

**WEAK CONVERGENCE OF THE VARIATIONS, ITERATED
 INTEGRALS AND DOLÉANS-DADE EXPONENTIALS OF
 SEQUENCES OF SEMIMARTINGALES¹**

BY FLORIN AVRAM

University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

If $X^{(n)}$ is a sequence of semimartingales, converging to a semimartingale X , and such that $[X^{(n)}, X^{(n)}]$ converges to $[X, X]$, then all higher-order variations and all the iterated integrals of $X^{(n)}$ converge jointly to the respective functionals of X .

1. Introduction.

A. Let $X_t^{(n)}$ be a sequence of semimartingales, with $t \in [0, 1]$, such that

$$(1.1) \quad X^{(n)} \rightarrow_w X,$$

where X is a semimartingale, and \rightarrow_w denotes weak convergence on $D[0, 1]$ with respect to the J_1 -Skorohod topology.

We investigate the convergence of the variations, iterated integrals and Doléans-Dade exponentials of $X^{(n)}$, which are defined as follows: For Y a semimartingale,

$$(1.2) \quad V_k(Y)_t = \begin{cases} Y_t, & \text{for } k = 1, \\ [Y, Y]_t = \langle Y^c, Y^c \rangle_t + \sum_{s \leq t} (\Delta Y_s)^2, & \text{for } k = 2, \\ \sum_{s \leq t} (\Delta Y_s)^k, & \text{for } k \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.3) \quad I_k(Y)_t = \begin{cases} Y_t, & \text{for } k = 1, \\ \int_0^t I_{k-1}(Y)_{s-} dY_s, & \text{for } k \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

$$(1.4) \quad E(\lambda Y)_t = \exp \left[\lambda Y_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} [Y, Y]_t \right] \prod_{s \leq t} l(\lambda \Delta Y_s),$$

where $l(x) = (1 + x)e^{-x+x^2/2}$.

$V_k(Y)$, $I_k(Y)$ and $E(\lambda Y)$ are called, respectively, the variations, the iterated integrals and the Doléans-Dade exponentials of the semimartingale Y . It is known that V_k , I_k and E are well defined for any semimartingale Y [see Meyer (1976)]. These quantities are important in the theory of multiple integration

Received March 1986; revised May 1986.

¹Research supported by Air Force Office of Scientific Research Contract No. F49620-85C-0144.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 60F17; secondary 60H05.

Key words and phrases. Semimartingales, weak J_1 -Skorohod topology, variations, multiple integrals, Doléans-Dade exponential.



with respect to Y_t .

B. When $X_t^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} X_{i,n}$, with $X_{i,n}$ a triangular array, then

$$V_k(X^{(n)})_t = \sum_{i=1}^{[nt]} X_{i,n}^k,$$

$$I_k(X^{(n)})_t = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq [nt]} X_{i_1,n} \cdots X_{i_k,n},$$

and

$$E(\lambda X^{(n)})_t = \prod_{i=1}^{[nt]} (1 + \lambda X_{i,n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{[nt]} \lambda^k I_k(X^{(n)})_t.$$

The problem of the convergence of these “moments,” “symmetric statistics” and generating function of the symmetric statistics has been studied in [1], [3–5], [7] and [9].

C. From formula 41.1 of Meyer (1976), it follows that in the semimartingale context, just as in the discrete deterministic case, I_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$, and V_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$, can be represented as polynomials of n variables in one another (the Newton polynomials which relate sums of powers to the sums of products). Thus, the issue of the joint convergence of I_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$, and that of the convergence of V_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$, are equivalent.

D. $X^{(n)} \rightarrow_w X$ does not imply in general $[X^{(n)}, X^{(n)}] \rightarrow [X, X]$, as the following deterministic example from Jacod (1983) shows:

$$X_t^{(n)} = \sum_{k=1}^{[n^2 t]} \frac{(-1)^k}{n} \text{ converges uniformly to 0, but } [X^{(n)}, X^{(n)}]_t = \sum_{k=1}^{[n^2 t]} \frac{1}{n^2} \rightarrow t.$$

E. However, the following result holds.

THEOREM 1. *The following three statements are equivalent:*

$$(1.5) \quad (X^{(n)}, [X^{(n)}, X^{(n)}]) \rightarrow_w (X, [X, X]), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$(1.6) \quad (V_1(X^{(n)}), \dots, V_m(X^{(n)})) \rightarrow_w (V_1(X), \dots, V_m(X)),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall m \geq 2$;

$$(1.7) \quad (I_1(X^{(n)}), \dots, I_m(X^{(n)})) \rightarrow_w (I_1(X), \dots, I_m(X)),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall m \geq 2$.

They also imply

$$(1.8) \quad E(\lambda X^{(n)}) \rightarrow_w E(\lambda X), \quad \forall \lambda.$$

COROLLARY. *If*

$$(1.9) \quad X^{(n)} \rightarrow_w X$$

and the condition of Jacod (1983) holds:

$$(1.10) \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\text{Var}(B^{h,n})_1 > b\} = 0$$

[where h is a truncation function and $(B^{h,n})_t$ is the finite variation term in the canonical decomposition of the truncated semimartingale $X^{(n)}$], then (1.5)—(1.8) hold.

PROOF. Cf. Jacod (1983), Theorem 5.1.1. (1.9) and (1.10) imply (1.5). \square

2. Proofs. Introduce the following notation: For any real number x ,

$$x^{>a} := x \cdot 1_{\{|x|>a\}},$$

$$x^{\leq a} := x \cdot 1_{\{|x|\leq a\}}.$$

We establish now the following.

LEMMA 1. (a) Suppose $X^{(n)}$ are semimartingales such that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{[X^{(n)}, X^{(n)}]_1 > b\} = 0,$$

and let $f(x)$ be any real function such that $f(x) = o(x^2)$, as $x \rightarrow 0$. Then, for all ε ,

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{ \sum_{s \leq 1} |f((\Delta X_s^{(n)})^{\leq a})| \geq \varepsilon \right\} = 0.$$

(b) If the assumptions of (a) hold, $X^{(n)} \rightarrow_w X$ and f is a continuous, vector-valued function, then

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{s \leq t} f(\Delta X_s^{(n)}) \rightarrow_w \sum_{s \leq t} f(\Delta X_s).$$

PROOF. (a) Note first that $\sum_{s \leq t} |f(\Delta X_s^{(n)})| < \infty$, since $\sum_{s \leq t} (\Delta X_s^{(n)})^2 < \infty$. Let now $g(a) = \sup_{|x| \leq a} |f(x)|/x^{-2}$. Then

$$P\left\{ \sum_{s \leq 1} |f((\Delta X_s^{(n)})^{\leq a})| > \varepsilon \right\} \leq P\left\{ \sum_{s \leq 1} ((\Delta X_s^{(n)})^{\leq a})^2 g(a) > \varepsilon \right\}$$

$$\leq P\{[X^{(n)}, X^{(n)}]_1 > \varepsilon/g(a)\}.$$

Since $g(a) \rightarrow 0$, (2.2) follows from (2.1).

(b) Let $U(X) = \{u > 0: P\{|\Delta X_t| \neq u, \text{ for all } t\} = 1\}$. $U(X)$ is dense in R_+ . For any $a \in U(X)$, and f continuous, the functional

$$S_f^a(Z)_t = \sum_{s \leq t} f(\Delta Z_s^{>a})$$

is J_1 -continuous a.s. [dist(X)]. Thus, $X^{(n)} \rightarrow_w X$ implies for $a \in U(X)$,

$$S_f^a(X^{(n)}) \rightarrow_w S_f^a(X).$$

Also,

$$S_f^a(X)_t \rightarrow_w S_f(X)_t := \sum_{s \leq t} f(\Delta X_s), \text{ as } a \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s. } (J_1).$$

The result follows now by (2.2) and Theorem 4.2 of Billingsley (1968). \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. By Lemma 1(b), we have (1.5) \Rightarrow (1.6), and in fact the same type of argument yields (1.5) \Rightarrow (1.8), as follows: Assume for convenience $\lambda = 1$ and $1 \in U(X)$, let

$$f(x) = \left[\ln(1 + x) - x + \frac{x^2}{2} \right],$$

and let $T: D_{[0,1]} \rightarrow D_{[0,1]}$ be defined by

$$T(Z)_t := \prod_{s \leq t} l(\Delta Z_s^{>1}) = \prod_{s \leq t} (1 + \Delta Z_s^{>1}) \exp\left\{-\Delta Z_s^{>1} + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta Z_s^{>1})^2\right\}.$$

Since the Doléans–Dade exponential

$$E(X)_t = \exp\left\{X_t - \frac{1}{2}[X, X]_t + \sum_{s \leq t} f[\Delta X_s^{\leq 1}]\right\} T(X)_t,$$

it remains only to note that the functional

$$\begin{aligned} X^a: D^{(2)}[0, 1] &\rightarrow D^{(4)}[0, 1], \\ X(Z_1, Z_2) &= (Z_1, Z_2, S_f^a(Z_1), T(Z_1)) \end{aligned}$$

is continuous a.s., if both spaces are endowed with the respective J_1 -topologies. Letting then $a \rightarrow 0$, as in the proof of Lemma 1, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(X_t^{(n)}, [X^{(n)}, X^{(n)}]_t, \sum_{s \leq t} f((\Delta X_s^{(n)})^{\leq 1}), \prod_{s \leq t} l((\Delta X_s^{(n)})^{>1}) \right) \\ &\rightarrow_w \left(X_t, [X, X]_t, \sum_{s \leq t} f(\Delta X_s^{\leq 1}), \prod_{s \leq t} l(\Delta X_s^{>1}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

since $\ln(1 + x) - x + x^2/2 = o(x^2)$, and since (1.5) implies (2.1). Finally, applying the continuous functional

$$\begin{aligned} \rho: D_{[0,1]}^{(4)} &\rightarrow D_{[0,1]}, \\ \rho(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4) &= \exp\left[Z_1 - \frac{1}{2}Z_2 + Z_3\right] Z_4, \end{aligned}$$

we get that

$$E(\lambda X^{(n)}) \rightarrow_w E(\lambda X).$$

Since (1.6) is equivalent to (1.7) (by the use of the polynomial mapping), and (1.6) trivially implies (1.5), Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Acknowledgment. We thank Professor Mandrekar for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] AVRAM, F. and TAQQU, M. S. (1986). Symmetric polynomials of random variables attracted to an infinitely divisible law. *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **71** 491–500.
- [2] BILLINGSLEY, P. (1968). *Convergence of Probability Measures*. Wiley, New York.
- [3] DENKER, M., GRILLENBERGER, CHR. and KELLER G. (1985). A note on invariance principles for von Mises' statistics. *Metrika* **32** 197–214.
- [4] DYNKIN, E. B. and MANDELBAUM, A. (1983). Symmetric statistics, Poisson point processes and multiple Wiener integrals. *Ann. Statist.* **11** 739–745.
- [5] FEINSILVER, P. J. (1978). *Special Functions, Probability Semigroups and Hamiltonian Flows. Lecture Notes in Math.* **696**. Springer, New York.
- [6] JACOD, J. (1983). Théorèmes limite pour les processus. *École d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIII—1983. Lecture Notes in Math.* **1117**. Springer, New York.
- [7] MANDELBAUM, A. and TAQQU, M. S. (1984). Invariance principle for symmetric statistics. *Ann. Statist.* **12** 483–496.
- [8] MEYER, P.-A. (1976). Un cours sur les integrales stochastiques. *Lecture Notes in Math.* **511** 245–400. Springer, New York.
- [9] RUBIN, H. and VITALE, R. A. (1980). Asymptotic distribution of symmetric statistics. *Ann. Statist.* **8** 165–170.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA
AT CHAPEL HILL
CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA 27514