THE COVARIANCE MATRIX OF A CONTINUOUS AUTOREGRESSIVE VECTOR TIME-SERIES

By Joel N. Franklin

California Institute of Technology

Notation. We shall denote by x^* or A^* the complex conjugate of the transpose of a column-vector x or of a square matrix A. Thus, $y^*x = \sum x_\nu \bar{y}_\nu$ is the scalar product of vectors x and y; but xy^* is a square matrix with components $x_u\bar{y}_\nu(\mu, \nu = 1, \dots, n)$.

THEOREM 1. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix of real or complex constants a_{rs} . Suppose that all of the eigenvalues of A lie in the left half-plane. Let f(t) be an n-component column-vector satisfying

$$Ef(t)f^*(t-\tau) = (Ef_r(t)\bar{f}_s(t-\tau)) = \delta(\tau)C$$

where $\delta(\tau)$ is the delta-function and where C is a positive semi-definite Hermitian matrix. Let x(t) be the stationary stochastic process defined by

(1)
$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t) + f(t) \qquad (-\infty < t < \infty).$$

Then

(2)
$$Ex(t)x^*(t-\tau) = e^{A\tau}M$$

where the $n \times n$ covariance matrix M is uniquely determined by the system of n^2 linear equations in n^2 unknowns

$$-C = AM + MA^*.$$

Proof. The steady-state solution of the differential equation (1) is

$$x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{(t-\lambda)A} f(\lambda) \ d\lambda = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\lambda A} f(t-\lambda) \ d\lambda.$$

Therefore,

$$Ex(t)x^*(t-\tau) = E \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda A} f(t-\lambda) f^*(t-\tau-\mu) e^{\mu A^*} d\lambda d\mu$$
$$= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda A} \delta(\tau+\mu-\lambda) C e^{\mu A^*} d\lambda d\mu$$
$$= \int_0^\infty e^{(\mu+\tau)A} C e^{\mu A^*} d\mu = e^{\tau A} M$$

Received November 19, 1962.

where

(4)
$$M = Ex(t)x^{*}(t) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\mu A} Ce^{\mu A^{*}} d\mu.$$

Let the integrand in (4) be called $Q(\mu)$. Differentiation gives

(5)
$$(d/d\mu)Q(\mu) = AQ(\mu) + Q(\mu)A^*.$$

Since A has all its eigenvalues in the left half-plane, the matrix $Q(\mu)$ tends exponentially to 0 as $\mu \to \infty$. Therefore, we may integrate (5) from 0 to ∞ to obtain

$$-C = AM + MA^*.$$

This is the classical Lyapunov equation of stability-theory. The uniqueness of the solution M for any fixed C is established by the argument that, for any C, Hermitian or non-Hermitian, the n^2 linear equations (6) in n^2 unknowns m_{rs} have a solution given by the convergent integral (4). Since every system (6) has some solution, the solution is unique, by the theory of linear algebraic equations. This completes the proof.

It was necessary to assume that A have its eigenvalues in the left half-plane to insure that the moments be finite and that the process x(t) be stationary. The matrix C is necessarily positive semi-definite because for any vector v we have the quadratic form $v^*Cv = Ea^*a \ge 0$ where a is the random number $a = (\int_0^1 f^*(t) dt)v$.

Theorem 2. Let $\phi(t)$ be the real stochastic process satisfying the differential equation

(7)
$$\phi^{(n)}(t) + a_1 \phi^{(n-1)}(t) + \cdots + a_n \phi(t) = w(t) \qquad (-\infty < t < \infty)$$

where w(t) is real white noise, and where the a_r are real numbers such that all the zeros of the characteristic polynomial $\zeta^n + a_1\zeta^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ lie in the left half-plane. Then for $r, s = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$

(8)
$$E\phi^{(r)}(t)\phi^{(s)}(t) = 0 \qquad (r+s \ odd)$$

$$= (-)^{(s-r)/2} m_{(s+r)/2} \qquad (r+s \ even)$$

where the n numbers m_0 , m_1 , \cdots , m_{n-1} are uniquely determined by the n linear equations

(9)
$$(-)^k \sum_{k/2 \le q \le (n+k)/2} (-)^q a_{n-2q+k} m_q = 0 (k = 0, \dots, n-2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (k = n-1)$$

where we define $a_0 = 1$.

Example. For n = 6 the theorem states that

$$(E\phi^{(r)}\phi^{(s)})_{r,s=0,\cdots,5} = egin{pmatrix} m_0 & 0 & -m_1 & 0 & m_2 & 0 \ 0 & m_1 & 0 & -m_2 & 0 & m_3 \ -m_1 & 0 & m_2 & 0 & -m_3 & 0 \ 0 & -m_2 & 0 & m_3 & 0 & -m_4 \ m_2 & 0 & -m_3 & 0 & m_4 & 0 \ 0 & m_3 & 0 & -m_4 & 0 & m_5 \end{pmatrix}$$

where m_0 , \cdots , m_5 are determined by the linear equations

$$egin{pmatrix} a_6 & -a_4 & a_2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & a_5 & -a_3 & a_1 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & -a_6 & a_4 & -a_2 & 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & -a_5 & a_3 & -a_1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & a_6 & -a_4 & a_2 & -1 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_5 & -a_3 & a_1 \ \end{pmatrix} egin{pmatrix} m_0 \ m_1 \ m_2 \ m_3 \ m_4 \ m_5 \ \end{pmatrix} = egin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ \frac{1}{2} \ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of the Theorem. Define

$$(10) x = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \phi' \\ \vdots \\ \phi^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}, A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -a_n & -a_{n-1} & -a_{n-2} & \cdots & -a_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ w(t) \end{pmatrix}, C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M = (m_{rs}) = (E\phi^{(r)}\phi^{(s)})_{r,s=0,1}, \dots, n-1.$$

Then

$$dx/dt = Ax + f$$
, $Ef(t)f^*(t - \tau) = C\delta(\tau)$, $Exx^* = M$.

By Theorem 1 the real, symmetric matrix M is uniquely determined by the equation $-C = AM + MA^*$, which states in this case:

(11)
$$0 = m_{i+1,j} + m_{i,j+1} \qquad (i, j = 0, \dots, n-2)$$

(12)
$$0 = m_{i+1,n-1} - \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n-\nu} m_{i\nu} \qquad (i = 0, \dots, n-2)$$

(12')
$$0 = m_{n-1,j+1} - \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n-\nu} m_{\nu j} \qquad (j = 0, \dots, n-2)$$

(13)
$$1 = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n-\nu} m_{n-1,\nu} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n-\nu} m_{\nu,n-1}.$$

Equation (11) states that on any south-west to north-east diagonal of the matrix M the components are of equal magnitudes with alternating signs. There-

fore, since M is symmetric, $m_{i,i+1} = -m_{i+1,i} = 0$, so that $m_{rs} = 0$ when r + s is odd. Define $m_r = m_{rr}$. Then

(14)
$$m_{rs} = 0 if r + s is odd = (-)^{(s-r)/2} m_{(r+s)/2} if r + s is even.$$

By the symmetry of M, Equations (12) and (12') are identical. By (14) we have for all $k = 0, \dots, n-1$

(15)
$$\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n-\nu} m_{k\nu} = (-)^k \sum_{k/2 \leq \lambda \leq (n+k-1)/2} (-)^{\lambda} a_{n+k-2\lambda} m_{\lambda}.$$

Furthermore, by (14),

(16)
$$-m_{j+1,n-1} = 0 (j + n \text{ odd})$$
$$= (-)^{(n-j)/2} m_{(n+j)/2} (j + n \text{ even})$$

Therefore, if we define $a_0 = 1$, minus one times Equations (12) and minus one-half times Equation (13) may be written together in the form (9). This completes the proof.

A result equivalent to Theorem 2 was obtained, as the conclusion of a long argument involving the theory of residues, by R. S. Phillips [1] pp. 333–339. A similar derivation was obtained later by R. C. Booton, Jr., M. V. Matthews, and W. W. Seifert [2] pp. 366–371. The inverse of the covariance matrix M was discussed by Parzen [3]. For discrete-parametric autoregressive time-series the inverse of the covariance matrix was computed by M. M. Siddiqui [4].

Theorem 3. Let $\phi(t)$ be the stochastic process satisfying the differential equation

(17)
$$\phi^{(n)}(t) + a_1 \phi^{(n-1)}(t) + \cdots + a_n \phi(t) = w(t) \qquad (-\infty < t < \infty)$$

where w(t) is white noise, and where the a_r are complex numbers such that all the zeros of the characteristic polynomial $\zeta^n + a_1\zeta^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ lie in the left half-plane. Then for $r, s, = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$

(18)
$$E\phi^{(r)}(t)\overline{\phi^{(s)}(t)} = i^{s-r}\mu_{r+s}$$

where μ_0 , μ_1 , \cdots , μ_{2n-2} are real numbers uniquely determined by the 2n-1 real linear equations

(19)
$$\sum_{q=j}^{n+j} \alpha_{jq} \mu_q = 0, \qquad \sum_{q=j}^{n+j} \beta_{jq} \mu_q = 0 \qquad (j=0, \dots, n-2)$$
$$\sum_{q=n-1}^{2n-2} \alpha_{n-1,q} \mu_q = \frac{1}{2} (-)^{n-1}$$

where α_{jq} , β_{jq} are the real numbers given by

$$\alpha_{iq} + i\beta_{iq} = (-i)^q a_{n-q+i}.$$

Proof. For complex coefficients a_{ν} the relations (11)-(13) must be replaced by

$$(21) 0 = m_{r+1,s} + m_{r,s+1} (r, s = 0, \dots, n-2)$$

(22)
$$0 = m_{r+1,n-1} - \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{n-\nu} m_{r\nu} \qquad (r = 0, \dots, n-2)$$

$$(22') 0 = m_{n-1,j+1} - \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n-\nu} m_{\nu j} (j = 0, \dots, n-2)$$

(23)
$$1 = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{n-\nu} m_{n-1,\nu} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n-\nu} m_{\nu,n-1}.$$

Since $m_{rs} = \bar{m}_{sr}$ in the complex case, relations (22) and (22') are equivalent. Since $m_{ss} = E|\phi^{(s)}|^2$, we have $m_{ss} \ge 0$. Therefore, by (21), terms m_{rs} for r+s equal to an even constant are real, of equal magnitudes, and of alternating signs. For r=s Equation (21) states that $m_{r+1,r}+m_{r,r+1}=0$, or, since M is Hermitian, 2 Re $m_{r+1,r}=0$. Therefore, terms m_{rs} for r+s equal to an odd constant are pure imaginary and equal except for alternating signs. In summary, there exist real numbers μ_0 , μ_1 , \dots , μ_{2n-2} such that

$$(24) E\phi^{(r)}\overline{\phi^{(s)}} = m_{rs} = i^{s-r}\mu_{rs}.$$

These real numbers are determined by Equations (22') and (23), which by the identity (24) may be written as

$$-i^{j-n}\mu_{n+j} - \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n-\nu}i^{j-\nu}\mu_{j+\nu} = 0 \qquad (j=0, \dots, n-2)$$
$$2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n-1} a_{n-\nu}i^{n-1-\nu}\mu_{\nu+n-1} = 1.$$

By rearranging the indices and taking real and imaginary parts, we find the required Equations (19).

Theorem 4. For $k=0, \dots, n-1$ let $\psi_k(t)$ be the solution of the initial-value problem

(25)
$$\psi_k^{(n)}(t) + a_1 \psi_k^{(n-1)}(t) + \dots + a_n \psi_k(t) = 0 \qquad (t > 0)$$
$$\psi_k^{(r)}(0) = \delta_{rk} \qquad (r = 0, \dots, n-1).$$

Then under the hypotheses of Theorem 2

$$E\phi^{(r)}(t)\phi^{(s)}(t-\tau) = \sum_{\substack{k=0\\k+s \text{ aven}}}^{n-1} \psi_k^{(r)}(\tau)(-)^{(s-k)/2} m_{(s+k)/2}.$$

More generally, under the hypotheses of Theorem 3,

$$E\phi^{(r)}(t)\overline{\phi^{(s)}(t-\tau)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k^{(r)}(\tau)i^{s-k}\mu_{ks}.$$

PROOF. Let A be defined by (10). The matrix $X=e^{At}$ is defined as the solution of the initial-value problem (d/dt)X(t)=AX(t)(t>0), X(0)=I. Therefore, by (25), $e^{At}=(\psi_s^{(r)}(t))_{r,s=0,\dots,n-1}$. Theorem 4 now follows from formula (2) of Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

- JAMES, H. B., NICHOLS, N. B. and PHILLIPS, R. S. (1947). Theory of Servomechanisms. McGraw Hill, New York.
- [2] NEWTON, G. C., JR., GOULD, L. A. and Kaiser, J. F. (1957). Analytical Design of Linear Feedback Controls. Wiley, New York.
- [3] Parzen, Emanuel (1961). An approach to time series analysis. Ann. Math. Statist. 32 951-989.
- [4] Siddiqui, M. M. (1958). On the inversion of the sample covariance matrix in a stationary autoregressive process. Ann. Math. Statist. 29 585-588.