

EDGEWORTH EXPANSIONS FOR INTEGRALS OF SMOOTH FUNCTIONS

BY C. HIPPEL

University of Cologne

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent, identically distributed random variables with $E(X_1) = 0$, $E(X_1^2) = 1$, and $E(X_1^4) < \infty$, and for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ let P_n be the distribution of $n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. If f is a function with bounded uniformly continuous derivative of order 4, then $\int f dP_n$ has an asymptotic expansion in terms of $n^{-1/2}$ with a remainder term of $o(n^{-1})$. This remains true even if P_1 is purely discrete and nonlattice.

1. Introduction and summary. Let \mathcal{P} be the family of all probability measures P on the Borel field \mathcal{B} of the real line with $\int xP(dx) = 0$, $\int x^2P(dx) = 1$, and $\int x^4P(dx) < \infty$, and for $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let P_n be the distribution of $n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, where X_1, \dots, X_n are independent random variables with distribution P . Let, furthermore, ϕ be a measurable function satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \sup \{ (1 + x^4)^{-1} |\phi(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R} \} < \infty .$$

If $P \in \mathcal{P}$, then $P_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, is said to have an Edgeworth expansion (of order $o(n^{-1})$) at ϕ if

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \int \phi dP_n - \int \phi(t)\varphi(t) \{ 1 + n^{-1/2}p_1(t) + n^{-1}p_2(t) \} dt \right| = o(n^{-1}),$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-t^2/2) \\ p_1(t) &= \rho(t^3 - 3t)/6 \\ p_2(t) &= \rho^2 t^6 / 72 + (\tau/24 - 5\rho^2/24)t^4 + (-\tau/4 + 5\rho^2/8)t^2 + \tau/8 - 5\rho^2/24 \\ \rho &= \int x^3 P(dx) \\ \tau &= \int x^4 P(dx) - 3 . \end{aligned}$$

For $P \in \mathcal{P}$ let $\mathcal{F}(P)$ be the family of all ϕ satisfying (1.1) such that $P_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, has an Edgeworth expansion at ϕ . Note that assumption (1.1) implies that $\int \phi dP_n$ exists for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Two measures μ, ν on \mathcal{B} are called orthogonal if there exists a set $A \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\mu(A) = 0$ and $\nu(A^c) = 0$, where A^c denoted the complement of A . A probability measure P on \mathcal{B} is called not purely singular (n.p.s.) if P and the Lebesgue measure are not orthogonal. A probability measure P on \mathcal{B} satisfies Cramér's condition if

$$\limsup_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int e^{itz} P(dx) \right| < 1 .$$

If $P \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfies Cramér's condition, then $\mathcal{F}(P)$ contains the class Ψ_1 of all

Received April 8, 1976; revised January 25, 1977.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. 60F05, 60G50.

Key words and phrases. Edgeworth expansions, sums of independent random variables.



bounded monotone functions. This result is due to Cramér (1928). In this case $\mathcal{F}(P)$ also contains the class Ψ_2 of all functions ϕ satisfying (1.1), with derivatives ϕ' for which $x \rightarrow |\phi'(x)|$ is bounded by a polynomial. This follows from Bhattacharya and Rao (1976), page 208, Theorem 20.1. If $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is n.p.s. then $\mathcal{F}(P)$ contains the class Ψ_3 of all bounded measurable functions (see Bikjalis (1964)). In fact, if $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is n.p.s., then $\mathcal{F}(P)$ contains the class Ψ_4 of all measurable functions satisfying (1.1). This is Theorem 19.5 in [1].

For lattice distributions $P \in \mathcal{P}$ there exist asymptotic formulas for $P_n\{k\}$, k a lattice point (see Esseen (1945), page 63). Using the Euler summation formula one can derive $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ for a certain class Ψ_5 of smooth functions ϕ . There are, however, well-known families Ψ for which $\Psi \subset \mathcal{F}(P)$ holds for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$, i.e., even if P is a discrete nonlattice distribution.

(a) The characteristic functions of P_n , $t \rightarrow \int e^{itx} P_n(dx)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, have asymptotic expansions of the type (1.3) (see Hsu (1945)). Hence for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the family Ψ_6 of functions

$$t \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i \cos \alpha_i t + b_i \sin \beta_i t),$$

$a_i, b_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, is contained in $\mathcal{F}(P)$.

(b) Von Bahr (1965) showed that absolute moments of P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, have asymptotic expansions of the type discussed here: The family Ψ_7 of all functions $t \rightarrow |t|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (1, 4]$, is contained in $\mathcal{F}(P)$. Here we show that for arbitrary $P \in \mathcal{P}$ (i.e., even for discrete nonlattice distributions) the set $\mathcal{F}(P)$ contains the family Ψ_8 of all functions which have a bounded uniformly continuous derivative of order 4. Note that for every function $\phi \in \Psi_8$ there exist $A_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\phi^{(i)}(x)| \leq A_i(1 + |x|^{4-i})$, $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and for $i = 0$ this yields (1.1). This means (not unexpectedly) that Ψ_8 is a smaller class than the class Ψ_2 one obtains when the distribution P satisfies Cramér's condition. On the other hand, we have $\Psi_6 \subset \Psi_8$.

If Ψ is a class of functions ϕ for which (1.1) and (1.2) hold uniformly for $\phi \in \Psi$, then every pointwise limit of a sequence in Ψ belongs to $\mathcal{F}(P)$ (see Remark 2.6). Using this we can show $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ for all $P \in \mathcal{P}$ for a somewhat larger class Ψ_9 of functions ϕ . But we still have $\Psi_9 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}(P)$, since $\Psi_9 \cap \Psi_7$ contains only the functions $x \rightarrow x^2$ and $x \rightarrow x^4$ (see Remark 2.9). Note that $\mathcal{F}(P)$ is linear, and hence $\mathcal{F}(P)$ contains the linear space Ψ_{10} spanned by $\Psi_9 \cup \Psi_7$. The results are formulated for classes Ψ of smooth functions ϕ where (1.2) holds uniformly for $\phi \in \Psi$.

2. Results. Here we state the main results. The proofs are contained in Section 3.

Let $\Psi_{M, M'}$ be a family of measurable functions which satisfies the following conditions:

$$(2.1) \quad \sup \{|\phi(x)|(1 + x^4)^{-1} : x \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} = M < \infty,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \text{for all } \phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}, \phi \text{ has a derivative of order 4, } \phi^{(4)}, \text{ such that}$$

- (i) $\sup \{|\phi^{(4)}(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} = M' < \infty$
- (ii) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive number δ such that $\sup \{|\phi^{(4)}(t') - \phi^{(4)}(t)| : t', t \in \mathbb{R}, |t' - t| < \delta, \phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} \leq \varepsilon$.

(2.3) **THEOREM.** Let $X_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with $E(X_1) = 0, E(X_1^2) = 1$, and $E(X_1^4) < \infty$, and for $n \in \mathbb{N}, P_n$ be the distribution of $n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \sup \{|\int \phi dP_n - \int \phi(t)\varphi(t)(1 + n^{-\frac{1}{2}}p_1(t) + n^{-1}p_2(t)) dt| : \phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} = o(n^{-1}),$$

where p_1 and p_2 are defined in (1.3).

If $E(X_1^3) = 0$ and $E(X_1^4) = 3$, a nonuniform version of Theorem 2.1 follows from Theorem 3 of Butzer, Hahn and Westphal (1975), page 335.

(2.5) **REMARK.** If we only assume $E(|X_1|^3) < \infty$, a corresponding result holds: in (2.4), $o(n^{-1})$ must be replaced by $o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})$; in (1.3) $p_2(t) \equiv 0$; and in (2.2) the third derivatives $\phi^{(3)}$ are assumed to be uniformly bounded and uniformly equicontinuous. We do not know whether similar results hold for higher order Edgeworth expansions. The order $o(n^{-1})$ is, however, sufficient for computing Hodges and Lehmann deficiencies using smooth loss functions.

(2.6) **REMARK.** The set $\bar{\Psi}_{M, M'}$ of all pointwise limits of sequences in $\Psi_{M, M'}$ satisfies

$$\sup \{|\int \phi dP_n - \int \phi(t)\varphi(t)(1 + n^{-\frac{1}{2}}p_1(t) + n^{-1}p_2(t)) dt| : \phi \in \bar{\Psi}_{M, M'}\} = o(n^{-1}).$$

This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3 and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. However, $\bar{\Psi}_{M, M'}$ will contain only functions having a bounded Schwarzian difference quotient:

(2.7) **PROPOSITION.** For every $\phi \in \bar{\Psi}_{M, M'}, x, h \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$(2.8) \quad |-\phi(x - 3h) + 4\phi(x - 2h) - 5\phi(x - h) + 5\phi(x + h) - 4\phi(x + 2h) + \phi(x + 3h)| \leq 50|h|^4 M' / 3.$$

PROOF. That (2.8) holds for $\phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}$ follows from a Taylor expansion and (2.2(i)). Since the set of all functions on \mathbb{R} satisfying (2.8) is closed in the topology of pointwise convergence on \mathbb{R} , (2.8) holds for every $\phi \in \bar{\Psi}_{M, M'}$.

(2.9) **REMARK.** For $\alpha \in (0, 4), \alpha \neq 2$, the function $t \rightarrow |t|^\alpha$ does not fulfill (2.8). Hence for arbitrary $P \in \mathcal{P}$ the union Ψ_α of all $\bar{\Psi}_{M, M'}, M, M' > 0$, does not cover $\mathcal{F}(P)$, since it does not cover the class Ψ_7 of all functions considered by von Bahr.

The following example shows that $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ does not hold if ϕ is only piecewise smooth, even if $P \in \mathcal{P}$ has finite moments of all orders:

(2.10) **EXAMPLE.** Let P be the probability measure defined by $P\{-1\} = P\{1\} = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\phi(t) = |t|, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then

$$(2.11) \quad \limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n |\int \psi dP_n - \int \psi(t)\varphi(t)(1 + n^{-1}p_2(t)) dt| > 0,$$

where $p_2(t) = -(t^4 - 6t^2 + 3)/12$.

PROOF. (i) For the sake of easy reference we state the following results: If $f = \varphi p$ with p a polynomial, then uniformly for $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\alpha(m+1)} f(x) dx &= \alpha \sum_{k=1}^m f(\alpha k) + \alpha[f(0) + f(\alpha(m+1))]/2 \\ &\quad - \alpha^2[f'(\alpha(m+1)) - f'(0)]/12 + O(\alpha^3) \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha > 0, \alpha \rightarrow 0$.

This is a special version of the Euler summation formula.

(ii) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even, $n = 2m$, say. Let, furthermore, A_n be the set of non-negative atoms of P_n . Then $\#A_n = m + 1$ and $\sup A_n = n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We know from Esseen (1945), page 63, Theorem 5, that

$$\sup \{|P_n\{\hat{\xi}\} - 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(\hat{\xi})(1 + \sum_{k=2}^4 n^{-k/2}p_k(\hat{\xi}))| : \hat{\xi} \in A_n\} = o(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

where p_k are polynomials, $k = 3, 4$. Hence

$$\int \psi dP_n = 2 \sum_{\hat{\xi} \in A_n} \hat{\xi} P_n\{\hat{\xi}\} = 2 \sum_{\hat{\xi} \in A_n} \hat{\xi} 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(\hat{\xi})(1 + \sum_{k=2}^4 n^{-k/2}p_k(\hat{\xi})) + o(n^{-1}).$$

Using (i) we obtain with $a_n = (n + 2)n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \psi dP_n &= 2 \int_0^{a_n} x\varphi(x) dx + 2n^{-\frac{1}{2}}a_n\varphi(a_n) + 2n^{-1}\{(-a_n^2 + 1)\varphi(a_n) - \varphi(0)\}/3 \\ &\quad + n^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|\varphi(x)p_2(x) dx + o(n^{-1}) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|\varphi(x) dx - n^{-1}2\varphi(0)/3 + n^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|\varphi(x)p_2(x) dx + o(n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.11).

3. Proof of the theorem. The proof is based on the following proposition.

(3.1) PROPOSITION. Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\int x^k(P - Q)(dx) = 0, k = 3, 4$. Then

$$(3.2) \quad \sup_{\psi \in \Psi_{M, M'}} |\int \psi d(P_n - Q_n)| = o(n^{-1}),$$

where for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ P_n and Q_n are the distributions of $n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, and $X_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, are independent identically distributed random variables with distribution P and Q , respectively.

PROOF. According to Feller (1971), page 258, (3.10) (see also Trotter (1959), pages 229-230) we have

$$(3.3) \quad |\int \psi d(P_n - Q_n)| \leq n \sup \{|\int \psi(xn^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t)(P - Q)(dx)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int x^4 1_{\{|x|>d\}}(x)(P + Q)(dx) < \epsilon$. From a Taylor expansion we obtain that for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $\xi_t(\psi, x, n) \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|\xi_t(\psi, x, n) + t| \leq |x|n^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ such that

$$\psi(xn^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t) - \sum_{i=0}^4 \psi^{(i)}(-t)(xn^{-\frac{1}{2}})^i/i! = n^{-2}x^4(\psi^{(4)}(\xi_t(\psi, x, n)) - \psi^{(4)}(-t))/24.$$

This together with (2.2(i)) implies that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\sup \{|\psi(xn^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t) - \sum_{i=0}^4 \psi^{(i)}(-t)(xn^{-\frac{1}{2}})^i/i!| : t \in \mathbb{R}, \psi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} \leq n^{-2}M'x^4/12.$$

Since $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup \{|\xi_t(\phi, x, n) + t| : |x| \leq d, t \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} = 0$, we obtain with (2.2(ii))

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup \{|\phi^{(4)}(\xi_t(\phi, x, n)) - \phi^{(4)}(-t)| : t \in \mathbb{R}, |x| \leq d, \phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &|\int \phi(xn^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t)(P - Q)(dx)| \\ &= |\int (\phi(xn^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t) - \sum_{i=0}^4 \phi^{(i)}(-t)/i! (xn^{-\frac{1}{2}})^i)(P - Q)(dx)| \\ &\leq n^{-2}d^4 \sup \{|\phi^{(4)}(\xi_t(\phi, x, n)) - \phi^{(4)}(-t)| : t \in \mathbb{R}, |x| \leq d, \phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} \\ &\quad \times (P + Q)\{|x| \leq d\}/24 + n^{-2}M' \int x^4 1_{\{|x| \geq d\}}(P + Q)(dx)/12 \end{aligned}$$

yields

$$\limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^2 \sup \{|\int \phi(xn^{-\frac{1}{2}} - t)(P - Q)(dx)| : t \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} \leq \varepsilon M'/12.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, this together with (3.3) implies (3.2).

With Theorem 19.1 in [1] we obtain that for n.p.s. probability measure $P \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad \int (1 + |x|^4)|P_n - G_n|(dx) = o(n^{-1}),$$

where G_n has Lebesgue-density $t \rightarrow \varphi(t)(1 + \sum_{k=1}^2 n^{-k/2} p_k(t))$, and for $B \in \mathcal{B}$

$$|P_n - G_n|(B) = \sup \{|P_n(A) - G_n(A)| : A \in \mathcal{B}, A \subset B\}.$$

Hence the statement (2.4) is true in this special case. For a given $P \in \mathcal{P}$ we shall therefore look for n.p.s. probability measures $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\int x^k(P - Q)(dx) = 0$, $k = 3, 4$. In the following we shall show that such a n.p.s. probability measure can always be found if P is not concentrated on two points. To do this we need the following lemma.

(3.5) LEMMA. Let $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \int x^3(P - Q)(dx) = 0\}$. Then $\int x^4 Q(dx) = \inf \{\int x^4 P(dx) : P \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ iff Q is concentrated on two points.

PROOF. For notational convenience let $a = \int x^3 P(dx)$ and $b(P) = \int x^4 P(dx)$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Ljapounov's theorem implies that for $p \in \mathcal{P}$ $(\int (x - a/2)^2 P(dx))^2 \leq \int (x - a/2)^4 P(dx)$, and equality holds iff there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(x - a/2)^2 = c$ P -a.e.

$$\text{Hence } (1 + a^2/4)^2 \leq b(P) - 2a^2 + \frac{3}{2}a^2 + a^4/16 \text{ or}$$

$$b(P) \geq 1 + a^2,$$

and equality holds iff $(x - a/2)^2 = c$ P -a.e. Therefore, $b(P) = 1 + a^2$ implies that P is concentrated on the two points $a/2 + c^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $a/2 - c^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If, on the other hand, $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is concentrated on two points, x_1, x_2 , say, then $P \in \mathcal{P}$ implies $x_1 = -(p/q)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $x_2 = (p/q)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $q = 1 - p$ and $p \in (0, 1)$ is the solution of

$$a = (q - p)(pq)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then $b(P) = (1 - 3pq)/(pq) = 1 + a^2$.

(3.6) PROPOSITION. If $P \in \mathcal{P}$ is not concentrated on two points, then there exists a n.p.s. probability measure $Q \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\int x^k(P - Q)(dx) = 0$, $k = 3, 4$.

PROOF. Let $p \in (0, 1)$ be the solution of $\int x^3 P(dx) = (q - p)(pq)^{-1/2}$, where $q = 1 - p$, and let P_0 be the probability measure defined by $P_0\{-(p/q)^{1/2}\} = q$, $P_0\{(q/p)^{1/2}\} = p$. Then $P_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, and $\int x^3(P - P_0)(dx) = 0$. From Lemma 3.5 we obtain that $\beta = \int x^4(P - P_0)(dx) > 0$. Let $\bar{p} \in (0, 1)$ be the solution of $\int x^3 P(dx) = 2^{-1/2}(\bar{q} - \bar{p})(\bar{p}\bar{q})^{-1/2}$, where $\bar{q} = 1 - \bar{p}$, and let $t > 0$ be a solution of $4 \int x^4 P(dx) = (t + 2)(t + 3)/[t(t + 1)]$. Let X and Y be independent rv's such that the distribution of X has Lebesgue-density $x \rightarrow (2\Gamma(t))^{-1}|x|^{t-1}e^{-|x|}$ and the distribution \bar{P} of Y is defined by $\bar{P}\{-(\bar{p}/\bar{q})^{1/2}\} = \bar{q}$ and $\bar{P}\{(\bar{q}/\bar{p})^{1/2}\} = \bar{p}$. Then the distribution of $Z = 2^{-1/2}([t(t + 1)]^{-1/2}X + Y)$, say \bar{Q} , is n.p.s. and satisfies $\bar{Q} \in \mathcal{S}$, $\int x^3(P - \bar{Q}) dx = 0$, and $r = \int x^4\bar{Q}(dx) > \int x^4 P(dx) = s$. Let $\alpha = \beta/(r - s + \beta)$. Then $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and with $Q = \alpha\bar{Q} + (1 - \alpha)P_0$ we found a n.p.s. probability measure in \mathcal{S} with $\int x^k(P - Q)(dx) = 0$ for $k = 3, 4$. This proves the proposition.

Now we shall consider the case when $P \in \mathcal{S}$ is concentrated on two points. For notational convenience let

$$g_n(P, t) = \varphi(t)(1 + n^{-1/2}p_1(t) + n^{-1}p_2(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\varphi(t)$, $p_1(t)$, and $p_2(t)$ are defined in (1.3). Let \mathbb{N}_2 be the set of even positive integers. The following result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.6.

(3.7) PROPOSITION. For every $P \in \mathcal{S}$

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}_2} n \sup \{|\int \psi dP_n - \int \psi(t)g_n(t, P) dt| : \psi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} = 0.$$

PROOF. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Y_k = 2^{-1/2}(X_{2k} + X_{2k-1})$, where $X_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, are independent random variables with distribution P . Then $Y_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables such that the distribution \hat{P} of Y_1 is not concentrated on two points. According to Proposition 3.6 there exists a n.p.s. probability measure $Q \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\int x^k(\hat{P} - Q)(dx) = 0, k = 3, 4$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let P_n be the distribution of $n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$, and Q_n be the distribution of $n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$, where $Z_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$, are independent random variables with distribution Q . As mentioned above,

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n \sup \{|\int \psi dQ_n - \int \psi(t)g_n(t, Q) dt| : \psi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} = 0.$$

From Proposition 3.1 we obtain with (3.9)

$$\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n \sup \{|\int \psi d\hat{P}_n - \int \psi(t)g_n(t, \hat{P}) dt| : \psi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} = 0.$$

This together with $\hat{P}_n = P_{2n}$ and $g_n(t, \hat{P}) = g_{2n}(t, P), n \in \mathbb{N}$, implies (3.8).

The following proposition deals with the case of odd sample sizes n and probability measures $P \in \mathcal{S}$ which are concentrated on two points.

(3.10) PROPOSITION. Let $P \in \mathcal{S}$ be concentrated on two points. Then

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k \sup \{|\int \psi dP_{2k+1} - \int \psi(t)g_{2k+1}(t, P) dt| : \psi \in \Psi_{M, M'}\} = 0.$$

PROOF. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ be the two points with $P\{a, b\} = 1$, and let Ψ^* be the family of all functions $t \rightarrow \psi((2k/(2k + 1))^{1/2}t + (2k + 1)^{-1/2}s), \psi \in \Psi_{M, M'}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $s \in \{a, b\}$.

For some $\bar{M} > M, \bar{M}' > M'$ we have $\Psi^* \subset \Psi_{\bar{M}, \bar{M}'}$. Using Proposition 3.7 for $\Psi_{\bar{M}, \bar{M}'}$ instead of $\Psi_{M, M'}$, we obtain uniformly for $\phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}$

$$\int \phi dP_{2k+1} = \int \int \phi((2k/(2k + 1))^{\frac{1}{2}}t + (2k + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}s)g_{2k}(t, P) dt P(ds) + o(k^{-1}).$$

For notational convenience let $a_k = (2k/(2k + 1))^{\frac{1}{2}}, b_k = (2k + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and let $u = a_k^{-1}t + b_k s$, whence $t = a_k(u - b_k s)$. Since P is concentrated on two points, we have uniformly for $\phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int \phi(u)g_{2k}(t, P) dt P(ds) &= a_k \int \int \phi(u)g_{2k}(a_k(u - b_k s), P) du P(ds) \\ &= a_k \int \int \phi(u)[g_{2k}(a_k u, P) - a_k b_k s g'_{2k}(a_k u, P) + \frac{1}{2}(a_k b_k)^2 s^2 g''_{2k}(a_k u, P)] du P(ds) \\ &\quad + o(k^{-1}) \\ &= a_k \int \phi(u)[g_{2k}(a_k u, P) + g''_{2k}(a_k u, P)/(4k)] du + o(k^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int 1_{\{|u| \leq \log k\}} g_{2k}^{(i)}(a_k u, P)(1 + u^4) du = o(k^{-1})$ for $i = 0$ and 2 , we obtain with (2.1) that uniformly for $\phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \int \phi(u)g_{2k}(t, P) dt P(ds) &= a_k \int \phi(u)[g_{2k}(a_k u, P) + g''_{2k}(a_k u, P)/(4k)]1_{\{|u| \leq \log k\}}(u) du + o(k^{-1}) \\ &= a_k \int \phi(u)[g_{2k}(u, P) + u g'_{2k}(u, P)/(4k) + g''_{2k}(u, P)/(4k)]1_{\{|u| \leq \log k\}}(u) du + o(k^{-1}) \\ &= a_k \int \phi(u)[g_{2k}(u, P) + u \varphi'(u)/(4k) + \varphi''(u)/(4k)]1_{\{|u| \leq \log k\}}(u) du + o(k^{-1}) \\ &= a_k \int \phi(u)[g_{2k}(u, P) - \varphi(u)/(4k)]1_{\{|u| \leq \log k\}}(u) du + o(k^{-1}) \\ &= \int \phi(u)[(1 + 1/(4k))g_{2k}(u, P) - \varphi(u)/(4k)]1_{\{|u| \leq \log k\}}(u) du + o(k^{-1}) \\ &= \int \phi(u)g_{2k}(u, P)1_{\{|u| \leq \log k\}}(u) du + o(k^{-1}) \\ &= \int \phi(u)g_{2k}(u, P) du + o(k^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\int |g_{2k+1}(u, P) - g_{2k}(u, P)|(1 + u^4) du = o(k^{-1}),$$

and therefore we have uniformly for $\phi \in \Psi_{M, M'}$

$$\int \phi dP_{2k+1} = \int \phi(t)g_{2k+1}(t, P) dt + o(n^{-1})$$

which is the assertion (3.11).

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3. If $P \in \mathcal{S}$ is concentrated on two points, then (2.4) follows from Propositions 3.7 and 3.10. If $P \in \mathcal{S}$ is not concentrated on two points, Proposition 3.6 implies the existence of a n.p.s. probability measure $Q \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\int x^k(P - Q)(dx) = 0, k = 3, 4$. Using Proposition 3.1 it suffices to show that (2.4) holds for Q . This, however, follows from (3.4).

Acknowledgment. I am indebted to a referee who justified the use of Feller's Lemma 2 and whose comments made the paper more readable.

REFERENCES

[1] BHATTACHARYA, R. N. and RANGA RAO, R. (1976). *Normal Approximation and Asymptotic Expansions*. Wiley, New York.

- [2] BIKJALIS, A. (1964). A sharpening of the remainder term in a higher-dimensional central limit theorem. *Litovsk Mat. Sb.* **4** 153-158.
- [3] BUTZER, P. L., WESTPHAL, W. and HAHN L. (1975). On the rate of approximation in the central limit theorem. *J. Approximation Theory* **13** 327-340.
- [4] CRAMÉR, H. (1928). On the composition of elementary errors. *Skand. Aktuarietidskr.* **11** 13-74.
- [5] ESSEEN, C.-G. (1945). Fourier analysis of distribution functions. A mathematical study of the Laplace-Gaussian law. *Acta. Math.* **77** 1-125.
- [6] FELLER, W. (1971). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, **2**, 2nd ed. Wiley, New York.
- [7] HSU, P. L. (1945). The approximate distributions of the mean and variance of a sample of independent variables. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **16** 1-29.
- [8] TROTTER, H. F. (1959). An elementary proof of the central limit theorem. *Arch. Math.* **10** 226-234.
- [9] VON BAHR, B. (1965). On the convergence of moments in the central limit theorem. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **36** 808-818.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT
UNIVERSITÄT ZU KÖLN
5 KÖLN 41
WEYERTAL 86-90
WEST GERMANY