

A NOTE ON MARTINGALE SQUARE FUNCTIONS

BY JIA-ARNG CHAO

University of Texas at Austin

In this note we show that the Littlewood-Paley argument also applies to the martingale square function.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space. Let $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$ be a martingale relative to a non-decreasing sequence of sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{A} : $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots$ and $\{d_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be the difference sequence of f . (i.e., $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k$, $n \geq 1$.) The square function of f is $S(f) = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the maximal function of f is $f^* = \sup_n |f_n|$. Denote $S_n(f) = (\sum_{k=1}^n d_k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $f_n^* = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq n} |f_k|$, $n \geq 1$. For $0 < p < \infty$, let $\|f\|_p = \sup_n \|f_n\|_p$ where $\|f_n\|_p = (E|f_n|^p)^{1/p}$. f is said to be L^p -bounded if $\|f\|_p$ is finite.

THEOREM. *If f is an L^p -bounded martingale with $1 < p < \infty$, then there exists an $A_p > 0$ such that $\|S(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p$.*

The result was established by Burkholder [1]. (See also [2].) $S(f)$ was referred in Stein [4] as the Littlewood-Paley function of the martingale f . Our purpose is to show that the argument of proving the similar inequality for the Littlewood-Paley function in the Lie group setting ([4]; Chapter II) can be also applied here. It should be noted that the studies in both settings originated in Paley [3]. Our result gives further insight into the subject. Note also that Taibleson [5] applied the same idea in the local field setting where a sort of regular martingale was considered.

We need the following preliminary results:

- (1) $\|f^*\|_p \leq p' \|f\|_p$ for $1 < p < \infty$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.
- (2) $P(S(f) > \lambda) \leq 3\lambda^{-1} \|f\|_1$, $\lambda > 0$.

(1) is Doob's inequality; (2) follows immediately from the identity lemma of Burkholder [2].

PROOF. From the orthogonality of $\{d_k\}$ it follows that $\|S(f)\|_2 = \|f\|_2$. Applying the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem to this and (2), we have, for $1 < p < 2$,

- (3) $\|S(f)\|_p \leq B_p \|f\|_p$ for some $B_p > 0$.

Now suppose $p > 4$ and let q be the conjugate index of $p/2$. Let $\{e_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ be the martingale difference sequence of $g \in L^q$ with $\|g\|_q = 1$, i.e., $g_n = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k$ where $g_n = E_n g$ is the conditional expectation of g relative to \mathcal{A}_n . Since $1 <$

Received November 21, 1972; revised March 21, 1973.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60G45.

Key words and phrases. Martingale, square function, maximal function.

$q < 2$, by (3) we have

$$(4) \quad \|S(g)\|_q \leq B_q \|g\|_q = B_q.$$

For an L^p -bounded martingale f , since $E|f_{k-1}f_k g_{k-1}| \leq \|f\|_p^2 \|g\|_q < \infty$, $k > 1$, we have $E(f_{k-1}f_k g_{k-1}) = EE_{k-1}(f_{k-1}f_k g_{k-1}) = E[f_{k-1}g_{k-1}E_{k-1}(f_k)] = E(f_{k-1}^2 g_{k-1})$ and similarly, $E(f_{k-1}d_k g) = E(f_{k-1}d_k g_k)$. Hence, for $k > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(f_{k-1}d_k e_k) &= E[f_{k-1}(f_k g_k - f_{k-1}g_k - f_k g_{k-1} + f_{k-1}g_{k-1})] \\ &= E[f_{k-1}f_k g_k - f_{k-1}^2 g_k] \\ &= E(f_{k-1}d_k g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by repeatedly applying Hölder's inequality with (1) and (4) (and the convention of $f_0 = 0$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |E[S_n^2(f)g]| &= |E(f_n^2 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n f_{k-1}d_k)g| \\ &\leq |E(f_n^2 g)| + 2|E(\sum_{k=1}^n f_{k-1}d_k e_k)| \\ &\leq \|f_n\|_p^2 \|g\|_q + 2E[f_n^* S_n(f) S_n(g)] \\ &\leq \|f_n\|_p^2 + 2\|f_n^*\|_p \|S_n(f)\|_p \|S_n(g)\|_q \\ &\leq \|f_n\|_p^2 + C_p \|f_n\|_p \|S_n(f)\|_p \quad \text{where } C_p = 2p' B_q. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n(f)\|_p^2 &= \|S_n^2(f)\|_{p/2} = \sup_{g \in L^q, \|g\|_q=1} |E[S_n^2(f)g]| \\ &\leq \|f_n\|_p^2 + C_p \|f_n\|_p \|S_n(f)\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists $A_p > 0$ such that $\|S_n(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f_n\|_p$. Therefore, by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\|S(f)\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p \quad \text{for } p > 4.$$

Applying the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem again, we obtain the desired result.

REMARK. By tracing the constants in the proof, we have $A_p = O(p)$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. This estimate is not as sharp as $A_p = O(p^{\frac{1}{2}})$ obtained in [2].

REFERENCES

[1] BURKHOLDER, D. L. (1966). Martingale transforms. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **37** 1494-1504.
 [2] BURKHOLDER, D. L. (1973). Distribution function inequalities for martingales. *Ann. Probability* **1** 19-42.
 [3] PALEY, R. E. A. C. (1932). A remarkable series of orthogonal functions. I. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **34** 241-279.
 [4] STEIN, E. M. (1970). Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory. *Ann. Math. Studies* **63**, Princeton Univ.
 [5] TABLESON, M. H. (1970). Harmonic analysis on n -dimensional vector spaces over local fields. II. Generalized Gauss kernels and the Littlewood-Paley function. *Math. Ann.* **186** 1-19.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712