

THE DISTRIBUTION OF VECTOR-VALUED RADEMACHER SERIES

BY S. J. DILWORTH AND S. J. MONTGOMERY-SMITH¹

University of South Carolina and University of Missouri

Let $X = \sum \varepsilon_n x_n$ be a Rademacher series with vector-valued coefficients. We obtain an approximate formula for the distribution of the random variable $\|X\|$ in terms of its mean and a certain quantity derived from the K -functional of interpolation theory. Several applications of the formula are given.

1. Results. In [6], Montgomery-Smith calculated the distribution of a scalar Rademacher series $\sum \varepsilon_n a_n$. The principal result of the present paper extends the results of [6] to the case of a Rademacher series $\sum \varepsilon_n x_n$ with coefficients (x_n) belonging to an arbitrary Banach space E . Its proof relies on a deviation inequality for Rademacher series obtained by Talagrand [9]. A somewhat curious feature of the proof is that it appears to exploit in a nontrivial way (see Lemma 2) the platitude that every separable Banach space is isometric to a closed subspace of ℓ_∞ . The principal result is applied to yield a precise form of the Kahane–Khintchine inequalities and to compute certain Orlicz norms for Rademacher series.

First we recall some notation and terminology from interpolation theory (see, e.g., [1]). Let $(E_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(E_2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ be two Banach spaces which are continuously embedded into some larger topological vector space. For $t > 0$, the K -functional $K(x, t; E_1, E_2)$ is the norm on $E_1 + E_2$ defined by

$$K(x, t; E_1, E_2) = \inf\{\|x_1\|_1 + t\|x_2\|_2 : x = x_1 + x_2, x_i \in E_i\}.$$

For a sequence $(a_n) \in \ell_2$, we shall denote the K -functional $K((a_n), t; \ell_1, \ell_2)$ by $K_{1,2}((a_n), t)$ for short. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, a sequence (x_n) in a Banach space $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be weakly ℓ_p if the scalar sequence $(x^*(x_n))$ belongs to ℓ_p for every $x^* \in E^*$. The collection of all weakly ℓ_p sequences is a Banach space, denoted $\ell_p^w(E)$, with the norm given by $\ell_p^w((x_n)) = \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \|(x^*(x_n))\|_p$ [where $\|(a_n)\|_p = (\sum |a_n|^p)^{1/p}$]. If $(x_n) \in \ell_2^w(E)$, we define the following:

$$K_{1,2}^w((x_n), t) = \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), t).$$

Observe that $K_{1,2}^w((x_n), t)$ is a continuous increasing function of t . In fact, it is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant at most $\ell_2^w((x_n))$.

Next we set up some function space notation. Let (Ω, Σ, P) be a probability space. A Rademacher (or Bernoulli) sequence (ε_n) is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables such that $P(\varepsilon_n = 1) =$

Received May 1992; revised July 1992.

¹Supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-90-01796.

AMS 1991 subject classifications. Primary 46B20; secondary 60B11, 60G50.

Key words and phrases. Rademacher series, K -functional Banach space.

$P(\varepsilon_n = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. For a random variable Y defined on Ω , its decreasing rearrangement Y^* is the function on $[0, 1]$ defined by $Y^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0: P(|Y| > s) \leq t\}$. For $0 < p < \infty$, the weak- L_p norm of Y , denoted $\|Y\|_{p,\infty}$, is given by $\|Y\|_{p,\infty} = \sup_{0 < t < 1} t^{1/p} Y^*(t)$. As usual, $\|Y\|_p$ denotes $(\mathbb{E}|Y|^p)^{1/p}$. Let Ψ be an Orlicz function on $[0, \infty)$. The Orlicz norm, $\|Y\|_\Psi$, is given by $\|Y\|_\Psi = \inf\{c > 0: \mathbb{E}\Psi(|Y|/c) \leq 1\}$. We shall be particularly interested in the Orlicz functions $\Psi_q(t) = e^{t^q} - 1$ for $2 < q < \infty$. The weak- \mathcal{L}_p norm of the scalar sequence (a_n) is defined by $\|(a_n)\|_{p,\infty} = \sup n^{1/p} \alpha_n^*$, where (α_n^*) is the decreasing rearrangement of $(|a_n|)$.

Finally, we shall write $A \approx B$ to mean that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $(1/C)A \leq B \leq CA$. We shall try to indicate in each case whether the implied constant is absolute or whether it depends on some parameter, typically $p \in [1, \infty)$, entering into the expressions for A and B .

Now we can state the principal result of the paper.

MAIN THEOREM. *Let $X = \sum \varepsilon_n x_n$ be an almost surely convergent Rademacher series in a Banach space E . Then, for $t > 0$, we have*

$$(1) \quad P(\|X\| > 2\mathbb{E}\|X\| + 6K_{1,2}^w((x_n), t)) \leq 4e^{-t^2/8},$$

and, for some absolute constant c , we have

$$(2) \quad P(\|X\| > \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|X\| + cK_{1,2}^w((x_n), t)) \geq ce^{-t^2/c}.$$

The proof of the main theorem will be deferred until the end of the paper in order to proceed at once with the applications.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $X = \sum \varepsilon_n x_n$ be an almost surely convergent Rademacher series in a Banach space. Then, for $0 < t \leq \frac{1}{10}$, we have*

$$(3) \quad S^*(t) \approx \mathbb{E}\|X\| + K_{1,2}^w((x_n), \sqrt{\log(1/t)}),$$

where S denotes the real random variable $\|X\|$. The implied constant is absolute.

PROOF. Inequalities (1) and (2) give rise to the inequalities $S^*(4e^{-t^2/8}) \leq 2\mathbb{E}\|X\| + 6K_{1,2}^w((x_n), t)$ and $S^*(ce^{-t^2/c}) \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|X\| + cK_{1,2}^w((x_n), t)$, respectively, whence (3) follows for all sufficiently small t by an appropriate change of variable. To see that the lower estimate implicit in (3) is valid in the whole range $0 < t < \frac{1}{10}$, we recall from [2] that $\mathbb{E}\|X\|^2 \leq 9\mathbb{E}^2\|X\|$. Hence, by the Paley-Zygmund inequality (see, e.g., [4], page 8), for $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|X\| > \lambda \mathbb{E}\|X\|) &\geq (1 - \lambda)^2 \frac{\mathbb{E}^2\|X\|}{\mathbb{E}\|X\|^2} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{9}(1 - \lambda)^2, \end{aligned}$$

whence $P(\|X\| > (1 - 3/\sqrt{10})\mathbb{E}\|X\|) \geq \frac{1}{10}$, which easily implies (3). \square

Kahane [4] proved that if $P(\|X\| > t) = \alpha$, where X is a Rademacher series in a Banach space, then $P(\|X\| > 2t) \leq 4\alpha^2$. By iteration this implies $P(\|X\| > st) \leq \frac{1}{4}(4\alpha)^s$, for $s = 2^n$. According to our next corollary, the exponent s in the latter result may be improved to be a certain multiple of s^2 .

COROLLARY 2. *Let $X = \sum \varepsilon_n x_n$ be an almost surely convergent Rademacher series in a Banach space. Then, for $t > 0$ and $s \geq 1$, we have*

$$P(\|X\| > st) \leq \left(\frac{1}{c_1} P(\|X\| > t) \right)^{c_1 s^2}$$

for some absolute constant c_1 .

PROOF. By choosing $c_1 < c$, where c is the constant which appears in (2), the result becomes trivial whenever $P(\|X\| > t) \geq c$. Hence we may assume that $P(\|X\| > t) < c$. Choose $\alpha > 0$ such that $P(\|X\| > t) = ce^{-\alpha^2/c}$. Then (2) gives $t \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\|X\| + cK_{1,2}^w((x_n), \alpha)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} st &\geq \frac{s}{2}\mathbb{E}\|X\| + scK_{1,2}^w((x_n), \alpha) \\ &\geq 2\mathbb{E}\|X\| + 6K_{1,2}^w\left((x_n), \frac{cs\alpha}{6}\right) \end{aligned}$$

provided $s \geq \max(4, 6/c)$. Now (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|X\| > st) &\leq 4e^{-(cs\alpha)^2/288} \\ &= 4\left(\frac{1}{c}(ce^{-\alpha^2/c})\right)^{c^2s^2/288} \\ &= 4\left(\frac{1}{c}(P\|X\| > t)\right)^{c^3s^2/288}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result. \square

Our next corollary, which is the vector-valued version of a recent result of Hitzzenko [3], is a rather precise form of the Kahane–Khintchine inequalities.

COROLLARY 3. *Let $X = \sum \varepsilon_n x_n$ be a Rademacher series in a Banach space. Then, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have*

$$(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p} \approx \mathbb{E}\|X\| + K_{1,2}^w((x_n), \sqrt{p}).$$

The implied constant is absolute.

PROOF. We may assume that $p \geq 2$. It follows from a result of Borell [2] that $(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^{2p})^{1/2p} \leq \sqrt{3}(\mathbb{E}\|X\|^p)^{1/p}$. Since $\frac{1}{2}\|Y\|_p \leq \|Y\|_{2p,\infty} \leq \|Y\|_{2p}$ for every random variable Y (as is easily verified), it follows (letting S denote the random variable $\|X\|$) that $\frac{1}{2}\|S\|_p \leq \|S\|_{2p,\infty} \leq \sqrt{3}\|S\|_p$. So it suffices to prove

that $\|S\|_{p,\infty} \approx \mathbb{E}S + K_{1,2}^w((x_n), \sqrt{p})$ to obtain the desired conclusion. By Corollary 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S\|_{p,\infty} &\approx \mathbb{E}S + \sup_{0 < t < 1} t^{1/p} K_{1,2}^w((x_n), \sqrt{\log(1/t)}) \\ &= \mathbb{E}S + \sup_{0 < t < 1} \left\{ t^{1/p} \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), \sqrt{\log(1/t)}) \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{E}S + \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \left\{ \sup_{0 < t < 1} t^{1/p} K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), \sqrt{\log(1/t)}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

To evaluate the expression in brackets, we make use once more (see Corollary 2) of the elementary inequality $K_{1,2}((a_n), s) \leq \max(1, s/t) K_{1,2}((a_n), t)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 < t \leq e^{-p}} t^{1/p} K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), \sqrt{\log(1/t)}) \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{0 < t \leq e^{-p}} t^{1/p} \sqrt{\frac{\log(1/t)}{p}} \right) K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), \sqrt{p}) \\ &= e^{-1} K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), \sqrt{p}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{e^{-p} < t < 1} t^{1/p} K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), \sqrt{\log(1/t)}) \leq K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), \sqrt{p}).$$

Finally, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{e} K_{1,2}^w((x_n), \sqrt{p}) &\leq \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \left\{ \sup_{0 < t < 1} K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), \sqrt{\log(1/t)}) \right\} \\ &\leq K_{1,2}^w((x_n), \sqrt{p}), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired result. \square

Our final application is to the calculation of the Orlicz norms $\|S\|_{\psi_q}$ for $2 < q < \infty$. The proof will use the scalar version of the result, which was obtained by Rodin and Semyonov [8] (see also [7]). (Recall that by a result of Kwapien [5], $\|S\|_{\psi_q} \approx \mathbb{E}\|X\|$ in the range $0 < q \leq 2$.)

COROLLARY 4. *Let $X = \sum \varepsilon_n x_n$ be an almost surely convergent Rademacher series in a Banach space. Then, for $2 < q < \infty$, we have*

$$\|S\|_{\psi_q} \approx \mathbb{E}\|X\| + \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \|(x^*(x_n))\|_{p,\infty},$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ and S denotes $\|X\|$. The implied constant depends only on q .

PROOF. It is easily verified that $\|f\|_{\psi_q} \approx \sup_{0 < t < 1} (\log(1/t))^{-1/q} f^*(t)$. Hence, by Corollary 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S\|_{\psi_q} &\approx \mathbb{E}\|X\| + \sup_{0 < t < 1} (\log(1/t))^{-1/q} K_{1,2}^w((x_n), t) \\ &\approx \mathbb{E}\|X\| + \sup_{0 < t < 1} \left\{ (\log(1/t))^{-1/q} \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), t) \right\} \\ &\approx \mathbb{E}\|X\| + \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \left\{ \sup_{0 < t < 1} (\log(1/t))^{-1/q} K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), t) \right\} \\ &\approx \mathbb{E}\|X\| + \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \left\| \sum \varepsilon_n x^*(x_n) \right\|_{\psi_q} \\ &\approx \mathbb{E}\|X\| + \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \|(x^*(x_n))\|_{p,\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last line follows from the result of Rodin and Semyonov [8]. \square

2. Proof of the main result. The principal ingredient in the proof of the main theorem is the following deviation inequality of Talagrand [9].

THEOREM A. *Let $X = \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n x_n$ be a finite Rademacher series in a Banach space and let M be a median of $\|X\|$. Then, for $t > 0$, we have*

$$P\left(\left| \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n x_n \right\| - M \right| > t\right) \leq 4e^{-t^2/8\sigma^2},$$

where $\sigma = \ell_2^w((x_n)_{n=1}^N)$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $X = \sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n x_n$ be a finite Rademacher series in a Banach space E . Then, for $t > 0$, we have*

$$P\left(\|X\| > 2\mathbb{E}\|X\| + 3K\left((x_n)_{n=1}^N, t; \ell_1^w(E), \ell_2^w(E)\right)\right) \leq 4e^{-t^2/8}.$$

PROOF. It follows from Theorem A that, for all y_1, \dots, y_N in E , we have

$$(4) \quad P\left(\left\| \sum \varepsilon_n y_n \right\| > 2\mathbb{E}\left\| \sum \varepsilon_n y_n \right\| + t\ell_2^w((y_n))\right) \leq 4e^{-t^2/8}.$$

On the other hand, since $\max\|\sum \varepsilon_n y_n\| = \ell_1^w((y_n))$, we have the trivial estimate

$$(5) \quad P\left(\left\| \sum \varepsilon_n y_n \right\| > \ell_1^w((y_n))\right) = 0.$$

Let $x_n = x_n^{(1)} + x_n^{(2)}$, for $1 \leq n \leq N$; let $X^{(1)} = \sum \varepsilon_n x_n^{(1)}$; and let $X^{(2)} = \sum \varepsilon_n x_n^{(2)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\ell_1^w((x_n^{(1)})) + t\ell_2^w((x_n^{(2)})) + 2\mathbb{E}\|X^{(2)}\| \\ &\leq \ell_1^w((x_n^{(1)})) + t\ell_2^w((x_n^{(2)})) + 2\mathbb{E}\|X^{(1)}\| + 2\mathbb{E}\|X\| \\ &\leq 3\ell_1^w((x_n^{(1)})) + t\ell_2^w((x_n^{(2)})) + 2\mathbb{E}\|X\| \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}\|X\| + 3(\ell_1^w((x_n^{(1)})) + t\ell_2^w((x_n^{(2)}))). \end{aligned}$$

Let Q denote $2E\|X\| + 3(\mathcal{L}_1^w((x_n^{(1)})) + t\mathcal{L}_2^w((x_n^{(2)})))$. Then, by (4) and (5) and by the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\|X\| > Q) &\leq P(\|X^{(1)}\| + \|X^{(2)}\| > \mathcal{L}_1^w((x_n^{(1)})) + t\mathcal{L}_2^w((x_n^{(2)})) + 2E\|X^{(2)}\|) \\ &\leq P(\|X^{(1)}\| > \mathcal{L}_1^w((x_n^{(1)}))) + P(\|X^{(2)}\| > 2E\|X^{(2)}\| + t\mathcal{L}_2^w((x_n^{(2)}))) \\ &\leq 0 + 4e^{-t^2/8}. \end{aligned}$$

The desired conclusion now follows from the definition of the K -functional. \square

LEMMA 2. *Let x_1, \dots, x_N be elements of the Banach space \mathcal{L}_∞ . Then, for $t > 0$, we have*

$$K((x_n)_{n=1}^N, t; \mathcal{L}_1^w(\mathcal{L}_\infty), \mathcal{L}_2^w(\mathcal{L}_\infty)) \leq 2K_{1,2}^w((x_n)_{n=1}^N, t).$$

PROOF. For $1 \leq n \leq N$, let $x_n = (x_{n,j})_{j=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{L}_\infty$. A simple convexity argument gives

$$\|(x_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}_p^w(\mathcal{L}_\infty)} = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq \infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |x_{n,j}|^p \right)^{(1/p)}.$$

It follows that the mapping ϕ which associates an element $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{L}_p^w(\mathcal{L}_\infty)$ with the element in $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{L}_p)$ whose j th coordinate equals $(y_{n,j})_{n=1}^\infty$ is an isometry. Hence $K((x_n), t; \mathcal{L}_1^w, \mathcal{L}_2^w) = K(\phi((x_n)), t; \mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{L}_1), \mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{L}_2))$. Let $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{L}_2)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. For each n there exists a splitting $y_n = z_n^{(1)} + z_n^{(2)}$ such that

$$\|(z_n^{(1)})_{j=1}^\infty\|_1 + t\|(z_n^{(2)})_{j=1}^\infty\|_2 \leq K_{1,2}((y_{n,j})_{j=1}^\infty, t) + \varepsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(z_n^{(1)})_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{L}_1)} + t\|(z_n^{(2)})_{j=1}^\infty\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(\mathcal{L}_2)} &= \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \|(z_n^{(1)})_{j=1}^\infty\|_1 + t \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} \|(z_n^{(2)})_{j=1}^\infty\|_2 \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} K_{1,2}((y_{n,j})_{j=1}^\infty, t) + 2\varepsilon \\ &\leq 2K_{1,2}^w((y_n), t) + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, the result now follows from the definition of the K -functional. \square

PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM. First we prove (1) for a finite Rademacher series $\sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n x_n$. Since every separable Banach space embeds isometrically into \mathcal{L}_∞ , we may assume that E is a closed subspace of \mathcal{L}_∞ . Recall that $K_{1,2}^w((x_n), t)$ was defined as $\sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} K_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), t)$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, the supremum is the same whether it is taken over elements of E^* or over elements of \mathcal{L}_∞^* . Hence (1) follows by combining Lemmas 1 and 2. The result for an infinite series follows from the result for $\sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n x_n$ by taking the limit as $N \rightarrow \infty$. To prove (2), we use the result from [6] that there exists an

absolute constant d such that

$$P\left(\sum \varepsilon_n a_n > dK_{1,2}((a_n), t)\right) \geq de^{-t^2/d},$$

for every sequence $(a_n) \in \ell_2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\left\|\sum \varepsilon_n x_n\right\| > \frac{d}{2}K_{1,2}^w((x_n), t)\right) &\geq \inf_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} P\left(\left\|\sum \varepsilon_n x_n\right\| > dK_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), t)\right) \\ &\geq \inf_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} P\left(\sum \varepsilon_n x^*(x_n) > dK_{1,2}((x^*(x_n)), t)\right) \\ &\geq de^{-t^2/d}. \end{aligned}$$

The Paley–Zygmund inequality now gives

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\|X\| > \frac{1}{2}E\|X\| + \frac{d}{6}K_{1,2}^w((x_n), t)\right) \\ &\geq \min\left(P\left(\|X\| > \frac{3}{4}E\|X\|\right), P\left(\|X\| > \frac{d}{2}K_{1,2}^w((x_n), t)\right)\right) \\ &\geq \min\left(\frac{1}{144}, de^{-t^2/d}\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] BERGH, J. and LÖFSTROM, J. (1976). *Interpolation Spaces: An Introduction*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **223**. Springer, Berlin.
- [2] BORELL, C. (1979). On the integrability of Banach valued Walsh polynomials. *Séminaire de Probabilités XIII. Lecture Notes in Math.* **721** 1–3. Springer, Berlin.
- [3] HITCZENKO, P. (1992). Domination inequality for martingale transforms of a Rademacher sequence. *Israel J. Math.* To appear.
- [4] KAHANE, J. P. (1985). *Some Random Series of Functions*. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [5] KWAPIEŃ, S. (1976). A theorem on the Rademacher series with vector valued coefficients. *Probability in Banach Spaces. Lecture Notes in Math.* **526** 157–158. Springer, Berlin.
- [6] MONTGOMERY-SMITH, S. J. (1990). The distribution of Rademacher sums. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** 517–522.
- [7] PISIER, G. (1981). De nouvelles caractérisations des ensembles de Sidon. *Adv. in Math. Supp. Stud.* **7B** 686–725.
- [8] RODIN, V. A. and SEMYONOV, E. M. (1975). Rademacher series in symmetric spaces. *Analyse Math.* **1** 207–222.
- [9] TALAGRAND, M. (1988). An isoperimetric theorem on the cube and the Khintchine-Kahane inequalities. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** 905–909.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29208

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65211