

MARTINGALE EXTENSIONS OF A THEOREM OF MARCINKIEWICZ AND ZYGMUND¹

BY Y. S. CHOW

Purdue University

1. Introduction. Suppose that $(d_n, n \geq 1)$ is an orthonormal sequence of independent random variables and $(a_n, n \geq 1)$ is a sequence of real numbers. Kac and Steinhaus [4] prove that if d_n^2 are uniformly integrable and $\sum a_n d_n$ converges a.s., then $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$. Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [5] improve this result by replacing the uniform integrability of d_n^2 by $E|d_n| \geq \delta > 0$ for every n . Recently Gundy [3] has extended the latter to martingales as follows: Let $(x_n = d_1 + \cdots + d_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ be a martingale with $E(d_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = 1$ a.s. and $E(|d_n| | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \geq \delta$ a.s. for some number $\delta \geq 0$, and let $(\varphi_n, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}, n \geq 1)$ be a stochastic sequence, i.e., φ_n are \mathcal{F}_{n-1} measurable random variables. Then except on a null set, $\sum \varphi_n^2 < \infty$, $\sum \varphi_n^2 d_n^2 < \infty$ and $\sum \varphi_n d_n$ converges are equivalent.

In [6] (also in [7], p. 123), Zygmund proves the following summability result: Let d_n be independent, identically distributed random variables with $P[d_1 = \pm 1] = \frac{1}{2}$ and let $(a_{m,n}, m \geq 1, n \geq 1)$ be a double sequence of real numbers such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n} = a_n$, finite, for each n . If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{m,k} d_k = T_m$ a.s. and $P[T_m \text{ converges}] > 0$, then $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$.

In Section 3, we shall give a new proof of Gundy's theorem and improve it slightly. In Section 4, Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund's theorem is extended to a summability result, which includes Zygmund's theorem as a special case.

2. Notation and lemmas. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space, $(\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ be a sequence of σ -fields with $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $(x_n, n \geq 1)$ be a sequence of random variables. If $\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \cdots$ and x_n is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable for each n , the sequence $(x_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ is said to be a stochastic sequence. We always put $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. For a set A , the indicator function of A is denoted by $I(A)$, and the integral $\int_A x dP$ is shortened as $\int_A x$. If $(x_n = d_1 + \cdots + d_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ is a martingale, the sequence $(d_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ is called a martingale difference sequence.

LEMMA 1. Let $d \geq 0$ be a random variable and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be a σ -field. Put $m = E(d | \mathcal{G})$ and $v = E^{\frac{1}{2}}(d^2 | \mathcal{G})$. If $\lambda \geq 0$ is a \mathcal{G} -measurable random variable and $P[m < \infty] = 1$, then

$$(1) \quad vP(d > \lambda v | \mathcal{G}) \geq \lambda(m - 2\lambda v),$$

$$(2) \quad E(d^2 I[\lambda d < 1] | \mathcal{G}) \geq (m - \lambda v^2)^2 \quad \text{on} \quad [m \geq \lambda v^2].$$

Received 23 April 1968.

¹ This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant GP-06073.

PROOF. To prove (1), we can assume that $\lambda > 0$.

$$m = E(d | \mathcal{G}) \leq \lambda v + E(dI[\lambda v < d \leq v/\lambda] | \mathcal{G}) + E(dI[d > v/\lambda] | \mathcal{G}) \\ \leq 2\lambda v + vP(d > \lambda v | \mathcal{G})/\lambda,$$

which yields (1). Since $E(dI[\lambda d \geq 1] | \mathcal{G}) \leq \lambda E(d^2I[\lambda d \geq 1] | \mathcal{G}) \leq \lambda v^2$,

$$E(d^2I[\lambda d < 1] | \mathcal{G}) \geq E^2(dI[\lambda d < 1] | \mathcal{G}) \geq (m - \lambda v^2)^2 \text{ on } [m \geq \lambda v^2].$$

From Lemma 1, immediately follows:

LEMMA 1'. Under the conditions of Lemma 1, if $P[3\lambda v \leq m < \infty] = 1$ for some constant $\lambda > 0$, then

$$(1) \quad P(d > \lambda v | \mathcal{G}) \geq \lambda^2,$$

and if $\varphi \geq 0$ is a \mathcal{G} -measurable random variable,

$$(2') \quad E(d^2I[\varphi d < 1] | \mathcal{G}) \geq (3\lambda - \varphi v)^2 v^2 \text{ on } [3\lambda \geq \varphi v].$$

LEMMA 2. Let $(d_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ be a non-negative stochastic sequence such that there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ satisfying

$$(3) \quad \infty > E(d_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \geq \lambda v_n, \text{ where } v_n = E^{\frac{1}{2}}(d_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}),$$

for $n \geq 1$, then

$$(4) \quad P[\sup v_n = \infty, \sup d_n < \infty] = 0.$$

PROOF. Put $A = [\sup d_n < K]$ for $K > 0$. By Lévy's martingale version (see [2], p. 324) of Borel-Cantelli lemma, $\sum P(d_n \geq K | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) < \infty$ on A . By (1'), for almost all $\omega \in A$, $\lambda v_n(\omega) \leq K$ for all large n . Hence $\sup v_n < \infty$ on A . Letting $K \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (4).

LEMMA 3. Let $(\varphi_n, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}, n \geq 1)$ be a stochastic sequence and let $(e_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ be a martingale difference sequence with $Ee_n^2 < \infty$. Put $d_n = \varphi_n e_n$, $v_n = \varphi_n E^{\frac{1}{2}}(e_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1})$ and $x_n = d_1 + \dots + d_n$. For constants $K > 0$ and $M > 0$, let $t = \inf \{n | |x_n| > K \text{ or } |v_{n+1}| > M\}$. Then for $j \geq 1$,

$$(5) \quad (K^2 + K)^2 \geq \sum_1^j \int_{[t \geq k]} d_k^2 (I[|d_k| < K^2] - 2K^{-1}I[|d_k| \geq K^2]).$$

PROOF. Since $E d_k^2 I[t \geq k] = E v_k^2 I[t \geq k] \leq M^2$, $\sum_1^j d_k I[t \geq k]$ is a martingale and

$$E \sum_1^j d_k^2 I[t \geq k] = E(\sum_1^j d_k I[t \geq k])^2 = E x_{\min(t,j)}^2 \leq K^2 + \int_{[t \leq j]} (2x_{t-1} d_t + d_t^2) \\ \leq (K^2 + K)^2 + \int_{[t \leq j, |d_t| \geq K^2]} (2x_{t-1} d_t + d_t^2) \\ \leq (K^2 + K)^2 + (1 + 2K^{-1}) \sum_1^j \int_{[t \geq k, |d_k| \geq K^2]} d_k^2,$$

which yields (5).

LEMMA 4. Let $d_n \geq 0$ be a sequence of random variables and for some constant $\lambda > 0$,

$$(6) \quad \infty > E d_n \geq 3\lambda u_n, \text{ where } u_n = E^{\frac{1}{2}} d_n^2.$$

for $n \geq 1$. If $\sup u_n = \infty$, then $\sup P[d_n > K] \geq \lambda^2$ for every constant $K > 0$.

PROOF. Putting $\mathcal{G} = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ in Lemma 1', we have $P[d_n > \lambda u_n] \geq \lambda^2$ for $n \geq 1$. For $k = 1, 2, \dots$, choose n_k such that $u_{n_k} \geq k$. Then for any constant $K > 0$ and $\lambda k \geq K$,

$$\sup P[d_n > K] \geq P[d_{n_k} > K] \geq P[d_{n_k} > \lambda k] \geq P[d_{n_k} > \lambda u_{n_k}] \geq \lambda^2.$$

LEMMA 5. (Burkholder [1], Lemma 4). *To each $\delta > 0$ corresponds an $\alpha > 0$ with the following property: If $(x_n = d_1 + \dots + d_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ is a martingale and $\infty > E|d_k| \geq \delta E^{\frac{1}{2}} d_k^2$ for $n \geq 1$, then $E|x_n| \geq \alpha E^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n^2$.*

3. A new proof of Gundy's theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let $(\varphi_n, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}, n \geq 1)$ be a stochastic sequence and $(e_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ be a martingale difference sequence with $Ee_n^2 < \infty$. Put $d_n = \varphi_n e_n, v_n = |\varphi_n| E^{\frac{1}{2}}(e_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1})$, and $x_n = d_1 + \dots + d_n$. If there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that for $n \geq 1$ and all large $K > 0$,*

$$(7) \quad E(d_n^2 I[|d_n| < K] | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \geq (3\lambda - v_n K^{-1})^2 v_n^2 \quad \text{on} \quad [3\lambda \geq v_n K^{-1}],$$

then except on a null set, the following statements are equivalent:

$$(8) \quad \sup |x_n| < \infty, \quad \sup v_n < \infty,$$

$$(9) \quad \sum (d_n^2 + v_n^2) < \infty,$$

$$(10) \quad \sum d_n^2 < \infty, \quad \sup v_n < \infty,$$

$$(11) \quad x_n \text{ converges,} \quad \sup v_n < \infty,$$

$$(12) \quad \sum v_n^2 < \infty.$$

PROOF. We shall prove that (i)(8) implies (9) and that (ii)(10) implies (11). That (9) implies (10) and (12) and that (11) implies (8) are obvious. In ([2], p. 323), Doob proved that (12) implies (11).

(i) For $M > 0$, choose $K > 0$ such that $K\lambda^2 \geq 4$ and $2K^2\lambda \geq M$. Define $t = \inf \{n | |x_n| > K \text{ or } v_{n+1} > M\}$. Then by Lemma 3,

$$(K^2 + K)^2 \geq \sum_1^j \int_{[t \geq k]} (I[|d_k| < K^2] - 2K^{-1}I[|d_k| \geq K^2]) d_k^2.$$

On the set $[t \geq k], 3\lambda - v_k K^{-2} \geq 3\lambda - MK^{-2} \geq \lambda$. Hence by (7),

$$(13) \quad (K^2 + K)^2 \geq \sum_1^j \int_{[t \geq k]} (\lambda^2 - 2K^{-1})v_k^2 \geq \lambda^2 \sum_1^j \int_{[t \geq k]} v_k^2/2 = \lambda^2 \sum_1^j \int_{[t \geq k]} d_k^2/2.$$

Therefore $\sum (v_n^2 + d_n^2) < \infty$ on $[t = \infty] = [\sup |x_n| \leq K, \sup v_n \leq M]$. Letting $K \rightarrow \infty$ and then $M \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $\sum (v_n^2 + d_n^2) < \infty$ on $[\sup |x_n| < \infty, \sup v_n < \infty]$.

(ii) For $M > 0$, choose $K > 0$ such that $2K\lambda \geq M$. Define

$$t = \inf \{n | \sum_1^n d_k^2 > K \text{ or } v_{n+1} > M\}.$$

Then for $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^j E d_k^2 I[t \geq k] &= \sum_1^j E d_k^2 I[t > k] + \sum_1^j E d_k^2 I[t = k] \\ &\leq K + K^2 + \sum_1^j E d_k^2 I[t = k, |d_k| \geq K]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (7),

$$K^2 + K \geq \sum_1^j E d_k^2 I[t \geq k, |d_k| < K] \geq \sum_1^j E(I[t \geq k] v_k^2 (3\lambda - v_k K^{-1})^2).$$

On the set $[t \geq k]$, $3\lambda - v_k K^{-1} \geq 3\lambda - MK^{-1} \geq \lambda$. Therefore

$$K^2 + K \geq \lambda^2 \sum_1^j E(I[t \geq k] v_k^2),$$

$$\sum E(I[t \geq k] d_k^2) = \sum E(I[t \geq k] v_k^2) < \infty.$$

Since $\sum_1^j I[t \geq k] d_k$ is a martingale, $\sum I[t \geq k] d_k$ converges a.s. Thus x_n converges on $[t = \infty] = [\sum d_k^2 \leq K, \sup v_n \leq M]$. Letting $K \rightarrow \infty$ and then $M \rightarrow \infty$, we have that x_n converges on $[\sum d_n^2 < \infty, \sup v_n < \infty]$. The proof is completed.

THEOREM 1'. *Let $(\varphi_n, \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}, n \geq 1)$ be a stochastic sequence and $(e_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ be a martingale difference sequence with $Ee_n^2 < \infty$ and $u_n = E^\sharp(e_n^2 | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1})$. If there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that for $n \geq 1$,*

$$(7') \quad E(|e_n| | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}) \geq 3\lambda u_n,$$

then except on a null set, $\sup |\sum_1^n \varphi_k e_k| < \infty, \sum \varphi_n^2 e_n^2 < \infty, \sum \varphi_n e_n$ converges and $\sum \varphi_n^2 u_n^2 < \infty$ are all equivalent.

PROOF. Put $d_n = \varphi_n e_n$ and $v_n = |\varphi_n| E^\sharp(e_n^2 | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1})$. Then (7') implies that $E(|d_n| | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}) \geq 3\lambda v_n$. Since $P[v_n < \infty] = 1$, from Lemma 1', we have that (7) holds and from Lemma 2, $\sup v_n < \infty$ if $\sup |d_n| < \infty$. Thus Theorem 1' follows from Theorem 1.

When $u_n = 1$ a.s. for $n \geq 1$, the equivalence of $\sum \varphi_n^2 e_n^2 < \infty, \sum \varphi_n e_n$ converges, and $\sum \varphi_n^2 < \infty$ under the conditions of Theorem 1' has been established by Gundy [3] by a different method.

THEOREM 2. *Let $(d_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ be a martingale difference sequence with $E d_n^2 < \infty$ and $v_n = E^\sharp(d_n^2 | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1})$. For $m \geq 1$, let $(\varphi_{m,n}, \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}, n \geq 1)$ be a stochastic sequence such that for each $n \geq 1$,*

$$P[\lim_m \varphi_{m,n} = \varphi_n \text{ finite}] = 1.$$

Put $s_{m,n} = \sum_{k=1}^n \varphi_{m,k} d_k$. If there exists a constant $1 > \lambda > 0$ such that for $n \geq 1$,

$$(14) \quad E(|d_n| | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}) \geq 3\lambda v_n,$$

then $\sum \varphi_n^2 v_n^2 < \infty$ on the set $[\sup_{m,n} |s_{m,n}| < \infty]$.

PROOF. For $M > 0$, choose $K \geq M$ such that $K^2 \lambda \geq \max(4, M)$. Define $t = t_m = \inf \{n | |s_{m,n}| > K \text{ or } |\varphi_{m,n+1} v_{n+1}| > M\}$. By Lemma 1' and (13), for $j = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(15) \quad 2(K^2 + K)^2 \geq \lambda^2 \sum_{k=1}^j \int_{[t_m \geq k]} \varphi_{m,k}^2 v_k^2.$$

Put $A = [\sup_{m,n} |s_{m,n}| < M, \sup_{m,n} |\varphi_{m,n} v_n| < M]$. Then

$$2(K^2 + K)^2 \geq \lambda^2 \sum_{k=1}^j \int_A \varphi_{m,k}^2 v_k^2.$$

By Fatou lemma, $2(K^2 + K)^2 \geq \lambda^2 \sum_{k=1}^j \int_A \varphi_k^2 v_k^2$. Hence $\sum \int_A \varphi_n^2 v_n^2 < \infty$ and $\sum \varphi_n^2 v_n^2 < \infty$ on A . Since M is arbitrary, $\sum \varphi_n^2 v_n^2 < \infty$ on $[\sup_{m,n} |s_{m,n}| < \infty]$,

$\sup_{m,n} |\varphi_{m,n} v_n| < \infty$. Now let $\eta_n = \sup_m |\varphi_{m,n}|$. Since $P[|\varphi_n| < \infty] = 1$, $(\eta_n, \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}, n \geq 1)$ is a stochastic sequence. By (14), $E(\eta_n |d_n| | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}) \geq 3\lambda \eta_n v_n$ for $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 2, $P[\sup_n \eta_n v_n = \infty, \sup_n \eta_n |d_n| < \infty] = 0$. Hence $\sup_{m,n} |\varphi_{m,n} v_n| = \sup_n \eta_n v_n < \infty$ on $[\sup_n \eta_n |d_n| < \infty]$. Therefore $\sum \varphi_n^2 v_n^2 < \infty$ on $[\sup_{m,n} |s_{m,n}| < \infty]$.

4. Some summability results. In this section, we shall assume that $a_{m,n}$ is a double sequence of real numbers with $\lim_m a_{m,n} = a_n$ for each n . For a stochastic sequence $(d_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1)$, we put $s_{m,n} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{m,k} d_k$.

THEOREM 3. Let $(d_n, \mathfrak{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ be a martingale difference sequence with $E d_n^2 = 1$ and for some constant $\lambda > 0$,

$$(16) \quad E|d_n| \geq 3\lambda, \quad (n \geq 1).$$

(i) If for a fixed $m \geq 1$,

$$(17) \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} P[|s_{m,n}| > K] = 0 \quad \text{unif. in } n,$$

then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n}^2 < \infty$, $\lim_n s_{m,n} = s_m$ a.s. and in L_2 , and for some $\alpha > 0$, independent of m , $E|s_m| \geq \alpha E^{\frac{1}{2}} s_m^2$.

(ii) If (17) holds for every $m \geq 1$, and

$$(18) \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} P[|s_m| > K] = 0 \quad \text{unif. in } m,$$

then $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$. In particular, $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$, if

$$(19) \quad \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} P[|s_{m,n}| > K] = 0 \quad \text{unif. in } m \text{ and } n.$$

(iii) If $E(d_n^2 | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}) = 1$ for $n \geq 1$ and if for some constants $\lambda > 0, \eta > 0$ and $K > 0$,

$$(20) \quad E(|d_n| | \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}) \geq 3\lambda,$$

$$(21) \quad \sup_{m,n} |a_{m,n}| < \infty, \quad \inf_m P[\sup_n |s_{m,n}| \leq K] \geq \eta,$$

then $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$.

PROOF. (i) By Lemma 5 and (16), there exists $\alpha > 0$, independent of m , such that

$$(22) \quad E|s_{m,n}| \geq \alpha E^{\frac{1}{2}} s_{m,n}^2.$$

By Lemma 4, if $\sup_n E s_{m,n}^2 = \infty$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n P[|s_{m,n}| \geq K] \geq \lambda^2$, which contradicts (17). Therefore $\sup_n E s_{m,n}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n}^2 < \infty$, $\lim_n s_{m,n} = s_m$ a.s. and in L_2 , and by (22), $E|s_m| \geq \alpha E^{\frac{1}{2}} s_m^2$.

(ii) By (i), for each $m \geq 1$, $\lim_n s_{m,n} = s_m$ a.s. and in L_2 and $E|s_m| \geq \alpha E^{\frac{1}{2}} s_m^2$. By Lemma 4, if $\sup_m E s_m^2 = \infty$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_m P[|s_m| \geq K] > 0$, which contradicts (18). Hence $\sup_m E s_m^2 = \sup_m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n}^2 < \infty$. By Fatou lemma, $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$.

(iii) Put $\sup_{m,n} |a_{m,n}| = M$ and choose $K \geq M$ such that $K^2 \lambda \geq \max(4, M)$. Define $t = t_m = \inf \{n | |s_{m,n}| > K\}$. Since $|v_n a_{m,n}| = |a_{m,n}| \leq M \leq K$, by (15)

and (21), we have

$$2(K^2 + K)^2\lambda^{-2} \geq \sum_{k=1}^j \int_{[t_m \geq k]} a_{m,k}^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^j a_{m,k}^2 P[t_m = \infty] \\ \geq \sum_{k=1}^h a_{m,k}^2 P[\sup_n |s_{m,n}| \leq K] \geq \eta \sum_{k=1}^j a_{m,k}^2.$$

By Fatou lemma,

$$2(K^2 + K)^2\lambda^{-2} \geq \eta \sum_1^j a_n^2$$

Hence $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$, and the proof is completed.

From Theorem 3(ii), immediately follows:

COROLLARY 1. *Let a_n be a sequence of real numbers and $(x_n = a_1 d_1 + \dots + a_n d_n, \mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1)$ be a martingale such that for some constant $\lambda > 0$,*

$$(23) \quad E d_n^2 = 1, \quad E|d_n| \geq \lambda.$$

(i) *If $P[\sup_n |x_n| < \infty] = 1$ or (ii) x_{n_k} converges in distribution for some subsequence, then $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$ (and x_n converges a.s. and in L_2).*

Corollary 1(i) reduces Gundy's local condition: $E(d_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = 1$ and $E(|d_n| | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) \geq \lambda$ to the global condition (23), when the stochastic sequence $(\varphi_n, \mathcal{F}_{n-1}, n \geq 1)$ is replaced by a sequence of constants. When d_n are independent random variables, Corollary 1(i) reduces to a result of Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [5].

Corollary 1(ii) is interesting in comparison with the following well known result: Let d_n be independent random variables. If $\sum d_n$ converges in distribution, then $\sum d_n$ converges a.s.

THEOREM 4. *Let d_n be a sequence of independent random variables such that for some constant $\lambda > 0$ and for every $n \geq 1$,*

$$(24) \quad E d_n = 0, \quad E d_n^2 = 1, \quad E|d_n| \geq \lambda,$$

$$(25) \quad P[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_{m,n} = s_m] = 1,$$

$$(26) \quad P[\sup_m |s_m| < \infty] > 0.$$

Then $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$, if $|a_n| < \infty$ for each n .

PROOF. Since $\lim_m a_{m,n} = a_n$ finite, $\sup_m |a_{m,n}| < \infty$ for $n \geq 1$, and the set $[\sup_m |s_m| < \infty]$ is a tail event. By zero-one law, $P[\sup_m |s_m| < \infty] = 1$. Let d_1^*, d_2^*, \dots be random variables such that d_j and d_j^* have the same distribution and that $d_1, d_1^*, d_2, d_2^*, \dots$ are independent. Put $e_n = (d_n - d_n^*)2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $Ee_n = 0, Ee_n^2 = 1, 2^{\frac{1}{2}}E|e_n| \geq 2E(d_n - d_n^*)I[d_n \geq 0, d_n^* < 0] \geq E|d_n| \geq \lambda$. Therefore, we can assume that d_n is symmetric for each n . By Lévy's inequality (see [2], p. 106),

$$P[\sup_n |s_{m,n}| > K] \leq 2 P[|s_m| \geq K] \leq 2 P[\sup_m |s_m| \geq K].$$

Since $P[\sup_m |s_m| < \infty] = 1, \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P[\sup_n |s_{m,n}| \geq K] = 0$ uniformly in m . Therefore $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$ by Theorem 3(ii).

When $P[d_n = \pm 1] = 1$, Theorem 4 is due to Zygmund ([6], also [7] p. 123).

REFERENCES

- [1] BURKHOLDER, D. L. (1968). Independent sequences with the Stein property. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **39** 1282-1288.
- [2] DOOB, J. L. (1953). *Stochastic Processes*. Wiley, New York.
- [3] GUNDY, R. F. (1967). The martingale version of a theorem of Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **38** 725-734.
- [4] KAC, M. AND STEINHAUS, H. (1936). Sur les fonctions indépendants II. *Studia Math.* **6** 59-66.
- [5] MARCINKIEWICZ, J. AND ZYGMUND, A. (1937). Sur les fonctions indépendants. *Fund. Math.* **29** 60-90.
- [6] ZYGMUND, A. (1930). On the convergence of lacunary trigonometric series. *Fund. Math.* **16** 90-107.
- [7] ZYGMUND, A. (1935). *Trigonometrical Series*. Warszawa-Lwów.