## GAUSSIAN MEASURABLE DUAL AND BOCHNER'S THEOREM

## By Hiroshi Sato

## Kyushu University

Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space, E' be the topological dual of E and  $\gamma$  be a nondegenerate, centered Gaussian-Radon measure on E. Then every nonnegative definite continuous functional on E is the characteristic functional of a Borel probability measure on  $E^{\gamma}$ , the closure of E' in  $L_0(\gamma)$ . In other words, identifying  $E^{\gamma}$  with the reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$ , we may say that for every continuous nonnegative definite function f on E there exists a Borel probability  $\mu$  on  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$  such that f is the characteristic functional of  $\mu$ .

1. Introduction. Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space, E' be the topological dual and  $E^a$  be the algebraic dual of E. Let E and F be two linear spaces in duality with canonical bilinear form  $\langle x, \xi \rangle$ ,  $x \in E$ ,  $\xi \in F$ . Then the minimal  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of E that makes all functions  $\{\langle x, \xi \rangle; \xi \in F\}$  measurable is denoted by  $\mathscr{C}(E, F)$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a Radon probability measure on E and  $L_0(\mu)$  be the linear metric space of all  $\mu$ -measurable functions with metric

$$\rho(x, y) = \int_{E} \frac{|x(\xi) - y(\xi)|}{1 + |x(\xi) - y(\xi)|} d\mu(\xi), \qquad x, y \in L_{0}(\mu).$$

Then it is well-known that  $L_0(\mu)$  is a complete metric space and the *canonical map*  $R_{\mu}$  of E' into  $L_0(\mu)$  defined by

$$R_{\mu}: x \in E' \to x(\xi) = \langle x, \xi \rangle \in L_0(\mu)$$

is continuous with respect to the compact convergence topology of E'. The measurable dual of  $E=(E,\mu)$  is defined as the closure of  $R_{\mu}(E')$  in  $L_0(\mu)$  and denoted by  $E^{\mu}$ . A Radon probability measure  $\mu$  on E is called nondegenerate if the whole space E coincides with the minimal closed subspace of  $\mu$ -measure 1. If  $\mu$  is nondegenerate then  $R_{\mu}$  is one-to-one. A Radon probability measure  $\gamma$  on E is called centered Gaussian if for every x in E' the real random variable  $x(\xi) = \langle x, \xi \rangle$  on the probability space  $(E, \gamma)$  obeys a Gaussian law of mean 0.

In this paper, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space,  $\gamma$  be a nondegenerate centered Gaussian Radon measure on E and f be a continuous nonnegative definite functional with f(0) = 1 on E. Then there exists a Radon probability measure  $\mu$  on  $E^{\gamma}$  such that:

- (1) The canonical map  $R_{\mu}: (E^{\gamma})' \to (E^{\gamma})^{\mu}$  is extended to E.
- (2) For every  $\xi$  in E we have

$$f(\xi) = \int_{F_{\lambda}} e^{i\xi(x)} d_{\mu}(x),$$

where  $\xi(x) = (R_{\mu}\xi)(x)$ .

Received May 31, 1979; revised April 2, 1980.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 60E10; secondary 28C20, 60B11.

Key words and phrases. Measurable dual, Bochner's theorem, Gaussian-Radon measure, characteristic functional.

In fact, identifying  $E^{\gamma}$  with the reproducing kernel Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}$  of  $\gamma$ , we will explicitly construct such a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}$ .

Theorem 1 is claimed in a further general form in D. Xia [7], Theorem 4-3-11, but it is incorrect even in the case of a Hilbert space, and yet the author would like to mark that this work was greatly motivated by [7]. Theorem 1 is also a generalization of *the Duality Theorem* of L. Schwartz [6].

Recently, Y. Okazaki [4] has proved the theorem showing that  $u^*$ , the adjoint map of the canonical injection  $u: \mathcal{H}_{\gamma} \to E$ , is 0-Radonising. In this paper we will prove it in a purely probabilistic and constructive manner. The crucial point of our proof is to show the stochastic approximation property of a Gaussian Radon probability space  $(E, \gamma)$ .

Throughout the paper we assume that the coefficients of linear spaces are real and that every nonnegative definite functional f satisfies f(0) = 1.

2. Stochastic approximation property. Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space,  $\gamma$  be a nondegenerate centered Gaussian Radon measure on E and  $R_{\gamma}$  be the canonical map of E' into  $L_0(\gamma)$ . Then, since  $\gamma$  is nondegenerate,  $R_{\gamma}$  is injective and, since  $\gamma$  is Gaussian,  $R_{\gamma}$  transforms E' into  $L_2(\gamma) \subset L_0(\gamma)$  and the measurable dual  $E^{\gamma}$  coincides with the closure of  $R_{\gamma}(E')$  in  $L_2(\gamma)$  as a linear topological space, which we denote by  $H_{\gamma}$ . Furthermore since  $\gamma$  is Gaussian Radon,  $H_{\gamma} = E^{\gamma}$  is a separable Hilbert space and the adjoint map  $R_{\gamma}^{*}$  of  $R_{\gamma}$  is a linear injection of  $H_{\gamma} = H'_{\gamma}$  into E (H. Sato and Y. Okazaki [5], C. Borell [1]). We translate the topology of  $H_{\gamma}$  onto  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma} = R_{\gamma}^{*}(H_{\gamma})$  and call  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$  the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of  $\gamma$ . Obviously  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$  is isomorphic to  $H_{\gamma} = E^{\gamma}$  and we may identify all of them.

Since  $H_{\gamma}$  is separable and  $R_{\gamma}(E')$  is dense in  $H_{\gamma}$ , we can choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E' such that  $\{R_{\gamma}x_n\}$  is a complete orthonormal system (CONS) of  $H_{\gamma}$ . Define

$$\xi_n = R_{\gamma}^* R_{\gamma} x_n, \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots,$$

and

$$\pi_n \xi = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_j, \xi \rangle \xi_j, \qquad \xi \in E.$$

Then obviously  $\pi_n$  is a continuous linear map of E into itself so that an E-valued random variable on the probability space  $(E, \gamma)$ .

LEMMA 1. For every bounded continuous function f on E we have

$$f(0) = \lim_{n} \int_{E} f(\xi - \pi_{n}\xi) \ d\gamma(\xi).$$

In other words the E-valued random variable  $U_n(\xi) = \xi - \pi_n \xi$  converges to 0 in law.

**PROOF.** The idea of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 4-1  $(e) \rightarrow (d)$  of K. Itô and M. Nisio [2] but for completeness we state it below.

Since  $\{R_{\gamma}x_n\}$  is a CONS of  $H_{\gamma}$ ,  $\{\langle x_n, \xi \rangle\}$  is an independent real random sequence with the same Gaussian distribution of mean 0 and variance 1 on the probability space  $(E, \gamma)$ . Therefore  $\{\langle x_n, \xi \rangle \xi_n\}$  is an independent symmetric E-valued random sequence.

Obviously we have for every y in E'

$$\langle y, \pi_n \xi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_n, \xi \rangle \langle y, \xi_n \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_n, \xi \rangle \langle y, R_{\gamma}^* R_{\gamma} x_n \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \langle R_{\gamma} y, R_{\gamma} x_n \rangle x_n(\xi)$$

$$\to y(\xi) = \langle y, \xi \rangle, \quad \text{a.s. } (\gamma) \quad \text{as } n \to +\infty,$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the inner product of  $H_{\gamma}$ , and for every n,  $\langle y, \pi_n \xi \rangle$  and  $\langle y, \xi - \pi_n \xi \rangle$  are independent.

It is easy to show that for every A, B in  $\mathscr{C}(E, E')$ 

$$\gamma(\xi \in E; \pi_n \xi \in A, \xi - \pi_n \xi \in B)$$

$$= \gamma(\xi \in E; \pi_n \xi \in A) \gamma(\xi \in E; \xi - \pi_n \xi \in B)$$

and since γ is Radon, by Lemma 3-2 of H. Sato and Y. Okazaki [5] we have

$$\gamma(\xi; \pi_n \xi \in C, \xi - \pi_n \xi \in D)$$

$$= \gamma(\xi; \pi_n \xi \in C) \gamma(\xi; \xi - \pi_n \xi \in D)$$

for all Borel subsets C, D of E. This means that  $\pi_n \xi$  and  $\xi - \pi_n \xi$  are independent as E-valued random variables so that for every compact subset K of E we have

$$\gamma(K) = \int_E \gamma^n(K - \eta) \ d\gamma_n(\eta)$$

where  $\gamma_n$  and  $\gamma^n$  are the distributions of  $\pi_n \xi$  and  $\xi - \pi_n \xi$ , respectively. Therefore there exists  $\eta_0$  in E such that

$$\gamma^n(K-\eta_0) \geq \gamma(K).$$

Furthermore, since  $\xi - \pi_n \xi$  is symmetrically distributed, we have

$$\gamma^n(K - \eta_0) = \gamma^n(-K + \eta_0) \ge \gamma(K).$$

On the other hand, since  $\gamma$  is Radon, for every positive number  $\epsilon$  there exists a compact subset K such that

$$\gamma(K) \geq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Then  $K_{\epsilon} = \frac{1}{2}(K - K)$  is also compact and we have

$$\gamma^{n}(K_{\epsilon}) = \gamma^{n}(\frac{1}{2}[K - K])$$

$$\geq \gamma^{n}([K - \eta_{0}] \cap [-K + \eta_{0}])$$

$$\geq 1 - \gamma^{n}([K - \eta_{0}]^{c}) - \gamma^{n}([-K + \eta_{0}]^{c})$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} = 1 - \epsilon.$$

Therefore  $\{\gamma^n\}$  is weakly relatively compact and since we have

$$1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{E} e^{i(y,\xi)} d\gamma^{n}(\xi), \qquad y \in E',$$

 $\{\gamma^n\}$  converges weakly to the Dirac measure  $\delta$ .

This proves the lemma.

REMARK. If E is a separable Fréchet space, then  $\pi_n \xi$  converges to  $\xi$  almost surely (Nguen Zuy Tien [3]).

In a similar manner we can prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. For every bounded continuous function f we have

$$f(0) = \lim_{n,m\to+\infty} \int_{E} f(\pi_n \xi - \pi_m \xi) \ d\gamma(\xi).$$

3. Proof of the theorem. Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space,  $\gamma$  be a nondegenerate centered Gaussian Radon measure on E and f be a continuous

nonnegative definite function with f(0) = 1 on E. Then there exists a probability measure Q on  $(E^a, \mathscr{C}(E^a, E))$  such that

$$f(\xi) = \int_{E^a} e^{i(x,\xi)} dQ(x), \qquad \xi \in E,$$

where  $\langle x, \xi \rangle$  is the canonical bilinear form on  $E^a \times E$ .

Define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E' such that  $\{R_{\gamma}x_n\}$  is a CONS of  $H_{\gamma}$ ,

$$\xi_n = R_{\gamma}^* R_{\gamma} x_n,$$

$$\pi_n \xi = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x_j, \xi \rangle \xi_j,$$

$$\psi_n(x, \xi) = \langle x, \pi_n \xi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle x, \xi_j \rangle \langle x_j, \xi \rangle \qquad x \in E^a, \xi \in E, n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots.$$

Then obviously  $\psi_n(x, \xi)$  is  $\mathscr{C}(E^a, E) \times \mathscr{B}(E)$ -measurable, where  $\mathscr{B}(E)$  is the Borel field of E, and continuous in  $\xi$  for every fixed x in  $E^a$ .

Since f is a bounded continuous function on E, by Lemma 2 we have

$$\int_{E} d\gamma(\xi) \int_{E^{a}} (1 - \exp[-|\psi_{n}(x, \xi) - \psi_{m}(x, \xi)|]) dQ(x)$$

$$= \int_{E} d\gamma \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{R^{1}} \frac{dt}{1 + t^{2}} \int_{E^{a}} (1 - e^{it\langle x, \pi_{n}\xi - \pi_{m}\xi \rangle}) dQ(x)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{R^{1}} \frac{dt}{1 + t^{2}} \int_{E} \left[1 - f(t(\pi_{n}\xi + \pi_{m}\xi))\right] d\gamma(\xi)$$

$$\to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad n, m, \to +\infty.$$

Therefore  $\psi_n(x, \xi)$  is a Cauchy sequence  $L_0(Q \times \gamma)$  and there exists

$$\psi_{\infty}(x,\,\xi) = Q \times \gamma - \lim_{n} \psi_{n}(x,\,\xi).$$

The convergence is in probability so that we may extract an almost surely convergent subsequence.

On the other hand by Lemma 1 we have

$$\lim_{n} \int_{E} d\gamma(\xi) \int_{E^{a}} \left[ 1 - \exp(-|\langle x, \xi \rangle - \langle x, \pi_{n} \xi \rangle|) \right] dQ(x)$$

$$= \lim_{n} \int_{E} d\gamma(\xi) \int_{R^{1}} \frac{1}{1 + t^{2}} \left[ 1 - f(t(\xi - \pi_{n} \xi)) \right] dt$$

$$= \lim_{n} \int_{R^{1}} \frac{dt}{1 + t^{2}} \int_{E} \left[ 1 - f(t(\xi - \pi_{n} \xi)) \right] d\gamma(\xi) = 0.$$

Since

$$F_n(\xi) = \int_{E^a} \left[1 - \exp(-|\langle x, \xi \rangle - \langle x, \pi_n \xi \rangle|)\right] dQ(x)$$

is nonnegative and  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable,  $\{F_n\}$  converges to 0 in probability so that we can extract an almost surely convergent subsequence.

Therefore, for simplicity of notation, without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\psi_{\infty}(x, \xi) = \lim_{n} \psi_{n}(x, \xi),$$
 a.e.  $(Q \times \gamma)$   
 $\lim_{n} F_{n}(\xi) = 0,$  a.e.  $(\gamma)$ .

Obviously  $\psi_{\infty}(x, \xi)$  is  $Q \times \gamma$ -measurable.

Put

$$Z = \left\{ (x, \xi) \in E^a \times E; \ \lim_n \psi_n(x, \xi) \text{ exists} 
ight\}$$

and let

$$\psi(x,\xi) = \lim_{n} \psi_n(x,\xi), \qquad (x,\xi) \in Z.$$

Then Z and  $\psi(x, \xi)$  are  $Q \times \gamma$ -measurable and we have

$$(Q \times \gamma)(Z) = 1$$
  
 $\psi(x, \xi) = \psi_{\infty}(x, \xi)$  a.e.  $(Q \times \gamma)$ .

Since  $\lim_n F_n(\xi) = 0$  implies the convergence in probability of  $\psi_n(x, \xi)$  to  $\langle x, \xi \rangle$ , the set

$$\mathscr{F} = \{ \xi \in E; \lim_{n} F_{n}(\xi) = 0 \}$$

$$= \{ \xi \in E; \langle x, \xi \rangle = Q - \lim_{n} \langle x, \pi_{n} \xi \rangle \}$$

is a  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable linear subspace of E such that  $\gamma(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ .

By Fubini's Theorem there exists a Q-measurable subset W of  $E^a$  such that Q(W)=1, and for every x in W

$$E_x = \{ \xi \in E; (x, \xi) \in Z \}$$

is a  $\gamma$ -measurable linear subspace of E,  $\gamma(E_x) = 1$ ,

$$\psi(x,\xi) = \lim_{n} \psi_n(x,\xi)$$

$$= \lim_{n} \langle x, \pi_n \xi \rangle, \qquad \xi \in E_x,$$

and  $\psi(x, \xi)$  is linear on  $E_x$ . Since  $\psi_n(x, \xi)$  is continuous in  $\xi$ , this shows that  $\psi(x, \xi)$  belongs to  $E^{\gamma}$  for every x in W.

Let  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$  be the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of  $\gamma$ . Then  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$  is included in every  $\gamma$ -measurable linear subspace  $F_0$  and E such that  $\gamma(F_0) = 1$  (Theorem 3-4 (2) of H. Sato and Y. Okazaki [5]). Therefore we have

$$\mathscr{H}_{\gamma} \subset \bigcap_{x \in W} E_x$$
.

Define a map  $\Psi$  of W into  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$  by

$$[\Psi(x), \xi] = \psi(x, \xi),$$
  $x \in W, \xi \in \mathscr{H}_{\gamma},$ 

where  $[\ ,\ ]$  is the inner product of  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$ . Obviously  $\Psi$  is a measurable linear map of  $(W,\mathscr{C}_Q(W))$  into  $(\mathscr{H}_{\gamma},\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{H}_{\gamma},\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}))$  where  $\mathscr{C}_Q(W)$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Q-measurable subsets of W. Then  $\mu=Q\circ\Psi^{-1}$  is a probability measure on  $(\mathscr{H}_{\gamma},\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{H}_{\gamma},\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}))$  and, since  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$  is a separable Hilbert space,  $\mathscr{C}(\mathscr{H}_{\gamma},\mathscr{H}_{\gamma})$  coincides with the Borel field of  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$ .

On the other hand, for every  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}$  we have

$$\langle x, \xi \rangle = Q - \lim_n \langle x, \pi_n \xi \rangle$$
  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma}.$   
=  $\psi(x, \xi)$ , a.e.  $(Q)$ ,

This implies that

$$\int_{\mathscr{K}} e^{i[y,\xi]} d\mu(y) = \int_{W} e^{i[\Psi(x),\xi]} dQ(x)$$

$$= \int_{W} e^{i\psi(x,\xi)} dQ(x)$$

$$= \int_{W} e^{i(x,\xi)} dQ(x) = f(\xi), \qquad \xi \in \mathscr{H}_{\gamma}.$$

Let  $R_{\mu}$  be the canonical map of  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma} = (\mathscr{H}_{\gamma})'$  into  $L_0(\mu)$  and  $\xi(y) = [y, \xi] = (R_{\mu}\xi)(y)$ . Since  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$  is dense in E (Theorem 5-1 of [5], Corollary 8-2 of [1]), for every  $\xi$  in E there exists a net  $\{\xi_{\alpha}\}$  of  $\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$  which converges to  $\xi$  in the topology of E. The continuity of f implies that

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{\mathscr{H}_{\gamma}} \left(1 - \exp[-|\xi_{\alpha}(y) - \xi_{\beta}(y)|]\right) \, d\mu(y) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{1 + t^2} \left\{1 - f(t(\xi_{\alpha} - \xi_{\beta}))\right\} \, dt = 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore there exists a limit of  $R_{\mu}\xi_{\alpha}$  in  $L_0(\mu)$  which does not depend on the choice of the net  $\{\xi_{\alpha}\}$ . We define  $R_{\mu}\xi$  by this limit and consequently  $R_{\mu}$  is extended to a map of E into  $(\mathscr{H}_{\gamma})^{\mu}$ . Then the relation

$$f(\xi) = \int_{\mathscr{H}_{\nu}} e^{i\xi(x)} d\mu(x), \qquad \xi \in E$$

is evident.

Since, by the definition,  $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma}$  is isomorphic to  $H_{\gamma} = E^{\gamma}$ , this proves the theorem.

REMARK. The existence of a nondegenerate centered Gaussian Radon measure on an arbitrary locally convex Hausdorff space E is not trivial. But in the case where E is a separable Fréchet space, we have the following lemma.

 ${\tt Lemma~3.}^1$  On every separable Fréchet space E there exists a nondegenerate centered Gaussian measure.

PROOF. Let  $\{|\cdot|_n\}$  be a sequence of seminorms which defines the topology of E and  $\{\xi_n\}$  be a countable dense subset of E. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\xi_n \neq 0$  for every n and

$$|\xi|_1 \le |\xi|_2 \le |\xi|_3, \dots,$$

for every  $\xi$  in E.

Let  $\{g_n\}$  be a sequence of independent real random variables with the same Gaussian distribution of mean 0 and variance 1. Then

$$X = \sum_{n} 2^{-n} a_n g_n \xi_n$$

converges almost surely in E where

$$a_n = (1 + |\xi_n|_n)^{-1}, \qquad n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots.$$

In fact for every natural number k we have

$$E[|\sum_{n} 2^{-n} a_{n} g_{n} \xi_{n}|_{k}]$$

$$\leq \sum_{n} 2^{-n} a_{n} |\xi_{n}|_{k} E[|g_{n}|]$$

$$\leq \sum_{n < k} 2^{-n} a_{n} |\xi_{n}|_{k} + \sum_{n > k} 2^{-n} < +\infty,$$

where  $E[\cdot]$  is the mathematical expectation. Therefore the series X converges in probability, so that almost surely (K. Itô and M. Nisio [1]), with respect to every seminorm  $|\cdot|_k$ . This implies the almost sure convergence of X in the topology of E.

Let  $\gamma$  be the distribution of X. Then obviously  $\gamma$  is a centered Gaussian Borel measure on E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lemma 3 was suggested by Y. Okazaki.

In order to prove that  $\gamma$  is nondegenerate, it is enough to show that the minimal closed linear subspace  $E_0$  of  $\gamma$ -measure 1 contains all the  $\xi_n$ 's. Suppose that, say,  $\xi_1$  does not belong to  $E_0$  and put  $Y = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} 2^{-n} a_n g_n \xi_n$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} 1 &= \gamma(E_0) = P(X \in E_0) \\ &= P\left(\frac{1}{2} a_1 g_1 \xi_1 + Y \in E_0\right) \\ &= P(Y \in E_0 - 2^{-1} a_1 g_1 \xi_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu(E_0 - q^{-1} a_1 t \xi_1) e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt, \end{split}$$

where  $\nu$  is the distribution of Y. Therefore we have

$$\nu(E_0-t\xi_1)=1$$

for at least infinitely many real numbers t.

On the other hand, since  $E_0$  is a linear subspace,  $E_0 - t\xi_1$  and  $E_0 - s\xi_1$  are mutually disjoint unless t = s. This is a contradiction and the lemma is proved.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Borell, C. (1976). Gaussian Radon measures on locally convex spaces. Math. Scand. 38 265-284.
- [2] Irô, K. and Nisio, M. (1968). On the convergence of sums of independent Banach space valued random variables. Osaka J. Math.5 35-48.
- [3] NGUEN, ZUY TIEN (1979). On the structure of measurable linear functionals on Banach spaces with Gaussian measures. Theo. Probability Appl. 24 165-168.
- [4] OKAZAKI, Y. (1981). Bochner's theorem on measurable linear functionals of a Gaussian measure. Ann. Probability 9 663-664.
- [5] Sato, H. and Okazaki, Y. (1975). Separabilities of a Gaussian Radon measure. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré. 11 287-298.
- [6] SCHWARTZ, L. (1969). Un théorème de dualité pour les applications radonifiantes. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A. 268 1410-1413.
- [7] XIA, D. (1972). Measure and Integration Theory on Infinite-Dimensional Spaces. Academic, New York.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS KYUSHU UNIVERSITY-33 HAKOZAKI FUKUOKA 812 JAPAN