

THE SAMPLING DISTRIBUTION OF AN ESTIMATOR ARISING IN  
 CONNECTION WITH THE TRUNCATED EXPONENTIAL  
 DISTRIBUTION

BY JAN M. HOEM

*University of Oslo*

**1. Introduction.** Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$  be independent exponentially distributed random variables with  $P\{T_j \leq t\} = 1 - e^{-\lambda t}$  for  $t \geq 0$ , and let  $T_{(1)}, \dots, T_{(N)}$  be the corresponding order statistics. For ease of exposition we shall speak of the  $T_j$  as failure times of  $N$  parallel test items. We consider a situation where observation is truncated at time  $\tau$ .  $D(\tau) = \max\{n: T_{(n)} \leq \tau\}$  is the number of failures observed at or prior to time  $\tau$ .

$$M(\tau) = \sum_{j=1}^{D(\tau)} T_{(j)}$$

is the total time on test until time  $\tau$  for items failing at or prior to time  $\tau$ , and  $L(\tau) = M(\tau) + \tau\{N - D(\tau)\}$  is the total time on test until time  $\tau$ . Then  $\lambda^*(\tau) = D(\tau)/L(\tau)$  is a common estimator for  $\lambda$ . The purpose of the present note is to establish the sampling distribution for  $\lambda^*(\tau)$ .

**2. Previous results.** Sverdrup (1961) gives large sample properties for  $\lambda^*(\tau)$ . Bartholomew (1963) studies (small sample) properties of  $1/\lambda^*(\tau)$  as an estimator for  $\theta = 1/\lambda$ , conditional on  $D(\tau) > 0$ . An interesting application of Bartholomew's result is given by Barlow et al. (1968).

A result closely related to our Theorem 1 is mentioned by Epstein [(1960), pp. 85-86]. Our result (2) was obtained by different methods by Bain and Weeks (1964).

**3. Preliminaries.** (i) If  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  are independent and uniformly distributed over  $[0, a]$ , and if  $Z = \sum_{j=1}^m V_j$ , then  $Z$  has a probability density

$$\phi_m(z, a) = (a^m \Gamma(m))^{-1} \sum_{\nu=0}^m (-1)^\nu \binom{m}{\nu} (z - \nu a)_+^{m-1}$$

for all  $z$ . Here  $x_+^0 = 1$  for  $x > 0$ ,  $x_+^0 = 0$  for  $x \leq 0$ , and  $x_+^n = \{\max(x, 0)\}^n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

(ii) Let  $T$  be distributed as the  $T_j$  and let  $U = T$  for  $T < \tau$ ,  $U = \tau$  for  $T \geq \tau$ . Then  $P\{U \leq u \mid T \leq t\} = b_t(1 - e^{-\lambda u})$  for  $0 \leq u \leq t$ , with  $b_t = (1 - e^{-\lambda t})^{-1}$ , and the corresponding (conditional) density is

$$f_t(u) = b_t \lambda e^{-\lambda u} \quad \text{for } 0 < u < t.$$

(iii) Let  $f_t^{n*}$  be the  $n$ th convolution of  $f_t$  with itself, and let  $F_t^{n*}$  be the corresponding distribution function. A simple induction then shows that

$$(1) \quad f_t^{n*}(u) = b_t^n (\lambda t)^n e^{-\lambda u} \phi_n(u, t).$$

---

Received 10 May 1968; revised 9 September 1968.

(iv) Finally, for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, N$ ,

$$P\{D(\tau) = m\} = \binom{N}{m} (1 - e^{-\lambda\tau})^m e^{-\lambda\tau(N-m)}.$$

#### 4. The sampling distribution.

**THEOREM 1.** Given that  $D(\tau) = m, T_{(1)}, \dots, T_{(m)}$  may be regarded as the order statistics of  $m$  independent variables each of which has the probability density  $f_\tau$ .

The proof is straightforward. An immediate result is

$$(2) \quad P\{M(\tau) \leq u \mid D(\tau) = m\} = F_\tau^{m*}(u) \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

If  $F_i^{0*}(u) = 1$  for  $u \geq 0$ ,  $F_i^{0*}(u) = 0$  otherwise, (2) holds also for  $m = 0$ . Thus  $P\{L(\tau) \leq x \mid D(\tau) = m\} = F_\tau^{m*}\{x - (N - m)\tau\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\lambda^*(\tau) \leq v \mid D(\tau) = m\} &= F_\tau^{0*}(v) && \text{when } m = 0, \\ (3) \quad &= 1 - F_\tau^{m*}\{mv^{-1} - (N - m)\tau\} && \text{when } m = 1, 2, \dots, N \text{ and } v > 0, \\ &\text{and} && \\ &= 0 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

The distribution of  $\lambda^*(\tau)$  is then found to be

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\lambda^*(\tau) \leq v\} &= \sum_{m=0}^N \{1 - F_\tau^{m*}(mv^{-1} - (N - m)\tau)\} \binom{N}{m} \\ (4) \quad &\cdot (1 - e^{-\lambda\tau})^m e^{-\lambda\tau(N-m)} && \text{for } v > 0, \\ &= e^{-\lambda\tau N} && \text{for } v = 0, \quad \text{and} \\ &= 0 && \text{for } v < 0. \end{aligned}$$

We may also easily find expressions for such quantities as  $E(\lambda^*)^k$  by (3), but the formulae are so messy that they probably are of little practical value.

#### REFERENCES

- BAIN, L. J. and WEEKS, D. L. (1964). A note on the truncated exponential distribution. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **35** 1366-1367.
- BARLOW, R. E., MADANSKY, A., PROSCHAN, F. and SCHEUER, E. M. (1968). Statistical estimation procedures for the 'Burn-in' process. *Technometrics* **10** 51-62.
- BARTHOLOMEW, D. J. (1963). The sampling distribution of an estimate arising in life testing. *Technometrics* **5** 361-372.
- EPSTEIN, B. (1960). Test for the validity of the assumption that the underlying distribution of life is exponential. *Technometrics* **2** 83-101 and 167-183.
- SVERDRUP, ERLING (1961). *Statistiske metoder ved dødelighetsundersøkelser*. Institutt for matem. fag, Universitetet i Oslo.