Electron. Commun. Probab. **21** (2016), no. 63, 1–9. DOI: 10.1214/16-ECP4644 ISSN: 1083-589X

ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS in PROBABILITY

### Convergence in density in finite time windows and the Skorohod representation

Hermann Thorisson\*

#### Abstract

According to the Dudley-Wichura extension of the Skorohod representation theorem, convergence in distribution to a limit in a separable set is equivalent to the existence of a coupling with elements converging a.s. in the metric. A density analogue of this theorem says that a sequence of probability densities on a general measurable space has a probability density as a pointwise lower limit if and only if there exists a coupling with elements converging a.s. in the discrete metric. In this paper the discrete-metric theorem is extended to stochastic processes considered in a widening time window. The extension is then used to prove the separability version of the Skorohod representation theorem. The paper concludes with an application to Markov chains.

**Keywords:** Skorohod representation; convergence in distribution; convergence in density; widening time window.

AMS MSC 2010: Primary 60B10, Secondary 60G99.

Submitted to ECP on October 19, 2015, final version accepted on August 15, 2016.

### **1** Introduction

Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X$  be random elements in a general space  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  with distributions  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P$ . Let  $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f$  be the densities of  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P$  with respect to some measure  $\lambda$  on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ . Note that such a measure  $\lambda$  always exists, we could for instance take  $\lambda = P + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} P_n$ . If

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} f_n = f \quad \text{a.e. } \lambda$$

we write

$$X_n \to X$$
 in density as  $n \to \infty$ .

Note that  $f_n/f$  is defined almost everywhere P. It is the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $dP_n/dP$  of the absolutely continuous part of  $P_n$  with respect to P. Thus convergence in density does not depend on  $\lambda$  and is equivalent to

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} dP_n/dP = 1 \quad \text{a.e. } P.$$

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Iceland, Dunhaga 5, 107 Reykjavik, Iceland. E-mail: hermann@hi.is

In general,  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} f_n = f$  a.e.  $\lambda$  is weaker than  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n = f$  a.e.  $\lambda$  and stronger than convergence in total variation. However, if  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  is discrete (that is, if E is countable and  $\mathcal{E} = 2^E$  = the class of all subsets of E) then these three modes of convergence are equivalent and simplify to

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{P}(X_n = x) = \mathbf{P}(X = x), \quad x \in E;$$

see Theorems 6.1 and 7.1 in Chapter 1 of [12].

Let  $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \ldots, \hat{X})$  denote a coupling of  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X$ ; this means that the random elements  $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \ldots, \hat{X}$  are defined on a common probability space and have the marginal distributions  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P$ . In a 1995 paper [11], Section 5.4, this author showed that convergence in density is equivalent to the existence of a coupling converging in the discrete metric:

**Theorem 0.** It holds that

 $X_n \to X$  in density as  $n \to \infty$ 

if and only if there exists a coupling  $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X})$  of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X$  such that for some random variable N taking values in  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,

$$\hat{X}_n = \hat{X}, \quad n \ge N. \tag{1.1}$$

This density result is analogous to the Skorohod representation theorem which says that convergence in distribution on a complete separable metric E with  $\mathcal{E}$  the Borel sets (a Polish space) is equivalent to the existence of a coupling converging a.s. in the metric. Skorohod proved this theorem in the 1956 paper [10], Dudley removed the completeness assumption in the 1968 paper [7], and Wichura showed in the 1970 paper [13] that it is enough that the limit probability measure P is concentrated on a separable Borel set; for historical notes, see [8]. Theorem 0 was rediscovered by Sethuraman [9] in 2002. For recent developments going beyond separability and considering convergence in probability, see the series of papers [2]–[6] by Berti, Pratelli and Rigo.

In the present paper we extend Theorem 0 to stochastic processes considered in a widening time window. The main result, Theorem 2.1, is established in Section 2 while Section 3 contains corollaries elaborating on that result. In Section 4, we show how this yields a new proof of the separability version of the Skorohod representation theorem. Section 5 concludes with an application to Markov chains.

#### 2 Convergence in a widening time window

In this section we consider continuous-time stochastic processes without restriction on state space or paths. Also we allow the state space to vary with time and include infinity in the time set. Discrete-time processes are considered at the end of the section.

Let  $(E^t, \mathcal{E}^t)$ ,  $t \in [0, \infty]$ , be a family of measurable spaces. Let H be a non-empty subset of the product set  $\{(z^s)_{s \in [0,\infty]} : z^s \in E^s, s \in [0,\infty]\}$  and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on H making the maps taking  $(z^s)_{s \in [0,\infty]} \in H$  to  $z^t \in E^t$  measurable for all  $t \in [0,\infty]$ . For  $t \in [0,\infty)$ , let  $(H^t, \mathcal{H}^t)$  be the image space of  $(H, \mathcal{H})$  under the map taking  $(z_s)_{s \in [0,\infty]} \in H$  to  $(z_s)_{s \in [0,t)}$ .

If  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z^s)_{s \in [0,\infty]}$  is a random element in  $(H, \mathcal{H})$  write  $\mathbf{Z}^t = (Z^s)_{s \in [0,t)}$  for a segment of  $\mathbf{Z}$  in a finite time window of length  $t \in [0,\infty)$ . Note that  $\mathbf{Z}^t$  is a random element in  $(H^t, \mathcal{H}^t)$ . We also write  $\mathbf{Z}^t$  for a random element in  $(H^t, \mathcal{H}^t)$  even if no  $\mathbf{Z}$  is present.

According to the following theorem, convergence in density in all finite time windows is the distributional form of discrete-metric convergence in a widening time window.

(Note that the coupling in this theorem is not a full coupling of  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}$  but only a coupling of  $\mathbf{Z}_1^{t_1}, \mathbf{Z}_2^{t_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}$ . Extensions to a full coupling are considered in the next section.)

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Z}$  be random elements in  $(H, \mathcal{H})$  where  $(H, \mathcal{H})$  is as above. Then

$$\forall t \in [0,\infty): \quad \mathbf{Z}_n^t \to \mathbf{Z}^t \text{ in density as } n \to \infty$$
(2.1)

if and only if there exists a sequence of numbers  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \rightarrow \infty$  and a coupling  $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1^{t_1}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2^{t_2}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_1^{t_1}, \mathbf{Z}_2^{t_2}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}$  such that for some  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued random variable N,

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n}^{t_{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{t_{n}}, \quad n \geqslant N.$$
(2.2)

*Proof.* First, assume existence of the coupling. Fix  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , take  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t_m \ge t$ , and note that then (2.2) yields  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^t = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^t$  for  $n \ge \max\{N, m\}$ . Use this and the fact that (1.1) implies convergence in density to obtain (2.1).

Conversely assume that (2.1) holds. With  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let Q be the distribution of  $\mathbf{Z}$ , let  $Q^t$  be the distribution of  $\mathbf{Z}^t$ , let  $Q_n^t$  be the distribution of  $\mathbf{Z}_n^t$ , let  $f_n^t$  be the density of  $\mathbf{Z}_n^t$  with respect to some measure  $\lambda^t$  on  $(H^t, \mathcal{H}^t)$ , and let  $\nu_n^t$  be the measure on  $(H^t, \mathcal{H}^t)$  with density  $g_n^t := \inf_{i \ge n} f_i^t$ . Due to the assumption (2.1),  $g_n^t$  increases to a density of  $\mathbf{Z}^t$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus by monotone convergence, the measures  $\nu_n^t$  increase setwise to  $Q^t$ ,

$$\nu_1^t \leqslant \nu_2^t \leqslant \cdots \nearrow Q^t, \quad t \in [0,\infty).$$

Thus there are numbers  $1 = n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  such that

$$0 \leqslant Q^k - \nu_{n_k}^k \leqslant 2^{-k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

For  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathbf{z}^k \in H^k$ , let  $q_k(A | \mathbf{z}^k)$  be the conditional probability of the event  $\{\mathbf{Z} \in A\}$  given  $\mathbf{Z}^k = \mathbf{z}^k$ . Then

$$Q(A) = \int q_k(A \mid \cdot) \, dQ^k, \quad A \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Since  $\nu_{n_k}^k \leq Q^k$  the measure  $\nu_{n_k}^k$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $Q^k$ . Thus we can extend  $\nu_{n_k}^k$  from  $(H^k, \mathcal{H}^k)$  to a measure  $\nu_k$  on  $(H, \mathcal{H})$  by

$$\nu_k(A) := \int q_k(A \,|\, \cdot) \, d\nu_{n_k}^k, \quad A \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The last three displays yield

$$0 \leqslant Q - \nu_k \leqslant 2^{-k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Let  $h_k$  be a density of  $\nu_k$  with respect to Q. For integers k < m let  $\nu_{k,m}$  be the measure with density  $\min_{k \leq j \leq m} h_j$  with respect to Q. Partition H into sets  $A_k, \ldots, A_m \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\min_{k \leq j \leq m} h_j = h_i$  on  $A_i$  and thus

$$\nu_{k,m}(\cdot \cap A_i) = \nu_i(\cdot \cap A_i), \quad k \leq i \leq m.$$

Now define  $t_n = k$  if  $n_k \leq n < n_{k+1}$ . The last two displays yield

$$0 \leqslant Q - \nu_{t_n,m} = \sum_{i=t_n}^m \left( Q(\cdot \cap A_i) - \nu_i(\cdot \cap A_i) \right) \leqslant \sum_{i=t_n}^\infty 2^{-i} = 2^{-t_n+1}.$$

ECP 21 (2016), paper 63.

http://www.imstat.org/ecp/

Let  $\mu_n$  be the measure with density  $\inf_{t_n \leq i < \infty} h_i$  with respect to Q and send  $m \to \infty$  to obtain  $0 \leq Q - \mu_n \leq 2^{-t_n+1}$ . Thus the  $\mu_n$  increase setwise to Q,

$$=: \mu_0 \leqslant \mu_1 \leqslant \mu_2 \leqslant \cdots \nearrow Q. \tag{2.3}$$

Let  $\mu_n^k$  be the marginal of  $\mu_n$  on  $(H^k, \mathcal{H}^k)$ . Note that  $\nu_{n_k}^k$  is the marginal of  $\nu_k$  on  $(H^k, \mathcal{H}^k)$  and that  $\mu_n \leq \nu_{t_n}$  and  $\nu_{n_{t_n}}^{t_n} \leq \nu_n^{t_n}$  (since  $n_{t_n} \leq n$ ). Thus  $\mu_n^{t_n} \leq \nu_n^{t_n}$ . Now  $\nu_n^t$  has density  $\inf_{i \geq n} f_i^t$  and  $Q_n^t$  has density  $f_n^t$  and thus  $\nu_n^t \leq Q_n^t$ . Since  $\mu_n^{t_n} \leq \nu_n^{t_n}$  this yields

$$\mu_n^{t_n} \leqslant Q_n^{t_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Keep in mind (2.3) and (2.4) throughout the following coupling construction.

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  be a probability space supporting the following collection of independent random elements with distributions to be specified below:

N, 
$$\mathbf{V}_1$$
,  $\mathbf{V}_2$ ,  $\ldots$ ,  $\mathbf{W}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{W}_2$ ,  $\ldots$ 

Let N be  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued with distribution function (see (2.3))

0

$$\mathbf{P}(N \leqslant n) = \mu_n(H), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Let  $\mathbf{V}_n$  be a random element in  $(H, \mathcal{H})$  with distribution (see (2.3))

$$\frac{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}}{\mathbf{P}(N=n)}$$
 (arbitrary distribution if  $\mathbf{P}(N=n) = 0$ ).

Let  $\mathbf{W}_n$  be a random element in  $(H^{t_n}, \mathcal{H}^{t_n})$  with distribution (see (2.4))

$$\frac{Q_n^{t_n}-\mu_n^{t_n}}{\mathbf{P}(N>n)} \qquad \text{(arbitrary distribution if } \mathbf{P}(N>n)=0\text{)}.$$

Put  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{V}_N$  to obtain that  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}$  has the same distribution as  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{\hat{Z}} \in \cdot) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{V}_n \in \cdot) \mathbf{P}(N=n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}) = Q.$$

Put  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{t_n} = \mathbf{V}_N^{t_n}$  on  $\{N \leq n\}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{t_n} = \mathbf{W}_n$  on  $\{N > n\}$  to obtain that  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{t_n}$  has the same distribution as  $\mathbf{Z}_n^{t_n}$ ,

$$\mathbf{P}(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{t_n} \in \cdot) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{V}_k^{t_n} \in \cdot) \mathbf{P}(N=k) + \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{W}_n \in \cdot) \mathbf{P}(N>n)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^n (\mu_k^{t_n} - \mu_{k-1}^{t_n}) + (Q_n^{t_n} - \mu_n^{t_n}) = Q_n^{t_n}.$$

By definition  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{V}_N$  and thus  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{t_n} = \mathbf{V}_N^{t_n}$ . Also by definition,  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{t_n} = \mathbf{V}_N^{t_n}$  on  $\{N \leq n\}$ . Thus  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{t_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{t_n}$  when  $n \geq N$ , that is, (2.2) holds.

If  $\mathbf{Z} = (Z^1, Z^2, \dots, Z^{\infty})$  write  $\mathbf{Z}^k = (Z^1, Z^2, \dots, Z^k)$  for a segment in a finite time window of length  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . The following is a discrete-time version of Theorem 2.1. **Corollary 2.2.** Let  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Z}$  be random elements in some product space  $(E^1, \mathcal{E}^1) \otimes (E^2, \mathcal{E}^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (E^{\infty}, \mathcal{E}^{\infty})$ . Then

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}: \quad \mathbf{Z}_n^k o \mathbf{Z}^k \text{ in density as } n o \infty$$

if and only if there exists a sequence of integers  $0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \cdots \rightarrow \infty$  and a coupling  $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1^{k_1}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2^{k_2}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_1^{k_1}, \mathbf{Z}_2^{k_2}, \dots, \mathbf{Z}$  such that for some  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued random variable N,

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}, \quad n \ge N.$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 2.1 to  $(Z_1^{\lfloor 1+s \rfloor})_{s \in [0,\infty]}, (Z_2^{\lfloor 1+s \rfloor})_{s \in [0,\infty]}, \ldots, (Z^{\lfloor 1+s \rfloor})_{s \in [0,\infty]}$ . (Or repeat the proof of Theorem 2.1 with t and  $t_n$  replaced by k and  $k_n$ .)

ECP 21 (2016), paper 63.

http://www.imstat.org/ecp/

### 3 Extensions to a full coupling

The coupling in Theorem 2.1 is not a full coupling of  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}$  but only a coupling of  $\mathbf{Z}_1^{t_1}, \mathbf{Z}_2^{t_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}$ . However, in the discrete-time case of Corollary 2.2, if we restrict all but the infinite-time state space to be discrete, then there is the following simple extension of the coupling. It will be used in Section 4 to establish the separability version of the Skorohod representation theorem.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}$  be random elements in the product space  $(E^1, \mathcal{E}^1) \otimes (E^2, \mathcal{E}^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (E^{\infty}, \mathcal{E}^{\infty})$  where  $(E^1, \mathcal{E}^1), (E^2, \mathcal{E}^2), \ldots$  are discrete and  $(E^{\infty}, \mathcal{E}^{\infty})$  is some measurable space. Then

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}: \mathbf{Z}_n^k \to \mathbf{Z}^k$$
 in density as  $n \to \infty$ 

if and only if there exists a coupling  $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Z}$  such that, for some  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued random variable N and integers  $0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}, \quad n \ge N.$$

*Proof.* Due to Corollary 2.2, we only need to show that  $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1^{k_1}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2^{k_2}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$  can be extended to a coupling of  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Z}$ . For that purpose set, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{i}^{k_n} \in E^1 \times E^2 \times \dots \times E^{k_n}$ ,

$$Q_{n,\mathbf{i}^{k_n}}$$
 = the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  given  $\{\mathbf{Z}_n^{k_n} = \mathbf{i}^{k_n}\}$ . (3.1)

Let the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  supporting  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1^{k_1}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2^{k_2}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}, N$  be large enough to also support random elements in  $(E^1, \mathcal{E}^1) \otimes (E^2, \mathcal{E}^2) \otimes \dots \otimes (E^{\infty}, \mathcal{E}^{\infty})$ ,

$$\mathbf{V}_{n,\mathbf{i}^{k_n}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \mathbf{i}^{k_n} \in E^1 \times E^2 \times \cdots \times E^{k_n},$$

that are independent, independent of  $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1^{k_1}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2^{k_2}, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}, N)$ , and such that

 $\mathbf{V}_{n,\mathbf{i}^{k_n}}^{k_n} = \mathbf{i}^{k_n}$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{n,\mathbf{i}^{k_n}}$  has distribution  $Q_{n,\mathbf{i}^{k_n}}$ .

Note that  $\mathbf{V}_{n,\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n}^{k_{n}}}^{k_{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n}^{k_{n}}$ . Thus we can extend  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n}^{k_{n}}$  to a  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n}$  by setting  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n} := \mathbf{V}_{n,\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n}^{k_{n}}}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{\hat{Z}}_n \in \cdot) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}^{k_n}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{V}_{n,\mathbf{i}^{k_n}} \in \cdot) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{\hat{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \mathbf{i}^{k_n}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}^{k_n}} Q_{n,\mathbf{i}^{k_n}}(\cdot) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{\hat{Z}}_n^{k_n} = \mathbf{i}^{k_n}).$$

Since  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n}^{k_{n}}$  has the same distribution as  $\mathbf{Z}_{n}^{k_{n}}$  we obtain from this and (3.1) that  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n}$  has the same distribution as  $\mathbf{Z}_{n}$ , as desired.

In Corollary 3.1 we obtained a full coupling of  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}$  in the discrete-time case by restricting  $Z_n^k$  and  $Z^k$  to a discrete state space for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  but without restricting the state space of  $Z_n^{\infty}$  and  $Z^{\infty}$ . We shall now much weaken this restriction at the expense of putting a restriction on  $Z_n^{\infty}$  and  $Z^{\infty}$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}$  be random elements in the product of Polish spaces  $(E^1, \mathcal{E}^1) \otimes (E^2, \mathcal{E}^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (E^{\infty}, \mathcal{E}^{\infty})$ . Then the coupling  $(\mathbf{\hat{Z}}_1^{k_1}, \mathbf{\hat{Z}}_2^{k_2}, \ldots, \mathbf{\hat{Z}})$  in Corollary 2.2 can be extended to a coupling  $(\mathbf{\hat{Z}}_1, \mathbf{\hat{Z}}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{\hat{Z}})$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}$ .

Proof. Set  $(G, \mathcal{G}) = (E^1, \mathcal{E}^1) \otimes (E^2, \mathcal{E}^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (E^{\infty}, \mathcal{E}^{\infty})$ . Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  be the probability space supporting the random elements  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1^{k_1}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2^{k_2}$ , ...,  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}$ , N in Corollary 2.2. Since a countable product of Polish spaces is Polish, there exist probability kernels  $Q_n(\cdot | \cdot)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $Q_n(A | \mathbf{z}^{k_n})$  is the conditional probability of  $\{\mathbf{Z}_n \in A\}$  given  $\mathbf{Z}_n^{k_n} = \mathbf{z}^{k_n}$ ,

 $A \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathbf{z}^{k_n} \in E^1 \times E^2 \times \cdots \times E^{k_n}$ . According to the Ionescu-Tulcea extension theorem (see [1], Section 2.7.2), the set function defined, with  $A \in \mathcal{F}, A^1, A^2 \ldots \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(A \times A^{1} \times \dots \times A^{n} \times E^{n+1} \times \dots \times E^{\infty}) = \int_{A} \mathbf{P}(d\omega) \int_{A^{1}} Q_{1}(d\mathbf{z}_{1} | \mathbf{Z}_{1}^{k_{1}}(\omega)) \dots \int_{A^{n}} Q_{n}(d\mathbf{z}_{n} | \mathbf{Z}_{n}^{k_{n}}(\omega))$$

extends to a probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  on  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}})$  where  $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \times G \times G \times G \times \ldots$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \sigma(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times \ldots)$ . Note that  $Q_n(\{\mathbf{z}_n : \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n} \neq \mathbf{z}_n^{k_n}\} | \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}) = 0$  a.s.  $\mathbf{P}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  which implies that  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\{(\omega, \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots) : \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}(\omega) \neq \hat{\mathbf{z}}_n^{k_n}\}) = 0$ . Delete this  $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$  null set from  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{\mathbf{P}})$  to obtain a probability space  $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathbf{P}})$  such that if  $(\omega, \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots) \in \hat{\Omega}$  then  $\mathbf{z}_n$  is restricted to satisfy  $\mathbf{z}_n^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}(\omega)$ . Now extend  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}$  to a  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n$  as follows: for  $(\omega, \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots) \in \hat{\Omega}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  put  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n(\omega, \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots) := \mathbf{z}_n$ . Due to  $\mathbf{z}_n^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}(\omega)$ , this definition transfers  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}$  consistently from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$  to  $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathbf{P}})$ . Finally transfer  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}$  to  $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\mathbf{P}})$  by putting  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}(\omega, \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots) := \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(\omega)$  for  $(\omega, \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2, \ldots) \in \hat{\Omega}$ .

The final corollary extends Corollary 3.2 to continuous time.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}$  be random elements in  $(D, \mathcal{D}) \otimes (E, \mathcal{E})$  where  $(D, \mathcal{D}) = (D[0, \infty), \mathcal{D}[0, \infty))$  is the Skorohod space of a Polish space and  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  is Polish. Then the coupling  $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{1}^{t_1}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{2}^{t_2}, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$  in Theorem 2.1 can be extended to a coupling  $(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}_2, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{Z}})$  of  $\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{Z}$ .

*Proof.* The Skorohod space  $(D, \mathcal{D})$  is Polish and thus the product  $(H, \mathcal{H}) = (D, \mathcal{D}) \otimes (E, \mathcal{E})$  is Polish. Proceed as in the proof of Corollary 3.2 referring to Theorem 2.1 rather than Corollary 2.2, replacing  $(G, \mathcal{G})$  by  $(H, \mathcal{H})$  and  $k_n$  by  $t_n$ , and with  $A \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathbf{z}^{t_n} \in H^{t_n}$ .  $\Box$ 

### 4 The Skorohod representation

In this section let E be a metric space with metric d and  $\mathcal{E}$  its Borel subsets. Recall that  $X_n$  is said to converge to X in distribution as  $n \to \infty$  if for all bounded continuous functions h from E to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\int h \, dP_n \to \int h \, dP, \quad n \to \infty.$$

Recall also that  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  is called a *P*-continuity set if  $P(\partial A) = 0$  where  $\partial A$  denotes the boundary of *A*, and that by the Portmanteau Theorem (Theorem 11.1.1 in [8]) convergence in distribution is equivalent to

$$P_n(A) \to P(A)$$
 as  $n \to \infty$  for all *P*-continuity sets *A*. (4.1)

We shall now use Corollary 3.1 to prove the Skorohod representation theorem in the separable case.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X$  be random elements in a metric space E equipped with its Borel subsets  $\mathcal{E}$ . Further, let X take values almost surely in a separable subset  $E_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then

$$X_n \to X$$
 in distribution as  $n \to \infty$  (4.2)

if and only if there is a coupling  $(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2, \dots, \hat{X})$  of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X$  such that

$$\hat{X}_n \to \hat{X} \text{ pointwise as } n \to \infty.$$
 (4.3)

ECP 21 (2016), paper 63.

http://www.imstat.org/ecp/

*Proof.* Let d be the metric. We begin with basic preliminaries. First, assume existence of the coupling and let h be a bounded continuous function. Then (4.3) yields that  $h(\hat{X}_n) \to h(\hat{X})$  pointwise as  $n \to \infty$  and by bounded convergence,  $\int h dP_n \to \int h dP$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Thus (4.2) holds.

Conversely, assume from now on that (4.2), and thus (4.1), holds. For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , any separable Borel set can be covered by countably many *E*-balls of diameter  $< \epsilon$ . Note that for every  $y \in E$  and r > 0,  $\partial \{x \in E : d(y, x) < r\} \subseteq \partial \{x \in E : d(y, x) = r\}$  and that the set on the right-hand side has *P*-mass 0 except for countably many radii *r*. Thus the covering sets below may be taken to be *P*-continuity sets. Moreover, since  $\partial (A \cap B) \subseteq \partial A \cup \partial B$  for all subsets *A* and *B* of *E*, the covering sets can be taken to be disjoint.

Let  $A_2, A_3, \ldots$  be disjoint *P*-continuity sets of diameter < 1 covering  $E_0$  and put  $A_1 = E \setminus (A_2 \cup A_3 \cup \ldots)$ . Then  $A_1$  is also a *P*-continuity set since  $P(A_1) = 0$  and since  $\partial A_1$  cannot contain interior points of the *P*-continuity sets  $A_2, A_3, \ldots$  Thus  $\{A_i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a partition of *E* into *P*-continuity sets. Put  $A_{11} = A_1$  and  $A_{12} = A_{13} = \cdots = \emptyset$ . For i > 1, let  $A_{i2}, A_{i3}, \ldots$  be disjoint *P*-continuity subsets of  $A_i$  of diameter < 1/2 covering  $E_0 \cap A_i$  and put  $A_{i1} = A_i \setminus (A_{i2} \cup A_{i3} \cup \ldots)$ . Then again  $\{A_{i^2}: i^2 \in \mathbb{N}^2\}$  is a partition of *E* into *P*-continue this recursively in  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  to obtain a sequence of partitions  $\{A_{i^k}: i^k \in \mathbb{N}^k\}$  of *E* into *P*-continuity sets such that

$$A_{\mathbf{i}^k}$$
,  $\mathbf{i}^k \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})^k$ , cover  $E_0$  and are each of diameter  $< 1/k$  (4.4)

and such that the partitions are nested in the sense that for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbf{i}^k \in \mathbb{N}^k$  it holds that  $A_{\mathbf{i}^k} = A_{\mathbf{i}^{k_1}} \cup A_{\mathbf{i}^{k_2}} \cup \ldots$ 

After these basic preliminaries, we are now ready to apply Corollary 3.1. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2, \ldots, \mathbb{Z}$  be the random elements in  $(\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}})^{\mathbb{N}} \otimes (E, \mathcal{E})$  defined as follows (well-defined because the partitions are nested): set  $\mathbb{Z}_n^{\infty} = X_n$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^{\infty} = X$  and for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\mathbf{Z}_n^k = \mathbf{i}^k \quad \text{if} \quad X_n \in A_{\mathbf{i}^k} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{Z}^k = \mathbf{i}^k \quad \text{if} \quad X \in A_{\mathbf{i}^k}.$$

Due to (4.1), we have  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Z}_n^k = \mathbf{i}^k) \to \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Z}^k = \mathbf{i}^k)$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\mathbf{i}^k \in \mathbb{N}^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{Z}_n^k \to \mathbf{Z}^k$  in density as  $n \to \infty$  and Corollary 3.1 yields the existence of a coupling  $(\mathbf{\hat{Z}}_1, \mathbf{\hat{Z}}_2, \dots, \mathbf{\hat{Z}})$  of  $(\mathbf{Z}_1, \mathbf{Z}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Z})$ , an  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued random variable N, and integers  $0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{n}^{k_{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_{n}}, \quad n \geqslant N.$$
(4.5)

Now define the coupling of  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X$  by setting  $\hat{X}_n = \hat{Z}_n^{\infty}$  and  $\hat{X} = \hat{Z}^{\infty}$ . Then (after deleting a null event) we have that  $\hat{X} \in E_0$  and that for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^k = \mathbf{i}^k$$
 if  $\hat{X}_n \in A_{\mathbf{i}^k}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^k = \mathbf{i}^k$  if  $\hat{X} \in A_{\mathbf{i}^k}$ .

Thus  $\hat{X}_n \in A_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}}$  and  $\hat{X} \in A_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_n^{k_n}}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Apply (4.5) to obtain that

both 
$$\hat{X}_n \in A_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}}$$
 and  $\hat{X} \in A_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}}$  when  $n \ge N$ . (4.6)

Finally, apply (4.4): since  $\hat{X} \in E_0$  we have that  $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n} \in (\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\})^{k_n}$  so  $A_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^{k_n}}$  has diameter  $< 1/k_n$ . From this and (4.6) we obtain that

$$d(\hat{X}_n, \hat{X}) < 1/k_n, \quad n \ge N.$$

Since  $N < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} 1/k_n = 0$  this implies that  $d(\hat{X}_n, \hat{X}) \to 0$  pointwise, that is, (4.3) holds.

ECP 21 (2016), paper 63.

#### 5 Application to Markov chains

In this final section we shall first apply Corollary 3.1 to discrete time Markov chains with time set  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and then apply Corollary 3.3 to continuous time Markov chains with time set  $[0, \infty)$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X$  be discrete time irreducible Markov chains on a countable state space E with initial distributions  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha$  and with transition matrices  $M_1, M_2, ..., M$ . Then

$$\alpha_n \to \alpha \text{ and } M_n \to M \text{ pointwise as } n \to \infty$$
 (5.1)

if and only if there exists a coupling  $(\hat{\mathbf{X}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_2, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{X}})$  of  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}$  such that for some  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued random variable N and some sequence of integers  $0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}_n^{k_n} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}^{k_n}, \quad n \ge N.$$

*Proof.* Let  $p_n(i,j)$  and p(i,j) be the  $(i,j) \in E \times E$  entries of  $M_n$  and M. Due to irreducibility, (5.1) holds if and only if for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i_0, i_1, \ldots \in E$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n(i_0) p_n(i_0, i_1) \dots p_n(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}) = \alpha(i_0) p(i_0, i_1) \dots p(i_{k-2}, i_{k-1}),$$

that is, if and only if

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}: \quad \mathbf{X}_n^{k-1} \to \mathbf{X}^{k-1} \text{ in density as } n \to \infty.$$

The desired result now follows from Corollary 3.1 by taking  $Z_n^k = X_n^{k-1}$  and  $Z^k = X^{k-1}$  for  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and letting  $Z_n^{\infty}$  and  $Z^{\infty}$  be arbitrary fixed states.

Theorem 5.1 is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.1 because the finite segments  $\mathbf{X}_1^k, \mathbf{X}_2^k, \dots, \mathbf{X}^k$  are discrete. In the continuous time case the finite segments are not discrete so the argument becomes more involved.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $X_1, X_2, ..., X$  be continuous time irreducible nonexplosive Markov chains on a countable state space E with initial distributions  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha$  and intensity matrices  $C_1, C_2, ..., C$ . Then

$$\alpha_n \to \alpha \text{ and } C_n \to C \text{ pointwise as } n \to \infty$$
 (5.2)

if and only if there exists a coupling  $(\hat{\mathbf{X}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_2, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{X}})$  of  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots, \mathbf{X}$  such that for some  $\mathbb{N}$ -valued random variable N and some sequence of real numbers  $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}_n^{t_n} = \hat{\mathbf{X}}^{t_n}, \quad n \ge N.$$

*Proof.* Let c(i, j) be the  $(i, j) \in E \times E$  entry of C. Let  $c(i) = \sum_{j \neq i} c(i, j)$  be the total intensity in state  $i \in E$ . For  $i \neq j$  let p(i, j) = c(i, j)/c(i) be the jump probability from i to j. Let  $Y^0, Y^1, \ldots$  be the states visited by  $\mathbf{X}$ . Let  $S^1, S^2, \ldots$  be the times when  $\mathbf{X}$  enters the states  $Y^1, Y^2, \ldots$  Let K(t) be the last k such that  $S^k < t$ . Since  $\mathbf{X}$  is nonexplosive, K(t) is a.s. finite. Let  $c_n(i), p_n(i, j), Y_n^0, Y_n^1, \ldots, S_n^1, S_n^2, \ldots$  and  $K_n(t)$  be obtained in the same way from  $C_n$  and  $\mathbf{X}_n$ .

Both  $(Y_n^0, \ldots, Y_n^{K_n(t)}, S_n^1, \ldots, S_n^{K_n(t)})$  and  $(Y^0, \ldots, Y^{K(t)}, S^1, \ldots, S^{K(t)})$  take values in the union  $A^{(t)} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A^{(t,k)}$  of the disjoint sets

$$A^{(t,k)} = E^{k+1} \times B^{(t,k)} \text{ where } B^{(t,k)} = \{(s_1, \dots, s_k) : 0 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_k < t\}.$$

ECP 21 (2016), paper 63.

Let  $\lambda^{(t)}$  be the measure on  $A^{(t)}$  defined by  $\lambda^{(t)}(A^{(t,k)} \cap \cdot) = \mu^{(t,k)}$  where  $\mu^{(t,k)}$  is the product of counting measure on  $E^{k+1}$  and Lebesgue measure on  $B^{(t,k)}$ . On  $A^{(t,k)}$ , the density  $f^{(t)}$  of  $(Y^0, \ldots, Y^{K(t)}, S^1, \ldots, S^{K(t)})$  with respect to  $\lambda^{(t)}$  is

$$f^{(t)}(i_0, \dots, i_k, s_1, \dots, s_k) = \alpha(i_0)p(i_0, i_1) \dots p(i_{k-1}, i_k)$$
  
$$c(i_0) \dots c(i_{k-1})e^{-c(i_0)s_1} \dots e^{-c(i_{k-1})(s_k - s_{k-1})}e^{-c(i_k)(t - s_k)}$$

and the density  $f_n^{(t)}$  of  $\left(Y_n^0,\ldots,Y_n^{K_n(t)},S_n^1,\ldots,S_n^{K_n(t)}\right)$  with respect to  $\lambda^{(t)}$  is

$$f_n^{(\iota)}(i_0,\ldots,i_k,s_1,\ldots,s_k) = \alpha_n(i_0)p_n(i_0,i_1)\ldots p_n(i_{k-1},i_k)$$
  
$$c_n(i_0)\ldots c_n(i_{k-1})e^{-c_n(i_0)s_1}\ldots e^{-c_n(i_{k-1})(s_k-s_{k-1})}e^{-c_n(i_k)(t-s_k)}.$$

Note that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n(i)e^{-c_n(i)x} = c(i)e^{-c(i)x}$  holds for all  $x \ge 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n(i) = c(i)$  and if and only if  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} c_n(i)e^{-c_n(i)x} = c(i)e^{-c(i)x}$  holds for all  $x \ge 0$ . This and irreducibility implies that (5.2) holds if and only if for all  $t \in [0,\infty)$ ,  $\liminf_{n\to\infty} f_n^{(t)} = f^{(t)}$ . Now  $(Y_n^0,\ldots,Y_n^{K_n(t)},S_n^1,\ldots,S_n^{K_n(t)})$  and  $(Y^0,\ldots,Y^{K(t)},S^1,\ldots,S^{K(t)})$  are random elements in a common space and  $\mathbf{X}_n^t$  and  $\mathbf{X}^t$  are random elements in a common space, and since these two spaces are Borel equivalent we obtain that (5.2) holds if and only if

$$\forall t \in [0,\infty): \mathbf{X}_n^t \to \mathbf{X}^t \text{ in density as } n \to \infty.$$

The theorem now follows from Corollary 3.3 by taking  $Z_n^t = X_n^t$  and  $Z^t = X^t$  for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and letting  $Z_n^{\infty}$  and  $Z^{\infty}$  be arbitrary fixed states.

#### References

- [1] Ash, R.B. (1972). Real Analysis and Probability. Academic Press, New York. MR-0435320
- [2] Berti, P., Pratelli, L., Rigo, P. (2007). Skorohod representation on a given probability space. Prob. Theo. Relat. Fields 137, 277–288. MR-2278458
- [3] Berti, P., Pratelli, L., Rigo, P. (2010). Skorohod representation theorem via disintegrations. Sakhya 72, 208–220. MR-2658171
- [4] Berti, P., Pratelli, L., Rigo, P. (2011). A Skorohod representation theorem for uniform distance. Prob. Theo. Relat. Fields 150, 321–335. MR-2800912
- [5] Berti, P., Pratelli, L., Rigo, P. (2013). A Skorohod representation theorem without separability. Electron. Commun. Probab. 18, 1–12. MR-3125256
- [6] Berti, P., Pratelli, L., Rigo, P. (2015). Glueing lemmas and Skorohod representations. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* 20, 1–11. MR-3374303
- [7] Dudley, R.M. (1968). Distances of probability measures and random variables. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 39, 1563–1572. MR-0230338
- [8] Dudley, R.M. (2002). Real Analysis and Probability. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. MR-1932358
- [9] Sethuraman, J. (2002). Some extensions of the Skorohod representation theorem. Sankhya 64, 884–893. MR-1981517
- [10] Skorohod, A.V. (1956). Limit theorems for stochastic processes. Theo. Probab. Appl. 1, 261–290. MR-0084897
- [11] Thorisson, H. (1995). Coupling methods in probability theory. Scand. J. Statist. 22, 159–182. MR-1339749
- [12] Thorisson, H. (2000). Coupling, Stationarity, and Regeneration. Springer, New York. MR-1741181
- [13] Wichura, M.J. (1970). On the construction of almost uniformly convergent random variables with given weakly convergent image laws. Annals of Mathematical Statistics 41, 284–291. MR-0266275

# Electronic Journal of Probability Electronic Communications in Probability

# Advantages of publishing in EJP-ECP

- Very high standards
- Free for authors, free for readers
- Quick publication (no backlog)
- Secure publication (LOCKSS<sup>1</sup>)
- Easy interface (EJMS<sup>2</sup>)

# **Economical model of EJP-ECP**

- Non profit, sponsored by  $IMS^3$ ,  $BS^4$ , ProjectEuclid<sup>5</sup>
- Purely electronic

# Help keep the journal free and vigorous

- Donate to the IMS open access fund<sup>6</sup> (click here to donate!)
- Submit your best articles to EJP-ECP
- Choose EJP-ECP over for-profit journals

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>LOCKSS: Lots of Copies Keep Stuff Safe http://www.lockss.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>EJMS: Electronic Journal Management System http://www.vtex.lt/en/ejms.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>IMS: Institute of Mathematical Statistics http://www.imstat.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>BS: Bernoulli Society http://www.bernoulli-society.org/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Project Euclid: https://projecteuclid.org/

 $<sup>^{6}\</sup>mathrm{IMS}$  Open Access Fund: http://www.imstat.org/publications/open.htm