

## DIFFUSION APPROXIMATIONS OF BRANCHING PROCESSES<sup>1</sup>

BY PETER JAGERS

*Stanford University and University of Gothenburg*

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $z_t^{(n)}, t \geq 0$ , be an age-dependent branching process starting from  $n$  ancestors. Suppose it has the reproduction generating function  $f_n, f_n'(1) = 1 + \alpha/n + o(n^{-1}), f_n''(1) = 2\beta_n \rightarrow 2\beta, f_n'''(1-) \leq$  some constant, and the life-length distribution  $L$  with  $L(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda = \int_0^\infty tL(dt) < \infty$ . Then, it is shown that the finite dimensional distributions of  $n^{-1}z_{nt}^{(n)}$  converge, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , to the corresponding laws of the diffusion  $t \rightarrow x_t$  with drift  $(\alpha/\lambda)x$  and infinitesimal variance  $(2\beta/\lambda)x$ .

**1. Introduction and summary.** Let  $x_t, t \geq 0$ , be a one-dimensional diffusion with drift  $\alpha x$  and infinitesimal variance  $2\beta x, \alpha \in R, \beta > 0, x \geq 0$ , describing the growth of a large population with independent individuals. Feller (1951) sketched how this process might appear as the limit of a sequence of Galton-Watson processes, where the  $n$ th population has  $n$  ancestors and is measured in units of  $n$  individuals; the  $n$ th time unit equals  $n$  time units of the first process; the number of offspring per individual in the  $n$ th process has expectation  $1 + \alpha/n + o(n^{-1})$ , a finite variance  $2\beta_n$  converging to  $2\beta$ , and a third moment bounded in  $n$ . The rigorous formulation and proof of this fact are due to Jiřina (1969). More general problems of Galton-Watson processes with transformed times and states have been considered by Lamperti in a sequence of papers. But the Feller–Jiřina scheme is attractive in yielding a natural and explicit limit process.

We shall generalize it to age-dependent branching processes. Suppose that  $f_n, n \in N$ , is a sequence of generating functions of probability measures on the nonnegative integers,  $N$ , satisfying  $m_n = f_n(1) = 1 + \alpha/n + o(n^{-1}), 2\beta_n = f_n''(1) \rightarrow 2\beta, f_n'''(1-) \leq c < \infty, \alpha \in R, \beta > 0, c > 0$ . Let  $L$  be a probability distribution on the nonnegative reals,  $R_+$ , with  $L(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda = \int_0^\infty tL(dt) < \infty$ . All reproduction generating functions denoted by  $f_n$  or  $f$  are assumed nonlinear. Denote by  $z_t(n), t \in R_+, n \in N$  an age-dependent branching process with off-spring generating function  $f_n$  and life-length distribution  $L$ , started from  $n$  ancestors at time zero. We shall prove that, for any  $t, x_n(t) = n^{-1}z_{nt}(n)$  converges, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in distribution to the value  $x_t$  at time  $t$  of a diffusion with drift  $\alpha x/\lambda$  and infinitesimal variance  $2\beta x/\lambda$ . It will be clear from the proof that the condition on  $\{f_n'''(1-)\}$  may be relaxed.

The approach is the following: If  $F_n(s, t)$  is the generating function of a branching process defined by  $f_n$  and  $L$  but with one ancestor—an  $(f_n, L)$  process in Sevastyanov's terminology—then  $x_n(t)$  has the generating function  $F_n^n(s^{1/n}, nt)$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ . But

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n^n(s^{1/n}, nt) = \exp -a(s, t)$$

Received October 30, 1970.

<sup>1</sup> This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant GP-15909.

if (and only if)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n[1 - F_n(s^{1/n}, nt)] = a(s, t).$$

And this is exactly what we shall show with

$$a(s, t) = \frac{\sigma e^{\alpha t/\lambda}}{1 + \sigma(\beta/\alpha)(e^{\alpha t/\lambda} - 1)} \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq 0,$$

$$\frac{\sigma}{1 + \sigma\beta t/\lambda} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 0.$$

Here  $\sigma = -\log s, s \in (0, 1]$ , and  $\exp - a(s, t)$  is the generating function of the diffusion with the stated drift and variance [see Jiřina (1969)]. The convergence in distribution then follows from the continuity theorem for Laplace transforms.

The method consists in a study of the basic integral equation of  $(f, L)$  processes:

$$(1) \quad F(s, t) = s[1 - L(t)] + \int_0^t f \circ F(s, t - y)L(dy)$$

by means of a Taylor expansion. Once the convergence of  $x_n(t)$  is established, a recursive argument applied to the corresponding equation for

$$F^{(k)}(s_1, \dots, s_k; t_1, \dots, t_k) = E[s_1^{z_1} \dots s_k^{z_k}], \quad s_i \in [0, 1], \quad t_i \in R_+, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad z_t$$

an  $(f, L)$  process, namely

$$F^{(k)}(s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_k) = s_1 \dots s_k [1 - L(t_k)]$$

$$+ s_1 \dots s_{k-1} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} f \circ F(s_k, t_k - y)L(dy)$$

$$+ s_1 = s_{k-2} \int_{t_{k-2}}^{t_{k-1}} f \circ F^{(2)}(s_{k-1}, s_k; t_{k-1} - y, t_k - y)L(dy) + \dots +$$

$$+ \int_0^{t_1} f \circ F^{(k)}(s_1, \dots, s_k; t_1 - y, \dots, t_k - y)L(dy),$$

would yield the convergence of all finite-dimensional distributions of  $x_n(t)$ . This, however, involves lengthy calculations and is omitted.

It is easy to give the sample space of (suitably normalized) branching processes the Skorohod  $J_1$ -topology: if the process is not supercritical, define its Malthusian parameter,  $\mu$ , to equal zero and consider for any branching process  $z_t$  the process  $w_t = e^{-\mu t} z_t$ . This is a right continuous process with left limits at any point and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w_t$  exists almost surely under simple conditions (Jagers (1968)). Hence,  $w_{\tan \pi t/2}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ , is a random element of  $D[0, 1]$ . But we have not been able to find any neat tightness conditions in terms of  $f_n$  and  $L$ .

**2. Some simple properties of branching processes.**

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let  $q$  be the extinction probability of an  $(f, L)$  process with generating function  $F$ . Then, for  $0 \leq s \leq q, s \leq F(s, t) \leq q$ , and for  $q \leq s \leq 1, q \leq F(s, t) \leq s$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $0 \leq s \leq q$  and take  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Set  $t_0 = \inf \{t; F(s, t) \leq s - \varepsilon\}$ . We wish to prove that  $t_0 = \infty$ , i.e.  $F(s, t) > s - \varepsilon$  for all  $t$ . Since  $L(t) = 0$  implies

that  $F(s, t) = s$ , and  $F(s, \cdot)$  is right continuous, then  $L(t_0) > 0$ . But if  $t_0 < \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} s - \varepsilon &\geq F(s, t_0) = s[1 - L(t_0)] + \int_0^{t_0} f \circ F(s, t_0 - y)L(dy) \\ &> s[1 - L(t_0)] + f(s - \varepsilon)L(t_0) \\ &> s[1 - L(t_0)] + (s - \varepsilon)L(t_0) \geq s - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  shows that there is no  $t$  such that  $F(s, t) < s$ . On the other hand, if  $t_1 = \inf \{t; F(s, t) \geq q\}$  and  $s < q$ , then  $L(t_1) > 0$  and

$$q \leq F(s, t_1) < s[1 - L(t_1)] + qL(t_1) \leq q$$

showing that  $0 \leq F(s, t) < q$  if  $0 \leq s < q$ . Since the basic integral equation has only one solution between zero and one, it is evident that  $F(q, t) = q$  identically. And for  $s \geq q$ ,  $F(s, t) \geq F(q, t) = q$ , whereas an argument like the one given yields  $F(s, t) \leq s$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *For any  $(f, L)$  process,  $F(s, \cdot)$  is nondecreasing if  $0 \leq s \leq q$  and nonincreasing if  $s \geq q$ .*

**PROOF.** Fix  $s \leq q$  and put  $M(u) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq u} F(s, t)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} F(s, t) &= s + \int_0^t [f \circ F(s, t - y) - s]L(dy) \\ &\leq s + \int_0^t [f \circ M(u - y) - s]L(dy) \leq s + \int_0^u [f \circ M(u - y) - s]L(dy) \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq u$ , since  $f \circ M(u - y) \geq f \circ F(s, t - y) \geq f(s) \geq s$ . Hence

$$M(u) \leq s[1 - L(u)] + \int_0^u f \circ M(u - y)L(dy).$$

Define for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $\varphi_n: R_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 &= 1 \\ \varphi_{n+1}(t) &= s[1 - L(t)] + \int_0^t f \circ \varphi_n(t - y)L(dy). \end{aligned}$$

By induction  $M \leq \varphi_n$ . But  $\varphi_n \downarrow F(s, \cdot)$  [2, p. 132]. Thus  $M = F(s, \cdot)$ .

For  $s \geq q$  the same reasoning applied to  $I(u) = \inf_{0 \leq t \leq u} F(s, t)$  and a sequence  $\psi_n$  with  $\psi_0 = 0$  yields the proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *If, for  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $q_n$  is the smallest nonnegative root of  $f_n(x) = x$ , then*

$$q_n = 1 - \alpha/\beta n + o(n^{-1}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof is left for the reader.

**3. The convergence of generating functions.** We start from the basic integral equation for  $(f_n, L)$  processes,

$$F_n(s, t) = s[1 - L(t)] + \int_0^t f_n \circ F_n(s, t - y)L(dy).$$

Fix  $s \in (0, 1)$  and set  $g_n(t) = h[1 - F_n(s^{1/n}, nt)]$ ,  $t \in R_+$ ,  $\sigma_n = n(1 - s^{1/n})$ . Expanding  $f_n$  around 1 gives

$$g_n(t) = \sigma_n[1 - L(nt)] + m_n \int_0^t g_n(t-y)L(n dy) - \beta_n/n \int_0^t g_n^2(t-y)L(n dy) + n \int_0^t r_n \circ g_n(t-y)L(n dy),$$

where  $|r_n(x)| \leq c(x/n)^3$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . Take Laplace-Stieltjes transforms (denoted by circumflexes) of this:

$$\hat{g}_n(z) = \sigma_n[1 - \hat{L}(z/n)] + m_n \hat{g}_n(z)\hat{L}(z/n) - \beta_n/n \widehat{g_n^2}(z)\hat{L}(z/n) + n(\widehat{r_n \circ g_n})(z)\hat{L}(z/n),$$

$z > 0$ . Then,

$$\beta_n \widehat{L}(z/n) \widehat{g_n^2}(z) + n[1 - m_n \hat{L}(z/n)]g_n(z) - \sigma_n n[1 - \hat{L}(z/n)] - n^2(\widehat{r_n \circ g_n})(z)\hat{L}(z/n) = 0.$$

Evidently,  $\beta_n \hat{L}(z/n) \rightarrow \beta$ ,  $n[1 - m_n \hat{L}(z/n)] \rightarrow \lambda z - \alpha$  and  $\sigma_n n[1 - \hat{L}(z/n)] \rightarrow \lambda z \sigma = -\lambda z \log s$ . Furthermore,

$$n^2(\widehat{r_n \circ g_n})(z) = zn^2 \int_0^\infty r_n \circ g_n(t) e^{-zt} dt \leq cn^{-1} \int_0^\infty g_n^3(t) z e^{-zt} dt \leq K/n$$

for some  $K$ , since  $g_n(t) = n[1 - F_n(s^{1/n}, nt)] \leq n(1 - s^{1/n}) + n(1 - q_n)$ , which is bounded by 2.3. Hence, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the equation (loosely speaking) approaches the equation in the following proposition:

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *If  $\alpha \neq 0$ , the equation*

$$\beta \widehat{x^2}(z) + (\lambda z - \alpha)\hat{x}(z) - \sigma \lambda z = 0$$

*has the solution*

$$a(t) = \frac{\sigma e^{\alpha t/\lambda}}{1 + \sigma(\beta/\alpha)(e^{\alpha t/\lambda} - 1)}.$$

For  $\alpha = 0$

$$\frac{\sigma}{1 + \sigma \beta t/\lambda}$$

*is a solution*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $L(t) = 1 - e^{-\gamma t}$ ,  $\gamma = 1/\lambda$ ,  $f_n(x) = 1 + (1 + \alpha/n)(x - 1) + \beta(x - 1)^2$ . The equation for  $g_n$  has a sense also if  $f_n$  is not a probability generating function and it reduces to a Riccati differential equation

$$g_n' = \alpha \gamma g_n - \beta \gamma g_n^2,$$

$$g_n(0) = \sigma_n.$$

The solution is

$$g_n(t) = \frac{\alpha \sigma_n e^{\alpha \gamma t}}{\alpha + \beta \sigma_n (e^{\alpha \gamma t} - 1)}$$

which tends to  $a$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore

$$\beta \frac{\gamma n}{z + \gamma n} \widehat{g_n^2}(z) + n \left[ 1 - (1 + \alpha/n) \frac{\gamma n}{z + \gamma n} \right] \widehat{g_n}(z) - \sigma_n \frac{zn}{z + \gamma n} = 0.$$

And letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  completes the proof. The same argument applies to the case  $\alpha = 0$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *There is no other function than those given in Proposition 3.1 which satisfy the equation there with initial value  $\sigma$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume that  $A$  is also a solution for  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then,

$$\widehat{A}(z) - \widehat{a}(z) = \frac{\beta}{\lambda z - \alpha} [\widehat{a^2}(z) - \widehat{A^2}(z)], \quad z > \alpha/\lambda.$$

Since  $\beta/(\lambda z - \alpha)$  is the transform of  $\beta/\alpha \exp \alpha t/\lambda$ ,

$$A(t) - a(t) = \int_0^t [a^2(y) - A^2(y)] e^{-\alpha y/\lambda} dy e^{\alpha t/\lambda} \beta/\lambda.$$

$A$  must be differentiable,

$$A'(t) - a'(t) = \alpha/\lambda [A(t) - a(t)] + \beta/\lambda [a^2(t) - A^2(t)]$$

and

$$A(t) - a(t) = K \exp \lambda^{-1} [\alpha t - \beta \int_0^t [A(y) + a(y)] dy].$$

Since  $A(0) = \sigma = a(0)$ , the constant  $K = 0$ .

Assume now that  $\alpha > 0$ . If  $\exp(-\alpha/2\beta) \leq s \leq 1$ , then (by Proposition 2.3)  $s^{1/n} \geq q_n$  for  $n$  larger than some  $n(\alpha, \beta)$  and (by Proposition 2.2)  $g_n(t) = n[1 - F_n(s^{1/n}, nt)]$  increases from  $g_n(0) = n(1 - s^{1/n})$  to  $g_n(\infty) = n(1 - q_n)$  with  $t$ . Moreover, the sequence  $\{g_n\}$  is bounded by some constant and from any subsequence of the natural numbers we may by Helly's selection theorem choose a new subsequence on which  $\{g_n\}$  is weakly convergent. Since the limit must solve the equation in 3.1,  $g_n \rightarrow a$ .

If  $\alpha \leq 0$ , we choose  $s$  small instead (as we might indeed have done above) and repeat the argument for  $g_n$ , now nonincreasing. This completes the proof of the convergence.

REFERENCES

FELLER, W. (1951). Diffusion processes in genetics. *Proc. Second Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob.* 227-246. Univ. of California Press.  
 HARRIS, T. E. (1963). *The Theory of Branching Processes*. Springer, Berlin.  
 JAGERS, P. (1968). Renewal theory and the almost sure convergence of branching processes. *Ark. Mat.* 7 495-504.  
 JIŘINA, M. (1969). On Feller's branching diffusion processes. *Časopis. Pěst. Mat.* 94 84-90.