

ON THE KOLMOGOROV AND SMIRNOV LIMIT THEOREMS FOR DISCONTINUOUS DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS

BY PAUL SCHMID

Swiss Forest Research Institute and Federal Institute of Technology

1. Introduction. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N be N independent random variables with the same distribution function $F(x)$. $S_N(x)$ is the empirical distribution function, i.e., $S_N(x) = k/N$ if exactly k of the N values X_i are less than or equal to x . It is of theoretical and practical interest to analyze the behavior of the statistics

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |S_N(x) - F(x)| \cdot N^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} (S_N(x) - F(x)) \cdot N^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Kolmogorov [12] proved in a famous paper in 1933 that for $\lambda > 0$

$$\text{I} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P\left[\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |S_N(x) - F(x)| \cdot N^{\frac{1}{2}} < \lambda \right] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k e^{-2\lambda^2 k^2}$$

if $F(x)$ is a continuous distribution function. Smirnov [21] obtained a similar result in 1939, when he showed that

$$\text{II} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P\left[\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} (S_N(x) - F(x)) \cdot N^{\frac{1}{2}} < \lambda \right] = 1 - e^{-2\lambda^2}$$

holds for continuous distribution functions $F(x)$.

Kolmogorov converts in his proof to a generalization of the Central Limit theorem, whereas Smirnov's theorem was a corollary to a more intricate theorem. But the two formulae can be proved by reciprocal methods. They have also been proved by Feller [11] and by Doob [10] and Donsker [9]. Feller made use of characteristic functions and Doob employed stochastic processes. Smirnov [22] found in 1944 the first terms of the asymptotic expansion for the probability in II and an exact formula for finite N . Chung [7] and Blackman [5], [6] were successful in finding the asymptotic expansion for the probability in I.

A somewhat more general form of the statistics, namely

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |S_N(x) - F(x)| N^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \varphi(F(x)),$$

where $\varphi(y)$ is a positive definite weight function, was discussed by Anderson and Darling [1]. They found the limit distributions for some special weight functions,

Received October 11, 1957; revised June 20, 1958.

by means of stochastic processes. Similar results were obtained by Maniya [16] and Malmquist [15]. Rényi [19], in 1953, established the relations

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\sup_{a \leq F(x)} \left| \frac{S_N(x) - F(x)}{F(x)} \right| \cdot N^{\frac{1}{2}} < \lambda \right]$$

III

$$= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{(2k+1)^2 \pi^2}{8} \frac{1-a}{a\lambda^2} \right]}{2k+1}$$

and

$$\text{IV} \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\sup_{a \leq F(x)} \left(\frac{S_N(x) - F(x)}{F(x)} \right) N^{\frac{1}{2}} < \lambda \right] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_0^{\lambda^{1/2} |a/(1-a)|^{1/2}} e^{-t^2/2} dt,$$

where $F(x)$ is a continuous distribution function, $a > 0$, $\lambda > 0$.

The statistics treated here are well suited to test if a sample comes from a population with the distribution function $F(x)$. These test functions have the great advantage in that their distributions are independent of the distribution $F(x)$ of the population. Massey [17], Birnbaum [2], and Malmquist [15] investigated the power of the statistics of Kolmogorov and Smirnov. The limit distributions of these statistics have been tabulated by Smirnov [23], and the distribution for finite N by Massey [18], Birnbaum and Tingey [3], [4]. Rényi tabulated his own limit distributions. Hence, today it is practicable to use these statistics.

In this paper Theorems I through IV are extended for the case of discontinuous distribution functions $F(x)$. The probabilities in question converge also in this case, but the limit distributions are no longer independent of $F(x)$. They depend on the values of $F(x)$ at the discontinuity points, but not on the form of the function between the points of discontinuity. Theorems 1 and 2 are proved by a generalization of the method of Kolmogorov. They can also be proved with the help of stochastic processes, as Doob did it for the case of continuous $F(x)$. We bypass representation of this method since it involves techniques similar to those of Anderson and Darling. The proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 follow in part the methods applied by Rényi, but also make use of the generalization by Kolmogorov of the Central Limit theorem. A part of these results has already been published [20].

I should like to thank W. Saxer for suggesting this topic.

2. Extension of the limit theorems of Kolmogorov and Smirnov. Let $F(x)$ be a distribution function continuous for $x \neq x_\nu$, where $F(x_\nu - 0) = f_{2\nu-1}$, $F(x_\nu) = f_{2\nu}$, for $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $f_{2n+1} = 1$. Denote the corresponding empirical distribution function by $S_N(x)$.

THEOREM 1. *If $\lambda > 0$, then*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |S_N(x) - F(x)| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \Phi(\lambda),$$

$$(2) \quad \Phi(\lambda) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k e^{-2\lambda^2 k^2} c \int \cdots \int_{G_k} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \Lambda_{ij} x_i x_j \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_{2n},$$

where

$$\Lambda_{jj} = \frac{f_{j+1} - f_{j-1}}{(f_{j+1} - f_j)(f_j - f_{j-1})}, \quad \Lambda_{jj-1} = \Lambda_{j-1j} = \frac{-1}{f_j - f_{j-1}},$$

$$\Lambda_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for } i < j - 1 \text{ or } i > j + 1,$$

$$c = (2\pi)^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (f_j - f_{j-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

and

$$G_k = \bigcup_{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n = -\infty}^{+\infty} \{ -\lambda < x_{2\nu-1} + 2\lambda(p_\nu + kf_{2\nu-1}) < \lambda, \\ -\lambda < x_{2\nu} + 2\lambda(p_\nu + kf_{2\nu}) < \lambda, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

THEOREM 2. If $\lambda > 0$, then

$$(3) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P[\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} (S_N(x) - F(x)) < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}}] = \Phi^+(\lambda),$$

$$(4) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P[\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} (F(x) - S_N(x)) < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}}] = \Phi^+(\lambda),$$

$$(5) \quad \Phi^+(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^1 (-1)^k e^{-2\lambda^2 k^2} c \int \dots \int_{\sigma_k^+} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \Lambda_{ij} x_i x_j \right] dx_1 \dots dx_{2n},$$

where

$$G_k^+ = \bigcup_{p_1, \dots, p_n = 0}^1 \{ -\infty < (-1)^{p_\nu} (x_{2\nu-1} + 2\lambda k \cdot f_{2\nu-1}) + 2\lambda p_\nu < \lambda, \\ -\infty < (-1)^{p_\nu} (x_{2\nu} + 2\lambda k f_{2\nu}) + 2\lambda p_\nu < \lambda, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

For $\lambda \leq 0$ all limits are 0. The convergence is uniform in λ in all cases.

If the number of jumps of $F(x)$ is countably infinite, a further limit process has to be made in which at first only the highest jumps of $F(x)$ are taken into account. The two limit processes can be interchanged, because $\Phi(\lambda)$ and $\Phi^+(\lambda)$ are continuous functions of the values of $F(x)$ at the points of discontinuity. Hence further difficulties do not arise in this, the most general case. We will prove Theorem 1 for the case of a distribution function for which the inequalities

$$f_{2\nu+1} > f_{2\nu}, \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

are valid. The results must then hold for any distribution function with n jumps, because both sides of (1) depend continuously on the f 's.

If the random variable X has the distribution function $F(x)$, then $Y = F(X)$ is also a random variable, the distribution of which has to fulfill

$$P[F(X) \leq 0] = 0, \quad P[F(X) \geq 1] = 0, \quad P[f_{2\nu-1} \leq F(X) < f_{2\nu}] = 0$$

and, for $f_{2\nu} \leq y \leq f_{2\nu+1}$,

$$P[F(X) \leq y] = P[X \leq F^{-1}(y)] = F(F^{-1}(y)) = y.$$

Furthermore, since

$$P[F(X) = f_{2\nu}] = P[X = x_\nu] = f_{2\nu} - f_{2\nu-1},$$

Y will have the distribution function

$$(6) \quad F^0(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } y \leq 0, \\ y, & \text{for } f_{2\nu} \leq y \leq f_{2\nu+1}, \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, n, \\ f_{2\nu-1}, & \text{for } f_{2\nu-1} \leq y < f_{2\nu}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ 1, & \text{for } y \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $S_N^0(y)$ be the empirical distribution function corresponding to $F^0(y)$. Then we have, for $f_{2\nu} \leq F(x) \leq f_{2\nu+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_N^0(F(x)) &= \frac{1}{N} (\text{Number of } F(X_i), F(X_i) \leq F(x)) \\ &= \frac{1}{N} (\text{Number of } X_i, X_i \leq x) = S_N(x) \end{aligned}$$

and $F^0(F(x)) = F(x)$. Hence

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |S_N(x) - F(x)| = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |S_N^0(x) - F^0(x)|,$$

because the other values of $F(x)$ cannot be attained. If we denote by I the union of the closed intervals $[f_{2\nu}, f_{2\nu+1}]$, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we obtain

$$(7) \quad P\left[\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |S_N(x) - F(x)| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right] = P\left[\sup_{x \in I} |S_N^0(x) - x| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right].$$

Denote by M_N the set of integers j such that $j/N \in I$,

$$(8) \quad M_N = \{k_0 = 0, 1, \dots, k_1; k_2, k_2 + 1, \dots, k_3; \dots; k_{2n}, k_{2n} + 1, \dots, k_{2n+1} = N\}.$$

The k_i are defined such that $k_i/N \rightarrow f_i$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. We wish to analyze the behavior of

$$(9) \quad P\left[\max_{j \in M_N} \left|S_N^0\left(\frac{j}{N}\right) - \frac{j}{N}\right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right]$$

when $N \rightarrow \infty$.

The event ε_{ik} , $k \in M_N$, happens if simultaneously all inequalities

$$\left|S_N^0\left(\frac{j}{N}\right) - \frac{j}{N}\right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \text{for } j \leq k, \quad j \in M_N,$$

and the equality

$$S_N^0\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) - \frac{k}{N} = \frac{i}{N}$$

are fulfilled. P_{ik} is the probability of ε_{ik} . P_{0N} is equal to the probability in (9).

We can calculate the P_{ik} recursively by means of the initial conditions $P_{00} = 1$, $P_{i0} = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, and the equations

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{i, k+1} &= \sum_j P_{jk} P[\mathcal{E}_{i, k+1} | \mathcal{E}_{jk}] \\ &= \sum_{|j| < \lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} P_{jk} P \left[S_N^0 \left(\frac{k+1}{N} \right) - S_N^0 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right) \right. \\ &= \left. \frac{i-j+1}{N} \mid S_N^0 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right) = \frac{k+j}{N} \right], \end{aligned}$$

for $k \in M_N$, $k+1 \in M_N$, and

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{i, k_{2\nu}} &= \sum_j P_{j, k_{2\nu-1}} P[\mathcal{E}_{i, k_{2\nu}} | \mathcal{E}_{j, k_{2\nu-1}}] \\ &= \sum_{|j| < \lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} P_{j, k_{2\nu-1}} P \left[S_N^0 \left(\frac{k_{2\nu}}{N} \right) - S_N^0 \left(\frac{k_{2\nu-1}}{N} \right) \right. \\ &= \left. \frac{i-j+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}{N} \mid S_N^0 \left(\frac{k_{2\nu-1}}{N} \right) = \frac{j+k_{2\nu-1}}{N} \right], \end{aligned}$$

for $\nu = 1, \dots, n$.

The occurring conditional probabilities give

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} P \left[S_N^0 \left(\frac{k+1}{N} \right) - S_N^0 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right) = \frac{i-j+1}{N} \mid S_N^0 \left(\frac{k}{N} \right) = \frac{k+j}{N} \right] \\ = \binom{N-k-j}{i-j+1} \left(\frac{1}{N-k} \right)^{i-j+1} \left(\frac{N-k-1}{N-k} \right)^{N-k-i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k \in M_N$, $k+1 \in M_N$, and

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} P \left[S_N^0 \left(\frac{k_{2\nu}}{N} \right) - S_N^0 \left(\frac{k_{2\nu-1}}{N} \right) = \frac{i-j+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}{N} \mid S_N^0 \left(\frac{k_{2\nu-1}}{N} \right) = \frac{k_{2\nu-1}+j}{N} \right] \\ = \binom{N-k_{2\nu-1}-j}{i-j+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}} \left(\frac{k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}{N-k_{2\nu-1}} \right)^{i-j+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}} \left(\frac{N-k_{2\nu}}{N-k_{2\nu-1}} \right)^{N-k_{2\nu}-i}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\nu = 1, \dots, n$, according to the laws of the binomial distribution.

The recursion formulae can be simplified if we introduce the new terms

$$(14) \quad Q_{ik} = \frac{N^N (N-k-i)!}{N! (N-k)^{N-k-i} e^k} P_{ik}.$$

Now we have

$$Q_{00} = 1; \quad Q_{i0} = 0, \text{ for } i \neq 0; \quad Q_{ik} = 0, \text{ for } |i| \geq \lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$Q_{i, k+1} = \sum_{|j| < \lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q_{jk} \frac{1}{(i-j+1)!} e^{-1},$$

for $k \in M_N$ and $k+1 \in M_N$; $|i| < \lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}$,

$$(15) \quad Q_{i, k_{2\nu}} = \sum_{|j| < \lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q_{j, k_{2\nu-1}} \frac{(k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1})^{i-k+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}}{(i-j+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1})! e^{k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}},$$

for $\nu = 1, \dots, n$, and for the probability (9) we obtain

$$(16) \quad P_{0N} = \frac{N! e^N}{N^N} Q_{0N}.$$

For finite N , P_{0N} is evaluable, but as $N \rightarrow \infty$ the number of necessary recursion steps tends to infinity.

Let $Y_j, j \in M_N$, be independent random variables with the distributions

$$(17) \quad P \left[Y_j = \frac{i-1}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] = \frac{1}{i! e}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots; j \neq k_{2\nu},$$

$$(18) \quad P \left[Y_{k_{2\nu}} = \frac{i - k_{2\nu} + k_{2\nu-1}}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] = \frac{(k_{2\nu} - k_{2\nu-1})^i}{i! e^{k_{2\nu} - k_{2\nu-1}}}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then

$$E(Y_j) = 0,$$

$$E(Y_j^2) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 N}, \quad j \neq k_{2\nu}; \quad E(Y_{k_{2\nu}}^2) = \frac{k_{2\nu} - k_{2\nu-1}}{\lambda^2 N},$$

$$E(|Y_j|^3) = \left(1 + \frac{2}{e}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda^3 N^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \quad j \neq k_{2\nu}; \quad E(|Y_{k_{2\nu}}|^3) \sim \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} \frac{(k_{2\nu} - k_{2\nu-1})^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\lambda^3 N^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

The event $\mathfrak{D}_{ik}, k \in M_N$, take place if the inequalities

$$\left| \sum_{i \leq j} Y_i \right| < 1$$

for all $j \leq k$ and the equality

$$\sum_{i \leq k} Y_i = \frac{i}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

are simultaneously fulfilled. The probability of \mathfrak{D}_{ik} is $R_{ik}, R_{00} = 1, R_{i0} = 0$ for $i \neq 0$.

We can easily verify that the recursion formulae for the R_{ik} are the same as for the Q_{ik} . Therefore,

$$(19) \quad R_{ik} = Q_{ik},$$

for all i and k . For the probability in (9) we obtain

$$(20) \quad P_{0N} = \frac{N! e^N}{N^N} R_{0N}.$$

We can evaluate R_{0N} in $2n + 1$ recursion steps from

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{00} &= 1, \quad R_{i0} = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq 0, \\ R_{ik_{2\nu+1}} &= \sum_{|j| < \lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} R_{jk_{2\nu}} P[\mathfrak{D}_{ik_{2\nu+1}} | \mathfrak{D}_{jk_{2\nu}}], \quad \nu = 0, \dots, n, \\ R_{ik_{2\nu}} &= \sum_{|j| < \lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} R_{jk_{2\nu-1}} \frac{(k_{2\nu} - k_{2\nu-1})^{i-j+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}}{(i-j+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1})! e^{k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}}, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

These conditional probabilities can be written in the form

$$(22) \quad P[\mathcal{D}_{ik_{2\nu+1}} | \mathcal{D}_{jk_{2\nu}}] = P \left[-1 - \frac{j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \sum_{r=k_{2\nu+1}}^l Y_r < 1 - \frac{j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \right. \\ \left. l = k_{2\nu} + 1, \dots, k_{2\nu+1}; \sum_{r=k_{2\nu+1}}^{k_{2\nu+1}} Y_r = \frac{i-j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right],$$

and their limits for $N \rightarrow \infty$ can be obtained by the following lemma of Kolmogorov.

LEMMA, [12]. Let Y_{M1}, \dots, Y_{Mm_M} be, for each M , independent random variables, whose values are multiples of $\epsilon = \epsilon(M)$, with

$$E(Y_{Mj}) = 0, \quad E(Y_{Mj}^2) = 2b_{Mj}, \quad E(|Y_{Mj}|^3) = d_{Mj}.$$

Let a and b be two numbers such that $a < 0$ and $b > 0$. Assume the existence of positive numbers A, \dots, E , such that, for all M , the inequalities (i) through (iv) are fulfilled:

- (i) $A < \sum_{j=1}^{m_M} b_{Mj} < B$,
- (ii) $\frac{d_{Mj}}{b_{Mj}} < C\epsilon$, for all j ,
- (iii) $P[Y_{Mj} = l_{Mj}\epsilon] > D$ and $P[Y_{Mj} = (l_{Mj} + 1)\epsilon] > D$ for all j and suitably chosen l_{Mj} ,
- (iv) $a + E < i_M \epsilon < b - E$.

Then

$$P \left[a < \sum_{k=1}^j Y_{Mk} < b, j = 1, 2, \dots, m_M; \sum_{k=1}^{m_M} Y_{Mk} = i_M \epsilon \right] \\ = \epsilon \left(u \left(0, 0, i_M \epsilon, 2 \sum_{k=1}^{m_M} b_{Mk} \right) + \Delta \right),$$

where $u(\sigma, \tau, s, t)$ is Green's function for the heat equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial s^2}$$

in the region G ,

$$G = \{a < s < b, t > 0\}.$$

If $\epsilon(M) \rightarrow 0$, then $\Delta \rightarrow 0$.

This lemma can be applied to the random variables $Y_{k_{2\nu+1}}, Y_{k_{2\nu+2}}, \dots, Y_{k_{2\nu+1}}$. It should be noticed that the variables $Y_{k_{2\nu}}$ do not fulfill condition (ii) and hence must be treated independently. Our recursion formulae are now

$$(23) \quad R_{00} = 1, \quad R_{i0} = 0, \quad i \neq 0, \\ R_{ik_{2\nu+1}} = \sum_{|j| < \lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} R_{jk_{2\nu}} \frac{1}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(u_j \left(0, 0; \frac{i-j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \frac{k_{2\nu+1} - k_{2\nu}}{2\lambda^2 N} \right) + \Delta \right), \\ \nu = 0, \dots, n, \\ R_{ik_{2\nu}} = \sum_{|j| < \lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} R_{jk_{2\nu-1}} \frac{(k_{2\nu} - k_{2\nu-1})^{i-j+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}}{(i-j+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1})! e^{k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}}, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, n,$$

where $u_j(\sigma, \tau; s, t)$ is Green's function for the heat equation in the region G_j ,

$$G_j = \left\{ -1 - \frac{j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} < s < 1 - \frac{j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}, t > 0 \right\},$$

or

$$(24) \quad u_j(\sigma, \tau; s, t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(t-\tau)}} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^l \cdot \exp \left[- \frac{\left(s + \frac{j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} - (-1)^l \left(\sigma + \frac{j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) - 2l \right)^2}{4(t-\tau)} \right].$$

If N tends to infinity, the Δ 's disappear and the sums over j go over into integrals, with the exception of the sum in the first step which consists of only one summand,

$$R_{jk_1} = \frac{1}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(u \left(0, 0; \frac{j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \frac{k_1}{2\lambda^2 N} \right) + \Delta \right).$$

With this exception all sums tend to finite positive limits. The factor in (20), multiplied by $N^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ also tends to a finite limit, namely

$$N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{N! e^N}{N^N} \sim \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

For $r \cdot N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow x$, we obtain

$$\frac{N^{\frac{1}{2}}(k_{2\nu} - k_{2\nu-1})^{r+k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}}{(r+k_{2\nu} - k_{2\nu-1})! e^{k_{2\nu}-k_{2\nu-1}}} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(f_{2\nu} - f_{2\nu-1})}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{f_{2\nu} - f_{2\nu-1}} \right].$$

Finally we have

$$(25) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\max_{j \in M_N} \left| S_N^0 \left(\frac{j}{N} \right) - \frac{j}{N} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ = \sum_{i_0, j_1, \dots, j_n = -\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^{\sum_{i=0}^n i} (2\pi)^{-n} \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (f_j - f_{j-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \cdot \int_{-\lambda < x_j < \lambda} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{(x_{2\nu} - x_{2\nu-1})^2}{f_{2\nu} - f_{2\nu-1}} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{(x_{2\nu+1} - (-1)^{j_\nu} x_{2\nu} - 2\lambda j_\nu)^2}{f_{2\nu+1} - f_{2\nu}} \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_{2n},$$

where x_0 and x_{2n+1} should be replaced by 0. This expression is $\Phi(\lambda)$.

Let us now prove that for those values of λ and sequences of N for which $\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are integers,

$$(26) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P[\sup_{x \in I} |S_N^0(x) - x| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}}] = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\max_{j \in M_N} \left| S_N^0 \left(\frac{j}{N} \right) - \frac{j}{N} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

must be true.

To each $x \in I$ there exists a $j \in M_N$ such that either $x = j/N$ or $x = j/N + \epsilon$ with $0 < \epsilon < 1/N$. Set $S_N^0(x) = i/N$. From

$$S_N^0(x) - x = \frac{i - j}{N} - \epsilon \geq \frac{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N}$$

follows, for $\epsilon > 0$,

$$S_N^0\left(\frac{j+1}{N}\right) - \frac{j+1}{N} \geq S_N^0(x) - \frac{j+1}{N} = \frac{i - j - 1}{N} \geq \frac{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N},$$

because the value to the right is a multiple of $1/N$. From

$$S_N^0(x) - x = \frac{i - j}{N} - \epsilon \leq -\frac{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N}$$

follows analogously

$$S_N^0\left(\frac{j}{N}\right) - \frac{j}{N} \leq S_N^0(x) - \frac{j}{N} = \frac{i - j}{N} \leq -\frac{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N}.$$

The second probability in (26) cannot be smaller than the first one and the limit of the second probability depends continuously on the endpoints of the intervals of I . Therefore the two limits have to be equal. The convergence must be uniform in λ , since $\Phi(\lambda)$ is a bounded and continuous function. Hence

$$P \left[\sup_{x \in I} |S_N^0(x) - x| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$

tends to $\Phi(\lambda)$ for all λ and all sequences of N . In view of (7), this proves Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 can be proved in a similar manner. We now disregard the absolute value signs in the definition of \mathcal{E}_{ik} and \mathcal{D}_{ik} . The summations in (10), (11), (15), (21) and (23) go from $-\infty$ to $\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the lower boundaries for the partial sums in (22) are omitted. Green's function for the heat equation in the region G_j^+ ,

$$G_j^+ = \left\{ s < 1 - \frac{j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}, t > 0 \right\},$$

is now

$$u_j^+(\sigma, \tau; s, t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(t-\tau)}} \sum_{l=0}^1 (-1)^l \cdot \exp \left[\frac{-\left(s + \frac{j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}} - (-1)^l \left(\sigma + \frac{j}{\lambda N^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) - 2l\right)^2}{4(t-\tau)} \right].$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\max_{j \in M_N} \left(S_N^0\left(\frac{j}{N}\right) - \frac{j}{N} \right) < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ = (2\pi)^{-n} \prod_{l=1}^{2n+1} (f_l - f_{l-1})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_n=0}^1 (-1)^{2_{i_0-0}^{i_1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\int \cdots \int_{x_j < \lambda} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{(x_{2\nu} - x_{2\nu-1})^2}{f_{2\nu} - f_{2\nu-1}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{(x_{2\nu+1} - (-1)^\nu x_{2\nu} - 2\lambda j_\nu)^2}{f_{2\nu+1} - f_{2\nu}} \right] dx_1 \cdots dx_{2n},$$

where again x_0 and x_{2n+1} are 0. This proves Theorem 2.

3. Extension of the limit theorems of Rényi. Let $F(x)$ be a continuous function for $x \neq x_\nu$, with $F(x_\nu - 0) = f_{2\nu-1}$ and $F(x_\nu) = f_{2\nu}$, for $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $f_{2n+1} = 1$. Let f_0 be a positive number such that $f_0 \leq f_1$. If $f_0 > f_1$, then we get the same results except that only the $f_i \geq f_0$ will appear. Denote the empirical distribution function by $S_N(x)$.

THEOREM 3. *If $\lambda > 0$, then*

$$(27) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\sup_{f_0 \leq F(x)} \left| \frac{S_N(x) - F(x)}{F(x)} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \Psi(\lambda),$$

$$(28) \quad \Psi(\lambda) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^k d \int \cdots \int_{H_k} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{2n} \Lambda_{ij} x_i x_j \right] dx_0 \cdots dx_{2n},$$

where

$$\Lambda_{jj} = \frac{(f_{j+1} - f_{j-1})f_j^2}{(f_{j+1} - f_j)(f_j - f_{j-1})}, \Lambda_{j-1j} = \Lambda_{jj-1} = \frac{-f_j f_{j-1}}{(f_j - f_{j-1})},$$

$$\Lambda_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for } i < j - 1 \text{ or } i > j + 1,$$

$$d = (2\pi)^{-n-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{2n} (f_{j+1} - f_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (f_{j+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} f_j^{\frac{1}{2}}),$$

and

$$H_k = \bigcup_{p_1, \dots, p_n = -\infty}^{+\infty} \{ -\lambda < (-1)^k x_0 + 2\lambda k < \lambda; -\lambda < (-1)^{p_\nu} x_{2\nu-1} + 2\lambda p_\nu < \lambda, \\ -\lambda < (-1)^{p_\nu} x_{2\nu} + 2\lambda p_\nu < \lambda, \nu = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

THEOREM 4. *If $\lambda > 0$, then*

$$(29) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\sup_{f_0 \leq F(x)} \frac{S_N(x) - F(x)}{F(x)} < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \Psi^+(\lambda),$$

$$(30) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\sup_{f_0 \leq F(x)} \frac{F(x) - S_N(x)}{F(x)} < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \Psi^+(\lambda),$$

$$(31) \quad \Psi^+(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^1 (-1)^k d \int \cdots \int_{H_k^+} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \Lambda_{ij} x_i x_j \right] dx_0 \cdots dx_{2n},$$

where

$$H_k^+ = \bigcup_{p_1, \dots, p_n = 0}^1 \{ -\infty < (-1)^k x_0 + 2\lambda k < \lambda;$$

$$-\infty < (-1)^{p_\nu} x_{2\nu-1} + 2\lambda p_\nu < \lambda, -\infty < (-1)^{p_\nu} x_{2\nu} + 2\lambda p_\nu < \lambda, \nu = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

The convergence is in both theorems uniform in λ and for $\lambda \leq 0$ all limits are 0. These theorems can also be extended for distribution functions with infinitely many points of discontinuity.

We introduce again the random variable $Y = F(X)$ with the distribution function $F^0(x)$ and the set I as the union of the intervals $[f_{2\nu}, f_{2\nu+1}]$, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n$. For any $F(x) \in I$ we have

$$\frac{S_N(x) - F(x)}{F(x)} = \frac{S_N^0(F(x)) - F^0(F(x))}{F^0(F(x))},$$

and therefore

$$\sup_{F(x) \geq f_0} \left| \frac{S_N(x) - F(x)}{F(x)} \right| = \sup_{x \in I} \left| \frac{S_N^0(x) - x}{x} \right|.$$

Let $R_N(x)$ be the empirical distribution function of a sample Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_N from a population with the distribution

$$(32) \quad P[Z \leq x] = x, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

then

$$(33) \quad P \left[\sup_{x \in I} \left| \frac{S_N^0(x) - x}{x} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = P \left[\sup_{x \in I} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right],$$

since the distributions of the two populations coincide for $x \in I$. Thus,

$$(34) \quad P \left[\sup_{F(x) \geq f_0} \left| \frac{S_N(x) - F(x)}{F(x)} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = P \left[\sup_{x \in I} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right].$$

The set I_ϵ is defined as the union of the intervals $[f_{2\nu} - \epsilon, f_{2\nu+1} + \epsilon]$, $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n$, for $\epsilon > 0$. If $|R_N(x) - x| \leq \epsilon$, then

$$\sup_{R_N(x) \in I} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| \leq \sup_{x \in I_\epsilon} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right|,$$

since $R_N(x) \in I$ implies that $x \in I_\epsilon$. We see that

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} P \left[\sup_{x \in I_\epsilon} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ \leq P[|R_N(x) - x| > \epsilon] + P \left[\sup_{R_N(x) \in I} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar procedure we have

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} P \left[\sup_{R_N(x) \in I_{2\epsilon}} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\ \leq P[|R_N(x) - x| > \epsilon] + P \left[\sup_{x \in I_\epsilon} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is sufficient to prove

$$(37) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\sup_{R_N(x) \in I} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \Psi(\lambda)$$

since the probability

$$P[|R_N(x) - x| > \epsilon]$$

tends to 0 as $N \rightarrow \infty$. The function $\Psi(\lambda)$ is continuously dependent on the boundaries of I . Therefore, from (35), (36) and (37) we get

$$(38) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\sup_{x \in I} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \Psi(\lambda)$$

and from (34) follows the statement of Theorem 3.

We arrange the numbers Z_1, \dots, Z_N of the sample according to their values and denote by Z_k^* the one for which there are exactly $k - 1$ smaller numbers in the sample. The probability of ties is 0 since (33) is a continuous distribution function. $R_N(x)$ is equal to k/N in $Z_k^* \leq x \leq Z_{k+1}^*$. In this interval

$$\sup_{Z_k^* \leq x < Z_{k+1}^*} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| = \max \left\{ \left| \frac{k/N}{Z_k^*} - 1 \right|, \left| \frac{k/N}{Z_{k+1}^*} - 1 \right| \right\}.$$

For $Z_{k+1}^* \geq k/N$

$$\left| \frac{k/N}{Z_{k+1}^*} - 1 \right| \leq \left| \frac{(k+1)/N}{Z_{k+1}^*} - 1 \right| + \frac{1}{f_0 N}$$

since $k/N \geq f_0$ implies that $Z_{k+1}^* \geq f_0$. For $Z_{k+1}^* < k/N$

$$\left| \frac{k/N}{Z_{k+1}^*} - 1 \right| \leq \left| \frac{(k+1)/N}{Z_{k+1}^*} - 1 \right|.$$

Therefore,

$$\max_{k/N \in I_{1/N}} \left| \frac{k/N}{Z_k^*} - 1 \right| \leq \sup_{R_N(x) \in I_{1/N}} \left| \frac{R_N(x) - x}{x} \right| \leq \max_{k/N \in I_{2/N}} \left| \frac{k/N}{Z_k^*} - 1 \right| + \frac{1}{f_0 N}$$

and (37) is equivalent to

$$(39) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\max_{k/N \in I_{1/N}} \left| \frac{k/N}{Z_k^*} - 1 \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \Psi(\lambda).$$

We can write this equation as

$$(40) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\max_{k/N \in I_{1/N}} \left| \ln \left(\frac{k/N}{Z_k^*} \right) \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \Psi(\lambda)$$

or, since $\log n - \sum_{r=1}^n 1/r \rightarrow c$,

$$(41) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\max_{k/N \in I_{1/N}} \left| \ln \frac{1}{Z_k^*} - \sum_{l=k}^n \frac{1}{l} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = \Psi(\lambda).$$

The random variables $\ln(1/Z_k^*)$ are not independent since they fulfill the inequalities

$$\ln \left(\frac{1}{Z_N^*} \right) < \ln \left(\frac{1}{Z_{N-1}^*} \right) < \dots < \ln \left(\frac{1}{Z_1^*} \right).$$

However, they do form an additive Markov chain (cf [24]), i.e., their differences

$$\ln \left(\frac{1}{Z_{k-1}^*} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{1}{Z_k^*} \right)$$

are mutually independent. The variables

$$U_l = (N + 1 - l) \left(\ln \frac{1}{Z_{N+1-l}^*} - \ln \frac{1}{Z_{N+2-l}^*} \right), \quad l = 1, \dots, N,$$

have the distribution

$$P[U_l \leq x] = 1 - e^{-x}, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty.$$

On the other hand we obtain

$$\ln \left(\frac{1}{Z_k^*} \right) = \sum_{l=1}^{N+1-k} \frac{U_l}{N + 1 - l}$$

and

$$\ln \left(\frac{1}{Z_k^*} \right) - \sum_{l=k}^N \frac{1}{l} = \sum_{l=1}^{N+1-k} \frac{U_l - 1}{N + 1 - l}.$$

Some moments of the variables

$$V_l = N^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{U_l - 1}{N + 1 - l}$$

are

$$E(V_l) = 0, \quad E(V_l^2) = \frac{N}{(N + 1 - l)^2}, \quad E(|V_l|^3) = \left(\frac{12}{e} - 2 \right) \frac{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(N + 1 - l)^3}.$$

Let the set of integers j , for which $(N + 1 - j)/N \in I_{1/N}$, be

$$\{j_0 = 0, 1, \dots, j_1; j_2, j_2 + 1, \dots, j_3; \dots; j_{2n}, j_{2n} + 1, \dots, j_{2n} + 1\}.$$

The j_i are defined such that $j_i/N \rightarrow 1 - f_{2n+1-i}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n + 1$.

According to well-known rules for conditional distributions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P \left[\max_{k/N \in I_{1/N}} \left| \ln \left(\frac{1}{Z_k^*} \right) - \sum_{l=k}^N \frac{1}{l} \right| < \lambda N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] &= P \left[\max_{k/N \in I_{1/N}} \left| \sum_{l=1}^{N+1-k} V_l \right| < \lambda \right] \\ &= \int \dots \int \prod_{\nu=0}^n d_{x_{2\nu}} P \left[\max_{l=j_{2\nu}+1, \dots, j_{2\nu+1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^l V_i \right| < \lambda, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{i=1}^{j_{2\nu+1}} V_i \leq x_{2\nu} \mid \sum_{i \leq j_{2\nu}} V_i = x_{2\nu-1} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \prod_{\nu=1}^n d_{x_{2\nu-1}} P \left[\sum_{i=1}^{j_{2\nu}} V_i \leq x_{2\nu-1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{j_{2\nu-1}} V_i = x_{2\nu-2} \right], \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where $x_{-1} = 0$. The limits of the probabilities which occur in this integral can be calculated by a limit theorem for partial sums of random variables.

LEMMA. (See [13], [14].) Let Y_{M1}, \dots, Y_{Mm_M} be m_M independent random variables with

$$E(Y_{Mk}) = 0, \quad \sum_1^{m_M} E(Y_{Mk}^2) = 2t_M.$$

Assume that for all k

$$(43) \quad \frac{E(|Y_{Mk}|^3)}{E(Y_{Mk}^2)} < \mu(M)$$

where $\mu(M) \rightarrow 0$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$, and let a, b, ξ , and η be any numbers such that $a < 0$, $b > 0$, and $a \leq \xi < \eta \leq b$. Then

$$(44) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} P \left[a < \sum_1^k Y_{Mi} < b, k = 1, \dots, m_M; \xi < \sum_1^{m_M} Y_{Mi} < \eta \right] = u(0, 0),$$

where $u(s, t)$ is the solution of the differential equation

$$(45) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial s^2}$$

for which the boundary conditions

$$(46) \quad \begin{aligned} u(s, T) &= 0, & a < s < \xi, & & \eta < s < b, \\ u(s, T) &= 1, & \xi < s < \eta, & & \\ u(a, t) &= 0, & 0 < t < T, & & \\ u(b, t) &= 0, & 0 < t < T, & & \end{aligned}$$

are fulfilled.

We can apply this lemma to the variables $Y_{j_{2\nu+1}}, Y_{j_{2\nu+2}}, \dots, Y_{j_{2\nu+1}}$, for $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, n$, because these variables satisfy (43), with

$$\mu(N) = \frac{3}{f_0 N^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

The sum of the second moments

$$2t_M^{(2\nu+1)} = \sum_{j_{2\nu+1}}^{j_{2\nu+1}} \frac{N}{(N+1-k)^2} = N \sum_{N+1-j_{2\nu+1}}^N \frac{1}{k^2} - N \sum_{N+1-j_{2\nu}}^N \frac{1}{k^2}$$

tends towards

$$(47) \quad 2T^{(2\nu+1)} = \frac{1 - f_{2n-2\nu}}{f_{2n-2\nu}} - \frac{1 - f_{2n-2\nu+1}}{f_{2n-2\nu+1}} = \frac{f_{2n-2\nu+1} - f_{2n-2\nu}}{f_{2n-2\nu+1} f_{2n-2\nu}},$$

and the boundaries for the partial sums are now

$$(48) \quad \begin{aligned} a &= -\lambda - x_{2\nu-1}, & b &= \lambda - x_{2\nu-1}, & \xi &= -\lambda - x_{2\nu-1}, \\ & & & & & \eta &= x_{2\nu} - x_{2\nu-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{-1} = 0$. The solution of (45), which satisfies the boundary conditions (46), (48), is

$$(49) \quad u(s, t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(T^{(2\nu+1)} - t)}} \int_{-\lambda - x_{2\nu-1}}^{x_{2\nu} - x_{2\nu-1}} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^j \cdot \exp \left[- \frac{(s + x_{2\nu-1} - (-1)^j(x + x_{2\nu-1}) - 2\lambda j)^2}{4(T^{(2\nu+1)} - t)} \right] dx.$$

Hence we have

$$(50) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\max_{l=j_{2\nu}+1, \dots, j_{2\nu+1}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^l V_i \right| < \lambda, \sum_{i=1}^{j_{2\nu}+1} V_i \leq x_{2\nu} \mid \sum_{i \leq j_{2\nu}} V_i = x_{2\nu-1} \right] \\ = - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi T^{(2\nu+1)}}} \int_{-\lambda}^{x_{2\nu}} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} (-1)^j \exp \left[- \frac{(x - (-1)^j x_{2\nu-1} - 2\lambda j)^2}{4T^{(2\nu+1)}} \right] dx.$$

On the other hand, we apply the Central Limit theorem to the variables $V_{j_{2\nu-1}+1}, V_{j_{2\nu-1}+2}, \dots, V_{j_{2\nu}}$, obtaining

$$(51) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\sum_{i=1}^{j_{2\nu}} V_i \leq x_{2\nu-1} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{j_{2\nu}-1} V_i = x_{2\nu-2} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi T^{(2\nu)}}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{2\nu-1} - x_{2\nu-2}} e^{-x^2/4T^{(2\nu)}} dx,$$

where the $T^{(2\nu)}$ are defined in the same way as the $T^{(2\nu+1)}$ in (47).

In view of (42), (50) and (51) it follows that

$$(52) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\max_{k/N \leq l \leq N} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N+1-k} V_i \right| < \lambda \right] = \frac{1}{2^{2n+1} \pi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{2n+1} (T^{(j)})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ \cdot \sum_{p_0, \dots, p_n = -\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{|x_i| < \lambda} \dots \int \exp \left[- \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{(x_{2\nu} - (-1)^{\nu} x_{2\nu-1} - 2\lambda p_{\nu})^2}{4T^{(2\nu+1)}} \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \frac{(x_{2\nu+1} - x_{2\nu})}{4T^{(2\nu+2)}} \right] dx_0 \dots dx_{2n},$$

where $x_{-1} = 0$. This expression is $\Psi(\lambda)$. This proves (37) and consequently Theorem 3.

Theorem 4 can be proved in the same way. In the lemma of Kolmogorov we replace a and ξ by $-\infty$. The solution of the boundary problem is now

$$(53) \quad u(s, t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(T^{(2\nu+1)} - t)}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{2\nu} - x_{2\nu-1}} \sum_{j=0}^1 (-1)^j \cdot \exp \left[- \frac{(s + x_{2\nu-1} - (-1)^j(x + x_{2\nu-1}) - 2\lambda j)^2}{4(T^{(2\nu+1)} - t)} \right] dx,$$

and we obtain

$$(54) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} P \left[\max_{l=j_{2\nu}+1, \dots, j_{2\nu+1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l V_i \right) < \lambda, \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j_{2\nu+1}} V_i \right) \leq x_{2\nu} \mid \left(\sum_{i \leq j_{2\nu}} V_i \right) = x_{2\nu-1} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi T^{(2\nu+1)}}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{2\nu}} \sum_{j=0}^1 (-1)^j \exp \left[-\frac{(x - (-1)^j x_{2\nu-1} - 2\lambda j)^2}{4T^{(2\nu+1)}} \right] dx.$$

From that Theorem 4 follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. W. ANDERSON AND D. A. DARLING, "Asymptotic theory of certain 'goodness of fit' criteria based on stochastic processes," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 23 (1952), pp. 193-212.
- [2] Z. W. BIRNBAUM, "On the power of a one-sided test of fit for continuous probability functions," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 24 (1953), pp. 484-489.
- [3] Z. W. BIRNBAUM, "Numerical tabulation of the distribution of Kolmogorov's statistic for finite sample size," *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 47 (1952), pp. 425-441.
- [4] Z. W. BIRNBAUM AND F. H. TINGEY, "One-sided confidence contours for probability distribution functions," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 22 (1951), pp. 592-596.
- [5] J. BLACKMAN, "An extension of the Kolmogorov distribution," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 27 (1956), pp. 513-520.
- [6] J. BLACKMAN, "Correction to 'An extension of the Kolmogorov distribution'," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 29 (1958), pp. 318-324.
- [7] K. L. CHUNG, "An estimate concerning the Kolmogorov limit distribution," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 67 (1949), pp. 36-50.
- [8] D. A. DARLING, "The Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Cramér-von Mises tests," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 28 (1957), pp. 823-838.
- [9] M. D. DONSKER, "Justification and extension of Doob's heuristic approach to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov theorems," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 23 (1952), pp. 277-281.
- [10] J. L. DOOB, "Heuristic approach to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov theorems," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 20 (1949), pp. 393-403.
- [11] W. FELLER, "On the Kolmogorov-Smirnov theorems for empirical distributions," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 19 (1948), pp. 177-180.
- [12] A. N. KOLMOGOROV, "Sulla determinazione empirica di una legge di distribuzione," *Giorn. Ist. Ital. Attuari*, Vol. 4 (1943), pp. 83-91.
- [13] A. N. KOLMOGOROV, "Eine Verallgemeinerung des Laplace-Liapounoffschen Satzes," *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR*, 1931, pp. 956-962.
- [14] A. N. KOLMOGOROV, "Ueber die Grenzwertsätze der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung," *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR*, 1933, pp. 363-372.
- [15] S. MALMQUIST, "On certain confidence contours for distribution functions," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 25 (1954), pp. 523-533.
- [16] G. M. MANIYA, "Generalization of the criterion of A. N. Kolmogorov," *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR*, Vol. 69 (1949), pp. 495-497. (Russian.)
- [17] F. J. MASSEY, JR., "A note on the power of a non-parametric test," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 21 (1950), pp. 440-443.
- [18] F. J. MASSEY, JR., "A note on the estimation of a distribution function by confidence limits," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 21 (1950), pp. 116-119.
- [19] A. RÉNYI, "On the theory of order statistics," *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, Vol. 4 (1953), pp. 191-231.

- [20] P. SCHMID, "Sur les théorèmes asymptotiques de Kolmogorov et Smirnov pour des fonctions de distributions discontinues," *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Vol. 243 (1956), pp. 349-351.
- [21] N. V. SMIRNOV, "Sur les écarts de la courbe de distribution empirique," *Mat. Sbornik*, Vol. 6 (1939), pp. 3-26. (Russian, French summary.)
- [22] N. V. SMIRNOV, "Approximation of the distribution law of a random variable by empirical data," *Uspyeki Mat. Nauk SSSR*, Vol. 19 (1944), pp. 179-206. (Russian).
- [23] N. V. SMIRNOV, "Table for estimating the goodness of fit of empirical distributions," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, Vol. 19 (1948), pp. 279-281.
- [24] S. S. WILKS, "Order statistics," *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 54 (1948), pp. 6-50.