

ON UNIVERSAL MEASURABILITY AND PERFECT PROBABILITY

BY R. B. DARST

Purdue University

A subset E of the real numbers R is an element of the set \mathcal{U} of universally measurable subsets of R if, and only if, $\mu^*(E) = \mu_*(E)$ for each probability measure μ on the Borel subsets \mathcal{B} of R . A subset E of R is an element of the sigma ideal \mathcal{N} of universal null sets if, and only if, $\mu^*(E) = 0$ whenever μ is a nonatomic probability measure on \mathcal{B} .

The purpose of this note is to recount some properties of the sigma algebra \mathcal{U} and its sigma ideal \mathcal{N} .

When dealing with a finite, nonnegative measure μ on a sigma algebra \mathcal{S} of subsets of a set X it suffices, for our purposes, to normalize and, hence, suppose that μ is an element of the set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ of probability measures on \mathcal{S} . An element μ of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{S})$ is said to be perfect if for each \mathcal{S} -measurable function f , there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subset f(X)$ and $\mu(f^{-1}(B)) = 1$.

If A is a subset of R , then \mathcal{B}_A will denote the sigma algebra of Borel subsets of A .

D. Blackwell [1] used

- (1) If A is an analytic subset of R and f is a \mathcal{B}_A -measurable function, then $f(A)$ is an analytic set, and
- (2) The sigma algebra of subsets of R generated by the analytic sets in a subset of \mathcal{U} , to show
- (3) If A is an analytic subset of the interval $I = [0, 1]$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_A)$, then μ is perfect.

Then he asked whether there be subsets A of I , other than analytic sets, with the property that every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_A)$ is perfect.

V. V. Sazonov ([6] Lemma 3) answered Blackwell's question by showing that

- (4) The necessary and sufficient condition in order that every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_A)$ be perfect is that $A \in \mathcal{U}$.

Meanwhile, G. Kallianpur introduced the notion of a D -space (i.e., (A, \mathcal{B}_A) is a D -space if, and only if, $f(A) \in \mathcal{U}$ for every \mathcal{B}_A -measurable function f) in [3]. He showed that

- (5) The necessary and sufficient condition in order that (A, \mathcal{B}_A) be a D -space is that for every separable subsigma algebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{B}_A , every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is perfect.

Unaware of Kallianpur's paper, Sazonov ([6] Theorem 9) gave a proof of the sufficiency of Kallianpur's result and a proof of the necessity of a stronger result:

Received February 18, 1969; revised December 12, 1969.

If (A, \mathcal{B}_A) is a D -space and \mathcal{A} is a (not necessarily separable) subsigma algebra of \mathcal{B}_A , then every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is perfect.

It follows from (1) that if A is an analytic subset of I , then (A, \mathcal{B}_A) is a D -space. In response to the question: Are there non-analytic subsets E of I for which (E, \mathcal{B}_E) is a D -space?, [2] was written. It was shown in [2] that if L is a Lusin subset of I (i.e., L is an uncountable subset of I with the property that if F is a first category subset of I , then $L \cap F$ is a countable set) and f is a \mathcal{B}_L -measurable function, then $f(L) \in \mathcal{N}$ and, hence, (L, \mathcal{B}_L) is a D -space. Recall that a Lusin set L is not an analytic set. (Any uncountable analytic set contains an uncountable, nowhere dense, perfect set.)

If one attempts to classify the elements of \mathcal{N} by “thinness,” one finds several distinct types. An uncountable subset G of I is said to be concentrated if there is a countable subset T of I such that if V is an open set containing T , then $G - V$ is a countable set. Concentrated subsets of I are relatively thin elements of \mathcal{N} and Lusin sets are concentrated about any countable dense subset of I .

The existence of (uncountable) Lusin sets follows from the continuum hypothesis, and it is not known whether the existence of uncountable concentrated sets can be proved without the continuum hypothesis ([4] page 527, footnote). In summary,

- (6) The continuum hypothesis implies there exist (uncountable) Lusin subsets of I .
- (7) If L is a Lusin subset of I , then (L, \mathcal{B}_L) is a D -space.

It is natural to ask whether (A, \mathcal{B}_A) is a D -space for every $A \in \mathcal{U}$. We shall give an example to show that the continuum hypothesis implies that the answer to the preceding question is no and then conclude with a few remarks about the character of probability measures on Borel subsets of universal null sets.

F. Rothberger ([5] Theorem 3) has shown that if there exists a concentrated subset H of I such that H and I have the same cardinality (the continuum hypothesis implies that we can take $H = L$), then there is a concentrated subset G of I and a continuous function g on G such that $g(G)$ is the set J of irrationals in I . Let K be a subset of I satisfying $m^*(K) = 1$ and $m_*(K) = 0$, where m denotes Lebesgue measure. Let $C = g^{-1}(J \cap K)$. Then C is a concentrated subset of I , the restriction f of g to C is continuous on C , and $f(C) = J \cap K$ satisfies $m^*(f(C)) = 1$ and $m_*(f(C)) = 0$. Thus (C, \mathcal{B}_C) is not a D -space:

- (8) If there exists a concentrated subset of I which has the cardinality of I , then there exists a concentrated subset C of I such that (C, \mathcal{B}_C) is not a D -space.

Let $\mathcal{N}_E = \{F \subset E; \mu^*(F) = 0 \text{ if } \mu \text{ is a non-atomic element of } \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_E)\}$, $E \subset I$.

- (9) $\mathcal{N}_E = \{E \cap F; F \in \mathcal{N}\}$, $E \subset I$.

PROOF OF (9). Suppose $F \subset E$; if $F \in \mathcal{N}$, $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_E)$, and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})$ is defined by $\mu(B) = \lambda(B \cap E)$, then $\mu^*(F) = 0$ implies that $\lambda^*(F \cap E) = 0$. Suppose there exists a

non-atomic element α of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $\alpha^*(F) > 0$. Let K be a Borel set containing F and satisfying $\alpha(K) = \alpha^*(F)$. If $\beta \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_E)$ is defined by $\beta(B \cap E) = \alpha(B \cap K)/\alpha(K)$, then $\beta^*(F) = 1$.

From (9) we obtain

$$(10) \quad E \in \mathcal{N}^{\infty} \Leftrightarrow E \in \mathcal{N}_E^{\infty} \Leftrightarrow \text{all } \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_E) \text{ are atomic.}$$

In [2] we showed that if L is a Lusin subset of I , \mathcal{T} is a separable subsigma algebra of \mathcal{B}_L , and α is a probability measure on \mathcal{T} , then α is atomic and, hence, has an extension to an atomic probability measure on \mathcal{B}_L . Such extensions are sometimes not available in the case of the concentrated set C : Since (C, \mathcal{B}_C) is not a D -space, (5) implies that there exists a separable subsigma algebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{B}_C and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ such that μ is not perfect. If μ were to have an extension, γ , to $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_C)$, then γ would be atomic by (10) and, hence, μ would be atomic. But, if μ were atomic, then μ would be perfect, which it is not. Thus we conclude that μ has no extension to $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B}_C)$.

Thanks are extended to Roy O. Davies and R. E. Zink for communication on related matters and to J. K. Ghosh for pointing out Sazonov's paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] BLACKWELL, DAVID. (1956). On a class of probability spaces. *Proc. Third Berkeley Symp. Math. Statistic Prob.* **2**. Univ. of California.
- [2] DARST, R. B. (1969). Properties of Lusin sets with applications to probability. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** 348–350.
- [3] KALLIANPUR, GOPINATH. (1959). A note on perfect probability. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **30** 169–172.
- [4] KURATOWSKI, K. (1966). *Topology* **1**. Academic Press, New York.
- [5] ROTHBERGER, FRITZ (1941). Sur les familles indenombrables de suites de nombres naturels at les problemes concernant la propretre C. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **37** 109–126.
- [6] SAZONOV, V. V. (1962). On perfect measures. *Izv. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R. Ser. Mat.* **27** 391–414. *Amer. Math. Soc. Translation Series 2* **48** (1965), 229–254.