can be evaluated by interpolation using equation (20) and Table I of [9]. However, the number of decimals in Table I of [9] is not sufficiently large to yield accurate enough calculated values of  $\Phi_n(u)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. C. Bose, And J. St-Pierre, "On a decision procedure to select the population with the largest mean", Abstract, Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 25 (1954), pp. 813-814.
- [2] J. O. IRWIN, "The further theory of Francis Galton's individual difference problem," Biometrika, Vol. 17 (1925), pp. 100-128.
- [3] J. St-Pierre, "Distribution of linear contrasts of order statistics," Institute of Statistics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, July, 1954.
- [4] S. S. Wilks, Mathematical Statistics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1943.
- [5] Tables of Circular and Hyperbolic Sines and Cosines for Radian Arguments, National Bureau of Standards, 1939.
- [6] Tables of the Exponential Function e<sup>x</sup>, Applied Mathematics Series, XIV, National Bureau of Standards, 1951.
- [7] Tables of Normal Probability Functions, Applied Mathematics Series, XXIII, National Bureau of Standards, 1953.
- [8] C. Jordan, Calculus of Finite Differences, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1947.
- [9] R. E. Bechhofer, "A single-sample multiple decision procedure for ranking means of normal populations with known variances," Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 25 (1945), pp. 16-40.

### A CERTAIN CLASS OF SOLUTIONS TO A MOMENT PROBLEM

# By LIONEL WEISS

## University of Oregon

- 1. Summary. A uniqueness and a characterization theorem are given for the density function over the interval [-1, 1] with a given finite sequence of moments whose square has the smallest possible integral. Extensions are indicated.
- 2. Existence and characterization theorems. Let  $\mu_0 = 1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  be a given set of real numbers  $(0 \le n < \infty)$ . Necessary and sufficient conditions on  $(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_n)$  that there be at least one density function f(x) over [-1, 1] with

(1.1) 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} x^{i} f(x) dx = \mu_{i}, \qquad i = 0, \dots, n,$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} f^{2}(x) dx < \infty$$

have been given [1]. Throughout this paper, we shall assume that the sequence  $(\mu_0, \dots, \mu_n)$  satisfies these conditions. Then we have:

THEOREM 1. Let  $\{f\}$  denote the class of density functions over [-1, 1] satisfying (1.1), and let M denote

g.l.b. 
$$\int_{-1}^{1} f^2(x) dx$$
.

Received May 18, 1955.

There is a function g(x) in  $\{f\}$  with  $\int_{-1}^{1} g^2(x) dx = M$ . Any function in  $\{f\}$  with this property equals g(x) almost everywhere.

PROOF. We can find a sequence  $f_1(x)$ ,  $f_2(x)$ ,  $\cdots$  of functions in  $\{f\}$  with  $\int f_i^2$  approaching M as i increases. Let  $\epsilon_i$  denote  $\int f_i^2 - M$ . Then  $\int [f_i - f_j]^2 = 2M + \epsilon_i + \epsilon_j - 2\int f_i \cdot f_j \geq 0$ , so  $\int f_i \cdot f_j \leq M + \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j)$ . Also,  $\frac{1}{2}(f_i + f_j)$  is in  $\{f\}$ , so that  $\int \left[\frac{1}{2}(f_i + f_j)\right]^2 = \frac{1}{4}(M + \epsilon_i) + \frac{1}{4}(M + \epsilon_j) + \frac{1}{2}\int f_i f_j \geq M$ , or  $\int f_i \cdot f_j \geq M - \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j)$ . Thus,  $\int f_i f_j$  approaches M as 1/i + 1/j approaches zero, and therefore  $\int [f_i - f_j]^2$  approaches zero with 1/i + 1/j. But then it is known ([2], p. 243) that there is a measurable function g(x) such that  $\int [f_i - g]^2$  approaches zero as i increases. But g(x) is in  $\{f\}$ , for it must be non-negative almost everywhere on (-1, 1), and

$$\left| \int_{-1}^{1} x^{j} g(x) \ dx - \mu_{j} \right| = \left| \int_{-1}^{1} x^{j} [g(x) - f_{i}(x)] \ dx \right|$$

$$\leq \left( \int_{-1}^{1} x^{2j} \ dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{-1}^{1} [g - f_{i}]^{2} \ dx \right)^{1/2},$$

the term on the right approaching zero as i increases. Also,  $\int_{-1}^{1} g^{2}(x) dx = M$ , for  $\int g^{2} = \int f_{i}^{2} + \int (g - f_{i})^{2} + 2 \int f_{i}(g - f_{i})$ , and as i increases the expression on the right of this last equality approaches M. If a function f(x) in  $\{f\}$  has  $\int_{-1}^{1} f^{2}(x) dx = M$ , then f(x) = g(x) almost everywhere. For  $\frac{1}{2}(f + g)$  is in  $\{f\}$ ; therefore  $\int \left[\frac{1}{2}(f + g)\right]^{2} = \frac{1}{2}M + \frac{1}{2}\int fg \geq M$ , or  $\int fg \geq M$ . But if f fails to equal g on a set of positive measure,  $\int fg < (\int f^{2})^{1/2}(\int g^{2})^{1/2} = M$ , a contradiction. Therefore g(x) is essentially unique.

THEOREM 2. The function g(x) described in Theorem 1 is, almost everywhere on (-1, 1), equal to a certain polynomial P(x) of degree at most n wherever P(x) is non-negative, and is equal to zero elsewhere.

**Proof.** Denote the polynomial of degree i in the sequence of polynomials orthonormal on a given bounded set S of positive measure by Q(x, i, S), so  $\int_S Q(x, i, S)Q(x, j, S) dx = \delta_{ij}$  (the Kronecker delta). Since the sequence  $\{Q(x, i, S)\}\$  is complete in the class of functions whose squares are Lebesgue integrable over S, a necessary and sufficient condition that a function r(x) in this class is, almost everywhere on S, equal to a polynomial of degree at most n, is that  $\int_S r(x)Q(x, i, S) dx = 0$  for all i > n. Also, of all functions s(x) whose squares are Lebesgue integrable and which have  $\int_S s(x)x^i dx = c_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , by Parseval's Theorem ([2], p. 251) one with the smallest  $\int_S s^2(x) dx$  is the polynomial v(x) of degree at most n uniquely determined by  $\int_S v(x)x^i dx = c_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . For any positive  $\epsilon$ , let  $G_{\epsilon}$  denote the subset of (-1, 1) where  $g(x) \geq \epsilon$ . Assume  $\epsilon$  is small enough so that the measure of  $G_{\epsilon}$  (written  $m(G_{\epsilon})$ ) is positive. Then, almost everywhere on  $G_{\epsilon}$ , g(x) must be equal to a certain polynomial of degree at most n, say P(x) (P(x) will not depend on  $\epsilon$ ). For if not, there is an i > n so that  $\int_{G_{\epsilon}} g(x)Q(x, i, G_{\epsilon}) dx \neq 0$ . Then we can find a positive  $\delta$  so that  $g(x) + \gamma Q(x, i, G_{\epsilon})$  is positive on  $G_{\epsilon}$  for all  $\gamma$  with  $|\gamma| < \delta$ . But a  $\gamma_0$  with  $0 < |\gamma_0| < \delta$  can be found so that

$$\int_{G_{\epsilon}} [g(x) + \gamma_0 Q(x, i, G_{\epsilon})]^2 dx = \int_{G_{\epsilon}} g^2 + 2\gamma_0 \int_{G_{\epsilon}} gQ + \gamma_0^2 < \int_{G_{\epsilon}} g^2.$$

But then if we define h(x) as equal to  $g(x) + \gamma_0 \cdot Q(x, i, G_{\epsilon})$  on  $G_{\epsilon}$ , and equal to g(x) elsewhere, h(x) is in  $\{f\}$ , and  $\int_{-1}^{1} h^2 < \int_{-1}^{1} g^2$ , a contradiction. Therefore, almost everywhere on  $G_{\epsilon}$ , g(x) = P(x). Now we take a sequence of decreasing positive numbers converging to zero, say  $\epsilon_1$ ,  $\epsilon_2$ ,  $\cdots$ . Let  $R_{\epsilon_i}$  be the subset of  $G_{\epsilon_i}$  where g(x) fails to equal P(x). Then  $R_{\epsilon_1}$ ,  $R_{\epsilon_2}$ ,  $\cdots$  is a nondecreasing sequence of sets, and  $m(R_{\epsilon_i}) = 0$  for all i. Now  $R_{\epsilon_1} + R_{\epsilon_2} + \cdots$  is the set where  $g(x) \neq 0$  and  $g(x) \neq P(x)$ , and  $m(R_{\epsilon_1} + R_{\epsilon_2} + \cdots) = \lim_{i \to \infty} m(R_{\epsilon_i}) = 0$ . Therefore, almost everywhere where g(x) does not equal zero, g(x) equals P(x). Now let  $P_{\epsilon}$  be the subset of (-1, 1) where  $P(x) \geq \epsilon$ . Almost all points of  $G_{\epsilon}$ are in  $P_{\epsilon}$ . Suppose  $0 < m(G_{\epsilon}) < m(P_{\epsilon})$ . Then, denoting the complement of  $G_{\epsilon}$ by  $\bar{G}_{\epsilon}$ , we can adjoin to  $G_{\epsilon}$  a subset of  $\bar{G}_{\epsilon} \cdot P_{\epsilon}$  of positive measure, to get a set  $G'_{\epsilon}$ . The polynomial q(x) of degree at most n defined by  $\int_{a_i} q(x)x^i dx = \int_{a_i} g(x)x^i dx$ ,  $i=0,\cdots,n$ , must be negative somewhere on  $G'_{\epsilon}$ , for if not we could decrease  $\int_{-1}^{1} g^{2}$  by replacing it by q(x) on  $G'_{\epsilon}$  (g(x) cannot equal q(x) almost everywhere on  $G'_{\epsilon}$ , for g(x) = P(x) on  $G_{\epsilon}$ , zero on  $G'_{\epsilon} - G_{\epsilon}$ ). But the polynomial defined on  $G_{\epsilon}$  as q(x) is defined on  $G'_{\epsilon}$  is at least  $\epsilon$  everywhere on  $G_{\epsilon}$ , and by making  $m(G'_{\epsilon})$  close enough to  $m(G_{\epsilon})$ , we can make certain that q(x) is non-negative on  $G'_{\epsilon}$ , for the coefficients of q(x) vary continuously as  $m(G'_{\epsilon})$  grows. This contradiction proves that  $m(G_{\epsilon}) = m(P_{\epsilon})$ . Taking a sequence  $\epsilon_1$ ,  $\epsilon_2$ ,  $\cdots$  as above, the set G where g(x) is positive is  $G_{\epsilon_1} + G_{\epsilon_2} + \cdots$ , the set P where P(x) is positive is  $P_{\epsilon_1} + P_{\epsilon_2} + \cdots$ . Then  $m(G) = \lim_{\epsilon_i} m(G_{\epsilon_i}) = \lim_{\epsilon_i} m(P_{\epsilon_i}) = m(P)$ , so m(G) = m(P). Since almost every point of G is in P, we have that almost everywhere where P(x) is positive g(x) = P(x).

#### **3. Extensions.** The results above can be generalized as follows.

THEOREM 3. Given a bounded set S of positive measure, and measurable functions  $h_0(x)$ ,  $h_1(x)$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $h_n(x)$  such that  $\int_S h_i^2(x) dx$  is finite for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , and numbers  $m_0$ ,  $m_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $m_n$ , suppose that there is at least one measurable function f(x) with the following properties:

- (a)  $f(x) \ge 0$  almost everywhere on S,
- (b)  $\int_{S} f(x)h_{i}(x) dx = m_{i}, i = 0, \dots, n,$
- (c)  $\int_{\mathcal{S}} f^2(x) dx$  is finite.

Then there is a measurable function g(x) with these properties, uniquely defined almost everywhere on S, such that  $\int_S g^2(x) dx$  achieves the g. l. b. of  $\int_S f^2(x) dx$  taken over the class of functions with properties (a), (b), and (c). Further, g(x) is equal to a certain linear combination L(x) of  $h_0(x), \dots, h_n(x)$  wherever L(x) is nonnegative, and g(x) is equal to zero wherever L(x) is negative.

**PROOF.** Exactly the same as in Section 2, except that the orthonormal sequence of functions starts with linear combinations of  $h_0(x)$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $h_n(x)$  instead of linear combinations of  $x^0$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $x^n$ .

#### REFERENCES

- N. I. Achieser and M. Krein, On Certain Problems in the Theory of Moments, Kharkov, 1938.
- [2] L. M. Graves, The Theory of Functions of Real Variables, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1946.