffected.

Acknowledgment. Thanks to Mohamed Tahir for helpful discussion of this point.

452

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109

Received April 1988.

The Annals of Statistics.

www.jstor.org