

NOTES

CORRECTION TO

“SEQUENTIAL RANK TESTS FOR LOCATION”

BY PRANAB KUMAR SEN AND MALAY GHOSH

*University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, and
Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta*

A part of the proof of Theorem 3.3 of the above paper (*Ann. Statist.* **2** 540–552) is based on Lemma 4.3. This lemma is based on Sen [19]. In view of the correction note to [19] (*Ann. Statist.* **2** 1358), Lemma 4.3 is no longer valid. Therefore, the following changes are necessary to prove Theorem 3.3 correctly.

Consider the stopping variables $\tilde{N}_{j,\varepsilon}^{(i,i)}(\Delta, \phi)$, $i = 1, 2$, defined in the same way as (2.10), replacing a , b , C_m^* and $T_m(\Delta/2)$ respectively by $a_{\varepsilon,i}$, $b_{\varepsilon,i}$, $C(F)$ and $W_m(\phi, \Delta) + m\xi((\frac{1}{2} - \phi)\Delta)$; (4.4) may be referred to for the definitions of $a_{\varepsilon,i}$ and $b_{\varepsilon,i}$, $i = 1, 2$. In view of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, for every $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \Delta^2 E_\phi \tilde{N}_{j,\varepsilon}^{(1,1)}(\Delta, \phi) - \eta \leq \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \Delta^2 E_\phi N_J(\Delta) \leq \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \Delta^2 E_\phi \tilde{N}_{j,\varepsilon}^{(2,2)}(\Delta, \phi) + \eta.$$

On the other hand, $\tilde{N}_{j,\varepsilon}^{(i,i)}(\Delta, \phi)$ being based on i.i.d. rv's, the Wald fundamental lemma applies to their expectations. Hence, for sufficiently small ε ,

$$\Delta^2 E_\phi \tilde{N}_{j,\varepsilon}^{(i,i)}(\Delta, \phi) \rightarrow \psi(\phi, \tau) \quad \text{as } \Delta \rightarrow 0.$$

The result follows.

CORRECTION TO

“SIMULTANEOUS CONFIDENCE INTERVALS FOR CONTRASTS AMONG MULTINOMIAL POPULATIONS”

BY LEO A. GOODMAN

University of Chicago

In the above paper (*Ann. Math. Statist.* **35** 716–725), delete the brief sentence on page 720, lines 24–5:

“These values $\dots \sum_{j=1}^I p_j = 1$.”