

CONSTANT COEFFICIENT LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS  
 DRIVEN BY WHITE NOISE

BY R. V. ERICKSON

Michigan State University

**1. Introduction.** Consider the process  $w_t$  defined by the linear stochastic differential equation

$$(1) \quad \dot{w}_t = Aw_t + B\dot{\beta}_t, w_0 = c,$$

where  $A \in R^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in R^{n \times p}$  ( $R^{k \times l}$  = real  $k \times l$  matrices,  $R^n = R^{n \times 1}$ ) and  $\beta_t$  is the standard  $p$ -dimensional Brownian motion. The purpose of this note is to analyze the trajectories of this process, to find conditions for transience of  $w_t$  in terms of the eigenvalues of (a matrix related to)  $A$ , and to give the general form of the invariant densities for the process.

These results can be given in abbreviated form because of the groundwork laid by Dym [1] who has considered all of the above problems for the special case where  $w_t$  is a solution of

$$(2) \quad (D^n - a_1 D^{n-1} - \dots - a_n)w_t = \dot{\beta}_t, w_0^{(k-1)} = c_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

and  $\beta_t$  is one-dimensional Brownian motion.

Zakai and Snyders [4] give three equivalent necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a stationary probability measure for solutions of (1); two of these conditions are implicit in our final theorem.

**2. The trajectories of  $w_t$  remain on an  $m$ -flat.** The formal solution to (1) is given by

$$(3) \quad w_t = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B d\beta_s + e^{tA}c$$

and is known to be a diffusion (see, e.g., Dynkin [2]). From the properties of stochastic integrals and Brownian motion it follows that  $w_t$  is Gaussian with mean

$$(4) \quad E^c(w_t) = e^{tA}c$$

and covariance

$$(5) \quad R_t = E^c((w_t - e^{tA}c)(w_t - e^{tA}c)^*) = \int_0^t e^{sA}BB^* e^{sA^*} ds,$$

where  $C^*$  is the transpose of the matrix  $C$ .

The first fact to be noted is that  $R_t$  is nonnegative definite and not necessarily positive definite, for if  $v \in R^n$  is orthogonal to the subspace

$$[A, B]: = \text{span}_{R^n} \{A^{k-1}B\varepsilon_i \mid k = 1, \dots, n, i = 1, \dots, p, \varepsilon_i = (\delta_{i1}, \dots, \delta_{ip})^*\},$$

Received April 9, 1970; revised October 12, 1970.

then  $v^*R_t v \equiv 0$ . Actually, for  $t > 0$ ,  $R_t$  has constant rank equal to the dimension of  $[A, B]$ , for in the notation of the next paragraph,  $MR_t M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} S_t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  where  $S_t = \int_0^t e^{sC_1} D_1 D_1^* e^{sC_1^*} ds \in R^{m \times m}$  is positive definite,  $m = \dim [A, B]$ .

To study the trajectories of  $w_t$ , choose a basis  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  for  $[A, B]$  and a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  for  $[A, B]^\perp$ , form the nonsingular matrix  $M^* = (u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_k)$ , let  $C = MAM^{-1}$  and  $D = MB$ , and solve

$$(6) \quad \dot{x}_t = Cx_t + D\dot{\beta}_t, x_0 = b,$$

so that  $x_t = Mw_t$ . Clearly  $D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $D_1 \in R^{m \times p}$ . Also,  $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $C_1 \in R^{m \times m}$  and  $C_3 = 0$ , for row  $(m+i)$  of  $C^{j+1}D = v_i^* A^{j+1} B = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , so that  $C_3 C_1^j D_1 = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Since the columns of  $C_1^j D_1 \in R^{m \times p}$  (embedded in  $R^n$ ) span  $[C, D]$  which has dimension  $m$ , we conclude that  $C_3 = 0$ . Hence, if  $x_0 = b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} x_t &= \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} e^{(t-s)C_1} D_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} d\beta_s + e^{tC} b \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)C_1} D_1 d\beta_s + e^{tC_1} b_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + e^{tC} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} z_t^1 \\ z_t^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where  $z_t^2 = e^{tC_4} b_2$  and  $z_t^1 = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)C_1} C_2 e^{sC_4} b_2 ds$  are purely deterministic, and  $y_t$  is the Gaussian diffusion satisfying

$$(8) \quad \dot{y}_t = C_1 y_t + D_1 \dot{\beta}_t, y_0 = b_1 \in R^m$$

with mean  $E^{b_1} y_t = e^{tC_1} b_1$  and covariance

$$S_t = E^{b_1} ((y_t - e^{tC_1} b_1)(y_t - e^{tC_1} b_1)^*) = \int_0^t e^{sC_1} D_1 D_1^* e^{sC_1^*} ds$$

which is positive definite. So  $y_t$  has transition density

$$(9) \quad p(t, a, b) = [(2\pi)^m |S_t|]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \langle b - e^{tC_1} a, S_t^{-1} (b - e^{tC_1} a) \rangle \right\},$$

$\langle, \rangle =$  inner product in  $R^m$ ,  $|| =$  determinant.

The above decomposition shows that when  $x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$  then  $x_t$  stays in the  $m$ -flat  $H_t^{b_2} = \{(a^*, b_2^* e^{tC_4^*})^* \mid a \in R^m\}$  with probability one. Since  $w_t = M^{-1} x_t$ , it is clear that  $w_t$  enjoys a similar property.

**3. Transience and recurrence.** Since  $w_t$  is transient or recurrent iff  $x_t = Mw_t$  is, we restrict attention to  $x_t$ .

Now  $x_t$  is transient (by definition) iff the probability of hitting the nonempty interior of a compact set, in a finite time after time  $t$ , goes to zero as  $t$  increases, for each starting point, in other words, iff  $P^b(\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_t = \infty) = 1$  for each starting point  $b$  ( $\infty =$  one point compactification of  $R^n$ , so  $\lim x_t = \infty$  means  $x_t$  eventually remains outside any given compact.) Since  $x_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_t \\ z_t \end{pmatrix} + z_t$  and  $z_t \equiv 0$  if  $x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $x_t$  transient implies  $y_t$  transient. Certainly if  $y_t$  is transient and  $z_t^1$  is bounded, when

$z_t^2$  is bounded, then  $x_t$  is transient. But if  $C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  then  $y_t$  satisfies  $\dot{y}_t = y_t + \beta_t$  (in  $R^1$ ) and is therefore transient by Dym's theorem; but  $x_t$  is not, for  $x_t^* = (\beta_{\frac{1}{2}(e^{2t}-1)}, 0) + e^{-t} x_0^*$  when  $x_0^* = (-\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ .

Recurrence of  $x_t$  is impossible unless  $x_t \equiv y_t$  (i.e.  $[A, B] = R^n$ ), for  $x_t$  never hits open sets off the  $m$ -flat  $H_t^0 = \{(a^*, 0) \mid a \in R^m\}$  if  $x_0 = (b_1^*, 0^*)^*$ .

Let us confine our attention to the process  $y_t$ . Then  $y_t$  is a Gaussian diffusion with transition density given by (9) and it clearly satisfies all the properties P1 to P7 listed by Dym. Thus it is transient (recurrent) iff the average sojourn time in every compact (nonempty open) set is finite (infinite). The characterization of recurrence proved by Dym continues to hold:

**THEOREM.** *The process  $y_t$  is either transient or recurrent, and the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $y_t$  is recurrent,
- (b) the matrix  $C_1$  is type I (below),
- (c)  $\int_1^\infty |S_t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt = +\infty$ .

But the definition of type I matrix must be modified slightly.

Let  $M \in R^{n \times n}$  have complex Jordan form  $\text{diag}(J_1, \dots, J_p)$ , where  $J_i = \lambda_i I + N_i$  and  $N_i$  has 1 in positions  $(k+1, k)$  and 0 elsewhere, and  $\text{Re } \lambda_i \geq \text{Re } \lambda_{i+1}$ .

**DEFINITION.** The matrix  $M$  is type I if

- (a)  $\text{Re } \lambda_1 < 0$ , or
- (b)  $\text{Re } \lambda_2 < 0$  and  $J_1 = 0 \in R^{1 \times 1}$ , or
- (c)  $\text{Re } \lambda_3 < 0$  and  $J_1 = -J_2 = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta$ ,  $\beta \in R^{1 \times 1}$ .

This differs from the definition given by Dym only in allowing  $\beta = 0$  in (c), and the proof of the above theorem is now essentially that used by Dym. Notice that the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is not type I, while  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is.

**THEOREM.** (a) *The process  $x_t$  is recurrent iff  $x_t \equiv y_t$  and  $C_1 = C$  is type I.* (b) *If  $x_t$  is transient, then  $y_t$  is transient and  $C_1$  is not type I.* (c) *If  $C_1$  is not type I then  $y_t$  is transient, and  $x_t$  will also be transient if  $z_t = e^{tC} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$  is bounded for each  $b_2$  such that  $z_t^2 = e^{tC_1} b_2$  is bounded.*

**4. Invariant densities.** A Markov process with transition density  $p(t, a, b)$  is said to have an invariant density  $f$  if  $f: R^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is Borel measurable, not identically zero and  $\int f(a)p(t, a, b) da = f(b)$  for all  $b \in R^n$  and  $t > 0$ .

Let  $\pi: R^n \rightarrow R^m$  be the projection sending  $x = (y^*, z^*)^*$  to  $y \in R^m$  and let  $\pi'(x) = z$ . Clearly if  $g$  is an invariant density for  $y_t$  (with transition density  $p(t, a, b)$  given by (9)), then  $f(a) = \delta_{0, \pi'(a)}[g(\pi(a))]$  is an invariant density for  $x_t$ , since  $x_t$  has transition density  $\delta_{0, \pi'(b)} p(t, a, b)$  if  $\pi'(a) = 0$ . (Here  $\delta_{a,b}$  is 0 if  $a \neq b$  and 1 if  $a = b$ .) Hence to study the existence of invariant densities it suffices to consider only the process  $y_t$ .

It is easily seen that most of the results of Section 7 of Dym's paper remain true for our process  $y_t$  with proper modifications. Replace his " $a_1$ " by " $\text{tr } C_1$ ." His

Theorem 7.1 holds save for the statement that  $Q_{ij} = 0$  if  $i+j$  is odd (e.g., let  $C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ), and see that

$$S_t = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2(1 - e^{-2t}) & 1 - e^{-2t} - 2t e^{-2t} \\ 1 - e^{-2t} - 2t e^{-2t} & 2(1 - e^{-2t}) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

as  $t \uparrow \infty$ . Theorems 7.2 and 7.3 hold, and Corollary 1 becomes (by direct calculation):

**THEOREM.** For symmetric  $Q \in R^m \times m$  and  $v \in R^m$ , if  $g(x)$  is defined as

$$\exp \{ - \langle x, Qx \rangle - \langle v, x \rangle \}$$

then  $g$  is an invariant density for  $y_t$  iff

- (a)  $2QD_1D_1^*Q + QC_1 + (QC_1)^* = 0$
- (b)  $v^*(2D_1D_1^*Q + C_1) = 0$
- (c)  $2 \operatorname{tr} (C_1 + D_1D_1^*Q) = \langle v, D_1D_1^*v \rangle$ .

Let  $sp(A) = \{ \lambda \mid \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A \}$  and let  $\Lambda = \{ z \mid \operatorname{Re} z < 0 \}$ ,  $\bar{\Lambda} = \{ z \mid \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0 \}$ . We have finally

**THEOREM.** If  $y_t$  is recurrent, then, up to multiplication by a constant,  $y_t$  has a unique invariant density  $g$ . Further,  $\int g(a)da < \infty$  iff  $sp(C_1) \subset \Lambda$ , and in this case  $g$  has the form  $c \exp \{ - \langle x, Qx \rangle \}$ , where  $Q = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} S_t^{-1}$ .

**PROOF.** Dym's Lemma 3.1 shows that, given a compact  $K$  and  $\varepsilon, T > 0$ , there exist constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  so that  $P^a(\|y_t - a\| > \varepsilon) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2/t}$  for all  $a \in K$  and  $t \in (0, T)$  for just introduce polar coordinates and integrate the given estimate and note that  $|S_t| \sim t^N$ , as  $t \downarrow 0$ , for some integer  $N > 0$ . Since  $y_t$  is a strong Feller process with a positive transition density and is assumed recurrent, the uniqueness statement follows from the results of Khasminskii [3]. Dym's Theorem 7.1 shows that if  $spC_1 \subset \Lambda$  (which obtains when  $y_t$  is recurrent) then  $y_t$  has an invariant density  $\Psi(x) = \exp \{ - \langle x, Qx \rangle \}$ , where  $Q = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} S_t^{-1}$ . So  $\int_{R^n} \Psi(x) < \infty$  if  $Q$  is positive definite. If  $sp C_1 \subset \Lambda$ , then  $S_\infty = \lim_{t \uparrow \infty} S_t$  exists and is positive definite, which implies  $Q = S_\infty^{-1}/2$  has this property. Conversely, if  $sp C_1 \not\subset \Lambda$ , then the only nonnegative integrable function  $f$  such that  $\int f(a)p(t, a, b)da \equiv f(b)$  is  $f \equiv 0$ , using the argument of Dym's Theorem 7.5, and this contradicts the first assertion of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] DYM, H. (1966). Stationary measures for the flow of a linear differential equation driven by white noise. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** 130-164.
- [2] DYNKIN, E. B. (1965). *Markov Processes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [3] KHASHMINSKII, R. Z. (1960). Ergodic properties of recurrent diffusion processes and stabilization of the solution to the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations. *Theor. Probability Appl.* **5** 179-196.
- [4] ZAKAI, M. and SNYDERS, J. (1970). Stationary probability measures for linear differential equations driven by white noise. *J. Differential Equations* **8** 27-33.