

## STOPPING TIMES OF BESSEL PROCESSES<sup>1</sup>

BY R. DANTE DEBLASSIE

Texas A & M University

Let  $X_\alpha^x$  be a Bessel process with parameter  $\alpha$ , starting at  $x \geq 0$ . Gordon [3] obtained  $L^p$  inequalities which relate stopping times to stopping places for the case  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $x = 0$  and  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ . Rosenkrantz and Sawyer [5] extended them to  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $x = 0$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Burkholder [1] obtained results for  $\alpha$  a positive integer,  $x \geq 0$  and  $p > 0$ . Here we consider arbitrary starting points  $x$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $p > 0$ . The  $L^p$  inequalities are valid for  $\alpha \geq 2$  with  $p > 0$ , and also for  $0 < \alpha < 2$  with  $p > (2 - \alpha)/2$ . Examples are constructed to show that for  $0 < \alpha < 2$  with  $p \leq (2 - \alpha)/2$ , the  $L^p$  inequalities cannot hold.

**0. Introduction.** Let  $X_\alpha^x$  be the Bessel process with index  $\alpha > 0$ , where  $x \geq 0$  and  $X_\alpha^x(0) = x$ ; i.e.,  $X_\alpha^x(\cdot)$  is that diffusion governed by the differential operator  $L_\alpha$  on  $[0, \infty)$  defined by

$$L_\alpha f = \frac{1}{2} \left[ f''(x) + \frac{\alpha - 1}{x} f'(x) \right],$$

with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(L_\alpha) = \left\{ f \in C_b^2([0, \infty)) : \text{for some } 0 < a_1 < a_2, \right. \\ \left. f(x) = f(0) \text{ for } x \in [0, a_1] \text{ and } f(x) = 0 \text{ if } x \geq a_2 \right\}$$

(see Ikeda and Watanabe [4], Example 8.3, pages 223-225).

In Gordon [3], it was shown for  $\alpha = 1$  and starting point 0,

$$(0.1) \quad c_p E\tau^p \leq EX_1^0(\tau)^{2p} \leq C_p E\tau^p,$$

for any stopping time  $\tau$  of  $X_1^0(\cdot)$  with  $E\tau^p < \infty$ ,  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ . He also pointed out that the right-hand inequality is true for *any* stopping time  $\tau$  of  $X_1^0(\cdot)$  and  $p > 0$ . Nothing was said about  $p \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for the left-hand inequality and starting points other than 0 were not considered. Burkholder [1] allowed other starting points and showed that for  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$(0.2) \quad c_{p,n} E(\tau + |x|^2)^p \leq E[X_\alpha^x(\tau)^*]^{2p} \leq C_{p,n} E(\tau + |x|^2)^p,$$

for any stopping time  $\tau$  of  $X_\alpha^x$  and  $p > 0$  where

$$X_\alpha^x(\tau)^* = \sup_{0 \leq t < \infty} X_\alpha^x(t \wedge \tau).$$

Next, Rosenkrantz and Sawyer [5] obtained (0.1) for general  $\alpha > 0$ , provided  $\tau$  is bounded and  $p \geq 1$ . They did not consider other starting points or  $0 < p < 1$ . It is the purpose of this paper to discuss these results for all starting points  $x$ , powers  $p > 0$ , and indices  $\alpha > 0$ .

Received July 1985; revised February 1986.

<sup>1</sup>This research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-8301367.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. 60J60, 60G40.

Key words and phrases. Bessel processes, stopping times,  $L^p$ -inequalities.

1. Main results.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *There are positive constants  $c_{p,\alpha}$  and  $C_{p,\alpha}$  depending only on  $\alpha$  and  $p$  such that:*

(i) *For  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $p > 0$ , any stopping time  $\tau$  of  $X_\alpha^x(\cdot)$ ,*

$$(1.1) \quad c_{p,\alpha} E[\tau + x^2]^p \leq E[X_\alpha^x(\tau)^*]^{2p} \leq C_{p,\alpha} E[\tau + x^2]^p.$$

(ii) *For  $\alpha \geq 2$  and  $p > 0$ ,*

$$(1.2) \quad c_{p,\alpha} E[\tau + x^2]^p \leq E[X_\alpha^x(\tau)]^{2p},$$

*provided either  $P(\tau < \infty) = 1$  for  $\alpha > 2$  or  $E \log \tau < \infty$  for  $\alpha = 2$ .*

(iii) *For  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $p > (2 - \alpha)/2$  and  $E\tau^p < \infty$ ,*

$$(1.3) \quad c_{p,\alpha} E[\tau + x^2]^p \leq E[X_\alpha^x(\tau)]^{2p}.$$

It still remains to consider the case when  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and  $p \leq (2 - \alpha)/2$ . The next result shows (1.3) [or (1.2)] cannot hold for these values of  $p$  and  $\alpha$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and  $x \geq 0$ .*

(i) *There is a stopping time  $\tau$  of  $X_\alpha^x(\cdot)$  with  $0 < E\tau^p < \infty$  for  $p < (2 - \alpha)/2$ ,  $E\tau^p = \infty$  for*

$$p \geq \frac{2 - \alpha}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad E[X_\alpha^x(\tau)]^{2p} = 0.$$

(ii) *There is a sequence  $\tau_n$  of stopping times of  $X_\alpha^x(\cdot)$  with  $E[\tau_n]^{(2-\alpha)/2} < \infty$  and*

$$\frac{E[\tau_n]^{(2-\alpha)/2}}{E[X_\alpha^x(\tau_n)]^{2-\alpha}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**REMARK.** Note that (i) in Theorem 1.2 also shows the condition  $E\tau^p < \infty$  in Theorem 1.1(iii) cannot be dropped. Also, (i) is well known for the case  $\alpha = 1$ .

**2. Proofs of the main results.** We use the martingale generating function approach of Gordon [3] and Rosenkrantz and Sawyer [5]. Our method differs in that the key to it all is the following representation of  $X_\alpha^x(\cdot)$  (Ikeda and Watanabe [4], pages 223–225) which enables us to handle the cases left open by these authors: Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a complete probability space. Suppose  $\{\mathcal{F}_t; t \geq 0\}$  is an increasing family of complete  $\sigma$  subalgebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $B(t)$  be a one dimensional  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$  Brownian motion. Then we may represent

$$(2.1) \quad X_\alpha^x(t) = [Y_\alpha^{x^2}(t)]^{1/2},$$

where  $Y_\alpha^{x^2}$  is the unique (nonnegative) solution of

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} dY_t &= 2[Y_t \vee 0]^{1/2} dB_t + \alpha dt, \\ Y_0 &= x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the coefficients  $\tilde{\sigma}(\omega, t) := 2[Y_t(\omega) \vee 0]^{1/2}$  and  $\tilde{b}(\omega, t) := \alpha$  satisfy

$$\sup_{t, \omega} |\tilde{b}(\omega, t)| \vee |[\tilde{\sigma}(\omega, t)]^2/Y_t(\omega)| \leq \alpha \vee 4 < \infty.$$

Hence by Remark 1.3(ii) of DeBlasie [2], for  $p > 0$  there are positive constants  $C_{p, \alpha}$  and  $c_{p, \alpha}$  such that for any stopping time  $\tau$  of  $X_\alpha^x(t)$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad c_{p, \alpha} E[\tau + x^2]^p \leq E[X_\alpha^x(\tau)^*]^{2p} \leq C_{p, \alpha} E[\tau + x^2]^p.$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,  $x \geq 0$  and  $\tau$  be a stopping time of  $X_\alpha^x(\cdot)$  satisfying  $P(\tau < \infty) = 1$  for  $\alpha > 2$  or  $E \log \tau < \infty$  if  $\alpha = 2$ . Then for any  $p > 0$*

$$E[X_\alpha^x(\tau)^*]^p \leq C_{p, \alpha} E[X_\alpha^x(\tau)]^{2p},$$

where  $C_{p, \alpha}$  is independent of  $\tau$  and  $x$ .

PROOF. By Theorem 2.2 in Burkholder [1], page 189, the case  $\alpha = 2$  is true. By the proof of that Theorem 2.2, for the case  $\alpha > 2$  it suffices to show

$$(2.4) \quad P(|X_\alpha^x(t)| \leq r \text{ for some } t \geq 0) = (r/x)^{\alpha-2}, \quad 0 < r < x.$$

But this is immediate since both sides solve the problem

$$\begin{aligned} L_\alpha f(x) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x > r, \\ f(r) &= 1, \\ f(\infty) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Part (i) follows immediately from (2.3). Part (ii) follows from (2.3) and Lemma 2.1. For part (iii), let  $0 < \alpha < 2$ ,  $p > (2 - \alpha)/2$  and  $\tau$  be a stopping time of  $X_\alpha^x(\cdot)$  with  $E\tau^p < \infty$ . Choose

$$(2.5) \quad q > 1 \vee (2p/[2(p - 1) + \alpha])$$

and note if  $p > 1$ ,  $q$  can also satisfy

$$(2.6) \quad q < \frac{p}{p - 1}.$$

Let  $q'$  be conjugate to  $q$ ,

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1.$$

Then

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{p}{q'} < 1.$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x \geq 0$ , pick  $u_\varepsilon(t, y) \in C^2(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R})$  with

$$u_\varepsilon(t, y) = (t + \varepsilon + x^2)^{p/q} (y + \varepsilon)^{p/q'}, \quad (t, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty).$$

Notice on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$ , by (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2y \frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial y^2} + \alpha \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \\
 & \geq 2 \frac{p}{q'} \left( \frac{p}{q'} - 1 \right) (y + \varepsilon)^{p/q' - 1} (t + \varepsilon + x^2)^{p/q} \\
 & \quad + \alpha \frac{p}{q'} (y + \varepsilon)^{p/q' - 1} (t + \varepsilon + x^2)^{p/q} + \frac{p}{q} (t + \varepsilon + x^2)^{p/q - 1} (y + \varepsilon)^{p/q'} \\
 & = (t + \varepsilon + x^2)^{p-1} \left\{ \frac{p}{q'} \left( \frac{y + \varepsilon}{t + \varepsilon + x^2} \right)^{p/q' - 1} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{p}{q'} - 1 \right) + \alpha \right] \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{p}{q} \left( \frac{y + \varepsilon}{t + \varepsilon + x^2} \right)^{p/q'} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p/q' - 1 < 0$  and  $2(p/q' - 1) + \alpha > 0$  [by (2.5) and  $p > (2 - \alpha)/2$ ],

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \frac{p}{q'} s^{p/q' - 1} \left( 2 \left[ \frac{p}{q'} - 1 \right] + \alpha \right) + \frac{p}{q} s^{p/q'} \geq \inf_{s \leq 1} (") \wedge \inf_{s > 1} (") \\
 & \geq \left[ \frac{p}{q'} \left( 2 \left[ \frac{p}{q'} - 1 \right] + \alpha \right) \right] \wedge \frac{p}{q} \\
 & =: C_1 > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus on  $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$

$$(2.9) \quad 2y \frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial y^2} + \alpha \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} \geq C_1 (t + \varepsilon + x^2)^{p-1},$$

where  $C_1$  is independent of  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Hence by Itô's formula and optional stopping,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & E[t \wedge \tau + \varepsilon + x^2]^{p/q} [Y_\alpha^{x^2}(t \wedge \tau) + \varepsilon]^{p/q'} \\
 & = Eu_\varepsilon(t \wedge \tau, Y_\alpha^{x^2}(t \wedge \tau)) \\
 & \geq (\varepsilon + x^2)^p + E \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} C_1 (s + \varepsilon + x^2)^{p-1} ds \\
 & = \frac{C_1}{p} E[(\tau \wedge t + \varepsilon + x^2)^p - (x^2 + \varepsilon)^p] + (\varepsilon + x^2)^p \\
 & \geq \frac{C_1}{p} E(\tau \wedge t + \varepsilon + x^2)^p \left[ \text{since } C_1 \leq \frac{p}{q} < p \text{ by (2.8)} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

and using Hölder's inequality we end up with

$$\frac{C_1}{p} E(\tau \wedge t + \varepsilon + x^2)^p \leq \{E[\tau \wedge t + \varepsilon + x^2]^p\}^{1/q} \{E[Y_\alpha^{x^2}(t \wedge \tau) + \varepsilon]^p\}^{1/q'}.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$E(\tau \wedge t + |x|^2)^p \leq C_{\alpha,p} E[Y_\alpha^{x^2}(t \wedge \tau)]^p.$$

Since  $E\tau^p < \infty$ , (2.3) gives  $E[Y_\alpha^{x^2}(\tau)^*]^p < \infty$ . So by dominated convergence and the fact that  $\tau \wedge t \uparrow \tau$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$E(\tau + x^2)^p \leq C_{\alpha,p} E[Y_\alpha^{x^2}(\tau)]^p = C_{\alpha,p} E[X_\alpha^x(\tau)]^{2p},$$

as desired.  $\square$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2(i).** Let  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and consider any  $x > 0$ . Define  $\tau_x := \inf\{t > 0: X_\alpha^x(t) = 0\}$ . Below we show that for some finite positive  $C_{p,\alpha}$

$$(2.10) \quad E\tau_x^p = \begin{cases} C_{p,\alpha} \Gamma\left(1 - p - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) x^{2p}, & \text{for } 0 < p < \frac{2 - \alpha}{2}, \\ \infty, & \text{for } p \geq \frac{2 - \alpha}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have  $0 < E\tau_x^p < \infty$  for  $p < (2 - \alpha)/2$ ,  $E\tau_x^p = \infty$  for  $p \geq (2 - \alpha)/2$  and  $E[X_\alpha^x(\tau_x)]^{2p} = 0$  as desired.

If  $x = 0$ , let

$$\sigma = \inf\{t > 0: X_\alpha^0(t) = 1\},$$

$$\tau = \inf\{t > \sigma: X_\alpha^0(t) = 0\}.$$

Then by the strong Markov property and the case  $x > 0$  (above), we have for  $p < (2 - \alpha)/2$

$$E\tau^p > E(\tau - \sigma)^p = E(\tau_1)^p > 0,$$

and

$$E(\tau - \sigma)^p = E\tau_1^p < \infty.$$

Since  $E[X_\alpha^0(\sigma)^*]^{2p} = 1$ , (2.3) gives that  $E\sigma^p < \infty$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E\tau^p &= E[(\tau - \sigma) + \sigma]^p \\ &\leq C_p E(\tau - \sigma)^p + C_p E\sigma^p \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.10), for  $p \geq (2 - \alpha)/2$ ,  $E\tau^p > E\tau_1^p = \infty$ . Finally since  $EX_\alpha^0(\tau)^{2p} = 0$ , this case is complete.  $\square$

**PROOF THAT  $E\tau_x^p = C_{p,\alpha} \Gamma(1 - p - \alpha/2)x^{2p}$  FOR  $x > 0$ .** Define

$$\beta^{-1} = \int_0^\infty u^{(\alpha-4)/2} e^{-1/2u} du < \infty \quad (\text{since } 0 < \alpha < 2)$$

and

$$u(t, y) = 1 - \beta \int_0^{t/y} u^{(\alpha-4)/2} e^{-1/2u} du.$$

Then

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 2yu_{yy} + \alpha u_y - u_t &= 0 \quad \text{for } y \text{ and } t > 0, \\ u &\in C^\infty((0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that also  $\tau_x = \inf\{t > 0: Y_\alpha^{x^2}(t) = 0\}$ , we see that  $u(t, x^2)$  should be  $P(\tau_x > t)$ . However, the differential equation in (2.11) is degenerate and the boundary data is not continuous, so the equality  $u(t, x^2) = P(\tau_x > t)$  is not quite trivial.

Let  $T > \varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $w(t, y) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R})$  such that

$$w(t, y) = u(T - t - \varepsilon, y + \varepsilon) \quad \text{for } \frac{-\varepsilon}{2} < y \quad \text{and} \quad -\varepsilon < t \leq T - 2\varepsilon.$$

See that for  $y > -\varepsilon/2$  and  $-\varepsilon < t \leq T - 2\varepsilon$

$$2yw_{yy} + \alpha w_y + u_t = 0.$$

Then by Itô's formula, optional stopping and (2.11),

$$Ew((T - 2\varepsilon) \wedge \tau_x, Y_\alpha^{x^2}((T - 2\varepsilon) \wedge \tau_x)) - w(0, x^2) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Ew(\tau_x, Y_\alpha^{x^2}(\tau_x))I(\tau_x \leq T - 2\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + Ew(T - 2\varepsilon, Y_\alpha^{x^2}(T - 2\varepsilon))I(\tau_x > T - 2\varepsilon) - w(0, x^2) \\ &= Eu(T - \tau_x - \varepsilon, \varepsilon)I(\tau_x \leq T - 2\varepsilon) \\ &\quad + Eu(\varepsilon, Y_\alpha^{x^2}(T - 2\varepsilon) + \varepsilon)I(\tau_x > T - 2\varepsilon) - u(T - \varepsilon, x^2 + \varepsilon) \\ &= \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} - \textcircled{3}, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Note  $u$  is bounded, so  $\textcircled{1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $u$  is continuous on  $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ ,  $\textcircled{3} \rightarrow u(T, x^2)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{2} &= Eu(\varepsilon, Y_\alpha^{x^2}(T - 2\varepsilon) + \varepsilon)I(\tau_x > T - 2\varepsilon)I(Y_\alpha^{x^2}(T) \neq 0) \\ &\quad \left[ \text{since } P(Y_\alpha^{x^2}(T) = 0) = 0 \text{ and } u \text{ is bounded} \right] \\ &\rightarrow P(\tau_x \geq T) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

since  $u(\varepsilon, Y_\alpha^{x^2}(T - 2\varepsilon) + \varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$  on  $\{Y_\alpha^{x^2}(T) \neq 0\}$ . Thus

$$u(T, x^2) = P(\tau_x \geq T).$$

An easy calculation shows

$$E(\tau_x)^p = 2^{(4-\alpha)/2-p-1}\beta x^{2p}\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} - p\right). \quad \square$$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2(ii).** Let  $0 < \alpha < 2$  and  $x > 0$ . For convenience write  $p = (2 - \alpha)/2$  and define

$$(2.12) \quad \sigma_{n,x} = \inf\{t > 0: X_\alpha^x(t) \leq n^{-1}\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then as  $n \uparrow \infty$ ,  $E(\sigma_{n,x})^p \uparrow E\tau_x^p$  where  $\tau_x$  is as in the proof of Theorem 1.2(i). But by (2.10),  $E\tau_x^p = \infty$ , so as  $n \uparrow \infty$ ,

$$E(\sigma_{n,x})^p \uparrow \infty.$$

Thus for each fixed  $x$  and  $M$ , there is an  $n = N(x, M)$  which is greater than  $1/x$  and such that for each  $n \geq N$  we have

$$(2.13) \quad E[\sigma_{n,x}]^p > (M + 1)|x|^{2p}.$$

Then choose  $t_n := t_n(M, x) > 0$  such that

$$(2.14) \quad E[t_n \wedge \sigma_{n,x}]^p > M|x|^{2p}, \quad n \geq N(x, M).$$

Suppose  $u_n \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  satisfies  $u_n \geq 0$ ,  $u_n(y) = y^p$  for  $y > 1/2n^2$  and  $u_n(y) \leq (2n^2)^{-p}$  for  $y \leq 1/2n^2$ . Then by Itô's formula and optional stopping, for  $n \geq N(x, M)$

$$Eu_n(Y_\alpha^{x^2}(t_n \wedge \sigma_{n,x})) - u_n(x^2) = 0,$$

since  $2yu_n''(y) + au_n'(y) = 0$  on  $y > 1/2n^2$  and  $Y_\alpha^{x^2}(s) \geq 1/n^2$  for  $0 \leq s < \sigma_{n,x}$ . But this is none other than

$$(2.15) \quad E[X_\alpha^x(t_n \wedge \sigma_{n,x})]^{2p} = x^{2p} \quad [\text{since } n \geq N(x, M) > 1/x].$$

Then if  $\tau_M = t_{N(x, M)} \wedge \sigma_{N(x, M), x}$ ,  $M = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  we see that

$$E\tau_M^p < \infty$$

and by (2.14)–(2.15)

$$\frac{E(\tau_M)^p}{EX_\alpha^x(\tau_M)^{2p}} > \frac{Mx^{2p}}{x^{2p}} = M \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty.$$

For  $x = 0$  let

$$\sigma = \inf\{t > 0: X_\alpha^0(t) = 1\}, \quad \sigma_n = \inf\{t > \sigma: X_\alpha^0(t) \leq n^{-1}\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E\sigma_n^p &\geq E[\sigma_n - \sigma]^p \\ &= E[\sigma_{n,1}]^p \quad [\sigma_{n,1} \text{ as in (2.12)}] \\ &\geq M + 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq N(1, M) \quad [\text{by (2.13)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t \wedge \sigma_n \geq t \wedge (\sigma_n - \sigma)$  and  $\text{Law}(t \wedge (\sigma_n - \sigma)) = \text{Law}(t \wedge \sigma_{n,1})$ , by (2.14) there are  $t_n$  with

$$(2.16) \quad E[t_n \wedge \sigma_n]^p > M, \quad n \geq N(1, M).$$

For  $u_n$  as before, Itô's formula and optional stopping give for  $m \geq n \geq N(1, M)$

$$\begin{aligned} &Eu_m(Y_\alpha^0(t_n \wedge \sigma_n)) - Eu_m(Y_\alpha^0(t_n \wedge \sigma)) \\ &= E \int_{t_n \wedge \sigma}^{t_n \wedge \sigma_n} [2yu_m''(y) + au_m'(y)] \Big|_{y=Y_\alpha^0(s)} ds \\ &= 0 \quad \text{since } Y_\alpha^0(s) \geq \frac{1}{n^2} \geq \frac{1}{m^2} \text{ for } s \in [\sigma, \sigma_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq Eu_m(Y_\alpha^0(t_n \wedge \sigma)) \\ &= Eu_m(Y_\alpha^0(t_n \wedge \sigma_n)) \\ &= E[Y_\alpha^0(t_n \wedge \sigma_n)]^p I(Y_\alpha^0(t_n \wedge \sigma_n) > (2m^2)^{-1}) \\ &\quad + Eu_m(Y_\alpha^0(t_n \wedge \sigma_n)) I(Y_\alpha^0(t_n \wedge \sigma_n) \leq (2m^2)^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that  $u_m \leq (2m^2)^{-p}$  on  $[0, (2m^2)^{-1}]$  we see that as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(2.17) \quad 1 \geq EY_\alpha^0(t_n \wedge \sigma_n)^p.$$

Let  $\tau_M = t_{N(1, M)} \wedge \sigma_{N(1, M)}$  and see

$$E\tau_M < \infty$$

and by (2.16)–(2.17)

$$\frac{E\tau_M}{EX_\alpha^0(\tau_M)^{2p}} \geq \frac{M}{1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** I wish to thank the referee for considerably simplifying the proof of (2.4). Also, thanks to an Associate Editor for providing the correct version of (2.13).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BURKHOLDER, D. L. (1977). Exit times of Brownian motion, harmonic majorization and Hardy spaces. *Adv. Math.* **26** 182–205.
- [2] DEBLASSIE, R. D. (1986).  $L^p$  inequalities for stopping times of diffusions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **295** 765–782.
- [3] GORDON, L. (1972). An equivalent to the martingale square function inequality. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **43** 1927–1934.
- [4] IKEDA, N. and WATANABE, S. (1981). *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*. North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [5] ROSENKRANTZ, W. A. and SAWYER, S. (1977).  $L^p$  estimates for stopping times of Bessel processes. *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete* **41** 145–151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY  
COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843