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## Abstract

We use our maximum inequality for $p$-th order random variables $(p>1)$ to prove a strong law of large numbers (SLLN) for sequences of $p$-th order random variables. In particular, in the case $p=2$ our result shows that $\sum f(k) / k<\infty$ is a sufficient condition for SLLN for $f$-quasi-stationary sequences to hold. It was known that the above condition, under the additional assumption of monotonicity of $f$, implies SLLN (Erdös (1949), Gal and Koksma (1950), Gaposhkin (1977), Moricz (1977)). Besides getting rid of the monotonicity condition, the inequality enables us to extend the general result to $p$-th order random variables, as well as to the case of Banach-space-valued random variables.

## Notations

$\mathbf{N}$ stands for the set of positive integers, $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}=\mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$. $X$ denotes a Banach space, real or complex. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ be an underlying probability space. By an $X$-valued random variable we mean a Bochner measurable mapping $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow X$.
Given a sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ of $X$-valued random variables denote

$$
S_{a, b}=\sum_{k=a}^{a+b-1} \xi_{k}, \quad M_{a, b}=\max _{k \leq b}\left\|S_{a, k}\right\|, \quad a, b \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}
$$

[^0]We say that for a sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ the strong law of large numbers (SLLN) holds, if $S_{0, n} / n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## Main Results

The main objective of this note is to prove the following theorem and some of its consequences.
Theorem 1 Let $1<p<\infty$. If for a sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right) \subset L_{p}(X)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}} \mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{S_{k, 2^{n}}}{2^{n}}\right\|^{p}<\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then SLLN holds for $\left(\xi_{n}\right)$.
We apply Theorem 1 to quasi-stationary sequences.
Corollary $1 \operatorname{Let}\left(\xi_{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ be a sequence of $X$-valued random variables such that for some $1<p<\infty$ and each $k, n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\|S_{k, n}\right\|^{p} \leq g(n),
$$

for a numerical function $g$. Then
(i) If

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g\left(2^{n}\right)}{2^{n p}}<\infty
$$

then SLLN holds for $\left(\xi_{n}\right)$.
(ii) If $g(n) / n^{p+1}$ is monotone, and

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^{p+1}}<\infty
$$

then SLLN holds for $\left(\xi_{n}\right)$.
Part (ii) of Corollary 1 has been proved earlier for the case $p=2$, and 1-dimensional $X$ (see Gal and Koksma, 1950 and Gaposhkin, 1977). Below we also discuss Moricz's, 1977 further contribution.
Let $f(n), n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ be a non-negative function. We say that a real or complex-valued sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is $f$-quasi-stationary, if $\mathbf{E}\left|\xi_{k}\right|^{2}<\infty, k \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$, and

$$
\left|\mathbf{E} \xi_{l} \bar{\xi}_{l+m}\right| \leq f(m), \quad l, m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}
$$

The following proposition is a consequence of Theorem 1.
Corollary 2 Let $\left(\xi_{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ be an f-qusi-stationary sequence. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(m)}{m}<\infty, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then SLLN holds for $\left(\xi_{n}\right)$.

Corollary 2 was known earlier under the additional condition of monotonicity of $f$. It has been established first by Erdös, 1949 for monotone $f(m)=O\left(\log ^{-\alpha} m\right), \alpha>1$. In Gal and Koksma, 1950 it was extended to monotone sequences $f(m)$ satisfying (2). Gaposhkin, 1975 has shown that condition (2) for monotone $f$ is in a sense necessary: If

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(m)}{m}=\infty
$$

then there is an $f$-quasi-stationary sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ for which SLLN fails.
Regarding a general norming in SLLN for an $f$-quasi-stationary sequence, the reader is referred to the papers by Moricz, 1977 and Serfling, 1978. In the case of classical norming $\left(\lambda_{n}=1 / n\right)$ Moricz has proved Theorem 1 above for real valued random variables in the case $p=2$, and our Corollary 2 (see Moricz, 1977, Theorem $2^{\prime}$, p. 228 and Theorem 2, p. 227 respectively), both under some additional conditions (see (1.16) and (1.17), respectively, p.227). His main condition (1.16) is in fact equivalent to

$$
\sum_{m} \bar{\varphi}\left(2^{m}\right)<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{m} \frac{\bar{f}(m)}{m}<\infty
$$

where

$$
\varphi(m)=\sup _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{o}}}\left[\mathbf{E}\left|\frac{S_{k, m}}{m}\right|^{2}\right], \text { and } \quad \bar{a}_{m}=\max _{n \geq m}\left\{a_{n}\right\}
$$

Moricz's second condition (1.17) is not relevant for the purpose of comparison with our paper so we do not discuss it.

Example. Let us show that $\sum_{m} f(m) / m$ might be finite, whereas $\sum_{m} \bar{f}(m) / m$ is infinite. This would show that Moricz's condition (1.16) is restrictive. Notice first that for every $f, \quad 0 \leq f(m) \leq 1, m \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ there is a sequence $\left(\xi_{k}\right)$ of real random variables so that

$$
\left.\mathbf{E} \xi_{k}^{2}=1, \mathbf{E} \xi_{k}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad f(m)=\sup _{k}\left|\mathbf{E} \xi_{k} \xi_{k+m}\right|
$$

Then we put $f(m)=1 / \log m$, if $m=n^{2}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $f(m)=0$ otherwise. It is worthy to note that for weakly stationary sequences condition (2) can be replaced by a weaker condition of convergence (conditional) of the series

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{R(m)}{m \log m} \log \log m
$$

where $R$ is the correlation function of the sequence (Gaposhkin, 1977).

## Proofs

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following proposition proved in Chobanyan, Levental and Salehi, 2004.

Theorem 2 Let $1<p<\infty$. For any sequence $\left(\xi_{n}\right) \subset L_{p}(X)$ we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{E} \frac{M_{2^{n}, 2^{n}}^{p}-\left\|S_{2^{n}, 2^{n}}\right\|^{p}}{2^{n p}} \leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{2^{p}-2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_{n}
$$

where

$$
G_{n}=\sup _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{o}}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{S_{k, 2^{n}}}{2^{n}}\right\|^{p}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{S_{k+2^{n}, 2^{n}}}{2^{n}}\right\|^{p}-\mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{S_{k, 2^{n+1}}}{2^{n+1}}\right\|^{p}\right) .
$$

For the sake of completeness we outline the proof of Theorem 2. We have for any $k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ $n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$

$$
M_{k, 2^{n+1}} \leq \max \left\{M_{k, 2^{n}},\left\|S_{k, 2^{n}}\right\|+M_{k+2^{n}, 2^{n}}\right\} .
$$

Making use of the following elementary inequality $|a+b|^{p} \leq 2^{p-1}\left(|a|^{p}+|b|^{p}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{k, 2^{n+1}}^{p} \leq \max \left\{M_{k, 2^{n}}^{p}, 2^{p-1}\left(\left\|S_{k, 2^{n}}\right\|^{p}+M_{k+2^{n}, 2^{n}}^{p}\right)\right\} \leq \\
& \quad\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)\left\|S_{k, 2^{n}}\right\|^{p}+M_{k, 2^{n}}^{p}+2^{p-1} M_{k+2^{n}, 2^{n}}^{p} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

(3) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{k, 2^{n+1}}^{p}-\left\|S_{k, 2^{n+1}}\right\|^{p} \leq M_{k, 2^{n}}^{p}-\left\|S_{k, 2^{n}}\right\|^{p}+2^{p-1}\left(M_{k+2^{n}, 2^{n}}^{p}-\left\|S_{k+2^{n}, 2^{n}}\right\|^{p}\right) \\
-\left\|S_{k, 2^{n+1}}\right\|^{p}+2^{p-1}\left\|S_{k, 2^{n}}\right\|^{p}+2^{p-1}\left\|S_{k+2^{n}, 2^{n}}\right\|^{p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Dividing both sides by $2^{(n+1) p}$, taking expectations, and then maximums over all $k^{\prime}$ s, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2^{p}} F_{n}+\frac{1}{2} F_{n}+G_{n}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}_{0}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{n}=\sup _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}} \mathbf{E}\left(\frac{M_{k, 2^{n}}^{p}-\left\|S_{k, 2^{n}}\right\|^{p}}{2^{n p}}\right) ; \\
G_{n}=\sup _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{S_{k, 2^{n}}}{2^{n}}\right\|^{p}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{S_{k+2^{n}, 2^{n}}}{2^{n}}\right\|^{p}-\mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{S_{k, 2^{n+1}}}{2^{n+1}}\right\|^{p}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is easy to make sure by induction in $n$ that

$$
F_{n+1} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} c^{n-k} G_{k}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}_{0},
$$

where $c=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{p}}$. Summing up (4) from $n=0$ to $n=N$, we come to Theorem 2 .
Proof of Theorem 1. Assuming (1) holds we get

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G_{n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup _{k \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{o}}} \mathbf{E}\left\|\frac{S_{k, 2^{n}}}{2^{n}}\right\|^{p}<\infty .
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{2^{n}, 2^{n}}^{p}-\left\|S_{2^{n}, 2^{n}}\right\|^{p}}{2^{n p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

But (1) also implies that

$$
\frac{\left\|S_{2^{n}, 2^{n}}\right\|^{p}}{2^{n p}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

This convergence along with (5) implies

$$
\frac{\left\|M_{2^{n}, 2^{n}}\right\|}{2^{n}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { a.s. },
$$

which is equivalent to SLLN (Chobanyan, Levental and Mandrekar, 2004).
Proof of Corollary 2. Assume that $\left(\xi_{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is an $f$-quasi-stationary sequence. Then we have for any $k \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and any $n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{0}}$

$$
\mathbf{E}\left|\frac{S_{k, 2^{n}}}{2^{n}}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{2^{n}-1} \frac{f(m)\left(2^{n}-m\right)}{2^{2 n}} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{2^{n}-1} f(m)
$$

This implies

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sup _{k} \mathbf{E}\left|\frac{S_{k, 2^{n}}}{2^{n}}\right|^{2} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{2^{n}} \frac{f(m)}{2^{n}} \leq 2 f(0)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f(m) \sum_{n=\left[\log _{2} m\right]}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} \leq 2 f(0)+2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(m)}{m}
$$

Corollary 2 is proved.
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